RESEARCH NOTES

Production and Identification of Interspecific Hybrids between Pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) and the Wild Relative (*Capsicum frutescens* L.)

J. Wei¹, J. Zheng², J. Yu¹, D. Zhao³, Y. Cheng¹, M. Ruan¹, Q. Ye¹, Z. Yao¹, R. Wang¹, G. Zhou¹, Y. Yang¹, Z. Li¹, and H. Wan^{1*}

ABSTRACT

Wild pepper (*Capsicum frutescens* L.) could be a source of variation to improve cultivated pepper due to its unique traits with adapting challenges caused by adversity. Interspecific hybridization has been used as an effective way of pepper introgression breeding, which transfers genes of interest from wild relatives to cultivated crops. Here, eight fertile hybrids F_1 were produced from pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) and the wild relative (*C. frutescens*), as female and male, respectively, by interspecific hybridization. Interspecific hybrids were identified using conventional morphological descriptors and SSR molecular markers. The results showed that significant differences in agronomic traits existed among cultivated pepper, wild relatives, and interspecific hybrid F_1 . Interspecific hybrid F_1 presented intermediate values, although they were closer to the wild species in most of the agronomic traits. Analysis of SSR markers clearly showed that interspecific hybrid F_1 had bands from the paternal and maternal accessions, which indicated that F_1 hybrid was heterozygous. Our results provide hybrid for breeder to transfer genes of interest from wild relative, *C. frutescens*, to cultivated pepper, which is an important step for introgression breeding.

Keywords: Gene transfer, Interspecific hybridization, Introgression breeding, Morphological descriptors.

INTRODUCTION

Capsicum is native to Central and South America and 5 species of which are domesticated, including *C. annuum*, *C. baccatum*, *C. chinense*, *C. frutescens*, *C. pubescens* (Ibiza *et al.*, 2012). Of the five domesticated species, *C. annuum* (2n= 2x= 24), a native species in Mexico, is one of the economically important vegetable crops (Wang and Bosland, 2006), which is also the most common and extensively cultivated in the tropical and subtropical regions across the world (Wahyuni *et al.*, 2013).

However, the genetic base of cultivated pepper (*C. annuum*) is increasingly narrow under the domestication, and further hinders breeding progress. This narrow genetic base contrasts with large genetic variation present in the pepper wild relatives. It has been reported that the genus *Capsicum* contains over 20 wild species, some of which have been used in breeding for decades. These wild relatives are useful for broadening

¹State key Laboratory Breeding Base for Zhejiang Sustainable Pest and Disease Control, Institute of Vegetables, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou 310021, People Republic of China.

^{*}Corresponding author; e-mail: wanhongjian@sina.com

²Jiangsu Coastal Area Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Yancheng, People Republic of China.

³College of Agricultural, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan, People Republic of China.

narrow genetic base of cultivated pepper (Honnay *et al.*, 2012).

A member of C. frutescens (named as xiaomila) is naturally distributed in the southern Yunnan Province (Deng et al., 2009) and is the only wild germplasm resource in China (Liu et al., 2013). Some desirable traits for pepper varietv improvement in xiaomila also were reported, including resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses. In consequence, these desirable traits from xiaomila could be transferred into cultivated pepper to further create new germplasm resources for breeding exploitation.

Interspecific hybridization is widely used for creating new germplasm and expanding genetic diversity in pepper (Yoon et al., 2004, 2006). However, successful interspecific crosses were limited in most cases, due to cross incompatibility. Thus, in this respect, most of the studies tent to solve the incompatibility among Capsicum. For instance, Yoon et al. (2009) reported that anthracnose resistance was successfully introgressed from C. baccatum to C. annuum using embryo rescue. Furthermore, F₁ hybrids were obtained using an embryo rescue technique in the cross combination C. annuum 7033×C. chinense 7020 (Sui and Hui, 2015). It is reported that interspecific been obtained hybrid has in cross С. combination between chinense $\times C$. frutescens, and the pollen viability was devoted to study in their generations (Monteiro et al., 2011). Costa et al. (2009) reported that the fruit and viable seeds were obtained in interspecific crosses of C. chinense with C. annuum. Nine seeds were obtained from the combination of C.annuum C. baccatum, but seven seedlings X unsuccessfully reach maturity from the nine hybrids. (Martins et al., 2015).

The objective of the present work was to obtain hybrid F_1 from *C. frutescens* (*xiaomila*), a wild relative from Yunnan Province in China, and cultivated *C. annuum* (an excellent breeding line, 007EA). Identification of interspecific hybrid F_1 of *C. annuum* and *C. frutescens* was performed by

using phytological traits and SSR markers. The results will provide a bridge for the breeder to carry successful introgressions from a wild *C. frutescens* (*xiaomila*) to cultivated pepper.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growing Conditions

Two accessions, the wild C. frutescens (xiaomila-P1512) from Yunnan Province in China and cultivated pepper (C. used annuum-007EA), were in this experiment. The fruits of P1512 are extremely small and pungent and the cultivated pepper 007EA is a landrace from China with large red fruits. This experiment was carried out in the glass of Vegetable Research greenhouse Zhejiang Academy Institute in of Agricultural Science from the autumn of 2015 to the summer of 2016. The greenhouse temperatures were maintained at 20°C minimum and 30°C maximum. (GPS coordinates of the plot: 30° 21' 5.27" N, 120° 22′ 56.01″ E).

Production of Interspecific Hybrid F₁

The mature balloon shaped buds of the female parents were emasculated in previous day at anthesis to avoid self-pollination and covered with butter-paper bags. Pollination was made in the morning using freshly mature pollen from flowers collected from male parent. The pollinated flowers were tagged with genotypes of the parents involved in the cross and the date at which it was finished. The rest of buds and the naturally pollinated ones were cut off. Reciprocal crosses to wild *C. frutescens* (*xiaomila*-P1512) were carried out. Overall, more than 1,000 hybridizations were performed in this experiment.

Identification of Interspecific Hybrid F₁

Morphological Characterization

The seeds of $P_1 \times P_2$ were germinated in combination (xiaomila-P1512 as male parental line, 007EA as female parental line), while on fruit set with reciprocal cross while no hybrid was obtained in the opposite direction in 2006. Agronomic traits of cultivated pepper, wild species, and interspecific hybrid F₁ were evaluated with five replications in 2015 and 2016, which described traits of the whole plants, leaf, flower, and fruits. Five measurements were recorded for each agronomic trait to obtain individual plant averages, except for the whole plant height, length of a knot and the main stem, for which one measurement was recorded. One plant per replication was taken for recording the different morphological traits. Α total of 22 morphometric descriptors were recorded in this experiment (Supplemental Table 1). Of which ten descriptors (e.g., panel length, leaf length, width and petiole length, pedicel length, corolla diameter, style length, stamens length, fruit width and length) were measured using vernier caliper. Plant height and fruit weight were measured using flexible ruler and electronic scales, respectively.

SSR-PCR Analysis

Approximately 0.2 g leaf samples of each of the wild *C. frutescens* P1512, cultivated pepper 007EA, and interspecific hybrid F_1 were taken out of fridge (-80°C) to a box filled with liquid nitrogen. Then, these samples were ground into powder using a pestle and mortar.

DNA Extraction: The powder was poured into 2-mL centrifuge tube with 1 mL overheated DNA buffer and 2 μ L β mercaptoethanol, which was watered bath at 65°C for half an hour. Next, 750 μ L mixture from the 2 mL centrifuge tube was transferred to another new tube, and 750 μ L chloroform

was poured into the new tube to obtain 1.5 mL mixed liquor, which was oscillated 10-15 minutes and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 12,000 rnp. After that, the supernatant from the new tube was separated into another new tube; the last step was repeated in order to acquire DNA with high purity. Then, the supernatant was taken out and added to isopropyl alcohol (V= 0.7v supernatant), and centrifuged for 10 minutes at 12,000 rnp. Finally, the mixed liquor was poured out and centrifuged at the same speed after adding alcohol twice (Absolute ethyl alcohol: Water= 3:1). Alcohol from the mixed liquor was poured out and placed for air dry. Finally, the DNA was dissolved in 50 µL ddH₂O and kept in -20°C refrigerator as reserve. DNA purity was tested with 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.

PCR Amplification: The reaction mixture was conducted using PCR thermocycler (BioRad, MJ Mini). A total of 20 µL PCR reaction volumes contains 1 µL DNA template, 10 µL 2x Taq Plus Master Mix, 2 µL positive and negative SSR primers. In addition, 7 µL ddH₂O was added to the total volume. PCR amplification was began by initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 minutes, then, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 55°C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 1 minute. The accomplished amplification was by maintaining the reaction mixture at 72°C for 7 minutes, to allow full extension of PCR products.

SSR Marker: PCR products were separated by using a 10% PAGE gel electrophoresis under 200V for 2 hours. PAGE gel was stained with 0.5 g L⁻¹ silver nitrate for 5 to 10 minutes, and shaken10 minutes in the developer (consisting of 15 g L⁻¹ NaOH, 0.25 g L⁻¹ Na₂B₄O₇·10H₂O and 4 mL L⁻¹ CH₂O). After that, PAGE gel was washed with distilled water till a clear band was observed. Finally, PAGE gel was wrapped up with a fresh keeping film. Meanwhile, PCR products with ethidium bromide were run by 3% agarose gel electrophoresis, under 110V/50 Ma set up for half hour, and were photographed and recorded using a gel imaging system.

RESULTS

Production of F1 Hybrid

Twenty-five flowers per plant were pollinated with each of the parents to ensure the fruit set. Furthermore, to increase fruit setting rate in budding period, pollination was repeated and each stigma was coated with pollen derived from three male flowers. Totally, 431 seeds were obtained in this experiment. These seeds were cleaned and dried, and sowed in plastic basins. Only eight F₁ hybrids survived in the end, which were transplanted in greenhouse at the third true leaf stage, and properly managed until the fruits ripping.

Morphological Traits in Parental Lines and F₁ Hybrids

Obvious differences in plant morphological characters were observed among cultivated pepper (007EA), wild relative (*C. frutescens* P1512), and interspecific hybrid F₁. For most

morphological characteristics, interspecific hybrid was closer to the wild species compared to the cultivated species. These traits included plant height, the internode length, leaf length, leaf width, leaf petiole length, petal number, corolla diameter, stamens length, fruit weight, fruit length, and fruit diameter (Supplemental Table 2; Figure 1). These traits had smaller values in wild species and interspecific hybrid, with the exception of two traits (plant height, the internode length) that had higher average values (Supplemental Table 2; Figure 1). Furthermore, we also found that interspecific hybrids were extremely similar to the wild species in the following four traits including flower color, pedicel position, leaf and fruit shape. On the contrary, interspecific hybrids were found closer to cultivated species in the fruit position. Moreover, purple anthocyanin accumulated at the nodal positions in the cultivated species and interspecific hybrids, except for the wild species (Supplemental Table 1). In addition, while the interspecific hybrids had an intermediate value in flower size, the traits related to the pedicel and style length had much higher values in the interspecific hybrids compared to the parental lines (Supplemental Table 2; Figure 2). Regarding seeds, there were many seeds with the embryo abortion in



Figure 1. Whole plant height and attitude of cultivated pepper, wild relative and interspecific hybrid F_1 . (a) Cultivated pepper (*Capsium annuum*) 007EA, (b) Interspecific hybrid F_1 (*C. annuum*×*C. frutescens*) and (c) Wild relative (*C. frutescens*) P1512.



Figure 2. Comparison of leaf, flower and fruit among cultivated pepper (*Capsium annuum*-007EA), wild relative (*C. frutescens*-P1512) and interspecific hybrid F_1 . (a) Leaf, (b) Flower and (c) Fruit. (\bigcirc : *C. annuum*, \eth : *C. frutescens*).



Figure 3. Comparison of seeds among cultivated pepper, wild relative and interspecific hybrid F_1 . (a) Cultivated pepper (*Capsium annuum*) 007EA, (b) Interspecific hybrid F_1 (*C. annuum*×*C. frutescens*) and (c) Wild relative (*C. frutescens*) P1512.

the interspecific hybrids, but parental lines showed normal appearances (Supplemental Table 2; Figure 3). consistent with the morphological identification of interspecific hybrid F_1 .

SSR Identification of Interspecific Hybrid F₁

SSR molecular marker was adopted to identify authenticity of hybrid due to high co-dominance, reproducibility, and stability. Thirty-nine pairs of SSR primers were selected randomly in this study. Only one pair of primers (Pe26) could be distinguished among parents and interspecific hybrid F_1 (Supplemental Table 3). The results showed obvious differences of the band patterns among them by the high-resolution of 10% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The differences of the banding patterns between the cultivated pepper and the wild relative (C. frutescens) appeared co-dominant in the hybrid F_1 (Figure 4), which indicated the F_1 hybrid was heterozygous. The results were

DISCUSSION

Crops wild relatives are recognized as a



Figure 4. SSR analysis of the banding patterns among the cultivated pepper (P_2), wild relative *C. frutescens* (P_1) and interspecific hybrid F_1 . M: Marker, 1,000 bp. The polymorphic bands was indicated by arrows.

source of variation for good traits in breeding crops. These traits include high tolerance to abiotic and biotic stresses (Hajjar and Hodgkin, 2007; Honnay et al., 2012), which can improve crops yield and quality dramatically, adapting them to the serious challenges caused by climate change (Dempewolf et al., 2014). One of the most successful examples is tomato, where modern commercial varieties carry many excellent genes from different wild relatives (Lin et al., 2014). Pepper (C. annuum), one of the most economically important vegetables, is cultivated widely around the world. C. annuum is related to about other 30 Capusicum species, of which over twenty are wild relatives. These wild species showed abundant variation in plant architecture, leaf-, flower-, and fruitrelated traits (Eshbaugh, 1980; Sudré et al., Thul *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, 2010; broadening the genetic base of cultivated pepper to include excellent genes from wild relatives is vital to developing new varieties.

Interspecific hybridization is one of the most effective ways for breeders to broaden the genetic diversity of cultivated species with narrow genetic base. The success rate of interspecific hybridization is dependent on genetic relationship among different species. Previous researchers have reported that no viable seeds were obtained in crossing C. annuum and C. frutescens, and a very low percentage of viable seeds in the reciprocal cross (Smith and Heiser, 1951). Cheng et al. (2007) reported that although viable seeds were obtained, no progeny survived in the cross C. annuum×C. frutescens. In this work, interspecific hybrids F1 were obtained in cross combination of C. annuum×C. frutescens. These results indicated that both C. annuum and C. frutescens could present low compatibility and extant genetic relationship. Previous researchers conducted studies to compare the interspecific crossability among 13 different genotypes of 5 cultivated species. The results showed that the cross combination of C. annuum with C. chinense were compatible, and the crosses of C. annuum with C. frutescens were partly compatible, and the cross combination of C. annuum with C. baccatum or C. pubescens were completely incompatible (Yoon et al., 2004). Our results

– Wei et al.

supported their abovementioned views that the crosses of *C. annuum* with *C. frutescens* were partly compatible.

Actually, only eight interspecific hybrids from more than 431 seeds from the cross between C. annuum and C. frutescens were obtained in this study. The remaining seeds did not germinate due to embryo abortion (Figure 3). It has been known that embryo rescue is one of the first and successful forms of in vitro culture techniques (Cisneros and Tel-Zur, 2010). Therefore, to obtain more viable interspecific hybrids F_1 between C. annuum and C. frutescens, embryo rescue technology could be applied before embryo abortion of hybrids. Additionally, it had been reported that C. chinense could be utilized as genetic bridge to improve crosses rates between C. annuum and C. frutescens, C. baccatum and C. pubescens, respectively (Haque et al., 2016; Huang et al, 2015; Manzur et al., 2015; Rodríguez-Burruezo et al, 2010). Thus, C. chinense as genetic bridge is the alternative to achieve viable hybrids between C. annuum and C. frutescens.

In summary, *xiaomila* (*C. frutescens* L) is an excellent wild resource in China (Liu *et al.*, 2013), which has many good characteristics, such as tolerance to high temperature and humidity, low light, and poor conditions (Liu *et al.*, 2013). In this work, interspecific hybrids F_1 were obtained between *C. annuum* and *C. frutescens*, which could be used as bridge species to transfer genes of interest from *C. frutescens*. Also, combined with backcross introgression method, the good genes from *C. frutescens* will be used to enhance the common pepper cultivar in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was partially supported by the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (LY18C150008), National Natural Science Foundation of China (31772294, 31501749), Jiangsu Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (BK20171272), National Key Research and Development Program (2017YFD0101902), State Key Laboratory Breeding Base for Zhejiang Sustainable Pest and Disease Control (No. 2010DS700124-ZZ1807), the Earmarked Fund for China Agriculture Research System (CARS-23-G44), Zhejiang Provincial major Agricultural Science and Technology Projects of New Varieties Breeding (2016C02051).

REFERENCES

- 1. Cheng, Z. F., Qian, C. T., Chen, X. J. and Chen, J. F. 2007. Interspecific Hybridization and Identification of Hybrid in *Capsicum. Acta Hortic. Sin.*, **34:** 883. (In Chinese).
- 2. Cisneros, A. and Tel-Zur, N. 2010. Embryo Rescue and Plant Regeneration Following Interspecific Crosses in the Genus *Hylocereus* (Cactaceae). *Euphytica*, **174**: 73-82.
- Costa, L. V., Lopes, R., Lopes, M. T. G., de Figueiredo, A. F., Barros, W. S. and Alves, S. R. M. 2009. Cross Compatibility of Domesticated Hot Pepper and Cultivated Sweet Pepper. *Crop Breed. Appl. Biotechnol.*, 9: 37-44.
- Dempewolf, H., Eastwood, R. H., Guarino, L., Khoury, C. K., Müller, J. V. and Toll, J. 2014. Adapting Agriculture to Climate Change: A Global Initiative to Collect, Conserve, and Use Crop Wild Relatives. *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.*, 38: 369-377.
- 5. Deng, M. H., Wen, J. F., Zhu, H. S. and Zou, X. X. 2009. The Hottest Pepper Variety in China. *Genet. Resour. Crop. Evol.*, **56**: 605-608.
- Eshbaugh, W. H. 1980. The Taxonomy of the Genus *Capsicum* (Solanaceae)-1980. *Phytologia*, 47: 153-165.
- 7. Hajjar, R. and Hodgkin, T. 2007. The Use of Wild Relatives in Crop Improvement: a Survey of Developments over the Last 20 Years. *Euphytica*, **156**: 1-13.
- Haque, S. M., Paul, S. and Ghosh, B. 2016. High-frequency in Vitro Flowering, Handpollination and Fruit Setting in Ten Different Cultivars of *Capsicum spp.* (*C. annuum, C. chinense*, and *C. frutescens*): An Initial Step Towards in Vitro Hybrid Production. *Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult.*, **127:** 161-173.
- 9. Honnay, O., Jacquemyn, H. and Aerts, R. 2012. Crop Wild Relatives: More Common Ground for Breeders and Ecologists. *Front. Ecol. Environ.*, **1**: 121-121.

- Huang, W., Ji, J. J., Li, C., Li, G. Q., Yin, C. C., Chai, W. G. and Gong, Z. H. 2015. Novel Genetic Male Sterility Developed in (*Capsicum annuum×C. chinense*)×*C. pubescens* and Induced by HNO. *Genet. Mol. Res.*, 14: 3318-3329.
- Ibiza, V. P., Blanca, J., Cañizares, J. and Nuez, F. 2012. Taxonomy and Genetic Diversity of Domesticated *Capsicum* Species in the Andean Region. *Genet. Resour. Crop. Evol.*, **59**: 1077-1088.
- Lin, T., Zhu, G., Zhang, J., Xu, X., Yu, Q., Zheng, Z., Zhang, Z., Lun, Y., Li, S., Wang, Z., Li, J., Zhang, C., Wang, T., Zhang, Y., Wang, A., Zhang, Y., Lin, K., Li, C., Xiong, G., Xue, Y., Mazzucato, A., Causse, M., Fei, Z., Giovannoni,J. J., Chetelat, R. T., Zamir, D., Städler, T., Li, J., Ye, Z., Du, Y. and Huang, S. 2014. Genomic Analyses Provide Insights into the History of Tomato Breeding. *Nat. Genet.*, 46: 1220-1226.
- Liu, S., Li, W., Wu, Y., Chen, C. and Lei, J. 2013. *De Novo* Transcriptome Assembly in Chili Pepper (*Capsicum frutescens*) to Identify Genes Involved in the Biosynthesis of Capsaicinoids. *PloS One*, 8: e48156.
- 14. Manzur, J. P., Fita, A., Prohens, J. and Rodríguez-Burruezo, A. 2015. Successful Wide Hybridization and Introgression Breeding in a Diverse Set of Common Peppers (*Capsicum annuum*) Using Different Cultivated Ají (*C. baccatum*) Accessions as Donor Parents. *PloS One*, **10**: e0144142.
- Martins, K. C., Pereira, T. N. S., Souza, S. A. M., Rodrigues, R. and Amaral Junior, A. T. D. 2015. Crossability and Evaluation of Incompatibility Barriers in Crosses between *Capsicum Species*. *Crop Breed. Appl. Biotechnol.*, **15**: 139-145.
- Monteiro, C. E. D. S., Pereira, T. N. S. and Campos, K. P. D. 2011. Reproductive Characterization of Interspecific Hybrids among *Capsicum* Species. *Crop Breed. Appl. Biotechnol.*, **11**: 241-249.
- 17. Rodríguez-Burruezo, A., Kollmannsberger, H., González-Mas, M. C., Nitz, S. and **HS-SPME** Fernando. N. 2010. Comparative Analysis Genotypic of Diversity in the Volatile Fraction and Aroma-contributing Compounds of Capsicum Fruits from the аппиитchinense-frutescens Complex. J. Agric. Food Chem., 58: 4388-4400.

- Smith, P. G. and Heiser Jr, C. B. 1951. Taxonomic and Genetic Studies on the Cultivated Peppers, *Capsicum annuum L.* and *C. frutescens L. Am. J. Bot.*, 362-368.
- Sudré, C. P., Gonçalves, L. S. A., Rodrigues, R., Amaral Júnior, A. D., Riva-Souza, E. M. and Bento, C. D. S. 2010. Genetic Variability in Domesticated *Capsicum spp.* as Assessed by Morphological and Agronomic Data in Mixed Statistical Analysis. *Genet. Mol. Res.*, **9**: 283-294.
- Sui, Y. H., Hui, N. B. 2015. Acquisition, Identification and Analysis of an Interspecific *Capsicum* Hybrid (*C. annuum×C. chinense*). *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.*, 90: 31-38.
- Thul, S. T., Lal, R. K., Shasany, A. K., Darokar, M. P., Gupta, A. K., Gupta, M. M., Verma, R. K. and Khanuja, S. P. S. 2009. Estimation of Phenotypic Divergence in a Collection of *Capsicum* Species for Yield-Related Traits. *Euphytica*, **168**: 189-196.
- 22. Wahyuni, Y., Ballester, A. R., Tikunov, Y., de Vos, R. C., Pelgrom, K. T., Maharijaya,

A., Sudarmonowati, E., Bino, R. J. and Bovy, A. G. 2013. Metabolomics and Molecular Marker Analysis to Explore Pepper (*Capsicum sp.*) Biodiversity. *Metabolomics*, **9:** 130-144.

- 23. Wang, D. and Bosland, P. W. 2006. The Genes of *Capsicum. HortScience*, **41**: 1169-1187.
- Yoon, J. B., Do, J. W., Kim, S. H. and Par Metabolomics k, H. G. 2009. Inheritance of Anthracnose (*Colletotrichum acutatum*) Resistance in *Capsicum* Using Interspecific Hybridization. *Korean J. Hortic. Sci. Technol.*, 27: 140-144.
- Yoon, J. B., Do, J. W., Yang, D. C. and Park, H. G. 2004. Interspecific Cross Compatibility among Five Domesticated Species of *Capsicum* Genus. *Hortic. Environ. Biotechnol.*, 45: 324-329.
- 26. Yoon, J. B., Yang, D. C., Do, J. W. and Park, H. G. 2006. Overcoming Two Postfertilization Genetic Barriers in Interspecific Hybridization between *Capsicum annuum* and *C. baccatum* for Introgression of Anthracnose Resistance. *Breed. Sci.*, 56: 31-38.

تولید و شناسایی هیبریدهای بین گونه ای فلفل (.(Capsicum annuum L) و یک خویشاوند وحشی (.(Capsicum frutescens L)

ج. وی، ج. ژنگ، ج. یو، د. ژاوو، ی. چن، م. روان، ک. یه، ز. یاوو، ر. وانگ، گ. ژوو، ی. یانگ، ز. لی، و ه. وان

چکیدہ

فلفل وحشی (.Capsicum frutescens L) دارای صفات منحصر به فرد سازگاری با چالش های ناشی از شرایط نامناسب است و می تواند منبعی از تنوع و تغییر برای بهبود فلفل معمولی باشد. به این خاطر، ازدورگ گیری بین گونه ای به عنوان روشی موثر در اصلاح نژاد فلفل با رگرسیون حائلی (introgression breeding) که ژن های مطلوب را از خویشاوندان وحشی به گیاه کشت شده منتقل می کند استفاده شده است. در این آزمایش، با استفاده ازدورگ گیری بین گونه ای، ۸ هیبرید بارو F1از تلاقی فلفل (*c. annuum*) و خویشاوند وحشی آن (.*Iruescens* L) به ترتیب به عنوان ماده و نر، تولید شد. هیبرید های بین گونه ای با استفاده از توصیف کننده های مورفولوژیک رایج و شاخص های مولکولی SSR شناسایی شد. بر پایه نتایج، بین فلفل کشت شده، خویشاوندان وحشی، و هیبرید بین گونه ای F1 تفاوت معناداری در صفات اگرونومیکی وجود داشت. هیبرید بین گونه ای F1 ویژگی های بینابینی داشت هرچند که بیشتر صفات اگرونومیکی هیبرید مقدارشان به مقادیر گونه وحشی نزدیک تر بود. تجزیه تحلیل نشانگرهای SSR به روشنی نشان داد که هیبرید بین گونه ای F1 دارای باندهایی از هر دو نمونه مادری و پدری بود و نشانه ای بود از ناخالص بودن هیبرید [F1 (heterozygous). نتایج آزمایش ما برای بهنژادگرانی که می خواهند ژن های مطلوب را از گونه وحشی(not c. frutescens) به گونه کشت شده منتقل کنند هیبرید فراهم می کند و این گام مهمی است برای روش اصلاح نژاد با رگرسیون حائلی.

JAST