Spatial and Temporal Variability of Throughfall under a Natural *Fagus orientalis* Stand in the Hyrcanian Forests, North of Iran

A. Dezhban¹, P. Attarod^{1*}, G. Zahedi Amiri¹, T. G. Pypker², and K. Nanko³

ABSTRACT

The spatial and temporal heterogeneity of throughfall (TF) have important ecological impacts in forest ecosystems. The aim of this study was to quantify spatio-temporal variability of TF and to evaluate the effects of canopy traits and gross rainfall (GR) characteristics on TF at the event scale. Event-based measurements were carried out from September 2015 to October 2017 during the leafed-out period in a natural uneven-aged beech (Fagus orientalis L.) stand located in the Hyrcanian forest of Iran. Leaf area index (LAI) and canopy openness of the stand were 6 m² m⁻² and 6.2%, respectively. Tree density in the studied plot was 188 tree ha⁻¹ and the basal area (BA) was 51 m² ha⁻¹. During the measurement period, 25 rainfall events occurred (total rainfall= 784.8 mm). We observed variability of TF under the beech trees canopy in different GR classes (< 15, 15-30, 30-50 and > 50 mm). Increases in rainfall depth and intensity were associated with an increase in TF depth and decrease in TF variability. We found that rainfall depth along with the intensity were the most influential factors on the TF depth, spatial variability as well as time stability. Knowledge of the spatial persistence and variability of TF would help managers to optimize the management of these stands in terms of soil water and nutrition availability.

Keywords: Beech stand, Canopy trait, Ecohydrology, Gross rainfall, Tree density.

INTRODUCTION

Considerable quantities of precipitation transfer from the canopy to the ground through throughfall (TF) (Levia and Frost, 2006, Carlyle-Moses et al., 2004). The redistribution of gross rainfall GR as a result of interactions with the forest canopy creates a high spatial and temporal input of TF (Johnson and Lehmann, 2006, Zimmermann et al., 2008, Muzylo et al., 2009, Macinnis-Ng et al., 2012). TF spatial variability subsequently affects soil moisture variability and the spatial pattern of solute concentration and deposition on the forest floor (Zimmermann et al., 2007). Hence, understanding the heterogeneous patterns of *TF* beneath forests is critically important to ecological processes of canopy, ecohydrology, and biogeochemistry cycling in forest ecosystems (Keim *et al.*, 2005).

Primary factors affecting the quantity of TF are species composition (Bouten et al., 1992, Lilienfein Wilcke, and 2004), meteorological conditions (Irome and Huber, 2002), season (Henderson et al., 1977), and canopy cover (Zirlewagen and Von Wilpert, 2001, Morris et al., 2003, Pypker et al., 2011). The impact of canopy cover on TF distribution in forest stands varies between stand types (Kimmins, 1973, Carlyle-Moses et al., 2004, Holwerda et al., 2006). In addition, rainfall magnitude,

Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2025-07-29

¹ Department of Forestry and Forest Economics, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Karaj, Islamic Republic of Iran.

²Department of Natural Resource Sciences, Thompson Rivers University, British Colombia, Canada.

³Department of Disaster Prevention, Meteorology and Hydrology, Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Japan.

^{*}Corresponding author; e-mail: attarod@ut.ac.ir

duration, intensity as well as wind speed and direction, can affect spatial and temporal variability of TF at the forest floor (Vrugt et al., 2003, Levia and Frost, 2006, Staelens et al., 2006). Although numerous studies have focused on the spatial variability of TF in coniferous, broadleaved or mixed stands and tropical rain forests (e.g. Raat et al., 2002, Loescher et al., 2002, Konishi et al., 2006, Zimmermann et al., 2008, Huitao et al., 2012, Nanko et al., 2011, Klos et al., 2014, He et al., 2014, Fan et al., 2015), little information is available about TF patterns in deciduous broadleaved forests (Carlyle-Moses et al., 2004, Staelens et al., 2006, André et al., 2011, Kowalska et al., 2016).

The global average surface temperature has increased over the 20th century by about 0.6°C (Houghton et al., 1990). Over the next 20 years, climate change is predicted to reduce rainfall and increase the severity of droughts in the north and west of Iran (Alvankar et al., 2017). Oriental beech (Fagus orientalis Lipsky) forests are the dominant tree between 700 and 1,500 m elevation in Hyrcanian forests in the north of Iran with standing volumes of up to 800 m³ ha⁻¹ (Oladi et al., 2017). Air humidity is high and fog is frequent in this elevation band (Sagheb Talebi et al., 2014, Oladi et al., 2017). Climate change will likely alter GR characteristics and the phenology of trees thereby changing TF variability. To our knowledge, there has been no research quantifying the spatial variability of TF in oriental beech stands. To optimize the management of these natural beech stands, a better understanding of the mechanisms that control the spatial TF variability is required. In addition, a better understanding would help future investigators design better TF sampling strategies based on different GR characteristics and canopy cover behaviors. We hypothesized that: (i) The spatiotemporal variability of TF in natural beech stand is relatively high and, (ii) TF variability patterns are affected by canopy and rainfall characteristics. The objective of this study was: (i) To understand and quantify the variability of spatial TF under a natural *F. orientalis* stand in the Caspian forests of northern Iran, (ii) To examine the temporal stability of spatial *TF* patterns, and, (iii) To determine which measured canopy and rainfall characteristics are best correlated to *TF* variability.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Site

The study was conducted in the Kheyrud forest research station of the University of Tehran, located in the central part of the Caspian forests, Mazandaran province, Iran (36° 35' N, 51° 37' E) (Figure 1). Due to lack of meteorological stations inside the Caspian forests. we reported the meteorological data recorded by Kojur (36° 38'N, 51° 73'E, elevation 1,550 m asl) and Nowshahr (36° 39' N, 51° 30', elevation -21 m asl) synoptic meteorological stations. Based on Kojur records, from 2006 to 2017, mean annual precipitation was 325 mm and mean annual relative humidity and air temperature were 60% and 12.8°C. respectively. Minimum and maximum monthly air temperatures were 1.5 (January) and 22°C (August). The prevailing wind direction is from the north (42%) and northeast (37%). When from the north, the 3-hr-average wind speed is 4.5 m s^{-1} . Moreover, Nowshahr Station, located approximately 22 km away from the study plot, reports mean yearly precipitation±SD is 1,291±184 mm and mean air temperature is 16.4°C (1977-2015). The region has a temperate mountainous climate that is affected by changing elevation (1400 to 3000 m) and distance from the Caspian Sea. Fog plays a important role in providing moisture to vegetation in this region 2017). (Garstecki, The study area experiences a short, warm, temperate and extremely humid summer that is marked by heavy fog for 4-8 hours per day during leafout seasons (Authors' observations). The winter is long, cold with freezing temperatures.



Figure 1. Location of the research area in the forest research station of the University of Tehran in northern Iran, (red circle in Iran's map). Black square refers to the study plot and stars show the locations of the nearest weather stations (Kojur and Nowshahr) (left upper Figure is Landsat data downloaded from USGS.gov).

Field measurements were carried out inside a 4,900 m² plot (36° 29' N, 51° 39' E, 1,476 m asl). Mean angle of the west-facing slope of the site ranged between 20 and 25%. The plot was dominated by an uneven aged stand of oriental beech trees with loamy nutrient rich soil. The stands are comprised of virgin forests that have not been harvested. About 10% of the understory was covered by Ilex spinigera, Euphorbia helioscopia, Asperula odorata, Primula heterochroma, Sanicula europaea, and Viola odorata, while the remaining area was bare ground. Tree density was 188 tree ha⁻¹ with a basal area *BA* of 51 m² ha⁻¹. Mean tree height and diameter at breast height DBH were 29.5 m and 51.2 cm, respectively. Maximum and minimum height were 52.1 and 5.2 m, and for DBH, 138.4 and 10.5 cm, respectively. Prevailing wind direction during the measurement period was south and southwest.

JAST

Data Collection and Sampling Frame

Event-based *GR* and throughfall (TF) measurements were carried out continuously during the leafed-out seasons (from May to November) when the leaves are fully developed, for two years (September 2015 to October 2017). In this study, GR is defined as the rainfall entering the top of the canopy, TF is the portion that reaches 35 cm above the ground through canopy gaps without colliding with the vegetation (free TF) or via water dripping from the leaves, branches and stems (released TF) (Dunkerley, 2000, Levia and Frost, 2003, Levia et al., 2017).

Each precipitation event was measured eight hours after the end of rainfall, however, nighttime rainfalls were measured in the morning after sunrise (Carlyle-Moses et al., 2004). When the rain occurred over consecutive days, the rainfall was considered as an individual rainfall event (He et al., 2014). The duration of each rainfall event was calculated as the time between the first and last recorded measurement of an event. GR was measured using 10 manual rain-collectors located in a clearing area less than 100 m from the border of the study plot. GR manual raincollectors were set 130 cm above the ground to prevent water drop splash from the ground and were wrapped in aluminum foil to minimize evaporation from increased radiation absorption. Manual TF raincollectors (n= 122) were established under the canopy in a 70×70 m square plot (Figure 2). All low shrubs, grass, ferns, and herbs within 70 cm of a collector were removed. Manual TF rain-collectors were set 35 cm above the ground to reduce entry of splash water and TF rain-collectors were emptied prior to the rainfall event. The raincollectors used for measuring both GR and TF had an orifice of 132.7 cm^2 (13 cm in diameter) and a height of 20 cm.

TF sampling points were distributed in a

stratified simple random sampling design under the canopy to assess spatial distribution of TF. This method is more advantageous than grid and simple random sampling designs for spatial predictions because the stratified simple random sampling design provides more even distribution of sampling locations and produces unbiased estimates of the spatial mean (Zimmermann and Zimmermann, 2014). The 4,900 m^2 study plot was divided into 49 subplots, 10×10 m and two TF points sampling were then selected randomly in each subplot (Figure 2). In randomly addition, we sampled an additional 24 points within the study plot. These 24 sampling points were placed in a random direction, 0.5 m away from the TF sampling points already established in the subplot (Figure 2).

Leaf Area Index (*LAI*) and Canopy Openness

Leaf area index *LAI* and canopy openness (%) of the study plot were measured by Digital Hemispherical Photography (DHP, Canon EOS 6D digital camera with a 180°



Figure 2. Throughfall *TF* sampling layout. The study plot was divided into 49 subplots; open circles in each subplot indicate *TF* sampling point. Crosses show the additional 24 sampling points.

fish-eye lens: Canon EF 8-15 mm f/4L). To determine the LAI and canopy openness, hemispherical photographs were taken vertically above each of the 122 TF raincollector locations (Llorens and Gallart, 2000, Staelens et al., 2006) during cloudy sky conditions monthly during the leaf-out seasons, over the study period. The camera was mounted on a leveled tripod approximately 1.3 m above the ground (Liu et al., 2015). Digital photographs were processed with Gap Light Analyzer software version 2.0 to extract the value of LAI and canopy openness (Frazer et al., 1999).

Data Processing

Time stability of *TF* spatial patterns was evaluated by standardizing the *TF* raincollector amount for each *GR* event using the method proposed by Keim *et al.*, (2005). The method was a modified equation of Raat *et al.* (2002), and Vachaud *et al.* (1985), so that the variance at the sampling point quantified the stability of high or low *TF* areas:

$$\tilde{\delta}_{i,E} = \left(\delta_{i,E} - \bar{\delta}_E\right) (s\delta_E)^{-1} , \qquad (1)$$

Where, $\delta_{i,E}$ and $\delta_{i,E}$ are the normalized variable and variable at sampling point *i* of rainfall event E, respectively. δ_E is the mean and $s\delta_E$ is the standard deviation of the variables for all sampling points in the *GR* event. We used Equation (2) to analyze the time stability of *TF* spatial patterns but replaced δ_E with the median of δ_E , $M\delta_E$, and $s\delta_E$ with the Median absolute deviation (MAD) of δ_E , $MAD\delta_E$ as Equation (2) (Zimmermann *et al.*, 2007):

 $\tilde{\delta}_{i,E} = \left(\delta_{i,E} - M\delta_E\right) (MAD\delta_E)^{-1} , \quad (2)$

The median and *MAD* were used because *TF* often does not exhibit a normal distribution at the rainfall event scale, and to control the influence of outliers on the dataset.

Statistical Analysis

The variability of TF was indicated by the spatial coefficient of variation (CV, %)

described by a series of studies (Seiler and Matzner, 1995, Ratt et al., 2002, Staelens et al., 2006). The most appropriate correlation analysis (Pearson or Spearman) based on data distribution was performed to test the relationship between TF amount, TF%, $CV\%_{TF}$ and rainfall characteristics. Before statistical calculations, normal distribution was assessed using skewness and kurtosis, and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic was used to test the normality of data. The points with TF depth more than 100 percent (hotspot points) for each GR class were detected using Getis Ord Hot-spot analysis in Arc GIS 10.2 at three significant levels $(\alpha = 0.10, 0.05 \text{ and } 0.01)$ and using an Inverse-Distance spatial relationship concept (Getis and Ord, 1992). Data statistical analyses were carried out using statistical software IBM SPSS Statistics Version 25.0 and Microsoft Excel 2013.

RESULTS

Rainfall Characteristics

From September 2015 to October 2017, 25 rainfall events were measured with a cumulative rainfall of 784.8 mm (CV= 63.2%). The rainfall events were divided into four GR classes: < 15, 15-30, 30-50 and > 50 mm. There were 3, 12, 5, and 5 rainfall event at each rainfall class, respectively, which accounted for 2.9, 34.1, 21.7, and 41.3% of total rainfall events, respectively (Figure 3). Fifteen rainfall events (37.6%) were less than 30 mm (295.4 mm cumulatively) and the remaining (62.5%)exceeded 30 mm (490.6 mm cumulatively). Rainfall events ranging from 15 to 30 mm were the most frequent (48% of total events) and accounted for 34.1% of the cumulative rainfall during the study period. Small rainfall events (< 15 mm) were infrequent (12% frequency) and contributed only 2.9% of the total cumulative rainfall. Five large storms (> 50mm) were recorded but they accounted for 41.3% of the cumulative rainfall (Figure 3). In addition, rainfall



Figure 3. Relative frequency of rainfall events for four rainfall classes (white bars) and relative contribution of each rainfall class to the total rainfall amount (grey bars) during the study period from September 2015 to October 2017.

events ranging 15-30 and 30-50 mm were more common in the summer (67 and 60%, respectively) and rainfall events more than 50 mm and lower than 15 mm were more frequent in the fall (100%) and spring (67%), respectively. The average rainfall intensity for *GR* classes of < 15, 15-30, 30-50 and > 50 mm was 0.4, 0.7, 1.1 and 2.1 mm h⁻¹, respectively.

Canopy Characteristics (LAI and Canopy Openness)

The mean *LAI* and canopy openness of the study plot measured vertically above each *TF* rain-collector during the leaf-out period on summer were 6 m² m⁻² (CV%= 13.7) and 6.2% (CV%= 20.2), respectively. Spring had the mean *LAI* and canopy openness of 5 m² m⁻² (CV%= 14.5) and 7.6% (CV%= 17.7), respectively. The canopy parameters value in the fall were 4.5 m² m⁻² (*LAI*) (CV%= 14.1) and 7.9% (canopy openness) (CV%= 18.2). There was significant difference between *LAI* during the summer and both the spring and fall (independent t-test, t= 9.65, P< 0.001).

Spatial Variability of *TF* in Relation to Rainfall Characteristics

The mean TF depth derived from 122 raincollectors was strongly correlated with GR $(r^2 = 0.98, P < 0.01)$ (Figure 4-a) and significantly correlated with event-based mean rainfall intensity (P< 0.01), although this correlation was weak ($r^2 = 0.3$) (Figure 4-d). In contrast, the correlation with rainfall duration was weak and not significant (P=0.08) (Figure 4-g). Generally, TF depth increased with increasing GR depth and rainfall intensity (Figures 4-a, -d, and -g). The relationship between TF% and GR, rainfall intensity and rainfall duration was not significant (P> 0.05) (Figures 4-b, -e, and -h). There was a significant correlation between spatial variability of TF (CV%_{TF}) with GR depth (P< 0.01) (Figure 4-c) and rainfall intensity (P< 0.01) (Figure 4-f), whereas the correlation with rainfall duration was weak and not significant (P= (Figure 4-i). Generally, CV%_{TF} 0.6) decreased and then stabilized with increasing GR depth and rainfall intensity (Figures 4-c and -f).



Figure 4. The correlation between mean values of throughfall (*TF*), *TF%*, *CV%*_{*TF*} with (a, b, c) gross rainfall (*GR*) amount, (d, e, f) rainfall intensity, (g, h, i) and rainfall durtion for individual rainfall events. Bars indicate \pm standard error.

 $CV\%_{TF}$ for each *GR* class was highest (48.1%) when the *GR* amount was lower than 15 mm (< 15 mm), and decreased to 33, 22.2 and 19.8% for *GR* classes of 15-30, 30-50 and > 50 mm, respectively (Table 1). The points with *TF* depth more than 100 percent (hotspot points) were highest for *GR* classes 15-30 and 30-50 mm and lowest for *GR* classes < 15 and > 50 mm (Table 1) that were more concentrated on the center of plot for all *GR* classes (Figure 5) where the large *DBH* trees were more frequent than the other parts of the study plot.

Temporal Persistence of Spatial Variability of *TF*

Time stability normalized *TF* plots indicate persistence of drier and wetter sampling points

in the study area (Figure 6). Time stability plots using normalized TF were divided into four GR classes based on 20 mm thresholds. Each of these thresholds contained the same number rainfall events. Mean normalized TF for GR classes < 20, 20-30, 30-50, > 50 mm were significantly different from zero for 48, 42, 22 and 19% of the TF rain-collectors, respectively (t-test; $\alpha = 0.05$), thus TF at individual sampling positions were not randomly distributed over time. With greater GR, the water flow paths in the canopy changed. For example, collector 103 had smaller TF with smaller GR but it received greater TF when the GR was larger (Figure 6). In contrast, collector 3 had greater TF when GR < 20 mm but it had much smaller TF in GR classes 20-30, 30-50 and > mm 50 (Figure 6).

JAST

Table 1. Mean, Standard deviation *SD*, Coefficient of variation of throughfall ($CV\%_{TF}$) and rainfall intensity for 122 *TF* rain-collectors for different gross rainfall *GR* classes.

GR classes (mm)	< 15	15-30	30-50	>50	
Mean (mm)	7.6	22.3	34	64.9	
SD (mm)	2.4	7.1	7.5	13.2	
$CV\%_{TF}$	48.1	33	22.2	19.8	
Rainfall intensity (mm h ⁻¹)	0.4	0.7	1.1	2.1	
No of Hotspot point	5	12	9	5	



Figure 5. Spatial distribution of hotspot points under the oriental beech canopy for *GR* classes. Red, green and blue squares are hotspot points at three significant levels.



represents one TF rain-collector plotted along the horizontal axis and ranked by their means. Bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. Bars of for each data Figure 6. Time stability plots for normalized throughfall (TF) water amount to zero median in gross rainfall (GR) classes (a) < 20 mm (events 7, 8, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 19), (b) 20-30 mm (events 4,5,6,16,20,21,25), (c) 30-50 mm (events 1, 2, 9, 10, 11), and (d) > 50 mm (events 3, 14, 22, 23, 24). Each dot point indicate the stability of TF rain-collectors. data points with bars that do not overlap with the median line (horizontal line at zero) are extreme persistent whereas data points with bars that intersect the median line are general persistent (Zimmermann et al., 2008)

DISCUSSION

Spatial Variability of TF

The increase of *TF* production with increasing rainfall depth may result from longer periods when the canopy is saturated, thereby increasing the amount of rainwater falling to the ground as TF (Carlyle-Moses et al., 2004). For smaller rainfall events, the interaction of rainwater with forest canopy likely restricts TF to free TF (without contacting the canopy). Released TF (dripping from leaves and branches) becomes dominant when GR is larger than the canopy saturation point (Zhang et al., 2016, Gash, 1979). Similar to past research (Loustau et al., 1992, Zhan et al., 2007, Huitao et al., 2012, Fathizadeh et al., 2014, Fan et al., 2015), the variability of TF $(CV\%_{TF})$ decreased with increasing GR (Figure 4-c).

Past work on the relationship between spatial variability of TF (as CV%) and cumulative rainfall suggests that larger rainfall events would have а more homogenous redistribution of rainfall (e.g., Loustau et al., 1992, Huitao et al., 2012, Li et al., 2013, Carlyle-Moses et al., 2004, Fan et al., 2015). In addition, the lower variability of TF for large GR may be attributed, in part, to a greater GR intensity that saturates the canopy in a short time (Zhan et al., 2007). Typically, as rainfall intensity increases, the CV of TF initially declines and then stabilizes (Zhang et al., 2016).

In the present study, the $CV\%_{TF}$ of GRevents < 15 mm was highest and for GRevents > 50 mm was lowest. Both GR events > 50 and < 15 mm were more frequent in fall season with fairly similar *LAI*. It shows that the impact of canopy characteristics (e.g. spatial variability of canopy storage) is more diverse among different rainfall regimes. When the canopy is fully saturated for higher GR, both free TF and released TFparticipate in TF production with lower variability.

Input points producing hotspots were more common for GR classes 15-30 and 30-50 mm, whereas they decreased in GR classes <15 and > 50 mm. Our results showed that 67% of rainfall event from 15 to 30 mm and 60% of rainfall event from 30 to 50 mm occurred in summer. On the other hand, 100% of rainfall events larger than 50 mm and 67% of rainfall smaller than 15 mm occurred in the fall. Therefore, the hotspots were likely related to both changes in rainfall and canopy characteristics. Yousefi et al. (2018) reported that hotspots were more frequent in leaf-out period compared with leaf-off condition. Hotspots in forest ecosystem that are dependent on TF tend to enhance drainage to the forest floor (Coenders-Gerrits et al., 2013, Hopp and McDonnell, 2011). Hence, the whole leaves and branches of the canopy may direct rainfall downward to allow for easy drainage of the TF during fully leafed conditions.

Time Stability of *TF* Spatial Variability

Mean normalized TF for 55% of the TF rain-collectors in the present study were significantly different from zero, thereby indicating high TF heterogeneity during the leafed period. Zimmermann et al. (2007) reported 68% TF heterogeneity in a tropical montane forest in Ecuador. Keim et al. (2005) showed 31-46% of collectors represented mean normalized TF different than zero in two conifer stands and one deciduous stand at leafed and leafless periods in the Pacific Northwest, USA. We found that the percent of TF rain-collectors with mean normalized TF different from zero was highest for GR class < 20 mm (48%) and lowest for GR class > 50 mm (19%), indicating TFheterogeneity decreases with increase in rainfall. supporting the claim of lower TF variability (CV%_{TF}) for large rainfall events. Gómez et al. (2002) reported that the distribution of TF for large GR events were consistent, while there was no consistency of TF distribution in the smaller GR events under individual olive trees. Time stability plots indicated the switching of wet and dry collectors with changing GR class (e.g. collectors 3 and 103) might result from a change in the TF flow path. Therefore, changing GR under climate change or different seasons can affect TF flow path under the canopy.

Our results indicate that there was high temporal stability and low spatial variability of TF for high GR classes. The decrease in temporal stability and lower spatial variability suggests that canopy characteristics are less important as GR increases. Robson et al. (1994) suggested the effect of event size was possibly as important as variation in canopy structure characteristics on the stability of the TF spatial pattern. However, we found that fully developed canopy cover were more effective in creating hotspots of TF than different GR depth.

Changing climate has significantly altered GR characteristics around the globe 2006). Climate-change-(Huntington, induced effects on TF variability are especially important to forest ecosystems in the north of Iran. These forests have steep mountain slopes that are sensitive to soil erosion when water is locally in excess and forest regeneration partially depends on TF inputs (Akbarzadeh et al., 2016). Changing precipitation patterns may affect the spatial variability of TF and, therefore, affect ecological processes throughout a forest stand (Manderscheid and Matzner, 1995, Raat et al., 2002). Indeed, the spatial heterogeneity of TF may improve the calculations of percolation fluxes and the downward movement of water through the soil, in these beech stands (Beier, 1993). Generally, studies on the spatial and temporal variability of TF and its associated driving factors will increase our understanding of hydrologic and biogeochemical cycles of forest ecosystems that will permit better stewardship of forest and water resources (Levia and Frost, 2006). TF is an important water source for trees. A changing climate and/or tree harvesting may

lead to changing TF distributions, ultimately altering the spatial distribution of water soil. input to the Therefore, fully understanding the spatial distribution of rainfall within forests under different GR depth helps the managers to optimize the management of these stands in terms of soil water and nutrition availability. Also, this study would help future investigators to design sampling strategies of TF based on different rainfall regimes by taking a look at canopy in these natural beech stands under similar climate conditions.

CONCLUSIONS

The spatial variability and temporal stability of TF as well as its correlation with rainfall characteristics and canopy traits were analyzed under a natural beech stand. In terms of rainfall characteristics, the spatial variability of TF tended to be high for the GR size class lower than 15 mm and decreased with increasing GR. During larger rainfall events, the canopy was fully saturated, resulting in decreasing of spatial variability of TF. The size and the variability of TF were more correlated to rainfall intensity and the size of GR. Based on time stability plots, the observed spatial variability was temporally stable for each GR class and temporal stability increased with increasing GR. The number of hotspots decreased for the highest and lowest GR classes (> 50 and < 15 mm, respectively) that were more frequent rainfall events in the fall and spring season when the LAI was lower. This indicates that the vertical and horizontal structures of forest canopy when fully developed in the summer season (when GR ranged between 15-50 mm) create stable water flow paths in the canopies that generate more persistently wet and dry areas in this forest. The present study suggests that spatial variability and time stability of TF were more related to rainfall characteristics canopy The than traits. canopy characteristics appears to play an important role in transferring water flow path to the

ground when the canopy is fully developed in the beech stand, creating input points as hotspots of TF in different GR classes. This research could help the forest managers to optimize the management of these stands in terms of soil water and nutrition availability. The outcome of this research would assist future investigators to better sampling design strategies under different water input affected by climate change or in various seasons in these natural beech stands under similar climatic conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was financially supported by the Iran National Science Foundation (INSF), Grant No. 95850072. The authors would like to thank Reza Olad Marzban, Kheyrud forest guard, for his assistance in field measurement. Special thanks go to Dr. Vahid Etemad, for preparing necessary data related to Kheyrud forest research station. We are grateful to Hamid Soofi Mariv for arranging the map of the research area.

REFERENCES

- Akbarzadeh, A., Ghorbani-Dashtaki, S., Naderi-Khorasgani, M., Kerry, R. and Taghizadeh-Mehrjardi, R. 2016. Monitoring and Assessment of Soil Erosion at Micro-Scale and Macro-Scale in Forests Affected by Fire Damage in Northern Iran. *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, **188(12)**: 699. doi: 10.1007/s10661-016-5712-6.
- Alvankar, S. R., Nazari, F. and Fattahi, A. 2017. The Intensity and Return Periods of Drought under Future Climate Change Scenarios in Iran. J. Spatial Analy. Environ. Hazards, 3(2): 99-120.
- André, F., Jonard, M., Jonard, F. and Ponette, Q. 2011. Spatial and Temporal Patterns of Throughfall Volume in a Deciduous Mixed-Species Stand. J. Hydrol., 400(1-2): 244-254. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.01.037.
- 4. Beier, C., Hansen, K. and Gundersen, P. 1993. Spatial Variability of Throughfall Fluxes in a Spruce Forest. *Environ. Pollut.*,

81(3): 257-267. doi.org/10.1016/0269-7491(93)90208-6.

- Bouten, W., Heimovaara. T. and Tiktak, A. 1992. Spatial Patterns of Throughfall and Soil Water Dynamics in a Douglas Fir Stand. *Water Resour. Res.*, 28(12): 3227-3233.
- Carlyle-Moses, D., Laureano, J. F. and Price, A. 2004. Throughfall and Throughfall Spatial Variability in Madrean Oak Forest Communities of Northeastern Mexico. J. Hydrol., 297(1): 124-135. doi:10.1016/S0140-1963(03)00125-3.
- Coenders-Gerrits, A. M. J., Hopp, L., Savenije, H. H. G. and Pfister. L. 2013. The Effect of Spatial Throughfall Patterns on Soil Moisture Patterns at the Hillslope Scale. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, **17**: 1749–1763. doi:10.5194/hess-17-1749-2013
- Dunkerley, D. 2000. Measuring Interception Loss and Canopy Storage in Dryland Vegetation: A Brief Review and Evaluation of Available Research Strategies. *Hydrol. Process.*, **14(4)**: 669-678.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(200003)14:4<669::AID.
- Fan, J., Oestergaard, K. T., Guyot, A., Jensen, D. G. and Lockington, D. A. 2015. Spatial Variability of Throughfall and Stemflow in an Exotic Pine Plantation of Subtropical Coastal Australia. *Hydrol. Process.*, **29**(5): 793-804.- doi: 10.1002/hyp.10193.
- Fathizadeh, O., Attarod, P., Keim, R. F., Stein, A., Amiri, G. Z. and Darvishsefat, A. A. 2014. Spatial Heterogeneity and Temporal Stability of Throughfall under Individual Quercus brantii Trees. *Hydrol. Process.*, 28(3): 1124-1136.- doi: 10.1002/hyp.9638
- Frazer, G. W., Canham, C. and Lertzman, K. 1999. Gap Light Analyzer (GLA), Version 2.0: Imaging Software to Extract Canopy Structure and Gap Light Transmission Indices from True-Colour Fisheye Photographs, Users Manual and Program Documentation. The Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Millbrook, New York., 36 PP.
- 12. Garstecki, T. 2017. Scoping and Feasibility Study: Feasibility assessment for a World Heritage Nomination of the Colchic Forests and Wetlands under the Natural Criteria. Michael Succow Foundation for the

Protection of Nature. Ellernholzstraße 1/3, 17487 Greifswald, Germany.

- Gash, J. H. C. 1979. Analytical Model of Rainfall Interception by Forests. *Quarterly J. Royal Meteorol. Soc.*, **105(443)**: 43–55. doi.org/10.1002/qj.49710544304.
- Getis, A. and Ord, J. K. 1992. The Analysis of Spatial Associataion by Use of Distance Statistics. *Geogr. Analy.*, 24: 189–206.
- Gómez, J., Vanderlinden, K., Giráldez, J. and Fereres, E. 2002. Rainfall Concentration under Olive Trees. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 55(1): 53-70.- doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3774(01)00181-0.
- He, Z-B., Yang, J-J., Du, J., Zhao, W-Z., Liu, H. and Chang, X. -X. 2014. Spatial Variability of Canopy Interception in a Spruce Forest of the Semiarid Mountain Regions of China. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **188**: 58-63. doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.12.008.
- 17. Henderson, G., Harris, W., Todd, Jr. D. and Grizzard, T. 1977. Quantity and Chemistry of Throughfall as Influenced by Forest-Type and Season. *J. Ecol.*, 365-374. DOI: 10.2307/2259488.
- Holwerda, F., Scatena, F. and Bruijnzeel, L. 2006. Throughfall in a Puerto Rican Lower Montane Rain Forest: A Comparison of Sampling Strategies. J. Hydrol., 327 (3): 592-602.-

doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.12.014.

- Hopp, L. and McDonnell, J. 2011. Examining the Role of Throughfall Patterns on Subsurface Stormflow Generation. J. *Hydrol.*, 409: 460–471. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.08.044
- Houghton, R. A. and Skole, D. L. 1990. Carbon. In: "The Earth as Transformed by Human Action", (Eds.): Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, PP. 393–408.-ISBN 0521 80767 0 hardback.
- Huitao, S., Xiaoxue, W., Yue, J. and Wenhui, Y. 2012. Spatial Variations of Throughfall through Secondary Succession of Evergreen Broad-Leaved Forests in Eastern China. *Hydrol. Process.*, 26(11): 1739-1747.- doi: 10.1002/hyp.8251.
- Huntington, T. G. 2006. Evidence for Intensification of the Global Water Cycle: Review and Synthesis. J. Hydrol., 319(1): 83-95.- doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.07.003.
- 23. Iroumé, A. and Huber, A. 2002. Comparison of Interception Losses in a Broadleaved Native Forest and a *Pseudotsuga menziesii*

(Douglas Fir) Plantation in the Andes Mountains of Southern Chile. *Hydrol. Process.*, **16(12):** 2347-2361.

- Johnson, M. S. and Lehmann, J. 2006. Double-Funneling of Trees: Stemflow and Root-Induced Preferential Flow. *Ecoscience*, 13(3): 324-333.- doi.org/10.2980/i1195-6860-13-3-324.1.
- Keim, R. F., Skaugset, A. E. and Weiler, M. 2005. Temporal Persistence of Spatial Patterns in Throughfall. *J. Hydrol.*, **314(1)**: 263-274. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.03.021.
- Kimmins, J. 1973. Some Statistical Aspects of Sampling Throughfall Precipitation in Nutrient Cycling Studies in British Columbian Coastal Forests. *Ecology*, 54(5):1008-1019.- doi.org/10.2307/1935567.
- Klos, P. Z., Chain-Guadarrama, A., Link, T. E., Finegan, B., Vierling, L. A., Chazdon, R. 2014. Throughfall Heterogeneity in Tropical Forested Landscapes as a Focal Mechanism for Deep Percolation. *J. Hydrol.*, **519**: 2180-2188.-

doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.10.004.

- Konishi, S., Tani, M., Kosugi, Y., Takanashi, S., Sahat, M. M., Nik, A. R., Niiyama, K. and Okuda, T. 2006. Characteristics of Spatial Distribution of Throughfall in a Lowland Tropical Rainforest, Peninsular Malaysia. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 224(1): 19-25.doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2005.12.005.
- Kowalska, A., Boczoń, A., Hildebrand, R. and Polkowska, Ż. 2016. Spatial Variability of Throughfall in a Stand of Scots Pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) with Deciduous Admixture as Influenced by Canopy Cover and Stem Distance. J. Hydrol., **538**: 231-242. doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.04.023
- Levia, D. F. and Frost, E. E. 2003. A Review and Evaluation of Stemflow Literature in the Hydrologic and Biogeochemical Cycles of Forested and Agricultural Ecosystems. J. Hydrol., 274(1): 1-29. doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(02)00399-2.
- Levia, D. F., Hudson, S. A., Llorens, P. and Nanko, K. 2017. Throughfall Drop Size Distributions: A Review and Prospectus for Future Research. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water, -WIREs Water, 4:e1225: 1-18. doi: 10.1002/wat2.1225
- 32. Levia, D. F. and Frost, E. E. 2006. Variability of Throughfall Volume and

Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2025-07-29

Solute Inputs in Wooded Ecosystems. *Progress Phys. Geogr.*, **30(5)**: 605-632.

- Li, X. Y., Hu, X., Zhang, Z. H., Peng, H. Y., Zhang, S. Y., Li, G. Y., Li, L., Ma, Y. J., 2013. Shrub Hydropedology: Preferential Water Availability to Deep Soil Layer. *Vadose Zone J.*, **12(4)**: http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/vzj2013.01.0006.
- 34. Lilienfein, J. and Wilcke, W. 2004. Water and Element Input into Native, Agri- and Silvicultural Ecosystems of the Brazilian Savanna. *Biogeochemistry*, **67**(**2**): 183-212.
- Liu, Z., Chen, J. M., Jin, G. and Qi, Y. 2015. Estimating Seasonal Variations of Leaf Area Index Using Litterfall Collection and Optical Methods in Four Mixed Evergreen– Deciduous Forests. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 209: 36-48. doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.04.025.
- Llorens, P. and Gallart, F. 2000. A Simplified Method for Forest Water Storage Capacity Measurement. J. Hydrol., 240(1): 131-144. doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00339-5.
- Loescher, H. W., Powers, J. S. and Oberbauer, S. F. 2002. Spatial Variation of Throughfall Volume in an Old-Growth Tropical Wet Forest, Costa Rica. J. Tropic. Ecol., 18(3): 397-407.doi.org/10.1017/S0266467402002274
- Loustau, D., Berbigier, P., Granier, A. and Moussa, F. E. H. 1992. Interception Loss, Throughfall and Stemflow in a Maritime Pine Stand. I. Variability of Throughfall and Stemflow Beneath the Pine Canopy. J. Hydrol., 138(3-4): 449-467.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(92)90130-N.
- 39. Macinnis-Ng, C. M., Flores, E. E., Müller, H. and Schwendenmann, L. 2012. Rainfall Partitioning into Throughfall and Stemflow and Associated Nutrient Fluxes: Land Use Impacts in a Lower Montane Tropical Region of Panama. *Biogeochemistry*, **111**(1-**3**): 661-676.
- Manderscheid, B. and Matzner, E. 1995. Spatial and Temporal Variation of Soil Solution Chemistry and Ion Fluxes through the Soil in a Mature Norway Spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) Stand. *Biogeochemistry*, **30(2)**: 99-114.
- 41. Morris, D. M., Gordon, A. G. and Gordon, A. M. 2003. Patterns of Canopy Interception and Throughfall along a Topographic Sequence for Black Spruce Dominated Forest Ecosystems in Northwestern Ontario.

Can. J. For. Res., **33(6)**: 1046-1060.doi.org/10.1139/x03-027.

- Muzylo, A., Llorens, P., Valente, F., Keizer, J., Domingo, F. and Gash, J. 2009. A Review of Rainfall Interception Modelling. *J. Hydrol.*, **370**(1): 191-206. doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.02.
- 43. Nanko, K., Onda, Y., Ito A. and Moriwaki, H. 2011. Spatial Variability of Throughfall under a Single Tree: Experimental Study of Rainfall Amount, Raindrops, and Kinetic Energy. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **151(9)**: 1173-1182.

doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.04.006.

- Oladi, R., Elzami, E., Pourtahmasi, K. and Brauning, A. 2017. Weather Factors Controlling Growth of Oriental Beech Are on the Turn over the Growing Season. *Eur. J. For. Res.*, **136**: 345–356.- doi: 10.1007/s10342-017-1036-5.
- 45. Pypker, T. G., Levia, D. F., Staelens, J. and Van Stan, II. J. T. 2011. *Canopy Structure in Relation to Hydrological and Biogeochemical Fluxes*. In For. Hydrol. Biogeochemist, Springer, PP. 371-388.
- 46. Raat, K., Draaijers, G., Schaap M., Tietema, A. and Verstraten, J. 2002. Spatial Variability of Throughfall Water and Chemistry and Forest Floor Water Content in a Douglas Fir Forest Stand. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Dis.*, 6(3): 363-374.
- Robson, A., Neal, C., Ryland, G. and Harrow, M. 1994. Spatial Variations in Throughfall Chemistry at the Small Plot Scale. J. Hydrol., 158(1-2): 107-122. doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(94)90048-5.
- 48. Sagheb-Talebi, K. H., Sajedi, T. and Pourhashemi, M. 2014. Forests of Iran. A Treasure from the Past, a Hope for the Future. ISBN 978-94-007-7371-4 (eBook), Plant and Vegetation, Voloume 10, Springer, Dordrecht Heidelberg, New York, London.
- 49. Seiler, J. and Matzner, E. 1995. Spatial Variability of Throughfall Chemistry and Selected Soil Properties as Influenced by Stem Distance in a Mature Norway Spruce (Picea-abies, Karst) Stand. *Plant Soil*, **176**: 139–147.
- 50. Staelens, J., De Schrijver, A., Verheyen, K. and Verhoest, N. E. 2006. Spatial Variability and Temporal Stability of Throughfall Deposition under Beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in Relationship to Canopy Structure.

Environ. Pollution, **142(2)**: 254-263.-doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2005.10.002.

- 51. Vachaud, G., Passerat de Silans, A., Balabanis, P. and Vauclin, M. 1985. Temporal Stability of Spatially Measured Soil Water Probability Density Function. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, **49(4)**: 822-828.doi:10.2136/sssaj1985.03615995004900040 006x.
- Vrugt, J. A., Dekker, S. C. and Bouten, W. 2003. Identification of Rainfall Interception Model Parameters from Measurements of Throughfall and Forest Canopy Storage. *Water Resour. Res.*, **39**(9): 1-10. doi.org/10.1029/2003WR002013.
- Yousefi, S., Sadeghi, S. H., Mirzaee, S., van der Ploeg, M., Keesstra, S. and Cerdà, A. 2018. Spatio-Temporal Variation of Throughfall in a Hyrcanian Plain Forest Stand in Northern Iran. J. Hydrol. Hydromech., 66(1): 97–106.
- Zhang, Y. F., Wang, X. P., Hu, R. and Pan, Y. X. 2016. Throughfall and Its Spatial Variability Beneath Xerophytic Shrub Canopies within Water-Limited Arid Desert Ecosystems. J. Hydrol., 539: 406–416.
 - doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.05.051.

- 55. Zhan, W., Zhang, Z., Wu, J. and Xiao, J. 2007. Spatial Variability of Throughfall in a Chinese Pine (*Pinus tabulaeformis*) Plantation in Northern China. *Frontiers of Forestry in China*, **2**(2): 169-173.- doi: 10.1007/s11461-007-0027-y
- 56. Zimmermann, A., Germer, S., Neill, C., Krusche, A. V. and Elsenbeer, H. 2008. Spatio-Temporal Patterns of Throughfall and Solute Deposition in an Open Tropical Rain Forest. J. Hydrol., 360(1): 87-102.doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.07.028.
- 57. Zimmermann, A., Wilcke, W. and Elsenbeer, H. 2007. Spatial and Temporal Patterns of Throughfall Quantity and Quality in a Tropical Montane Forest in Ecuador. *J. Hydrol.*, 343(1): 80-96.-doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.06.012.
- Zimmermann, A. and Zimmermann, B. 2014. Requirements for Throughfall Monitoring: The Roles of Temporal Scale and Canopy Complexity. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, **189**:125-139.- doi: org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.01.014.
- 59. Zirlewagen, D. and von Wilpert, K. 2001. Modeling Water and Ion Fluxes in a Highly Structured, Mixed-species Stand. *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 143(1): 27-37. doi:org/10.1016/S0378-1127(00)00522-3.

تغییرات زمانی و مکانی تاج بارش توده راش (Fagus orientalis Lipsky) خالص در جنگلهای هیرکانی شمال ایران

ع. دژبان، پ. عطارد، ق. زاهدی امیری، ت. گ. پیپکر، و ک. نانکو

چکیدہ

تغییرات زمانی و مکانی تاج بارش در بومسازگانهای جنگلی مفاهیم بومشناسی مهمی دارد. در این پژوهش تغییرپذیری مکانی و زمانی تاج بارش و نیز اثرات تاج پوشش و خصوصیات باران بر تغییرات تاجبارش ارزیابی شدند. نمونهبرداریهای باران و تاج بارش از مهر ۱۳۹۴ تا مهر ۱۳۹۶ در زمان رشد کامل برگ درختان تا قبل از شروع خزان برگها در توده طبیعی راش (Fagus orientalis) خالص واقع در سری بهاربن جنگل آموزشی پژوهشی دانشکده منابع طبیعی دانشگاه تهران (جنگل خیرود) انجام شد. میانگین شاخص سطح برگ توده (LAI) و درصد روشنه تاج پوشش در فصل برگدار به ترتیب ۶ و ۶/۲ درصد بر آورد شدند. تراکم درختان در توده ۱۸۸ درخت در هکتار با سطح مقطع ۵۱ مترمربع در هکتار، اندازه گیری شد. در طول دوره مطالعه، ۲۵ رخداد باران با مقدار تجمعی ۸۸۴/۸ میلیمتر ثبت گردید. تغییرات الگوهای مکانی تاج بارش در چهار کلاسه باران ۵۱>، ۳۰–۱۵، ۵۰–۳۰ و </b میلیمتر ثبت گردید. تغییرات الگوهای مکانی تاج بارش در چهار کلاسه باران ۵۱>، ۳۰–۱۵، ۵۰–۳۰ و </b میلیمتر ثبت گردید. تغییرات الگوهای مکانی تاج بارش در چهار کلاسه باران ۵۱>، ۳۰–۱۵، ۵۰–۳۰ و </b میلیمتر ثبت گردید. تغییرات الگوهای مکانی تاج بارش در چهار کلاسه باران ۵۱>، ۲۰–۲۵، ۵۰–۳۰ و </b میلیمتر (ضریب معلیمتر در طول دوره مطالعه مشاهده شد. مقدار تاج بارش و تغییرات مکانی تاج بارش (ضریب تغییرات تاج بارش (۳۰/۲۰۰۹))، با افزایش عمق باران و شدت بارندگی به ترتیب افزایش و کاهش معنی دار داشت (۲۰/۰). پژوهش حاضر نشان داد عمق باران و شدت بارندگی را میتوان به عنوان معنی دار داشت (۲۰/۰). پژوهش حاضر نشان داد عمق باران و شدت بارندگی به ترتیب افزایش و کاهش معنی دار داشت (۲۰/۰). پژوهش حاضر نشان داد عمق باران و شدت بارندگی به مرتیب افزایش و کاهش معری نوان و شدت بارندگی به ترتیب افزایش و کاهش دمین دار داشت (۲۰/۰). پژوهش حاضر نشان داد عمق باران و شدت بارندگی در امی توان به عنوان معنی دار داشت (۲۰/۰). پژوهش حاضر نشان داد حمق باران و شدت بارندگی دانمی دان می به میرات مکانی و ثبات زمانی تاج بارش دانست. شناخت تغییرات میمترین فاکتورهای اثر گذار بر مقدار، تغییرات مکانی و ثبات زمانی تاج بارش دانست. شناخت تغییرات میمترین و ثبات زمانی یاد بارش، به مدیران جنگل در اجرای عملیات پرورشی به منظور مدیریت بهینه توده می می منظور مدیریت به توده می مینی و ثبات زمانی دانست. شناخ در تا در که بهتری از پراکنش مکانی تاج بارش رسیده به کف جنگل، از لحاظ دسترسی خاک جنگل به آب و مواد غذایی داشته باشند.