# Applying Geostatistical Methods for Analyzing Regional Flood Frequency in North of Iran (Case Study: Mazandaran Catchments)

M. R. Yazdani<sup>1\*</sup>, and Z. Sheikh<sup>1</sup>

#### **ABSTRACT**

In Iran, applying geostatistics to regional analysis is said to be in its early stages. The fundamental principle of this technique emphasizes the interpolation of hydrological variables in physiographical, instead of geographical, spaces. This paper deals with the adaptation, application, and comparison of two regional analysis methods based on geostatistics. In this study, data from 38 gauging stations located in the north of Iran were used to investigate the performance of geostatistical methods in two physiographical spaces. Two multivariate analysis methods, namely, Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) and Principal Components Analysis (PCA), were used to identify physiographical spaces. Gaussian and exponential models were selected as the best theoretical variogram models in CCA and PCA spaces, respectively. Ordinary and simple kriging geostatistical estimators were also used for regional estimations in both physiographical spaces. Using the interpolation methods in CCA and PCA spaces, regional flood estimations were made for different return periods (10, 20, 50, and 100 years). Finally, performance of both models was studied using five statistical indices. The results showed that both methods had similar and satisfactory performance; however, regional estimations in CCA had higher accuracy and less uncertainty than those in PCA-space. Furthermore, the results indicated that the ordinary kriging method had better performance than the simple kriging method in both spaces and the best interpolation efficiency was observed in the CCA space.

**Keywords:** Interpolation, Kriging, Physiographical space, Principle Component Analysis (PCA).

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Lack of data at sites of interest is one of the problems that hydrologists often face during estimation in many river basins. To solve this regional analyses have been problem, proposed (Stedinger and Tasker, 1985; Burn, 1990; Rosbjerg and Madsen, 1994; Alila, 2000). Several techniques, which seek similarity between sites by attributes of catchment and at-site flow statistics, have been regionalization. developed for application of regionalization can be observed in several recently published papers, including those by Malekinezhad *et al.* (2011), Yan and Moradkhani (2015), and Mosaffaie (2015).

According to recent research, geostatistical interpolations, apart from their primary role in interpolation of point data (De Marsily and Ahmed, 1987), are effective means to solve the issue of regionalization of hydrometric data (Skøienet al., 2006; Chokmani and Ouarda, 2004). Geostatistical methods were developed firstly for the mining industry (Journel and Huijbregts, 1978). However, the hydrology catchment is applied quite differently (Skøienet al., 2006). In other words, hydrological variables discontinuous variables in the geographical space. Since flood generation mechanisms are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Desert Studies College, Semnan University, Semnan, Islamic Republic of Iran.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors, e-mail address: m\_yazdani@semnan.ac.ir



specific to each catchment, they may change dramatically from a catchment to an adjacent Consequently, the catchment. application of interpolation methods in the geographical space does not seem practical (Sauguet, 2000). In order to resolve this problem, Chokmani and Ouarda (2004) proposed a new approach to regionalize hydrological data using geostatistical methods. In this method, based on the concept of hydrological neighborhood (Burn, 1990: Castellarin 2001) etal., and using geostatistical methods, hydrological variables are interpolated in physiographical space, instead of conventional geographical space. In classical statistics, there is no relationship between the measured values of a quantity in a specific sample and values of the same quantity in another sample with specific distance. On the other hand, in geostatistics, it is assumed that there is a relationship between various values of a quantity in the population of samples and their distance and placement direction at some specific distance. This spatial relationship is called spatial structure in mathematical definitions. In a geographical space, flood is a quantity with the feature of discontinuity which lacks any spatial structure. Responses to these hydrological events also vary even in the neighboring basins, because the flood generation mechanism and its effective parameters are unique in each specific basin. The occurrence of flood at a specific site indicates a hydrological response to the dominating climate of the area and the effect of physical geomorphological properties of basins. Thus, although flood does not have a continuous nature in geographical spaces, it will have a continuous nature within the physiographic space, which can be considered a hydrological reaction to the regional climatic and physiographical variables and its interpolation will be possible (Chokmani and Ouarda, 2004). Physiographical Space-Based Interpolation (PSBI) methods hold much promise for many aspects of hydrology. This is a topical issue in hydrology, which is increasingly gaining attention from the scientific community.

After successful application of a PSBI method, this method has attracted the attention


of other researchers for instance Skøien*et al.* (2006), Joseph *et al.* (2006), Shu and Ouarda (2007), Skøien and Bloschl (2007), Ouarda *et al.* (2008), Hundecha *et al.* (2008), Castiglioni *et al.* (2009), Kamali Nezhad *et al.* (2010, 2011), Castiglioni *et al.* (2011), Vormoor *et al.* (2011); Laaha *et al.* (2013); Archfield *et al.* (2013), Pugliese *et al.* (2013), Laaha *et al.* (2014), and Castellarin (2014).

Although geostatistical methods are getting increasing attention in the field of regional frequency analysis, they are not regarded as popular among Iranian researchers, and virtually there are nostudies done on the application of such methods in Iran. The current study was undertaken to shed light on the knowledge gap here in this region, and it aimed to apply geostatistical methods (ordinary and simple kriging) in CCA and PCA physiographical spaces to regionalize the catchments located east of the Caspian Sea. Additionally, we aimed to determine the best approach to estimate the regional flood and investigate the efficiency of the interpolation methods in two physiographical spaces.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## **Study Region**

The study area consisted of the catchments of Mazandaran, located in the north of Iran (see Figure 1). The study area covers a wide



**Figure 1.** Location of the study area and distribution of hydrometric stations.

geographical region (over 23,756.4 km<sup>2</sup>) which includes 1.46% of the whole territory of Iran. The Mean Annual Rainfall (MAR) in the region ranges from more than 1,500 mm in the west to 180 mm in the east of Mazandaran.

## **Data and Statistical Analyses**

The study area included 78 gauging stations (7.1 to 4,028 km<sup>2</sup>) for which daily stream flows were available. The annual maximum daily discharge series from 38 gauging stations with greater than 15 years of records were used to estimate flood frequency quantiles corresponding to 10, 20, 50, and 100 year return periods. Table 1 contains a summary of catchment properties for selected stations in this region. Moment Ratio Diagrams (MRDs) (Hosking and Wallis, 2005) for all stations are shown in Figure 2, based on which, a high degree of heterogeneity can be identified from L-moment the ratio diagrams. The distributions presented in Figure 2 include **GEV** (Generalized Extreme Value distribution), GLOG (Generalized Logistic distribution), LOGN (Three-parameter Log Normal distribution), and PIII (Pearson type III distribution).

Local flood quantiles for each gauging station were calculated by fitting the best appropriate statistical distribution to the historical flood record (Kouider et al., 2002; KamaliNezhad et al., 2011). The following distributions were considered at each site: Normal (N), Weibull (WE), Exponential Gamma (GA), Logistic (LOG), (EXP), Generalized Logistic (GLOG), Two-Parameter Log-Normal (LN2), Log Pearson type III (LP3), and GEV. These distributions were fitted with the Maximum Likelihood method the method of L-Moments. The distributions that were selected most frequently wereN, LOG, GEV and LN2. Probability distributions for flood frequency analysis were defined through applying chisquare and Kolmogorov-Smirnoff tests. Finally, considering the best distributions, atsite flood Quantiles ( $Q_{loc}$ ) corresponding to the return periods of 10, 20, 50 and 100 years were obtained. In Figure 3, at-site quantiles plots of two stations are shown, using the following plotting position formula (Rao and Hamed, 1997):

$$P_{i:n} = (1 - 0.35)/n \tag{1}$$

Where,  $P_{i:n}$  is the plotting position estimator of observation i in the sample of size n.

In addition to hydrological data, in each river basin, a number of physiographic and climatic descriptors were collated for each site. The set of physiographic and climatic descriptors included: MAR (mm year<sup>-1</sup>), Mean Monthly Rainfall (MMR in mm month<sup>-1</sup>), latitude and longitude (La, Lo in UTM), Maximum, Mean and Minimum Elevations (MaE, MeE, MiE in m), Catchment Mean Slope (CMS in %), Catchment Area (CA in km²), Catchment Perimeter (CP in km), Main Channel Length (MCL in km),Slope of Main Channel (SMC in %), Height of Station (HS in m), and Percentage of the basin occupied by Lakes and Forest (PL, PF in %).

#### **PSBI** Method

The regionalization steps based on PSBI are illustrated in Figure 4. In particular, the regional analysis method using geostatistical methods is composed of two major steps of constructing physiographical space and employing interpolation methods within that physiographical space.


Physiographical space is a multidimensional space defined by the effective climatic and physiographical parameters for the considered quantity and its coordinate is obtained by geomorphological descriptors of each basin and multivariate statistical methods. As a result, basins with similar climatic and physiographical properties would have equal coordinates in this space. There are numerous methods for constructing physiographical space, which include PCA and CCA.


Accordingly, in the physiographical space, each basin can be positioned as one point and the empirical values for the considered quantity (at-site flood Quantiles ( $Q_{loc}$ ) with different return periods) are regarded as the third axis (Z). Hence, interpolation can be carried out using a standard interpolation algorithm, such as simple or ordinary kriging methods (Castiglioni *et al.*, 2009). Coordinates of physiographic space are obtained as the



**Table 1.**The characteristics of the 38 study catchments.

| Number | Code   | Station          | Record<br>Length<br>(Years) | Drainage<br>Area<br>(km²) | Elevation (m) | latitude    | Longitude  |
|--------|--------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|
| 1      | 13-005 | Sefidchah        | 34                          | 1036.918                  | 1030          | 36° 35' 55" | 53° 52' 58 |
| 2      | 13-009 | Gelevard         | 27                          | 1427.736                  | 600           | 36° 35' 19" | 53° 37' 29 |
| 3      | 13-013 | Abeloo           | 30                          | 1905.605                  | 50            | 36° 38' 54" | 53° 17' 41 |
| 4      | 13-006 | Nozarabad        | 34                          | 2017.318                  | -15           | 36° 48' 38" | 53° 14' 31 |
| 5      | 13-019 | Soleiman-Tangeh  | 46                          | 1248.253                  | 400           | 36° 15′ 12″ | 53° 13' 41 |
| 6      | 13-025 | Rig-Cheshmeh     | 48                          | 2715.254                  | 270           | 36° 22' 31" | 53° 10' 31 |
| 7      | 13-027 | Garmrood         | 26                          | 876.788                   | 175           | 36° 26′ 17″ | 53° 09' 54 |
| 8      | 13-029 | Kordkheil        | 35                          | 4026.573                  | -5            | 36° 42' 36" | 53° 06' 17 |
| 9      | 13-017 | Darbkola         | 28                          | 27.573                    | 110           | 36° 33' 32" | 53° 15' 07 |
| 10     | 14-021 | Kerikela         | 22                          | 566.639                   | 570           | 36° 08' 29" | 53° 01' 13 |
| 11     | 14-007 | Kiakola          | 54                          | 2386.789                  | -5            | 36° 33' 34" | 52° 48' 41 |
| 12     | 14-005 | Kasilyan-Shirgah | 54                          | 342.896                   | 220           | 36° 18' 05" | 52° 53' 14 |
| 13     | 14-001 | Talar-Shirgah    | 50                          | 1776.156                  | 220           | 36° 17' 57" | 52° 53' 10 |
| 14     | 14-071 | Pashakola        | 15                          | 211.338                   | 130           | 36° 14' 14" | 52° 48' 04 |
| 15     | 14-011 | Ghoran-Talar     | 53                          | 406.617                   | 102           | 36° 18' 18" | 52° 46' 23 |
| 16     | 14-017 | Koshtargah       | 54                          | 1625.154                  | 1             | 36° 32' 43" | 52° 39' 49 |
| 17     | 14-013 | Baladeh          | 28                          | 752.74                    | 1360          | 36° 12' 18" | 51° 49' 14 |
| 18     | 15-015 | Razan            | 36                          | 1182.311                  | 1240          | 36° 11' 47" | 52° 10' 49 |
| 19     | 15-011 | Panjab           | 29                          | 235.523                   | 860           | 36° 05' 46" | 52° 14' 4  |
| 20     | 15-017 | Karesang         | 55                          | 3986.658                  | 375           | 36° 16' 25" | 52° 22' 0  |
| 21     | 15-041 | Boliran          | 15                          | 82.061                    | 175           | 36° 21' 32" | 52° 25' 32 |
| 22     | 16-003 | Aghozkoti        | 46                          | 140.623                   | 200           | 36° 29' 00" | 52° 05' 00 |
| 23     | 16-011 | Noshahr          | 34                          | 75.495                    | -10           | 36° 39' 27" | 51° 28' 4  |
| 24     | 16-017 | Harijan          | 21                          | 84.839                    | 1900          | 36° 13' 57" | 51° 18' 56 |
| 25     | 16-081 | Valiabad         | 21                          | 181.099                   | 1750          | 36° 14' 00" | 51° 18' 10 |
| 26     | 16-083 | Abshar           | 22                          | 586.186                   | 980           | 36° 19' 50" | 51° 15' 2  |
| 27     | 16-019 | Dooab            | 28                          | 627.434                   | 375           | 36° 29' 41" | 51° 20' 14 |
| 28     | 16-021 | Polezoghal       | 56                          | 1583.362                  | 350           | 36° 30' 38" | 51° 20' 13 |
| 29     | 16-023 | Kelardasht       | 48                          | 190.465                   | 1380          | 36° 28' 57" | 51° 07' 2  |
| 30     | 16-085 | Valet            | 27                          | 330.512                   | 975           | 36° 32' 31" | 51° 12' 45 |
| 31     | 16-025 | Zavat            | 30                          | 419.008                   | -23           | 36° 38' 43" | 51° 21' 54 |
| 32     | 16-033 | Mashallh-Abad    | 20                          | 151.349                   | 70            | 36° 40' 11" | 51° 06' 03 |
| 33     | 16-041 | Haratbar         | 38                          | 776.2                     | 140           | 36° 45' 53" | 50° 50' 13 |
| 34     | 16-049 | Ganegsar         | 32                          | 409.227                   | 80            | 36° 49' 07" | 50° 43' 05 |
| 35     | 16-051 | Ramsar           | 35                          | 135.818                   | 100           | 36° 54' 49" | 50° 37' 43 |
| 36     | 16-089 | Dinarsara        | 25                          | 224.689                   | 160           | 36° 41' 47" | 50° 58' 36 |
| 37     | 16-200 | Oskomahaleh      | 18                          | 81.302                    | 215           | 36° 23' 26" | 52° 18' 27 |
| 38     | 16-203 | Rezapat          | 15                          | 108.192                   | 120           | 36° 45' 28" | 50° 48' 52 |





(b)

**Figure 2.** (a)  $LC_v$ – $LC_s$  moment ratio diagram, and (b) L-skewness versus L-kurtosis moment ratio diagram for 38 stations in the north of Iran.






Figure 3. At-site plotting positions and quantile estimations of two stations.




Figure 4. Flowchart of regional analysis based on geostatistical methods.

function of geomorpho-climatic catchment descriptors. Therefore, basins with similar characteristics have similar coordinates in physiographic space (Chokmani and Ouarda, 2004). Finally, by employing interpolation methods (e.g. kriging) within CCA and PCA physiographical spaces, regional flood

analysis is obtained according to different return periods.

## **Analysis and Evaluation of Results**

The jack-knife cross-validation procedure, which is also referred to as delete-one cross-

validation in the literature, is extremely versatile and capable of providing adequate evaluation of the performance of interpolation techniques (Castiglioni *et al.*, 2009). Five indexes were adopted to study the relative performances of the various regionalization approaches. These include the mean Bias (BIAS), the relative mean Bias (BIASr), the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), the relative Root Mean Square Error (RMSEr) as well as the Nash criterion, which can be written as follows:

$$BIAS = \frac{1}{ns} \sum_{i=1}^{ns} (Q_{reg}(i) - Q_{loc}(i))$$
 (2)

$$BIASr = \frac{1}{ns} \sum_{i=1}^{ns} \left[ \frac{(Q_{reg}(i) - Q_{loc}(i))}{Q_{loc}(i)} \right]$$
 (3)

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{ns} \sum_{i=1}^{ns} \left[ Q_{reg}(i) - Q_{loc}(i) \right]^2}$$
 (4)

$$RMSEr = \sqrt{\frac{1}{ns} \sum_{i=1}^{ns} \left[ \frac{(Q_{reeg}(i) - Q_{loc}(i))}{Q_{loc}(i)} \right]^{2}}$$
(5)

$$NASH = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{ns} (Q_{reg} - Q_{loc})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{ns} (Q_{loc} - \overline{Q}_{loc})^{2}}$$
(6)

Where,  $Q_{loc}(i)$ ,  $Q_{reg}(i)$  correspond, respectively, to the local and regional estimates of the discharge Q (corresponding to a given return period T) at station i, and ns is the number of stations (Ouarda  $et\ al.$ , 2008).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Designing physiographical space is the most important step in the PSBI process. In this study, multivariate statistical methods (CCA and PCA) and geomorpho-climatic variables were used to design physiographical spaces.

Based on the correlations between the hydrologic and physiographic/climatic variables, the variables for designing the physiographical spaces were identified. Results showed that the catchment area was strongly correlated to flood quantiles. The addition of CA, CP, MCL, SMC, MiE, CMS, Lo and HS showed to be highly correlated to flood quantiles. The correlation coefficient between the hydrologic and geomorpho-climatic variables presented in Table 2 and Figure 5 denotes the interrelations between the chosen geomorpho-climatic variables and the hydrological variables.






Figure 5. Scatter plot of site characteristics and flood quantiles.

**Table 2.** The correlation coefficients between the selected variable and flood quantiles.

| Variable | CP    | CA    | MiE    | CMS    | SMC    | MCL   | HS    | Lo    |
|----------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| $R^2$    | 0.588 | 0.657 | -0.365 | -0.329 | -0.513 | 0.533 | 0.371 | 0.350 |
| Sig      | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.012  | 0.022  | 0.000  | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.016 |





**Figure 6.**The distribution of stations in the PCA and CCA physiographical space, respectively.

PCA-space was constructed considering 6 physiographical variables of CA, BMS, MCL, SMC, MiE, and HS. In this method, PCA-space was a two-dimensional space constructed based on the first and second principle components. These two components accounted for 73.74% of the total variance (41.73 and 32%, respectively).

Additionally, CCA was used to construct the physiographical space. This method defines the relationship and correlation between two sets of dependent and independent variables. The independent variables considered included CA, CP, MCL, MiE, CMS, and HS. The dependent variables were two hydrological variables of the  $Q_{20}$  and  $Q_{10}$ . The twodimensional space formed by the first (V<sub>1</sub>) and second (V<sub>2</sub>) canonical variables was made through CCA-space, where  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are the linear combination of two sets physiographical variables with maximum correlation with the selected hydrological variables. Figures 6-a and -b indicate the position of the hydrometric stations in PCA and CCA spaces, respectively. The identification number specified for each hydrometric station is presented in Table 1.


After designing the physiographical space, physiographical coordinate of each station was

obtained according to its position in the physiographical space. Then, the spatial structure of hydrological quantity was examined using GS<sup>+</sup> software (GS<sup>+TM</sup>) based on empirical variograms. The variograms fitted to the quantity of local flood for different return periods in PCA and CCA spaces are shown in Figures 7 and 8, respectively.


After calculating the empirical variograms, the theoretical model must be fitted to them. Spherical, linear, exponential, and Gaussian theoretical models were tested for fitting by empirical variograms. The most common variogram model is said to be the spherical variogram. This variogram, which begins from the origin of the coordinate system, has linear behavior close to the origin. The exponential model is mostly analogous to the spherical model. Although exponential variogram has a linear behavior, the rising rate in variograms is slower than that of the spherical model. While the linear variogram is a sill-less model, the Gaussian model is considered as a transitional model which reaches its sill asymptotically and exhibits a parabolic behavior near the origin. More details are available in Isaaks and Srivistava (1989)

The results of fitting the variogram models are presented in Table 3. Considering the





**Figure 7.** Variograms fitted to the quantity of local flood in PCA-physiographical space (with return periods a = 10, b = 20, c = 50, d = 100 years).



**Figure 8.** Variograms fitted to the quantity of local flood in CCA physiographical space (with return periods a = 10, b = 20, c = 50, d = 100 years).

spatial pattern demonstrated by empirical variograms and correlation coefficient indices  $(r^2)$ , sum of the Residual Squares (RSS), spatial structure  $(C/C_0+C)$ , and the exponential and Gaussian models were evaluated to be

appropriate in the PCA and CCA spaces. According to Table 3, in order to select the best variogram model, maximum r<sup>2</sup>, lowest value of RSS, and spatial structure of close to one were taken into account. Fitting of the

**Table 3**. Results of fitting variogram models based on physiographical space.


| Physiographical<br>Space | Variable     | Model              | Nugget<br>effect   | Sill              | C/C <sub>0</sub> +C<br>(Spatial | r <sup>2</sup><br>(Correlation | RSS<br>(sum of         |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
|                          |              |                    | $(C_0)$            | (C)               | structure)                      | coefficient)                   | residual<br>squares)   |
|                          |              | Spherical          | 0.13380            | 0.26860           | 0.502                           | 0.230                          | 0.0118                 |
|                          | 0            | exponential        | 0.15310            | 0.30720           | 0.502                           | 0.112                          | 0.0137                 |
|                          | $Q_{I0}$     | Linear             | 0.13772            | 0.19745           | 0.302                           | 0.226                          | 0.0101                 |
|                          |              | Gaussian           | 0.04370            | 0.18040           | 0.757                           | 0.514                          | $7.531 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          |              | Spherical          | 0.13570            | 0.27240           | 0.502                           | 0.392                          | 0.0118                 |
|                          | $Q_{20}$     | exponential        | 0.06900            | 0.19300           | 0.642                           | 0.497                          | $8.081 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          | <b>Q</b> 20  | Linear             | 0.13934            | 0.20601           | 0.324                           | 0.283                          | 0.0105                 |
| CCA                      |              | Gaussian           | 0.03260            | 0.1822            | 0.821                           | 0.508                          | $8.029 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| CCA                      | $Q_{50}$     | Spherical          | 0.14090            | 0.28280           | 0.502                           | 0.269                          | 0.0137                 |
|                          |              | exponential        | 0.05260            | 0.19920           | 0.736                           | 0.532                          | $8.791 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          | <b>2</b> 30  | Linear             | 0.14560            | 0.21274           | 0.318                           | 0.259                          | 0.0118                 |
|                          |              | Gaussian           | 0.03350            | 0.18900           | 0.822                           | 0.540                          | $8.226 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          | $Q_{100}$    | Spherical          | 0.14610            | 0.29320           | 0.502                           | 0.259                          | 0.0151                 |
|                          |              | exponential        | 0.06190            | 0.20580           | 0.699                           | 0.534                          | $9.535 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          |              | Linear             | 0.15001            | 0.21926           | 0.316                           | 0.250                          | 0.0127                 |
|                          |              | Gaussian           | 0.0392             | 0.19940           | 0.803                           | 0.609                          | $7.574 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          | $Q_{10}$     | Spherical          | 0.00010            | 0.10120           | 0.902                           | 0.702                          | $1.985 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          |              | exponential        | 0.00010            | 0.10520           | 0.999                           | 0.769                          | $1.882 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          | <b>Q</b> 10  | Linear             | 0.04685            | 0.11675           | 0.599                           | 0.470                          | 0.0165                 |
|                          |              | Gaussian           | 0.00990            | 0.10080           | 0.902                           | 0.716                          | $3.561 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          |              | Spherical          | 0.00010            | 0.1120            | 0.999                           | 0.712                          | $3.485 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          | 0            | exponential        | 0.00010            | 0.11320           | 0.999                           | 0.765                          | $2.176 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          | $Q_{20}$     | Linear             | 0.05526            | 0.1244            | 0.556                           | 0.409                          | 0.0171                 |
| 501                      |              | Gaussian           | 0.00880            | 0.10860           | 0.919                           | 0.699                          | $3.724 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| PCA                      |              | Spherical          | 0.00010            | 0.14220           | 0.999                           | 0.716                          | $4.055 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          | 0            | exponential        | 0.00010            | 0.14420           | 0.999                           | 0.748                          | $3.583 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          | $Q_{50}$     | Linear             | 0.08279            | 0.15759           | 0.475                           | 0.317                          | 0.0219                 |
|                          |              | Gaussian           | 0.00120            | 0.14040           | 0.991                           | 0.709                          | $4.740 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          |              | Spherical          | 0.00010            | 0.14920           | 0.999                           | 0.657                          | $4.481 \times 10^{-3}$ |
|                          | $Q_{100}$    | exponential        | 0.00010            | 0.15320           | 0.999                           | 0.728                          | 4.019×10 <sup>-3</sup> |
|                          | <b>£</b> 100 | Linear<br>Gaussian | 0.09539<br>0.10990 | 0.16548<br>0.2208 | 0.424<br>0.502                  | 0.265<br>0.157                 | 0.0212<br>0.0115       |

theoretical Gaussian model as the best variogram in CCA-space indicated maximum spatial structure for the hydrological variables in this space. After fitting the theoretical models, regional flood analysis estimates were made using Ordinary (OK) and Simple (SK) Kriging techniques in the physiographical spaces. Cross analysis of the flow values estimated based on ordinary kriging method in PCA and CCA spaces along with the observed


flow values is shown in Figures 9 and 10, respectively.

Results of cross validation based on jack-knife and five statistical indices are presented in Table 4. Among *BIASr*, *BIAS*, *RMSE*, and *RMSEr* indices, the least value indicates the best performance. The negative values obtained by *BIAS* and *BIASr* indices show overestimates. It must be noted that, when evaluating the performance of regional flood quantity, performance of relative indices





**Figure 9.** Cross validation results of ordinary kriging in PCA-physiographical space (with return periods a = 10, b = 20, c = 50, d = 100 years).



**Figure 10.** Cross validation results of ordinary kriging in CCA-physiographical space (with return periods a = 10, b = 20, c = 50, d = 100 years).

**Table 4.**The results of the cross validation of regional flood estimation based on geostatistical methods [Ordinary (OK) and Simple Kriging (SK)] in the physiographical spaces.

| Physiographi cal space | Meth<br>od | Variable  | BIAS    | BIASr | RMSE | RMSEr | NASH |
|------------------------|------------|-----------|---------|-------|------|-------|------|
|                        |            | $Q_{I0}$  | 0.0029  | 2.46  | 0.25 | 17.38 | 0.53 |
| PCA                    | OK         | $Q_{20}$  | 0.0043  | 2.46  | 0.26 | 16.85 | 0.51 |
|                        |            | $Q_{50}$  | 0.0057  | 3.29  | 0.29 | 19.88 | 0.51 |
|                        |            | $Q_{100}$ | 0.0073  | 3.57  | 0.32 | 20.22 | 0.44 |
|                        |            | $Q_{10}$  | 0.0011  | 2.71  | 0.25 | 18.15 | 0.52 |
|                        |            | $Q_{20}$  | 00031   | 2.69  | 0.26 | 17.29 | 0.51 |
| PCA                    | SK         | $Q_{50}$  | 0.0070  | 3.87  | 0.30 | 21.39 | 0.48 |
|                        |            | $Q_{100}$ | 0.0089  | 3.84  | 0.31 | 20.31 | 0.47 |
|                        |            | $Q_{I0}$  | 0.0052  | 0.54  | 0.06 | 3.95  | 0.97 |
|                        |            | $Q_{20}$  | 0.0038  | 0.31  | 0.03 | 2.29  | 0.99 |
| CCA                    | OK         | $Q_{50}$  | -0.0030 | 0.15  | 0.06 | 4.27  | 0.97 |
|                        |            | $Q_{100}$ | -0.0052 | 0.10  | 0.10 | 5.24  | 0.94 |
|                        |            | $Q_{I0}$  | 0.0042  | 0.47  | 0.06 | 4.06  | 0.97 |
| CCA                    | SK         | $Q_{20}$  | -0.0060 | -0.11 | 0.04 | 2.95  | 0.98 |
|                        |            | $Q_{50}$  | -0.0095 | 0.54  | 0.09 | 5.43  | 0.95 |
|                        |            | $Q_{100}$ | -0.0108 | -0.95 | 0.12 | 6.11  | 0.91 |

(BIASr and RMSEr) have particular importance, since employing relative, instead of deterministic, indices (RMSE and BIAS) eliminates any potential scale effect of the results (Ouarda *et al.*, 2008).

Results shown in Table 4 indicate that increasing the return period caused the values of BIAS and RMSE indices to have an increasing trend in both physiographical spaces. This issue indicated that uncertainty of regional estimates was increasing with the increase in return period. However, results of the relative indices (BIASr and RMSEr) showed the improved performance of PSBI methods had a direct relationship with the increase in return period. These results were in good agreement with the results reported by Ouarda et al. (2008) and Martel et al. (2011). The findings stated by Ouarda et al. (2008) demonstrated that, although values for RMSE and BIAS indices increased with an increase in return period, the performance of geostatistical techniques improved according to BIASr and RMSEr relative indices. Moreover, results presented by Martel et al. (2011) showed that, based on BIASr and RMSEr indices, the relative efficiency of geostatistical technique was improved by increasing the return period; however, *BIAS* and *RMSE* values were increasing anyway.

JAST

The performance of the interpolation techniques in two physiographical spaces was evaluated based on five statistical indices. The performance of the *NASH* index in CCA and PCA spaces was more than 0.9 and 0.4-0.5, respectively. According to the results of the *NASH* index, the performance of interpolation methods in the PCA-space was relatively satisfactory; while in the CCA-space, it provided accurate and acceptable flood prediction.

According to the results of the statistical indices, it can be concluded that the regional analysis based on CCA-space had better performance than the ones in the PCA-space. The main reason for this can be attributed to the different nature of multivariate statistical methods. Moreover, one can relate the weaker performance of kriging in PCA-space to the with uncomfortableness the stationary assumption. Thus, the PCA method used in designing physiographical space sought to maximize variance along the axes of the space, while the main objective of CCA method was



to maximize the correlation between climatic, physiographical, and hydrological variables, which could be also the reason for better performance of interpolation techniques in the CCA physiographical space. The better performance of regional estimations in the CCA-space, compared to the PCA-space, is in complete agreement with the results by Chokmani and Ouarda (2004) and Guillemette *et al.* (2009). In addition, the comparison of performance between the two interpolation techniques (ordinary and simple kriging) showed that ordinary kriging had better performance than the simple kriging in both of the physiographical spaces.

In the current study, identified problematic gauging stations had high observed relative mean square error in both spaces. Seven stations (Identification numbers: 13017, 14017, 16017, 16081, 16019, and 16025) were found to have very high relative errors. Further research showed that three stations (13017, 16017 and 16081) had basin areas of less than 200 km<sup>2</sup>. It seems that the 200 km<sup>2</sup> threshold is not adequate and the area limit under which the basin can be considered as small should be redefined. Another possible reason of the underestimation of quantiles from small basins lies in the fact that the surfaces of these basins are themselves underestimated (Chokmani and Ouarda, 2004). The elimination of these seven stations improved the results significantly. calculated *NASH* instance, the estimated within the PCA-space drops from 0.5 to 0.7 and the relative mean bias from 2-3 to 1-2%. Additionally, the range of RMSE values decreased from 0.25-0.32 to 0.18-0.26. This means that the method presented in this study is rather sensitive to the quality of physiographical and meteorological data.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

The present study was conducted to apply and physiographical develop space based geostatistical methods for regional flood frequency analysis in ungauged basins. Continuity of hydrological variables throughout the physiographical space is considered as one of important characteristics most

physiographical spaces. In this regard. physiographical coordinates of each basin are obtained based on the characteristics of the basins that had the most similar properties to the target basin; therefore, in this case, regional estimations will have more accuracy and less uncertainty. Designing physiographical space is one of the most important and influential stages of implementing physiographical space based interpolation method, since the type of physiographical space directly affects the accuracy and validity of the results. This issue can be confirmed by different performances of interpolation methods in CCA-space from those in PCA-space. In addition to the nature of multivariate statistical methods, the geomorphoclimatic variables used for designing physiographical space also affect the efficiency of geostatistical methods. Investigating the type and number of geomorpho-climatic variables for designing physiographical space requires a comprehensive study. Fitting the exponential theoretical model to empirical variograms in PCA-space and fitting the Gaussian model in CCA-space show that the physiographical space influences not only the accuracy and validity of regional estimations, but also the spatial structure of hydrological variables. Thus, hydrological variables in CCA-space have higher spatial continuity than PCA-space. The results of this study demonstrated that the application of geostatistical methods can be an effective and efficient approach for the regional flood frequency analysis. In this approach, for any ungauged site, the flood quantiles can be estimated through interpolation of local quantile estimates with physiographical neighborhood. this, achieve physiographical meteorological characteristics of ungauged sites were used to estimate the coordinates of the sites in the physiographical space. It can be claimed that this method has the required potential for the regional estimation of hydrological data and provides more accurate and reliable estimates than other conventional methods in this regard.

#### REFERENCES

1. Alila, Y. 2000. Regional Rainfall Depth-Duration-Frequency Equations for Canada. *Water Resour. Res.*, **36(7):** 1767-1778.

- Archfield, S. A., Pugliese, A., Castellarin, A., Skøien, J. O. and Kiang, J. E. 2013. Topological and Canonical Kriging for Design-Flood Prediction in Ungauged Catchments: an Improvement over a Traditional Regional Regression Approach. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 17: 1575–1588.
- 3. Burn, D. H. 1990. Evaluation of Regional Flood Frequency Analysis with a Region of Influence Approach. *Water Resour. Res.*, **26(10)**: 2257–2265.
- Castellarin, A., Burn, D.H. and Brath, A. 2001. Assessing the Effectiveness of Hydrological Similarity Measures for Flood Frequency Analysis. J. Hydrol., 241: 270– 285.
- Castellarin, A. 2014. Regional Prediction of Flow-Duration Curves Using a Three-Dimensional Kriging. *J. Hydrol.*, 513: 179-191.
- Castiglioni, S., Castellarin, A. and Montanari, A. 2009. Prediction of Low-Flow Indices in Ungauged Basins through Physiographical Space-Based Interpolation. J. Hydrol., 378: 272–280.
- Castiglioni, S., Castellarin, A., Montanari, A., Skøien, J.O., Laaha, G. and Bloschl, G. 2011. Smooth Regional Estimation of Low-Flow Indices: Physiographical Space Based Interpolation and Top-Kriging. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, **15**: 715–727.
- Chokmani, K. and Ouarda, T.B.M.J. 2004. Physiographical Space-Based Kriging for Regional Flood Frequency Estimation at Ungauged Sites. Water Resour. Res., 40: W12514.
- 9. De Marsily, G. and Ahmed, S. 1987. Application of Kriging Techniques in Groundwater Hydrology. *J. Geol. Sci. India.*, **29**: 57–82.
- GS<sup>+TM</sup>: Geostatistics for the Environmental Sciences Version 9. Gamma Design Software, Plainwell, Michigan USA.
- Guillemette, N., St-Hilaire, A., Ouarda T. B. M. J., Bergeron, N., Robichaud, E. and Bilodeau, L. 2009. Feasibility Study of a Geostatistical Modeling of Monthly Maximum Stream Temperatures in a Multivariate Space. J. Hydrol., 364: 1–12.
- 12. Hosking, J. R. M and Wallis, J. R. 2005. Regional Frequency Analysis: An Approach Based on L-Moments. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York.
- 13. Hundecha, Y., Ouarda, T. B. M. J., and Ba'rdossy, A. 2008. Regional Estimation of

- Parameters of a Rainfall-Runoff Model at Ungauged Watersheds Using the "Spatial" Structures of the Parameters within a Canonical Physiographic-Climatic Space. *Water Resour. Res.*, **44**: W01427.
- Isaaks, E. H. and Srivastava, R. M. 1989. *Applied Geostatistics*. Oxford Univ. Press, New York.
- Joseph, G., Chokmani, K., Ouarda, T. B. M. J. and Saint-Hilaire, A. 2006. An Evaluation of the Robustness of Canonical Kriging for Regional Analysis of Stream Flows. Revue des Science de l'eau., 20(4): 367–380.
- Journel, A. and Huijbregts, C. 1978. Mining Geostatistics. Academic Press, London, 600 PP.
- Kamali Nezhad, M., Chokmani, K., Ouarda, T. B. M. J., Barbet, M. and Bruneau, P. 2010. Regional Flood Frequency Analysis Using Residual Kriging in Physiographical Space. *Hydrol Process.*, 24: 2045-2055.
- Kamali Nezhad, M., Ouarda, T. B. M. J., Chokmani, K., Barbet, M., Bruneau, P. and Adlouni, S.E. 2011. Assessment of Regional Estimation Error by Canonical Residual Kriging. *Hydrol. Process.*, 25: 1418–1430.
- 19. Kouider, A., Gingras, H., Ouarda, T. B. M. J., Ristic-Rudolf, Z. and Bob'ee, B. 2002. Analyse Fr'equentielle Locale Etr'egionale et Cartographie des Crues au Qu'ebec. INRS-ETE, Ste-foy, Qu'ebec, Canada.
- Laaha, G., Skøien, J. O. and Blöschl, G. 2014. Spatial Prediction on River Networks: Comparison of Top-Kriging with Regional Regression. *Hydrol. Process.*, 28: 315–324.
- 21. Laaha, G., Skøien, J. O., Nobilis, F. and Blöschl, G. 2013. Spatial Prediction of Stream Temperatures Using Top-Kriging with an External Drift. *Environ. Model. Assess.*, **18**: 671–683.
- 22. Malekinezhad, H., Nachtnebel, H. P. and Klik, A. 2011. Regionalization Approach for Extreme Flood Analysis Using L-Moments. *J. Agr. Sci. Tech.*, **13:** 1183-1196.
- Martel, B., Ouarda, T. B. M. J., Barbet, M., Bruneau, P., Latraverse, M. and Kamali Nezhad, M. 2011. Regional Frequency Analysis of Autumnal Floods in the Province of Quebec, Canada. *Nat. Hazard.*, 59: 681-698.
- Modarres R. 2008. Regional Frequency Distribution Type of Low Flow in North of Iran by L-Moments. Water Resour. Manage., 22(7): 823–841.



- Mosaffaie, J. 2015. Comparison of Two Methods of Regional Flood Frequency Analysis by Using L-Moments. Water Resour., 42(3): 313-321.
- Ouarda, T. B. M. J., Ba, K. M., Diaz-Delgado, C., Carsteanu, A., Chokmani, K., Gingras, H., Quentin, E., Trujillo, E. and Bobe'e, B. 2008. Intercomparison of Regional Flood Frequency Estimation Methods at Ungauged Sites for a Mexican Case Study. J. Hydrol., 348, 40–58.
- 27. Rao, A. R. and Hamed, K. H. 1997. Regional Frequency Analysis of Wabash River Flood Data by L-Moments. *J. Hydrol. Eng.*, **2(4)**: 169-179.
- Pugliese, A., Castellarin, A. and Brath, A. 2013. Geostatistical Prediction of Flow-Duration Curves. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 10: 13053–13091.
- 29. Rao, A. R. and Hamed, K. H. 1997. Regional Frequency Analysis of Wabash River Flood Data by L-Moments. *J. Hydrol. Eng.*, **2(4)**: 169-179.
- Rosbjerg, D. and Madsen, H. 1994. Uncertainty Measures of Regional Flood Frequency Estimators. J. Hydrol., 167: 209-224.
- Sauquet, E. 2000. Unecartographie des e'Coulements Annuels Etmensuels d'un Grand Basin Versant Structure'e par la

- Topologie du re'Seauhydrographique, PhD. Thesis, Inst. Natl. Polytech., Grenoble, France.
- 32. Shu, C. and Ouarda, T. B. M. J. 2007. Flood Frequency Analysis at Ungauged Sites Using Artificial Neural Networks in Canonical Correlation Analysis Physiographic Space. *Water Resour. Res.*, 43(7):W07438.
- Skøien, J. O. and Bloschl, G. 2007. Spatiotemporal Topological Kriging of Runoff Time Series. Water Resour. Res., 43: W09419.
- Skøien, J.O., Merz, R. and Bloschl, G. 2006. Top-Kriging Geostatistics on Stream Networks. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 10: 277–287.
- 35. Stedinger, J. R. and Tasker, G. D. 1985. Regional Hydrologic Analysis 1. *Water Resour. Res.*, **21(9)**: 1421–1432.
- Vormoor, K., Skaugen, T., Langsholt, E., Diekkruger, B. and Skøien, J.O. 2011. Geostatistical Regionalization of Daily Runoff Forecasts in Norway. *Intel. J. River Basin Manage.*, 9(1): 3–15.
- Yan, H. and Moradkhani, H. 2015. A Regional Bayesian Hierarchical Model for Flood Frequency Analysis. Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk. Assess., 29(3): 1019-1036.

## کاربرد روشهای زمین آمار در تحلیل فراوانی منطقهای سیلاب در شمال ایران (مطالعه موردی: آبخیزهای مازندران)

## م. ر. یزدانی، و ز. شیخ

## چکیده

کاربرد زمین آمار برایتحلیلهای منطقهای در ایران بسیار نوپاست. پایه واساس این تکنیک بر درونیابی متغیرهای هیدرولوژیکی در فضای فیزیوگرافی بجایفضای جغرافیایی استوار است. هدف این مطالعه انطباق، کاربرد و مقایسه دو روشتحلیل منطقهای بر پایه زمین آمار است. در مطالعه حاضر، مجموعه اطلاعات ۱۳۸یستگاه دارای آمار واقع در شمال ایران به منظور بررسی عملکرد روشهایزمین آماری در دو فضای فیزیوگرافی مورد استفاده قرار گرفت. دو روش تحلیلچندمتغیره تحلیل همبستگی کانونی (CCA)و تجزیه مولفههای اصلی (PCA) بهمنظور تبیین فضای فیزیوگرافی مورد استفاده قرار

گرفت. مدلهای گوسی و نماییبعنوان بهترین مدلهای تئوری واریوگرام به ترتیب در فضای فیزیوگرافی و CCA اکتخاب گردیدند. برآوردگرهای زمین-آماری کریجینگ معمولی و کریجینگ سادهبه منظور تخمینهای منطقهای در هر دو فضای فیزیوگرافی مورد استفاده قرارگرفت. با استفاده از روشهای درونیابی در فضاهای فیزیوگرافی CCA برآوردهای منطقهای سیلاب برای دوره بازگشتهای مختلف (۲۰، ۲۰، ۵۰ و ۱۰۰سال) بدست آمد. در نهایت عملکرد هر دو مدل با استفاده از پنج شاخص آماریمورد بررسی قرار گرفت. نتایج نشان داد که هر دو روش عملکرد مشابه و قابلقبولی ارائه می کنند؛ با این حال برآوردهای منطقهای در فضای فیزیوگرافی CCA از دقت بالاتر و عدم قطعیت کمتری نسبت به فضای فیزیوگرافی PCA برخوردارمیباشد. علاوه براین نتایج نشان داد که روش کریجینگ ساده در هر دو فضا ارائه می کند و بهترین عملکرد درونیابی در فضای فیزیوگرافی CCA مشاهده شد.