

Climatically Isolated Populations of *Habrobracon hebetor* Say (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) Demonstrate Striking Differences in Life History Traits

F. Koohpayma¹, M. Fallahzadeh¹, A. Bagheri^{2*}, M. Askari-Seyahooei², Y. Fathipour³, and A. F. Dousti¹

ABSTRACT

Augmentative release of native natural enemies is a prominent strategy for suppression of crop pests. Intrinsic differences among populations of natural enemies may affect their efficiency in pest management programs. We characterized life history traits of 13 climatically and geographically isolated populations of *Habrobracon hebetor* Say (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) from different regions of Iran to assess their suitability for biological control of noctuid moths. All experiments were performed at 25±1°C, 65±5 RH and 16:8 (L:D) hours photoperiod regime. *Ephestia kuehniella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) was used as a laboratory host. Our results revealed significant variation in female longevity, paralysis and parasitism rate, sex ratio, reproductive rate and host allocation among different *H. hebetor* populations tested. The highest number of offspring (124.2) and parasitized larvae (160.1) were observed in Bandar Lengeh and the lowest ones were observed in Urmia and Jiroft, respectively (3.4 and 9.3 for Urmia and 3.1 and 10.1 for Jiroft). Also, the wasps of Bandar Lengeh paralyzed more than 95% of the introduced host larvae. The longest female longevity and male production (21.3 days and 80.1, respectively) were in Bandar Abbas and Bandar Lengeh (21.3 days and 80, respectively), while the lowest ones were in Dehloran (12.9 days and 40.2, respectively). Gorgan population deployed the highest number of *Ephestia kuehniella* larvae in foraging behavior test. These results show considerable variation in the life history traits of various populations of *H. hebetor*, which may affect performance of these populations under field condition.

Keywords: Biological control, Ectoparasitoid, *Ephestia kuehniella*, Host allocation, Population differentiation.

INTRODUCTION

Life history theory, and particularly the concept of trade-offs, provides an important tool for understanding the evolutionary processes (Burton *et al.*, 2010, Aktipis *et al.*, 2013, Flatt and Heyland, 2011). Life history traits include traits that affect fitness directly,

such as resource acquisition and allocation, foraging behavior, stress tolerance, resisting parasite or predator attack, and intraspecific competitiveness (Boggs, 2009, Wajnberg *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, life history considers organisms from both ecological and evolutionary perspectives (Mopper and Strauss, 2013, Wong *et al.*, 2013). There is a lot of information concerning the effect of

¹Department of Entomology, Islamic Azad University, Jahrom Branch, Jahrom, Islamic Republic of Iran.

²Department of Plant Protection Research, Hormozgan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, Agricultural Research Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Bandar Abbas, Islamic Republic of Iran.

*Corresponding author; e-mail: nabibagheri@yahoo.com

³Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, P. O. Box: 14115-336, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran.



population differentiation on the life history traits of natural enemies. Liu *et al.* (2001) showed that geographic populations of *Diadromus collaris* (Gravenhorst) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae), a pupal parasitoid of *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) were different in survival and female progeny at the same temperature. Difference in the life history traits among geographically distinct populations of natural enemies has also been revealed regarding *Asobara tabida* Nees (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) on *Drosophila melanogaster* meigen (Diptera: Drosophilidae) (Kraaijeveld and van Alphen, 1994), *Nasonia vitripennis* (Walker) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) on *Sarcophaga bullata* Parker (Diptera: Sarcophagidae) (Parker and Orzack, 1985; Orzack and Parker, 1990), *Leptopilina boucardi* (Barbotin *et al.*, 1979) (Hymenoptera: Figitidae) on *D. melanogaster* (Moiroux *et al.*, 2010), and *Microctonus aethiopoides* Loan (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) on *Hypera postica* (Gyllenhal) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) (Sundaralingam *et al.*, 2001).

Parasitoid wasps are amongst the most intensively studied insects in terms of life history dynamics due to their top-down role in host population dynamics, which makes them economically as well as ecologically important (Roux *et al.*, 2010). Differences in the spatial distribution of host patches, the length of seasons, host availability and suitability, and competition between parasitoids, all contribute to the selection and diversification in life history among populations of a parasitoid insect (Ellers and van Alphen, 1997).

Parasitoids are frequently employed as biological control agents. Biological control is a sustainable pest control approach that has been successful in controlling several destructive insect pests (Huffaker, 2012). However, not all instances in which biological control has been attempted, have been successful. Low performance of a particular biological agent would discourage wider application of biological control (Hance *et al.*, 2007). Some attempts have failed to suppress insect pests due to lack of sufficient

information on which population of natural enemies would be most effective (Denoth *et al.*, 2002). Geographically and climatically different populations of a parasitoid species can be highly diverged in life history traits (Liefting *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, basic studies on intra-species, population-level variation in life history traits may provide crucial information when deciding which natural enemies to employ, hence, quantifying life history traits variation in climatically or geographically diverged populations of parasitoid wasps is a necessary step when designing a biological control program.

Habrobarcon. hebetor has been widely involved in various host-parasitoid interaction studies due to its high reproductive rate, short generation time and considerable host-species range (Casas, 1989; Kidd and Jervis, 1989; Brower and Press, 1990; Akinkurolere *et al.*, 2009; Askari Seyahooei, 2010). In the current study we compare life history traits of different populations of *Habrobracon hebetor* Say (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) to evaluate the potential of locally adapted populations as candidates for involvement in biological control programs. This parasitoid is widely distributed across Iran and forms geographically and climatically isolated populations (Farahbakhsh, 1961; Forouzan *et al.*, 2008; Askari Seyahooei *et al.*, 2018 a, b). Hormozgan province is located in south of Iran along the Persian Gulf and its climate is characterized by short temperate winters and relatively long, dry and hot summers in which hosts for activity of *H. hebetor* are usually available. Western and Northwestern populations from Dehloran and Urmia experience a much colder climate that restricts activity of *H. hebetor* to a short period in a year.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Host Rearing

The Mediterranean flour moth, *Ephestia kuehniella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), was used as laboratory host for rearing the

parasitoid, *H. hebetor*. *E. kuehniella* eggs were prepared from the second generation of a laboratory-reared stock in Agricultural Research Center of Hormozgan (Iran), originally established from a wild-type collected from naturally infested flour. Rearing was performed using plastic basin containers (40×18 cm) filled with 1,000 g of a 2:1 mixture of wheat flour and rough wheat bran. The food was decontaminated at 60°C for 2 days and then 0.2 g of flour moth eggs were dispersed on top of the substrate. The containers were then covered with black sterile cotton cloth. All culturing and experiments described below were performed at 25±1°C, 65±5 RH and 16:8 (L:D) photoperiod regime (Chen *et al.*, 2011).

Populations of *H. hebetor* were sampled from 13 climatically and geographically different locations across Iran (Figure 1). To perform trapping, 10 fourth and fifth instar larvae *E. kuehniella* were enclosed between two layers of netting, put on the open side of plastic cages (3×7×6 cm) and placed in the field for 24 hours. The parasitized larvae were incubated in a growth chamber under 25±1°C, 65±5 RH and 16:8 (L:D) photoperiod until emergence of the *H.*

hebetor adults. The wasps were held individually inside ventilated clear plastic cages covered with white muslin net under the same conditions prior to experiment. The wasps were supplied with a saturated sugar solution on cotton rolls as a carbohydrate source during the oviposition period. This experiment was conducted with 13 treatments, each replicated five times. Each replication consisted of three plastic cages.

Longevity

To study longevity in various populations of *H. hebetor*, two newly emerged females (< 24 hours) and one male were housed together for 24 hours. The females were then introduced together into a plastic cage containing 10 fifth-instar larvae of *E. kuehniella*. The wasps were transferred daily into new plastic cages containing 10 fresh fifth-instar host larvae. All wasps were provided with a saturated sugar solution for their entire life. Survival of the wasps was recorded daily until the death of the last wasp in each tube. The experiment was replicated three times for each population, with 10 wasps in each replicate (total of 30




Figure 1. Map of the Islamic Republic of Iran. ID codes on the map refer to the sampling points of the *Habrobracon hebetor*. BA= Bandar Abbas; BL= Bandar Lengeh; DL= Dehloran; GR= Gorgan; HA= Haji Abad; HD= Hamadan; IF= Isfahan; JI= Jiroft; MN= Minab; RD= Rudan; SH= Shiraz; SZ= Sarpol-e-Zahab, UR= Urmia.



female wasps for each population).

Paralysis and Parasitism Rate Experiments

To study host paralysis and parasitism rates in the different populations of *H. hebetor*, newly emerged wasps were provided with larvae of *E. kuehniella*. To do so, two mated female wasps were released into a ventilated clear plastic cage (9.5×7.5×5.5 cm) containing 10 fifth instar *E. kuehniella* larvae. After 24 hours, the wasps were transferred to new cages with 10 fresh fifth instar host larvae using an aspirator. This was repeated until the death of the last wasp for each population. The number of paralyzed larvae was counted daily by stimulating the larvae with a smooth painting brush and checking their movement. The numbers of emerged wasps and moths were recorded to calculate parasitism rate.

Sex Ratio and Fecundity

Total fecundity for each population was obtained by calculating the total number of progeny from the longevity and paralysis/parasitism rate experiments. Sex ratio was also extracted from the same data by determining the sex of the emerged wasps in both experiments.

Host Finding

A handmade, four-channel glass olfactometer (arm length 15 cm, arm diameter 2.5 cm and the central cavity diameter 5 cm) was used to study host-finding behavior of *H. hebetor* in the different populations. To do so, two arms of the olfactometer were supplied with 10 fifth instar host larvae and the other two arms were left empty. Host-searching behavior of *H. hebetor* in various populations was investigated by releasing 10 female wasps

for each replicate in the central cavity of the olfactometer and recording their movement for 2 hours. We recorded the number of wasps entering channels with or without host larvae or remaining in the central cavity at 5 min intervals during 2 hours.

Data Analysis

The normality of data was checked by kurtosis and skewness tests in SPSS prior to analysis of the data. One-way Analysis Of Variance (ANOVA) was used to test for significant differences between populations. Means were compared by Least Significant Difference (LSD) tests when significant differences were found in the measured parameters. Statistical analysis was performed in SAS version 9.1.3.

RESULTS

Longevity

Female longevity differed significantly among *H. hebetor* populations from different climatic zones ($F= 2.65$; $df= 12, 182$; $P< 0.01$). The longevity was the longest in Bandar Abbas and Bandar Lengeh (both 21.3 days) and the shortest in Dehloran (12.9 days; Table 1).

Progeny

The number of progeny per female differed profoundly between the different populations of *H. hebetor* ($F= 80.94$; $df= 12, 182$; $P< 0.01$). The population from Bandar Lengeh produced the highest number of progeny (124.2 offspring) followed by Bandar Abbas (86.5 offspring), while the population from Urmia only produced 3.4 progeny (Table 1).

Table 1. Mean (\pm SE) values of the life history trait studied in the different populations of *Habrobracon hebetor*.^a

Population ^c	Parameter				
	No of the paralyzed larvae	No of progeny	No of the parasitized larvae	Female longevity	Sex ratio (%)
BA	199.9 \pm 11.77a ^b	86.5 \pm 7.03b	110.4 \pm 8.27b	21.3 \pm 1.40a	80.1 \pm 3.26a
DL	119.7 \pm 11.04b	7.1 \pm 1.33 gh	21.2 \pm 3.53ef	12.9 \pm 1.23c	40.2 \pm 6.57c
IF	169.8 \pm 19.28ab	16.5 \pm 2.99 efgh	42.7 \pm 6.06cd	18.2 \pm 2.13abc	57.3 \pm 6.71abc
GR	149.1 \pm 15.31ab	21.1 \pm 5.18 defg	41.4 \pm 6.60cde	15.0 \pm 1.54bc	56.9 \pm 5.95abc
HA	194.3 \pm 15.31a	69.7 \pm 6.35c	96.9 \pm 8.35b	20.3 \pm 1.63ab	68.5 \pm 2.89ab
HD	150.7 \pm 16.16ab	13.3 \pm 1.98efgh	33.9 \pm 3.82cde	16.4 \pm 1.77abc	54.1 \pm 5.12bc
JI	135.0 \pm 9.42b	3.1 \pm 1.04h	10.1 \pm 3.28f	14.5 \pm 1.02bc	52.4 \pm 11.94bc
BL	203.1 \pm 15.24a	124.2 \pm 6.23a	160.1 \pm 7.18a	21.3 \pm 1.63a	80.0 \pm 1.80a
MN	174.6 \pm 21.39ab	28.2 \pm 3.21de	52.7 \pm 5.96c	18.0 \pm 2.14abc	61.5 \pm 6.91abc
RD	157.0 \pm 17.28ab	32.3 \pm 4.39d	51.9 \pm 5.72c	16.1 \pm 1.75abc	63.7 \pm 5.38abc
SZ	155.5 \pm 13.26ab	8.5 \pm 2.07fgh	27.5 \pm 3.32def	17.1 \pm 1.60abc	49.1 \pm 7.80bc
SH	174.7 \pm 20.07ab	22.7 \pm 3.85def	48.2 \pm 5.83cd	17.8 \pm 2.14 abc	70.9 \pm 3.53ab
UR	134.2 \pm 9.00b	3.4 \pm 1.03h	9.3 \pm 2.96f	14.3 \pm 0.89bc	51.6 \pm 11.86bc
F value	2.86	80.94	57.23	2.65	3.04
DF					
population/DF	12/182	12/182	12/182	12/182	12/182
error					
Pr	0.0012	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0027	0.0006

^aID codes in the table refer to the sampling points of *Habrobracon hebetor* populations (see Figure 1). Means in each column with the same letters are not significantly different according to LSD ($P < 0.01$). ^b Standard Error of Mean.



Sex Ratio

The populations exhibited a male biased trend in their reproduction, although significant differences were found among populations in sex ratio ($F= 3.04$; $df= 12, 182$; $P< 0.01$). The populations from Bandar Abbas and Bandar Lengeh produced the strongest male-biased sex ratio (80.1 and 80.0% males, respectively). The population from Dehloran produced a female-biased sex ratio (40.2% males; Table 1).

Host Paralysis

Different populations of *H. hebetor* displayed significant differences in the number of paralyzed host larvae ($F= 2.86$; $df= 12/182$; $P< 0.01$). The highest numbers of paralyzed larvae per wasp occurred in Bandar Lengeh, Bandar Abbas, and Haji Abad populations (203.1, 199.9, and 194.3, respectively). Dehloran, Urmia and Jiroft showed the smallest number of paralyzed larvae per wasp (119.7, 134.2 and 135; Table 1).

Parasitism

Various populations of *H. hebetor* also differed in the number of parasitized host larvae ($F= 57.23$; $df= 12, 182$; $P< 0.01$). The highest number of parasitized host larvae per individual wasp occurred in Bandar Lengeh (160.1) followed by Bnadar Abbas and Haji Abad (110.4 and 96.9, respectively). The lowest number of parasitized host larvae per wasp were observed in Urmia and Jiroft populations (9.3 and 10.1, respectively; Table 1).

Host Allocation

Significant variation in host allocation was observed among populations of *H. hebetor* ($F= 1.13$; $df= 12/26$; $P< 0.01$). The Rudan population showed significantly lower allocated hosts than the other populations (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we assessed life history traits in geographically and climatically




Figure 2. Host allocation in the different populations of *Habrobracon hebetor* (in two hours). ID codes in the Figure refer to the sampling points of the *Habrobracon hebetor* populations (see Figure 1).

isolated populations of *H. hebetor*. Our results revealed striking differences between different populations in all life history traits investigated.

We found profound variation in parasitism and paralysis rates among populations of *H. hebetor*. The highest parasitism and paralysis rates were observed in Hormozgan populations (Bandar Lengeh, Bandar Abbas, and Haji Abad). The high aggressive behavior of Hormozgan *H. hebetor* populations to paralyze and parasitize *E. kuehniella* larvae may apply to other hosts as well. For example, Bagheri *et al.* (2019) showed a high efficiency of a Bandar Abbas *H. hebetor* population in controlling the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae).

The efficacy of *H. hebetor* and *Trichogramma evanescence* Westwood (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) in this bio-control program was comparable to that of chemical control. High contrast in parasitism rate by releasing *Tamarixia radiata* (Waterston) (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) against Asian citrus psyllid *Diaphorina citri* (Hemiptera: Liviidae) was reported by Pluke *et al.* (2008). Association of *Wolbachia* endosymbiont with the aggressive population of *T. radiata* was the main reason mentioned for this discrepancy in results. Similarly, variation in aggressive behavior of *H. hebetor* populations may be related to difference in bacterial endosymbiont fauna of the studied populations, which needs to be studied more.

We suggest that the mild winters in Hormozgan provide a long cycle for this parasitoid, which may allow *H. hebetor* to encounter more hosts and experience more parasitism behavior. By contrast, hosts are only available for a short period of the year in the northern sites, presumably selecting for reduced paralysis and parasitism rates.

Long lifespan enables parasitoids to encounter more hosts and produce more offspring. We found the longest female longevity and highest number of offspring in

the southern populations from Bandar Lengeh and Bandar Abbas. This may be explained by the higher parasitism rates in these populations. Energy reserves obtained from hosts is expected to be an important factor to prolong longevity in parasitoids. It has been shown that higher amounts of protein gained in larval stages allows parasitoids to produce more offspring during the adult stage (Broadway and Duffey, 1986). Host-mediated differences in energy reserve of *H. hebetor* were demonstrated by Akinkulore *et al.* (2009).

Sex ratio also showed substantial variation among the studied populations of *H. hebetor*. Half of the populations showed male-biased sex ratios (Bandar Abbas, Bandar Lengeh, Haji Abad, Rudan, Minab and Shiraz), while sex ratio in the other populations was close to 50:50. Nearly all tropical and subtropical populations (except for Jiroft) showed a male-biased sex ratio. These populations usually experience higher temperatures, which have been shown to affect sex ratio in insects (Hoelscher and Vinson, 1971). Askari-Seyahooie *et al.* (2018a) showed that sex ratio was not an important factor in augmentative releasing program compared with other life history traits like paralysis.

We found no differences in host allocation in all populations, except Rudan. Apparently, the different populations do not experience different selection pressures on host allocation. Alternatively, evolution of this trait may be constrained. However, it is possible that host allocation is affected by changing host or temperature (Casas, 1989; Stamp and Bowers, 1990; Amat *et al.*, 2006). This idea warrants further investigation. Although there are some important questions left to be answered in future studies, this study provided a basic information regarding populations' ability that can be applied successfully in the future augmentative releasing programs. However, determining the Thermal thresholds (T_0 and T_{max}) and optimal Temperature (T_{opt}) are important issues, which should be clarified in populations attempted to be involved in



augmentative programs. In addition, developmental threshold in both *H. hebetor* population and the host should be close to each other to reach a hopeful biocontrol result.

Nowadays, displacement of biological agents is a common worldwide trade, in which biological agents like parasitoid wasps are imported and released to control different pests in greenhouses or even in open fields. One of the most important worries regarding this practice is the threat of gene flow between locally adapted populations and imported exotic populations, which may disrupt the local adaptation. This challenge can be faded by focusing on selection of locally adapted populations.

CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrated considerable variation in life-history traits of *H. hebetor* as a well-known parasitoid model studied in the laboratory condition. Variation in life-history traits influences performance of parasitoids in the field, which can be translated to the efficacy when these agents have been used in bio-control programs. From these results, we can conclude that local adaptation is the main platform to choose the right population of parasitoid in bio-control programs. Evolution in life-history traits may provide specific criteria to improve performance of a population in a particular climate in comparison with other populations from a different climate.

REFERENCES

1. Akinkurolere, R. O., Boyer, S., Chen, H. and Zhang, H. 2009. Parasitism and Host-Location Preference in *Habrobracon hebetor* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): Role of Refuge, Choice, and Host Instar. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, **102**: 610-615.
2. Aktipis, C. A., Boddy, A. M., Gatenby, R. A., Brown, J. S. and Maley, C. C. 2013. Life History Trade-offs in Cancer Evolution. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, **13**: 883.
3. Amat, I., Castelo, M., Desouhant, E. and Bernstein, C. 2006. The Influence of Temperature and Host Availability on the Host Exploitation Strategies of Sexual and Asexual Parasitic Wasps of the Same Species. *Oecologia*, **148**: 153-161.
4. Askari Seyahooei, M. 2010. *Life-History Evolution in Hymenopteran Parasitoids the Roles of Host and Climate*. Institute of Biology, Faculty of Science, Leiden University.
5. Askari Seyahooei, M., Bagheri, A., Bavaghar, M. Dousti, A. F. and Parichehreh, S. 2018a. Mating and Carbohydrate Feeding Impacts on Life-History Traits of *Habrobracon hebetor* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.*, **111**: 2605-2610.
6. Askari Seyahooei, M., Mohammadi-Rad, A., Hesami, S. and Bagheri, A. 2018b. Temperature and Exposure Time in Cold Storage Reshape Parasitic Performance of *Habrobracon hebetor* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.*, **111**: 564-569.
7. Bagheri, A., Askari Seyahooei, M., Fathipour, Y., Famil, M., Koohpayma, F., Mohammadi-Rad, A. and Parichehreh, S. 2019. Ecofriendly Managing of *Helicoverpa armigera* in Tomato Field by Releasing *Trichogramma evanescence* and *Habrobracon hebetor*. *J. Crop Prot.*, **8**: 11-19.
8. Barbotin, F., Carton, Y. and Kelner-Pillaut, S. 1979. Morphologie et Biologie de *Cothonaspis boulandi* n. sp. (Hymenoptera, Cynipoidea, Eucoilidae) Parasite de Drosophiles. *Bull. Soc. Entomol. Fr.*, **84**: 21-26.
9. Boggs, C. L. 2009. Understanding Insect Life Histories and Senescence through a Resource Allocation Lens. *Funct. Ecol.*, **23**: 27-37.
10. Broadway, R. M. and Duffey, S. S. 1986. The Effect of Dietary Protein on the Growth and Digestive Physiology of Larval *Heliothis zea* and *Spodoptera exigua*. *J. Insect Physiol.*, **32**: 673-680.
11. Brower, J. H. and Press, J. W. 1990. Interaction of *Bracon hebetor* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and *Trichogramma pretiosum* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) in Suppressing Stored-

- Product Moth Populations in Small Inshell Peanut Storages. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, **83**: 1096-1101.
12. Burton, O. J., Phillips, B. L. and Travis, J. M. 2010. Trade-Offs and the Evolution of Life-Histories during Range Expansion. *Ecol. Lett.*, **13**: 1210-1220.
 13. Casas, J. 1989. Foraging Behaviour of a Leaf Miner Parasitoid in the Field. *Ecol. Entomol.*, **14**: 257-265.
 14. Chen, M., Shelton, A. and Ye, G. Y. 2011. Insect-Resistant Genetically Modified Rice in China: from Research to Commercialization. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.*, **56**: 81-101.
 15. Denoth, M., Frid, L. and Myers, J. H. 2002. Multiple Agents in Biological Control: Improving the Odds? *Biol. Control.*, **24**: 20-30.
 16. Ellers, J. and van Alphen, J. J. 1997. Life History Evolution in *Asobara tabida*: Plasticity in Allocation of Fat Reserves to Survival and Reproduction. *J. Evol. Biol.*, **10**: 771-785.
 17. Farahbakhsh, Gh. 1961. Family Pentatomidae (Heteroptera), In: Farahbakhsh, Gh. (ed.): A Checklist of Economically Important Insects and Other Enemies of Plants and Agricultural Products in Iran. Department of Plant Protection, Ministry of Agriculture, Tehran, Publication No. 1. p. 153.
 18. Flatt, T. and Heyland, A. 2011. Mechanisms of Life History Evolution: the Genetics and Physiology of Life History Traits and Trade-Offs. OUP Oxford, Oxford University, P. 478.
 19. Forouzan, M., Amirmaafi, M. and Sahragard, A. 2008. Temperature-Dependent Development of *Habrobracon hebetor* (Hym.: Braconidae) Reared on Larvae of *Galleria mellonella* (Lep.: Pyralidae). *J. Entomol. Soc. Iran*, **28**: 67-78.
 20. Hance, T., van Baaren, J., Vernon, P. and Boivin, G. 2007. Impact of Extreme Temperatures on Parasitoids in a Climate Change Perspective. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.*, **52**: 107-126.
 21. Hoelscher, C. E. and Vinson, S. B. 1971. The Sex Ratio of a Hymenopterous Parasitoid, *Campoletis perdistinctus*, as Affected by Photoperiod, Mating, and Temperature. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.*, **64**: 1373-1376.
 22. Huffaker, C. B. 2012. *Theory and Practice of Biological Control*. Elsevier.
 23. Jervis, M. A. and Copland, M. J. W. 1996. The Life Cycle. Insect Natural Enemies. Practical Approaches to Their Study and Evaluation. Chapman and Hall, London, United Kingdom.
 24. Kidd, N. A. C. and Jervis, M. A. 1989. The Effects of Host-Feeding Behaviour on the Dynamics of Parasitoid-Host Interactions, and the Implications for Biological Control. *Res. Popul. Ecol.*, **31**: 235-274.
 25. Kraaijeveld, A. R. and Alphen, J. J. V. 1994. Geographical Variation in Resistance of the Parasitoid *Asobara tabids* against Encapsulation by *Drosophila melanogaster* Larvae: the Mechanism Explored. *Physiol. Entomol.*, **19**: 9-14.
 26. Liefting, M., Hoffmann, A. A. and Ellers, J. 2009. Plasticity versus Environmental Canalization: Population Differences in Thermal Responses along a Latitudinal Gradient in *Drosophila serrata*. *Evolution: Int. J. Org. Evol.*, **63**: 1954-1963.
 27. Liu, S. S., Wang, X. G., Shi, Z. H. and Gebremeskel, F. B. 2001. The Biology of *Diadromus collaris* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae), a Pupal Parasitoid of *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), and Its Interactions with *Oomyzus sokolowskii* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). *Bull. Entomol. Res.*, **91**: 461-469.
 28. Maeda, T. 2006. Genetic Variation in Foraging Traits and Life-History Traits of the Predatory Mite *Neoseiulus womersleyi* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) among Isofemale Lines. *J. Insect. Behav.*, **19**: 573.
 29. Moiroux, J., Lann, C. L., Seyahooei, M. A., Vernon, P., Pierre, J. S., van Baaren, J. and van Alphen, J. J. 2010. Local Adaptations of Life-History Traits of a *Drosophila* Parasitoid, *Leptopilina boulardi*: Does Climate Drive Evolution?. *Ecol. Entomol.*, **35**: 727-736.
 30. Mopper, S. and Strauss, S. Y. 2013. Genetic Structure and Local Adaptation in Natural Insect Populations: Effects of Ecology, Life History, and Behavior. Springer Science and Business Media, pp 239-262.
 31. Orzack, S. H. and Parker, E. D. 1990. Genetic Variation for Sex Ratio Traits within a Natural Population of a Parasitic Wasp, *Nasonia vitripennis*. *Genetics*, **124**: 373-384.



32. Parker, E. D. and Orzack, S. H. 1985. Genetic Variation for the Sex Ratio in *Nasonia vitripennis*. *Genetics*, **110**: 93-105.
33. Pluke, R. W., Qureshi, J. A. and Stansly, P. A. 2008. Citrus Flushing Patterns, *Diaphorina citri* (Hemiptera: Psyllidae) Populations and Parasitism by *Tamarixia radiata* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) in Puerto Rico. *Fla. Entomol.*, **91**: 36-42.
34. Roff, D. A. 1992. *The Evolution of Life Histories*. Á. Pages??Publisher?
35. Roff, D. A., Mostow, S. and Fairbairn. D. J. 2002. The Evolution of Trade-Offs: Testing Predictions on Response to Selection and Environmental Variation. *Evolution*, **56**: 84-95.
36. Roux, O., le Lann, C., van Alphen, J. J. M. and van Baaren, J. 2010. How Does Heat Shock Affect the Life History Traits of Adults and Progeny of the Aphid Parasitoid *Aphidius avenae* (Hymenoptera: Aphididae)? *Bull. Entomol. Res.*, **100**: 543-549.
37. Stamp, N. E. and Bowers, M. D. 1990. Variation in Food Quality and Temperature Constrain Foraging of Gregarious Caterpillars. *Ecol.*, **71**: 1031-1039.
38. Stearns, S. C. 1992. *The Evolution of Life Histories*. Oxford University Press, New York 249 Google Scholar, Pages?
39. Sundaralingam, S., Hower, A. A. and Kim, K. C. 2001. Host Selection and Reproductive Success of French and Moroccan Populations of the Parasitoid, *Microctonus aethiopoides* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *BioControl*, **46**: 25-41.
40. Steiner, U. K. and Pfeiffer, T. 2006. Optimizing Time and Resource Allocation Trade-offs for Investment into Morphological and Behavioral Defense. *Am. Nat.*, **169**: 118-129.
41. Wajnberg, E., Coquillard, P., Vet, L. E. and Hoffmeister, T. 2012. Optimal Resource Allocation to Survival and Reproduction in Parasitic Wasps Foraging in Fragmented Habitats. *PLoS One*, **7**(6): e38227. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038227>.
42. Wong, J. W., Meunier, J. and Koelliker, M. 2013. The Evolution of Parental Care in Insects: the Roles of Ecology, Life History and the Social Environment. *Ecol. Entomol.*, **38**: 123-137.
43. Williams, G. C. 1966. Natural Selection, the Costs of Reproduction, and a Refinement of Lack's Principle. *Am. Nat.*, **100**: 687-690.

بررسی پارامترهای زیستی در ۱۳ جمعیت جغرافیایی-اقلیمی *Habrobracon hebetor* Say (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) در ایران

ف. کوه پیما، م. فلاح زاده، ع. باقری، م. عسکری سیاهویی، ی. فتحی پور و ا. ف.
دوستی

چکیده

رهاسازی دشمنان طبیعی در قالب برنامه های اشباعی، یک استراتژی موفق برای کنترل آفات کشاورزی است. تفاوت های موجود در میان جمعیت های دشمنان طبیعی ممکن است بر کارایی آنها در برنامه های مدیریت آفات تأثیرگذار باشد. در پژوهش حاضر ما ویژگی های زیستی ۱۳ جمعیت *Habrobracon hebetor* Say (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) را با هدف ارزیابی پتانسیل آنها در کنترل شب پره های خانواده Noctuidae بررسی کردیم. جمعیت های مزبور از مناطق متفاوت

از نظر اقلیمی و جغرافیایی ایران جمع آوری شدند. تمامی آزمایش‌های مورد نظر در شرایط آزمایشگاهی در دمای 1 ± 25 درجه‌ی سلسیوس، رطوبت نسبی 5 ± 65 درصد و دوره‌ی نوری ۱۶ ساعت روشنایی و ۸ ساعت تاریکی انجام شد. از شب‌پره *Ephestia kuehniella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) به عنوان میزبان آزمایشگاهی استفاده شد. نتایج به دست آمده، تفاوت‌های معنی‌داری بین جمعیت‌های مختلف *H. hebetor* از نظر طول عمر حشرات ماده، درصد حشرات فلج شده و نرخ پارازیتسم، نسبت جنسی، میزان تولیدمثل و رفتار جستجوگری نشان داد. بیشترین تعداد نتاج تولید شده (۱۲۴/۲) و بیشترین تعداد لارو پارازیت شده (۱۶۰/۱) در جمعیت بندر-لنگه مشاهده شد. کمترین مقدار این دو پارامتر در ارومیه (به ترتیب ۳/۴ و ۹/۳) و جیرفت (به ترتیب ۳/۱ و ۱۰/۱) گزارش شد. همچنین جمعیت بندر-لنگه توانست بیش از ۹۵ درصد لاروهای میزبان را فلج کند. بیشترین طول عمر زنبورهای ماده و تولید افراد نر در بندرعباس (به ترتیب ۲۱/۳ روز و ۸۰/۱) و بندرلنگه (به ترتیب ۲۱/۳ روز و ۸۰) و کمترین آن در دهلران (۱۲/۹ روز و ۴۰/۲) مشاهده شد. بیشترین رفتار جستجوگری روی لاروهای *E. kuehniella* در جمعیت گرگان مشاهده شد. نتایج به دست آمده نشان می‌دهد که تفاوت‌های موجود میان جمعیت‌های مورد مطالعه، می‌تواند بر عملکرد آنها در شرایط مزرعه اثر گذار باشد.