# Comparative Chemical Composition Analysis of Essential Oils in Different Populations of Damask Rose from Iran

Z. Toluei<sup>1\*</sup> S. A. Hosseini Tafreshi<sup>1</sup>, and M. Arefi Torkabadi<sup>2</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Damask rose (Rosa damascena Mill.), belonging to the Rosaceae family, is a unique species. One of the major and popular growing regions of Damask rose is Kashan and its rose essential oil has unique scent and global reputation. The aim of this study was to compare the variation that naturally exists in quantity and quality of essential oils in different populations and selection of the best population. Unfortunately, there is inadequate information about flower oil yield of different populations of R. damascena from different regions of Kashan. Therefore, flowers of R. damascena Mill. were collected from fifteen important rose oil production regions of Kashan, Iran. The chemical composition of essential oil was analyzed by gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry. As a result, based on the dendrogram obtained from cluster analysis of chemical component data, fifteen R. damascena populations were grouped into three clusters. A total of fifty-five compounds were identified and quantified by GC-MS analysis in the rose oil. The essential oil contents (w/w) were ranged from 0.0020% to 0.0190% after isolation in Clevenger apparatus. The major components of the oil contained limonene (0.4-12.8%), 2-phenylethyl alcohol (1.0-1.3%), citronellol (16.2-57.8%), geraniol (0.9-14.1%), methyleugenol (0.5-2.5%), heptadecane (0.8-3.0%), 1nonadecene (2.1-7.5%), nonadec-9-ene (14.9-30.2%), eicosane (1.0-3.3%), heneicosane (5.8-18.6%), tricosane (0.9-5.2%), and pentacosane (0.3-2.1%). The essential oil of Josheghan was considered to have a high quality in terms of richness in citronellol, geraniol, and 2-phenylethyl alcohol monoterpenes and has good potentials as antioxidant and strong fragrance in cosmetic and pharmaceutical industry.

Keywords: Cluster analysis, Citronellol, Geraniol, Gas chromatography, Rosa damascena Mill.

## INTRODUCTION

*Rosa* L. as a major genus belongs to the family Rosaceae and comprises 200 species and up to 18000 cultivars (Gudin, 2000). They are mostly deciduous shrubs (Carins, 2003) distributed in the temperate zones of the northern hemisphere with showy and colorful flowers (Horn, 1992). One of the most important *Rosa* species is *R. damascena* Mill. of which some cultivars are used for oil production and others are cultivated throughout the world as garden

roses (Guenther, 1952). It is called as Damask rose because, in the beginning, this species was introduced from Damascus to the Europe (Gault and Synge, 1987). *Rosa damascena* primarily grew in their natural habitat and still this plant is wild in some countries like Caucasus, Syria, Morocco and Andalusia (Chevallier, 2001). The origin of Damask rose is Iran and the Middle East region (Zargari, 1992; Krussman, 1981). This type of rose is known as national flower of Iran.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Division of Biotechnology, Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Kashan, Kashan, Islamic Republic of Iran.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Agricultural Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture Engineering, Payame Noor University, Branch of Tehran–Shargh, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author, e-mail address: ztoluei@kashanu.ac.ir

Rosa damascena essential oil is used in the pharmaceutical industries as antibacterial (Basim and Basim, 2003), antioxidant (Ozkan et al., 2004), anticonvulsant (Kheirabadi et al., 2008), anti-infective, anti-inflammatory properties (Basim and Basim, 2003) and roseflower extract is used in the anti-HIV (Mahmood et al., 1996) and anti-aging (Jafari et al., 2008). Rose oil is used in traditional medicine for the treatment of stress related conditions, in aromatherapy for treatment of cardiac diseases and it is widely used in perfumery and cosmetic industry as a base component of many modern perfumes cosmetics (Bekhradi and Khayat and Kashani, 2006).

Essential oil from R. damascena is a complex mixture of more than 300 different components in various concentrations, whose amount and composition vary widely between specimens and cultivars. Identifying the percentage of major components is one of the important parameters, which determines the quality of rose oil (Boelens and Boelens, 1997). Rosa damascena essential oil is of worldwide economic importance and the demand and its price is growing continuously in the national and international markets. Thus, essential oils represent a significant upstream business opportunity for the world agricultural sector (Kumar, 2013). High quality rose oil should have a specific gravity of 0.861 g, a reflective index of 1.46, an optical rotation between -3 to -4, and a congealing point between 18°-22 °C (Weiss, 1997).

The present industrial facilities require large amounts of rose flowers for single distillation because 3500 to 4000 kilograms of rose flowers are necessary to produce 1 kg of rose oil. Therefore, the best genotypes should be identified and used based on the percentage and components of essential oil (Farooq, 2011).

Previously, some reports have been published on the quality and quantity of chemical and volatile compounds of *R. damascena* essential oils from different parts of the world including Iran, Turkey, Bulgaria, China, Saudi Arabia, Pakistan, India and etc.

According to their results, citronellol, 2-phenylethyl geraniol, Alcohol, 1nonadecene, nonadec-9-ene, eicosane, nerol, heneicosane, tricosane, α-guaiene, eugenol, and geranyl acetate have been considered as the main components of essential oils (Jirovetz et al., 2005; Khan and Rehman, 2005; Loghmani-Khouzani et al., 2007; Gochev et al., 2008; Yassa et al., 2009; Zeinali et al., 2009; Batooli and Safai-Ghomi, 2010; Moeina et al., 2010; Yousefi et al., 2011; Kurkcuoglu et al., 2013; Halavani, 2014).

One of the major and popular growing regions of Damask rose is Kashan, whose rose essential oil has unique scent. Therefore, the aim of the present work was to evaluate and compare the variation naturally existing in quantity and quality of essential oils isolated from different R. damascena populations from various areas of Kashan, as one of the most important rose oil production of Iran. From a commercial point of view, such study may eventually lead to the identification and selection of the best populations with the highest oil contents and the most favorite component profiling. The could also provide practical results information for future collection of Damask rose germplasm and breeding program.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## **Plant Material**

The whole rose flowers (petals and sepals) were harvested at the time of full bloom in May and June 2015 from fifteen important rose oil production regions of Kashan (Table 1, Figure 1). The samples were harvested in the morning to get the maximum oil content. Flowers were randomly sampled from more than fifty plants for each population. All collected specimens were cultivated populations. The environmental conditions and substrates are almost similar for most populations.

	1 1		e	
Number	Abbreviation	Collection Site	Voucher Number	Altitude(m)
1	Gha	Ghazaan	UKH <sup>a</sup> 209	2316
2	Qam	Qamsar	UKH 210	1900
3	Zey	Zeynabad	UKH 211	2370
4	Mar	Margheh	UKH 212	2378
5	Via	Viduja	UKH 205	1988
6	Vid	Viduj	UKH 206	1976
7	Bar	Barzok	UKH 213	1600
8	Mas	Mashhad Ardahal	UKH 207	1770
9	Kas	Kashan	UKH 214	982
10	Jos	Josheghan	UKH 208	2345
11	Aza1	Azaran (Valley)	UKH 202	1931
12	Aza2	Azaran (Plain)	UKH 201	2700
13	Ezn	Eznaveh	UKH 200	2690
14	Gho	Ghohrud	UKH 203	2400
15	Kam	Kamoo	UKH 204	2345

Table 1. Rosa damascena populations collected from different regions of Kashan.

<sup>a</sup> University of Kashan Herbarium



Figure 1. Distribution map of *R. damascena* populations collected from different regions of Kashan.

### **Hydro–Distillation**

To isolate the essential oil, three hundred grams of fresh flowers were mixed with distilled water (700 mL) and placed in a flask (2 L) connected to the condenser of a Clevenger apparatus (Clevenger, 1928). The

isolation continued for 3.5 hours and the essential oil and water mixture were finally separated by decantation. The essential oils were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), stored in a dark glass bottle, and kept at low temperature (-20 °C) until analysis. The oil contents were calculated as proportion (%) of flower weight.

(Sneath and Sokal, 1963) and Average Distance coefficients using MVSP software Vers. 3.2 (Kovach, 1985-2002). Essential oil variations among populations were analyzed using Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCO) performed in MVSP software (Vers. 3.2). Charts were created in the Microsoft Excel, 2010. The percentage composition of samples was calculated from the GC peak areas.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Essential Oil Components**

The aim of the present study was to analyze content (w/w) and the chemical the composition of the essential oils from R. damascena cultivated in different areas of Kashan, an important region of Iran in rose oil industry. The essential oil yields of the samples ranged from 0.0020 to 0.0190 (w/w %). The yield of oil from R. damascena in different regions of Kashan decreased in the order of Azaran (valley) (0.0190%)> Kashan (0.0170)> Zeynabad (0.0150)> Azaran (plain) (0.0148)> Josheghan (0.0138)> Ghazaan (0.0132)>Ardahal (0.0130)>Margheh (0.0120)> Barzok (0.0110)> Viduj (0.009)> Ghohrud (0.0044)> Qamsar (0.0042)> Kamoo (0.0037)> Viduja (0.0034)> Eznaveh (0.0020). The results regarding oil yield of petals and from different sepals *R*. damascena populations are presented graphically in Figure 2. According to the results, R. damascena population from Azaran1 contained the highest amount of oil, while the lowest value was seen



**GC-MS** Analysis

The essential oil was analyzed with gas

chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS)

for identifying the essential oil components. The

apparatus

technology 6890A system coupled with mass

spectrometer model 5973N, construction Agilent

Company (Palo Alto, CA, USA) equipped with a

Capillary column of HP–5MS (30 m length, 0.25 or 0.32 mm id, film thickness 0.25µm);

injector and detector temperature 250 °C. Stove heat program started at 60 °C and increasing to 246 °C rising at 3 °C.min<sup>-1</sup>.; split ratio 1:50,

detector 70 eV, carrier gas: helium (1.5 Ml.min

<sup>1</sup>.); sample injected 1 µL. MS interface temp:

250 °C; MS mod: EI; mass range: 40-500 u; scan

speed: 769 u/s. Data handling was made through

**Identification and Data Analysis** 

Components of essential oil were identified by comparison of mass spectra of each peak

(Figures. S1-S15, supplementary material) with

those in a mass spectra library (The Wiley

Registry of Mass Spectral Data, 6th Ed.) and

confirmed by comparison of retention indices

relative to n-alkanes obtained by GC with those

A data matrix based on component of essential

oil percentage was assembled and tree was

reconstructed with the unweighted pair-group

method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA)

of authentic samples (Adams, 1989).

Chemstaion G1701CA version C.00.00.

detector. The

performed under the following conditions:

was

analysis

Agilent

was

chromatograph

Quadrupole

Figure 2. Percentage of oil from petals and sepals (W/W) in several *R. damascena* populations collected from different regions of Kashan.

Table 2. The essential oil composition of *R. damascena* populations from different regions of Kashan.

ON	Components	RI a	$RI^{b}$	Formula							Per	Percent (%)							
											Pol	Populations							
					Gha	Qam	Zey	Mar	Via	Vid	Bar	Mas	Kas	Jos	Azal	Aza2	Ezn	Gho	Kam
-	Methylcyclopentane	627	620	$C_6H_{12}$	0.13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	Pyridine	753	740	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.12	0	0
С	Óctane	800	772	C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>18</sub>	0	0	0.18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Hentanal	882	889	C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	Alpha-Pinene	926	918	CinHis	0	0.09	0.32	0	0	0	0.13	0.07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Mvrcene	166	975	CioHis	0	0.14	0.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L	Decane	1000	983	CioH22	0	0.22	0.46	0	0.25	0	0.25	0.20	0.23	0.12	0.21	0	0.12	0	0.28
~	Beta-Phellandrene	1031	993	CioHis	0	0.11	0.23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.07	0	0
6	(6Z)-2.6-	1004	1008	CioHis	0.68	0.43	0.48	1.01	0.65	0.48	0	0.58	0.64	0.38	0.36	0.34	0.51	0.36	0
	Dimethylocta-2.6-			01-01-															
	diene																		
10	Limonene	1013	1018	CinHis	1.87	5	12.80	3.59	6.87	4.59	6.94	С	0	1.58	2.19	4.55	3.45	0.40	8.78
11	Linalool	1097	1001	CinHisO	0.47	0.74	0.53	0.35	0.43	0.44	0.39	0.83	1.28	0.44	0.27	0.54	0.79	0	0.25
12	Rose oxide	1127	1102	CinHisO	0	0.40	0.56	0.38	1.32	0	0.5	0.50	0.24	0.46	1.07	0	0.26	0	0
13	2-phenylethyl Alcohol	1110	1113	C <sub>s</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O	0	0.95	0	0	1.44	0	0	1.27	0	1.02	1.09	0	0.96	0	0
14	Benzene, 1,2,4,5-	1124	1116	$C_{10}H_{14}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.10	0	0
	tetramethyl-																		
15	Terpinen-4-ol	1177	1173	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>18</sub> O	0	0.12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Dodecane	1200	1186	C12H26	0	0.21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.30	0	0
17	Citronellol	1229	1237	C10H20O	43.67	33.04	51.43	41.39	40.68	36.21	29.52	40.03	42.04	57.77	47.14	48.92	43.02	26.80	16.18
18	2,6-Octadienal, 3,7-	1250	1270	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>16</sub> O	0	0.13	0	0	0	0	0	0.23	0	0	0	0	0.16	0	0
	dimethyl-, (E)-																		
19	Geraniol	1253	1257	$C_{10}H_{18}O$	3.38	3.03	1.91	2.54	1.54	0	0.92	5.30	14.9	5.02	2	0	4.53	1.39	0
20	Citronellyl-acetate	1340	1354	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>22</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	0	0.11	0	0	0	0	0	0.27	0	0	0	0	0.13	0	0
21	Geranyl Acetate	1363	1377	C12H20O2	0.22	0.45	0.15	0.49	0	0	0	0.94	1.26	0.29	0	0	0.65	0	0
22	Tetradecene	1385	1382	C14H28	0	0.20	0	0	0	0	0	0.18	0	0	0	0	0.31	0	0
23	Methyleugenol	1390	1402	C11H14O2	1.55	1.56	1.25	1.70	2.47	1.68	0.66	0.81	2.07	0.50	1.48	1.17	0.68	0.74	0
24	Beta-Elemene	1391	1386	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	0	0.53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	Tetradecane	1400	1390	C14H30	0	0.12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.12	0	0
26	Beta-Caryophyllene	1418	1410	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	0.51	0.65	0.29	0.50	0.27	0.52	0.32	0.18	0.64	0	0	0	0.46	0.72	0.51
27	Alpha-Guaiene	1439	1430	C15H24	0.37	0.63	0.29	0.54	0.45	0.71	0.29	0	0.36	0.14	0	0	0.23	0.87	0.76
28	Alpha-Humulene	1446	1444	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	0.39	0.51	0.31	0.51	0.34	0.43	0.22	0	0.44	0.14	0	0	0.24	0.61	0.53
29	Germacrene-D	1480	1474	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	0.88	0.96	0.45	0.96	0.47	0.60	0.39	0.11	0.82	0.21	0.28	0	0.40	1.50	1.13
30	Eugenol Acetate	1482	1483	$C_{12}H_{14}O_3$	0.68	66.0	0.57	0	0.53	0	0.34	0.48	1.99	0.0	0.58	0.0	0.68	0	0
31	Pentadecane	1500	1486	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>32</sub>	0.49	0.31	0	0.23	0.46	0.29	0.19	0.25	0.29	0.14	0.44	0.41	0.14	0.28	0.23
32	2-Isopropenyl-4a,8-	1503	1495	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	0	0.18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	dimethyl-																		
	-/,0,2,4,4a,5,0,1,-																		
23	octanyuronapinuatene Azrilane	1508	1408	Сн	032	0.67	033	0.54	0.56	<i>LL</i> 0	0 34	0	0	0	0	0	0 74	110	0.68
2	1.2.3.5.6.7.8.8a-			+711CIA	1	-			0.00			<b>,</b>	5	\$	þ	<b>b</b>			
	octahydro-																		
	1,4dimethyl-7-(1-																		
	methylethenyl)-,[1s-																		
	(1a,7a,8Ab)]-																		



JAST

[ DOR: 20.1001.1.16807073.2019.21.2.7.8 ]

Continued of Table 2.

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Componicino												0440						
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Delta-Cadinene         1524         1520 $C_3H_{34}$ 0 $0.07$ 0           Heptadecane         1660         1676 $C_1H_{34}$ 0.33         0.16         0           Heptadecane         1660         1572 $C_3H_{34}$ 0         0.07         0           Heptadecane         1700         1706 $C_{13}H_{36}$ 0.18         0         0.16         0           Trans-Famesol         1707         1704 $C_{13}H_{36}$ 0.23         0.16         0           Octadecene         1811         1793 $C_{18}H_{36}$ 0         0 </th <th></th> <th>ropulati</th> <th>OIIS</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>													ropulati	OIIS						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} Delta-Cadimere & 1524 & 1520 & C_{15}H_{34} & 0 & 0.07 & 0 \\ Heytadecsner & 1664 & C_{15}H_{34} & 0.3 & 0.16 & 0 \\ Heytadecsner & 1706 & 1555 & C_{15}H_{36} & 0.33 & 0.16 & 0 \\ Famesol & 1706 & 1754 & C_{15}H_{36} & 0.33 & 0.16 & 0 \\ Trans-Farnesol & 1707 & 1704 & C_{15}H_{36} & 0.28 & 0.31 & 0 \\ Trans-Farnesol & 1707 & 1704 & C_{15}H_{36} & 0.28 & 0.31 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1-0 Catadecene & 1811 & 1795 & C_{16}H_{36} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0$						Gha	Qam	Zey	Mar	Via	Vid	Bar	Mas	Kas	Jos	Azal	Aza2	Ezn	Gho	Kam
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hexadecane         1600         1593 $C_{1}H_{14}$ 0         0.18         0           Heptadecane         1700         1655 $C_{1}H_{14}$ 0.33         0.16         0           Heptadecane         1700         1754 $C_{3}H_{20}$ 0         0         0         0           Trans-Farnesol         1707         1704 $C_{3}H_{20}$ 0         0 <td< td=""><td></td><td>elta-Cadinene</td><td>1524</td><td>1520</td><td>C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub></td><td>0</td><td>0.07</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></td<>		elta-Cadinene	1524	1520	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>	0	0.07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Heptadec-8-ene         1664         1676 $C_1H_{44}$ 0.33         0.16         0           Heptadecane         1700         1695 $C_1H_{46}$ 0.75         0         0         0           Trans-Farnesol         1706         1754 $C_1H_{46}$ 0.75         0         0         0           Trans-Farnesol         1706         1794 $C_1H_{46}$ 0.75         0         0         0           Octadecene         1811         1793 $C_1H_{46}$ 0         0		Hexadecane	1600	1593	C16H34	0	0.18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.18	0	0
Heynelsene         170         1053         C,HA         304         1.0         1.16         2.34         2.44         1.86         2         1.89         2.94         0.73         1.14         1.15         2.12         1.33         1.15         2.12         1.33         1.15         2.12         1.33         1.15         2.12         1.31         1.15         2.12         1.31 <t< td=""><td>Heptadecare         1700         1695         <math>C_1H_{36}</math>         3.04         1.70         1.16           Farnesol         1706         1754         <math>C_{3}H_{36}</math>         0         0         0         0         0           Trans-Farnesol         1706         1704         <math>C_{3}H_{36}</math>         0</td><td></td><td>eptadec-8-ene</td><td>1664</td><td>1676</td><td>C17H34</td><td>0.33</td><td>0.16</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.12</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.25</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>	Heptadecare         1700         1695 $C_1H_{36}$ 3.04         1.70         1.16           Farnesol         1706         1754 $C_{3}H_{36}$ 0         0         0         0         0           Trans-Farnesol         1706         1704 $C_{3}H_{36}$ 0         0		eptadec-8-ene	1664	1676	C17H34	0.33	0.16	0	0	0	0	0	0.12	0	0	0.25	0	0	0	0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Farmesol         1706         1754 $C_{13}H_{36}O$ 0.75         0         0           Trans-Farmesol         1707         1704 $C_{13}H_{36}O$ 0.75         0 <td< td=""><td>1</td><td>Heptadecane</td><td>1700</td><td>1695</td><td>C17H36</td><td>3.04</td><td>1.70</td><td>1.16</td><td>2.34</td><td>2.34</td><td>1.86</td><td>2</td><td>1.59</td><td>2.94</td><td>0.77</td><td>2.32</td><td>1.83</td><td>1.15</td><td>2.12</td><td>2.53</td></td<>	1	Heptadecane	1700	1695	C17H36	3.04	1.70	1.16	2.34	2.34	1.86	2	1.59	2.94	0.77	2.32	1.83	1.15	2.12	2.53
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} Trans-Farnesol & 1707 & 1704 & C_{18}H_{26}O & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Cotadecene & 1811 & 1793 & C_{18}H_{26}O & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1-6-5-Octadecene & 1811 & 1793 & C_{18}H_{26}O & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & $	8	Farnesol	1706	1754	$C_{15}H_{26}O$	0.75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Octadecane         1800         1796 $C_{18}H_{36}$ 0.28         0.31         0           1-Octadecene         1811         1793 $C_{18}H_{36}$ 0         0		rans-Farnesol	1707	1704	$C_{15}H_{26}O$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.07	0	0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Octadecane	1800	1796	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>38</sub>	0.28	0.31	0	0	0.28	0	0.25	0.23	0.29	0.15	0.27	0	0.27	0.34	0.38
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1-5-Octadecene	1811	1793	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>36</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.16	0	0
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-Octadecene	1845	2074	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>36</sub>	0	0	0	0	0.24	0	0	0	0	0	0.33	0	0	0	0
	Nonadec-9-ene         1875         1910 $C_{10}H_{35}O_2$ 0         0		-Hexadecanol	1860	1830	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>34</sub> O	0	0.11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.22	0	0
$ \begin{array}{c ccc} \mbox{Palmitic acid} & 1984 & 1970 & C_{a}H_{3}O_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & $	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		onadec-9-ene	1875	1910	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>38</sub>	22.34	24.45	17.72	28.16	7.61	27.32	33.29	22.35	21.16	19	25.49	25.98	18.27	29.72	37.35
	Nonadecare         1900         2065 $C_{19}H_{40}$ 0         0 <th< td=""><td></td><td>Palmitic acid</td><td>1984</td><td>1970</td><td>C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>2</sub></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.59</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></th<>		Palmitic acid	1984	1970	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>32</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.59	0	0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Eicosane         2000 $2002$ $C_{20}H_{24}$ $1.28$ $2.46$ $1.04$ 10-Hencicosane         2104         2091 $C_{13}H_{23}$ 0         0         228         0           1-Octadecanol         2074         2091 $C_{13}H_{23}$ 0         0         228         0           1-Octadecanol         2074         2091 $C_{13}H_{45}$ 0         0.228         0           1-Octadecanol         207         2091 $C_{13}H_{45}$ 0         0.28         0           Pentacosane         2200         2303 $C_{23}H_{45}$ 0         0.101         0.32           Pentacosane         2300         2303 $C_{24}H_{56}$ 0         0.18         0           Tetracosane         2567         2400 $C_{34}H_{56}$ 0         0 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Nonadecane</td><td>1900</td><td>2065</td><td><math>C_{19}H_{40}</math></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.32</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.38</td><td>0.42</td></td<>		Nonadecane	1900	2065	$C_{19}H_{40}$	0	0	0	0.32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.38	0.42
	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	Eicosane	2000	2002	$C_{20}H_{24}$	1.28	2.46	1.04	1.83	1.86	2.97	2.25	2.21	1.25	1.38	2.16	1.33	1.84	3.33	3.63
	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} 1-\text{Octadecanol} & 2074 & 2091 & C_{18}H_{36}O & 0 & 0.28 & 0 \\ \text{Hencicosane} & 2100 & 2108 & C_{21}H_{44} & 5.75 & 12.82 & 6.06 \\ \text{Docosane} & 2200 & 2198 & C_{23}H_{36} & 0.97 & 3.08 & 0.93 \\ \text{Tricosane} & 2200 & 2303 & C_{23}H_{36} & 0.97 & 3.08 & 0.93 \\ \text{Pentacosane} & 2500 & 2303 & C_{24}H_{36} & 0.97 & 3.08 & 0.93 \\ \text{Tetracontane} & 2500 & 2303 & C_{24}H_{36} & 0 & 0.18 & 0 \\ \text{Hexatriacontane} & 3600 & 3550 & C_{36}H_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0.18 & 0 \\ \text{Total Monoterpen} & & 2567 & 2400 & C_{34}H_{36} & 0 & 0.18 & 0 \\ \text{Total Monoterpen} & & 3600 & 3550 & C_{56}H_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0.18 & 0 \\ \text{Total Monoterpen} & & 3600 & 3550 & C_{56}H_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{Total Monoterpen} & & & 2.557 & 2407 & 4.15 & 1.67 \\ \text{Total Monoterpen} & & & & 0.75 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{Total Sequiterpen} & & & & 0.75 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{Total Nonterpenids} & & & & & 0.75 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{Total Nonterpenids} & & & & & & & 0.75 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{Total Idontine} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		feneicosene (c, t)	2060	2078	$C_{21}H_{42}$	0	0.28	0	0	0	0	0	0.19	0	0	0	0	0.17	0	0
Hencicosane         2100         2108 $C_{a}H_{a}$ 5.75         12.82         6.06         9.73         8.85         15.34         16.76         12.43         5.86         8.22         9.40         10.82         12.55         20.86         0.63           Discosane         2200         2303 $C_{a}H_{a}$ 0.71         0.97         308         0.93         20         1.17         0.46         0.42         2.28         0.71         0.97         206           Pentacosane         2500         2498 $C_{a}H_{a}$ 0         0.10         0.32         0.53         0.71         0.97         206         307         0.97         206         306         357         2400         0.24         0	Heneicosane $2100$ $2108$ $C_{21}H_{44}$ $5.75$ $12.82$ $6.06$ Docosane $2200$ $2198$ $C_{23}H_{43}$ $0$ $0.29$ $0$ Tetracosane $2200$ $2303$ $C_{23}H_{43}$ $0$ $1.01$ $0.33$ Pentacosane $2500$ $2303$ $C_{24}H_{33}$ $0$ $1.01$ $0.32$ Pentacosane $2500$ $2303$ $C_{24}H_{33}$ $0$ $1.01$ $0.32$ Pentacosane $2567$ $2400$ $C_{34}H_{34}$ $0$ $0.18$ $0$ Total Monoterpen $3600$ $3550$ $C_{34}H_{34}$ $0$ $0.18$ $0$ Total Monoterpen $3600$ $3550$ $C_{34}H_{34}$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Total Monoterpen $3500$ $3550$ $C_{34}H_{34}$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$		-Octadecanol	2074	2091	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>38</sub> O	0	0.28	0	0	0	0	0	0.23	0	0	0.24	0	0.26	0	0.25
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{ccccccc} Docosane & 2200 & 2198 & C_{23}H_{48} & 0 & 0.29 & 0 \\ Tricosane & 2300 & 2303 & C_{33}H_{38} & 0.97 & 3.08 & 0.93 \\ Penatocosane & 2567 & 2498 & C_{34}H_{32} & 0 & 1.01 & 0.32 \\ Tetracosane & 2567 & 2400 & C_{34}H_{49} & 0 & 0.18 & 0 \\ Total Monoterpen & 3600 & 3550 & C_{36}H_{74} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ nydrocarbons & 600 & 3550 & C_{36}H_{74} & 2.55 & 5.77 & 1.67 \\ nydrocarbons & 600 & 3550 & C_{36}H_{74} & 2.55 & 5.77 & 1.67 \\ nydrocarbons & 0.75 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ nydrocarbons & 0.75 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ nydrocarbons & 0.75 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ not genated & 2.461 & 48.37 & 27.87 \\ not al Sequiterpen & 0.22 & 0 & 0 \\ not correct & 0 & 0.223 & 2.55 & 1.82 \\ not yer hory propanoids & 0 & 0.22 & 0 & 0 \\ not correct & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ not correct & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ not correct & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ not correct & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ not correct & 0 & 0 & $		Heneicosane	2100	2108	$C_{21}H_{44}$	5.75	12.82	6.06	9.73	8.85	15.34	16.76	12.43	5.86	8.22	9.40	10.82	12.55	20.86	18.55
$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Tricosane         2300 $2303$ $C_{23}H_{48}$ $0.97$ $3.08$ $0.93$ Pentacosane $2560$ $2498$ $C_{55}H_{23}$ $0$ $1.01$ $0.32$ Pentacosane $2567$ $2400$ $C_{54}H_{30}$ $0$ $0.18$ $0$ Hexatriacontane $3600$ $3550$ $C_{54}H_{34}$ $0$ $0.18$ $0$ Indial Monoterpein $3600$ $3550$ $C_{54}H_{34}$ $0$ $0.18$ $0$ Invariance $3600$ $3550$ $C_{54}H_{34}$ $0$ $0.18$ $0$ Invariance $3600$ $3550$ $C_{54}H_{34}$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Invariance $0$ $3600$ $3550$ $C_{54}H_{34}$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Invariance $0$ $3500$ $3550$ $5.47$ $4.15$ $1.67$ Invariance $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Invariance $0$	_	Docosane	2200	2198	C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>46</sub>	0	0.29	0	0	0	0.42	0.28	0.31	0	0	0	0	0.28	0.63	0.53
$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Pentacosare $2500$ $2498$ $C_{24}H_{30}$ 0         1.01         0.32           Tetracosare $2567$ $2400$ $C_{34}H_{30}$ 0         0.18         0           Tetracostare $2567$ $2400$ $C_{34}H_{30}$ 0         0.18         0           Total Monoterpen $3600$ $3550$ $C_{36}H_{34}$ 0         0	2	Tricosane	2300	2303	C <sub>23</sub> H <sub>48</sub>	0.97	3.08	0.93	2.29	1.71	4.02	2.92	3.2	1.22	1.21	1.89	2.38	2.94	5.75	4.94
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Tetracosane $2567$ $2400$ $C_{24}H_{50}$ $0$ $0.18$ $0$ Hexatriacontane $3600$ $3550$ $C_{56}H_{34}$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Total Monoterpen $3600$ $3550$ $C_{56}H_{34}$ $0$ <td></td> <td>Pentacosane</td> <td>2500</td> <td>2498</td> <td><math>C_{25}H_{52}</math></td> <td>0</td> <td>1.01</td> <td>0.32</td> <td>0.59</td> <td>0.41</td> <td>1.36</td> <td>0.84</td> <td>1.17</td> <td>0.46</td> <td>0.42</td> <td>0.55</td> <td>0.71</td> <td>0.97</td> <td>2.06</td> <td>1.76</td>		Pentacosane	2500	2498	$C_{25}H_{52}$	0	1.01	0.32	0.59	0.41	1.36	0.84	1.17	0.46	0.42	0.55	0.71	0.97	2.06	1.76
Hexatriacontane $3600$ $3550$ $C_{36}H_{44}$ $0$	Hexatriacontane         3600         3550         C <sub>36</sub> H <sub>24</sub> 0         0 <th0< th=""> <th1< td=""><td></td><td>Tetracosane</td><td>2567</td><td>2400</td><td><math>C_{24}H_{50}</math></td><td>0</td><td>0.18</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.17</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.16</td><td>0.36</td><td>0.33</td></th1<></th0<>		Tetracosane	2567	2400	$C_{24}H_{50}$	0	0.18	0	0	0	0	0	0.17	0	0	0	0	0.16	0.36	0.33
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2.55 5.77 1.67 47.74 38.02 54.58 2.47 4.15 1.67 0.75 0 0 2.23 2.55 1.82 34.61 48.37 27.87 0 87.13 99.81 87.61		exatriacontane	3600	3550	$C_{36}H_{74}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.48	0	0	0	0	0
47.74 $38.02$ $54.58$ $45.15$ $49.97$ $36.65$ $31.33$ $47.65$ $59.72$ $64.03$ $50.48$ $49.46$ $49.54$ $28.19$ $2.47$ $4.15$ $1.67$ $3.05$ $2.09$ $3.03$ $1.56$ $0.29$ $2.26$ $0.49$ $0.28$ $0$ $1.57$ $4.47$ $0.75$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0.07$ $0$ $2.23$ $2.55$ $1.82$ $1.70$ $3$ $1.68$ $1$ $1.29$ $4.06$ $0.50$ $2.06$ $0.74$ $0.74$ $2.23$ $2.55$ $1.82$ $1.70$ $3$ $1.68$ $1$ $1.29$ $4.06$ $0.50$ $2.06$ $1.17$ $1.36$ $0.74$ $2.161$ $49.54$ $24.01$ $53.58$ $58.4$ $44.95$ $33.77$ $31.89$ $43.46$ $40.78$ $65.83$ $0.74$ $0.22$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0.16$ $0.75$ $0.74$	47.74       38.02       54.58         2.47       4.15       1.67         2.47       4.15       1.67         0.75       0       0         2.23       2.55       1.82         34.61       48.37       27.87         0       0       0.22       0.27.87         87.13       99.81       87.61	Tot	tal Monoterpen				2.55	5.77	1.67	4.6	7.52	5.07	7.07	3.65	0.64	1.96	2.55	4.89	4.03	0.76	8.78
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	47.74 38.02 54.58 - 2.47 4.15 1.67 0.75 0 0 2.23 2.55 1.82 34.61 48.37 27.87 0 0 0.22 0.87.13 99.81 87.61	4	lydrocarbons																		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.47 4.15 1.67 0.75 0 0 2.23 2.55 1.82 34.61 48.37 27.87 0 0.222 0.87	Tot	tal Monoterpen				47.74	38.02	54.58	45.15	49.97	36.65	31.33	47.65	59.72	64.03	50.48	49.46	49.54	28.19	16.43
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		oxygenated																		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.75 0 0 2.23 2.55 1.82 34.61 48.37 27.87 0 0.22 0.87.13 99.81 87.61	Tot	al Sesquiterpen				2.47	4.15	1.67	3.05	2.09	3.03	1.56	0.29	2.26	0.49	0.28	0	1.57	4.47	3.61
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	q	lydrocarbons																		
2.23       2.55       1.82       1.70       3       1.68       1       1.29       4.06       0.50       2.06       1.17       1.36       0.74         34.61       48.37       27.87       45.49       24.01       53.58       58.4       44.95       33.7       31.89       43.55       43.46       40.78       65.83       7         0       0.22       0       0       0       0       0       0       1.25       0         87.13       99.81       87.61       99.99       88.03       94.94       99.36       99.1       100       100       98.98       98.36       99.99       1       100       100       98.98       99.99       5	2.23 2.55 1.82 34.61 48.37 27.87 0 0.222 0 87.13 99.81 87.61	Tot	al Sesquiterpen				0.75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.07	0	0
2.23     2.55     1.82     1.70     3     1.68     1     1.29     4.06     0.50     2.06     1.17     1.36     0.74       34.61     48.37     27.87     45.49     24.01     53.58     58.4     44.95     33.7     31.89     43.55     43.46     40.78     65.83       0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     1.25     0       87.13     92.81     87.61     99.99     88.03     94.94     99.36     99.1     100     100     98.98     93.99     9	2.23 2.55 1.82 34.61 48.37 27.87 0 0.22 0 87.13 99.81 87.61		oxygenated																		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34.61 48.37 27.87 0 0.22 0 87.13 99.81 87.61		Total				2.23	2.55	1.82	1.70	С	1.68	-	1.29	4.06	0.50	2.06	1.17	1.36	0.74	0
34.61 48.37 27.87 45.49 24.01 53.58 58.4 44.95 33.7 31.89 43.55 43.46 40.78 65.83 7 0 0.22 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1.16 0 0 1.25 0 87.13 99.81 87.61 99.99 88.03 94.94 99.36 99.1 100 100 98.98 98.36 99.99 9	34.61 48.37 27.87 0 0.22 0 87.13 99.81 87.61	Ph	enylpropanoids																		
0 0.22 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0.22 0 87.13 99.81 87.61	Tota	I Nonterpenoids				34.61	48.37	27.87	45.49	24.01	53.58	58.4	44.95	33.7	31.89	43.55	43.46	40.78	65.83	71.18
		Ť	Unknown Jaal identified				0 87.13	0.22	0 87.61	0 00	0 88.03	$0 \\ 94 94$	0 36	0 0	0 001	0.16	001	0 98.98	1.25 98.36	0 00	0 55

in Eznaveh population. This showed a significant variation in the oil contents among different Iranian populations of R. damascena. Lower variations reported in the essential oil from Bulgarian or Turkish roses ranged from 0.032-0.049% (from flower)(Kovatcheva et al., 2011) or 0.032-0.040% (from petals)(Baydar and Baydar, 2005) (w/w), respectively. The reason for these relatively low variations might be related to the fact that R. damascena from these countries mainly originated from cultivated single genotypes which have been vegetatively propagated for years (Agaoglu et al., 2000).

Additionally, a high variability in the chemical composition of rose oil from Kashanian populations has been detected by GC-MS analysis. More than fifty-five components were identified in the essential oil of *R. damascena* populations. The identified components along with their percentage are shown in Table 2. A total of 23, 43, 25, 21, 24, 18, 23, 31, 21, 22, 22, 12, 41, 21 and 21 compounds were identified in the essential oil of R. damascena from Ghazaan, Qamsar, Zeynabad, Margheh, Viduja, Viduj, Barzok, Mashhad Ardahal, Kashan, Josheghan, Azaran1, Azaran2, Eznaveh, Ghohrud and Kamoo, respectively. Among the components, thirteen included limonene, 2-phenylethyl Alcohol, citronellol, geraniol, methyleugenol, heptadecane, 1-nonadecene, nonadec-9-ene, eicosane, heneicosane, tricosane, and were identified pentacosane in all populations, representing more than 88% of the total oil (Table 2).

Alcoholic monoterpene citronellol, which is an important constituent in *R. damascena* (Kovatcheva *et al.*, 2011) had the highest value in *R. damascena* from Josheghan (57.8%) and the lowest value in *R. damascena* from Kamoo (16.2%). Citronellol was the dominant compound in oils of all Kashanian populations. The percentage of this component in the oil of *R. damascena* from other populations was in the following order: 51.4% (Zeynabad), 48.9% (Azaran2), 47.1%, (Azaran1), 43.7%

42.0% (Ghazaan), 43.0% (Eznaveh), 41.4% (Margheh), 40.7% (Kashan), (Viduja), 40.0% (Ardahal), 36.2% (Viduj), 33.0% (Qamsar), 29.5% (Barzok) and 26.8% (Ghohrud) (Table 2). This compound, as one of the most important ingredient responsible for scent of rose oil, has not been observed in oils isolated from R. damascena cultivated in Guilan (north of Iran) and India (Yassa et al., 2009; Perumal et al., 2012). In these studies, rose essential oils were isolated from rose petals. Rosa damascena from Pakistan and south part of Iran have also been reported to contain a low value of citronellol (3.7% and 6.1%, respectively) (Moeina et al., 2010; Khan and Rehman, 2005). Also, Khan and Rehman used petals to isolate essential oils. The composition of the major oil components in the rose populations from Iran and other countries is compared in Table 3.

Geraniol, as another major component, was found to be highest (14.1%) in the oil isolated from the R. damascena population from Kashan. The values were 5.3%, 5.0%, 4.5%, 3.4%, 3.0%, 2.5%, 2.0%, 1.9%, 1.5% and 1.4% which were related to R. damascena populations from Mashhad Ardahal, Josheghan, Eznaveh, Ghazaan, Qamsar, Margheh, Azaran1, Zeynabad, Viduja and Ghohrud, respectively. This component was not detected in the essential oil from Viduj and Azaran2. Although, according to the findings of Loghmani-Khouzani et al. (2007), geraniol was among the main components of R. damascena from Viduj regions (18.1%), it was not observed in Viduj population. This might be related to the different flower harvesting time or sample-to-sample variations.

2-Phenylethyl alcohol is another important constituent of rose oil for fragrance, but low values of this compound were detected or even absent in some populations tested in the present study. Among the populations, Viduja, Mashhal Ardahal, Azaran1, Josheghan, Eznaveh and Qamsar contained 1.4%, 1.3%, 1.1%, 1.0%, 1.0% and 1.0% 2phenylethyl alcohol, respectively. This

[ DOR: 20.1001.1.16807073.2019.21.2.7.8 ]

Turkey 1 India 27.2 Pakistan 70.9 2.7 3.7 1.5 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 Bulgaria 1 . . . . . . . . . . 8.0 6.0-111.0 6.0-111.0 14.0-20.0 14.0-20.0 11.0-16.0 7.0 Arabia Saudi Chin 30.7 36.8 35.8 116.1 16.1 11.0 11.7.0 11.7.0 Populations from other studies 1 South of Iran 2.4 - -39.7 - 4.5 32.4 7.3 Percent (%) 6.1 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 11 1 1 1 [-1]Guilan 3.1 15.1 --2.9 2.8 18.6 0.9 3.8 24.1 Kahriz No 3.1 Vidor 0.3 Khonab  $\begin{array}{c} 14.9\\ 14.9\\ 11.8\\ 11.6\\$ 0.4 Viduj 32.5 0.4 0.3 Dorrin \_ \_ 23.6 1 Qamsar 26.1 26.1 2.6 2.6 2.7 2.7 2.7 1.7 1.7 0.4 0.4 0.2 1 | | | | | | Beta-Caryophyllene Citronellyl acetate Trisilocendecanol Hexatriacontane Nonadec-9-ene Methyleugenol Germacrene-D n-Tricosane 2-Phenylethy Heneicosane Cis-farnesol Heptadecane Pentacosane Components Nonadecane Pentadecane Hexadecane 9-Eicosene Octadecane Citronellol Docosane Tricosane Rhodinol Geraniol Eicosane Linalool Eugenol Alcohol Nerol

Table 3. The comparison of major essential oil composition percentage of R. damascena in other populations from Iran and different countries.

component was not present in essential oils other tested populations (Table 2).

The highest percentage of 2-phenylethyl alcohol was reported in a Pakistanian genotype (70.9%) (Khan and Rehman, 2005). In this research, high amount of 2-phenylethyl alcohol was due to the use of the distillation of recovered solvent method. It is hardly possible to hydro-distillate rose oil, because of very good solubility of this alcohol in water. This compound was also high and one of the main component of rose essential oil from India with a percentage of 27.2 (Perumal *et al.*, 2012).

Methyleugenol is a naturally occurring carcinogenic phenylpropanoid compound (Rusanov et al., 2012) and is a controlled item (at least in Europe) in rose essential oil, due to alleged carcinogenic properties. Therefore, the variable concentration of methyl eugenol may be considered in priority when developing an agricultural selection program. This compound was observed in all populations, except Kamoo. This component was the highest and the lowest in populations from Viduja (2.5%) and Josheghan (0.5%), respectively. This component has a positive effect in rose scent erection (Baser, 1992) and anti bactericidal properties.

Among the components, Limonene was the major compound in all populations, except Kashan. Interestingly, Limonene, which was rarely reported in other studies (Jirovetz, 2002), ranged from 0.4% (Ghohrud) to 12.8% (Zeynabad) in the present study.

The highest value of heptadecane (3.0%) was found in rose oil isolated from Ghazaan population, while the population from Josheghan contained the lowest value of this component (0.8%). In this study, the highest (3.3%) and the lowest (1.0%) values of eicosane belonged to Kamoo and Zeynabad populations, respectively, while eicosane as high as 20.5% has been previously reported for Khonab (Loghmani–Khouzani *et al.*, 2007). Nonadec-9-ene content had the highest values in Kamoo (7.5%) and the lowest in Josheghan (2.1%) populations. The

amount of nonadecane was found at a high level (15.9–30.2%) in all tested populations. In this term, Barzok population had the highest content of nonadecane. Eicosane showed the highest value (3.3%) in Kamoo population, while Zeynabad population showed the lowest value of 1.0%.

contents nonadec-9-ene, The of nonadecane and eicosane (compounds that reduce the oil quality) were the highest in Kamoo population with the contents of 7.5%, 29.8%, and 3.3%, respectively. The lowest value of nonadec-9-ene was observed in R. damascena population from Josheghan whereas, higher values (2.1%),of nonadecane up to 40.7%, 39.7% and 39.7% has also been previously observed in landrace from Qamsar, south of Iran, and Dorrin, respectively (Loghmani-Khouzani et al., 2007; Khan and Rehman, 2005). The maximum amount of heneicosane (20.9%) was observed in the oil isolated from Ghohrud population, while Ghazaan was the poorest population for this component (5.8%). The component heneicosane was observed in the Viduj population (15.3%) and was higher than that reported by Loghmani-Khouzani et al. (9.6%) (2007); however, there was no significant difference in heneicosane content of Oamsar population between the present study and Loghmani-Khouzani et al. results. The results also showed that tricosane was one of the major components in rose oil from all the populations tested in our study and ranged from 0.9% in Margheh to 5.2% in Ghohrud populations, however, it has not been reported in most other studies. Ghohrud also had the highest content of pentacosane (2.1%), while the minimum content of this compound was recorded in Zeynabad population (0.3%). The latter component was not present in the essential oil of Ghazaan population (Table 2). In this study, two major components of the oil were pentacosane and tricosane, which were also identified in Qamsar and Viduj populations, but, these compounds have not been detected in the oils isolated previously from

these populations (Loghmani-Khouzani et al., 2007).

The chemical composition of Bulgarian rose essential oil was similar to that of rose essential oil from Turkey (Jirovetz et al., 2006; Gochev et al., 2013), which might emphasize again on the unique origin of these two European genotypes. Overall results showed huge variations not only in the quality but also in the quantity of the oils isolated from different populations of Iranian roses. This is seemingly related to the good potential of Iranian climates for trigging the specification of roses. Besides their genetic makeup, the variations in percentage of chemical composition in Iranian populations of roses could also be due to the differences in environmental culture condition, genotype, conditions for keeping the flowers after harvest until the time of extraction of the essential oil. distillation temperature. duration of extraction. extraction methods. developmental stage of plants, or harvesting time of flower.

From a commercial point of view, the amount of compounds such as citronellol, geraniol, and 2-phenylethyl alcohol is considered as one of the best criteria for assaying the quality of rose essential oil and has significant importance for the aroma quality (Chen et al., 1985; Sood et al., 1994). These compounds were reported to be the chief constituents in the rose oil (Tambe and Gotmare, 2016). Therefore, selection of the genotypes with the highest content of these valuable compounds would be a critical key to increase the oil productivity and to decrease the expense of oil production in future industry. The comparative percentage of these three compounds in R. damascena populations tested in our study is shown in Figure 3.

Many other components that are present in low amount are important for the quality of the rose oil. The key flavor components that contribute to the distinctive scent of rose are beta-ionone beta-damascenone, betadamascone, and rose oxide (Kovats, 1987; Ohloff, 1994). Beta-damascenone and betadamascone compounds that are considered as the marker for the quality of rose oil and beta-ionone were not present in essential oils of all studied populations. The highest amount (0.8%) of rose oxide was in Viduja. This monoterpene was not observed in Ghazaan, Viduj, Kashan, Azaran2, Ghohrud, and Kamoo populations.

It was shown that the essential oil from Josheghan contained large amounts of monoterpenes (66%) that constitute more than half of the total oil. These compounds are mainly used in perfumes and scents; Kamoo population was characterized with a large content of sesquiterpenes and a less amount of monoterpenes (16.2%). In addition, the Kamoo's oil was rich in waxy and heavy compounds such as nonadecane, nonadec-9-ene, heneicosane, and tricosane comprising 60.2% of the oil and the longchain compounds called Steroptens that decrease the quality of oil and cause perfume not to smell good. Besides, rose oxide, which is a fragrance chemical, was found in Josheghan (0.5%) rose oil, but was not present in Kamoo population.

## **Cluster Analysis and PCA**

Cluster analysis was performed on the whole set of data with UPGMA method and percent similarity coefficients (Figure 4). Dendrogram showed 3 main clusters at 0/13 identity level.

Kashan population formed individual clusters I. Cluster II comprised of Ghohrud, Kamoo, Azaran2, Barzok and Viduj and Cluster III included nine populations: Viduja, Zeynabad, Josheghan, Azaran1, Margheh, Eznaveh, Mashhad Ardahal, Qamsar, and Ghazaan.

Based on the data from oil constituents, PCO analysis also classified the populations into three distinct groups (Figure 5), more supporting the results from cluster analysis by UPGMA. Eigenvalues and individual and cumulative percentages of variance among the data accounted for the first three axes of Rosa damascena Essential Oil Components -



**Figure 3.** Comparative percentage of citronellol, geraniol and 2-phenylethyl alcohol among *R. damascena* populations in different regions of Kashan.



Figure 4. The UPGMA dendrogram of *R. damascena* populations in different regions of Kashan based on oil constituents.



**Figure 5.** Plot of Principal Coordinates Analysis of *R. damascena* populations in different regions of Kashan for oil constituents.

the principle components analysis and are presented in Table 4.

These results revealed that the populations clustered in each group had the same quality but different quantitative composition.

Kashan population, which clustered individually, contained high amounts of linalool (1.3%), geraniol (14.9%), phenol, 2-methoxy-4-(2-propenyl)- acetate (2%), and geranyl acetate (1.26%) compared to other populations. Limonene was not detected in this population. In the group II (comprised Ghohrud, Kamoo, Azaran2, Barzok and Viduj), all populations had large amounts of sesquiterpenes which can reduce the quality of the rose oil. In group III (with populations Viduja, of Zeynabad, Joshaghan, Azaran1, Margheh, Eznaveh, Mashhad Ardahal, Qamsar, and Ghazaan), the plants have a large amount of citronellol, geraniol, and 2-phenylethyl alcohol. As a result, the petals of R. damascena from this group had gentle smell but possible powerful antioxidant potential. This group might have a negligible value to be used as a constituent for the fragrance. main Interestingly, all populations in group III had high levels of rose oxide. This compound also contributes to the flavor and scent of R. damascena.

Based on the results, there was no systematic trend between the geographical distance and the essential oil composition, so that the two populations of Azaran1 and Azaran2, despite their similar geographical location, were differentiated in terms of the compositions of essential oils and were divided in two groups. Because these two populations are topographically different i.e. one of them is located in the valley and other in the plain. Also, the Viduj and Viduja populations are geographically close but

they were placed in two completely different groups. Qamsar and Ghazaan are near each other and environmentally similar, and were placed in one group. In this grouping, Kashan was placed in a separate group, it was different with the rest of the populations geographically and altitudinally. Margheh, Zeynabad and Ghohrud are geographically beside each other, but in terms of the chemical composition of essential oils, Ghohrud was separated from the others and placed in another group. Josheghan and Kamoo are similar in height, but they differ in chemical composition. Eznaveh and Mashhad Ardahal geographically are different from Viduja, Zeynabad, Josheghan, Azaran1, Margheh, and Qamsar, but in terms of chemical composition are in the same group. Therefore, these differences can be attributed to genetic differences and other ecological factors such as soil texture, gradient direction, microclimate, etc. It is suggested that the soil analysis further clarifies the interpretation of these groupings.

In conclusion, our study showed that there are significant differences in the quantity and chemical profile of the essential oils of *R. damascena* originating from different regions of Kashan. With respect to medicinal or pharmaceutical importance, population from Joshghan is suggested for the cultivation and exploitation of its oil in pharmaceuticals and cosmetics, especially due to its richness in citronellol and geraniol monoterpens. The observed variation in the oil quantity and chemical composition of the oils from different population can be attributed to physiological and ecological factors as well as genetic differences.

**Table 4.** Eigenvalues, individual and cumulative percentage variance of populations data accounted for the first three axes of the Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCO) for the *R. damascena* populations in Kashan.

	Axis 1	Axis 2	Axis 3
Eigenvalues	0.049	0.029	0.013
Percentage	41.808	20.503	10.717
Cumulative Percentage	41.808	62.311	73.028

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was financially supported by University of Kashan [Grant number 682240]. The authors are grateful to R. Ejtahed for help in Plant Biology Laboratory.

## REFERENCES

- Adams, R. P. 1989. Identification of Essential Oils by Ion Ttrap Mass Spectroscopy. 28<sup>th</sup> May 1989, Academic Press, New York.
- Agaoglu, Y., Ergul, A. and Baydar, N. 2000. Molecular Analyses of Genetic Diversity of Oil Rose (*Rosa damascena* Mill.) Grown in Isparta (Turkey) Region. *Biotechnol. Biotechnol. Equip.*, 14: 16–18.
- Baser, K. H. C. 1992. Turkish Rose Oil. *Perfum. Flavor.*, 17: 45–52.
- Basim, E. and Basim, H. 2003. Antibacterial Activity of *Rosa damascena* Essential Oil. *Fitoterapia.*, 74: 394–396.
- Batooli, H. and Safai–Ghomi, J. 2010. Comparison of the Composition Constituent of Rose Flowers 3 Genotypes of Kashan. J. *Med. Plants.*, 11: 157–166.
- Baydar, H. and Baydar, N. 2005. The Effects of Harvest Date, Fermentation Duration and Tween 20 Treatment on Essential Oil Content and Composition of Industrial Oil Rose (*Rosa damascena Mill.*). *Ind .Crops. Prod.*, 21: 251–255.
- Bekhradi, R. and Khayat Kashani, M. 2006. *Therapeutic Applications of Essential oils*. Morsal publication, Iran, 297pp.
- Boelens, M. H. and Boelens, H. 1997. Differences in Chemical and Sensory Properties of Orange Flower and Rose Oils Obtained from Hydro-distillation and from Supercritical CO2 Extraction. *Flavour Frag. J.*, 22: 31–35.
- Carins, T. 2003. *Horticultural Classification* Schemes. In: Robert, A.V., Debener, T. and Gudin, S. (Ed), Encyclopedia of rose Science. Elsevier Academic Press. Amesterdom. Vol. 1. pp 117-124.
- Chen, Y., Ma, X. and Han, H. 1985. Chemical Constituents of the Essential Oil of Ku–Shui Rose. J. Org. Chem., 6: 457– 464.

- Chevallier, A. 2001. The Encyclopedia of Medicinal Plants. DK Pub., Dorling Kindersely, London.
- 12. Clevenger, J. F. 1928. Apparatus for the Determination of Volatile Oil. J. Am. Pharm. Assoc., 17: 346p.
- Farooq, A. 2011. Analysis of Genetic Diversity for Oil Content, Morphological Characters and Microsatellites in some *Rosa damascena* Landraces and Selected Scented Rose Species. PhD. Thesis, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan.
- 14. Gault, M. and Synge, P. M. 1987. *The Dictionary of Roses in Color*. Ebury Press, Joseph [for] the Royal Horticultural Society and the Royal National Rose Society. Penguin Books, London.
- 15. Gochev, V., Jirovetz, L., Wlcek, K., Buchbauer, G., Schmidt, E., Stoyanova, A. and Dobreva, A. 2013. Chemical Composition and Antimicrobial Activity of Historical Rose Oil from Bulgaria. J. Essent. Oil. Res., **12**: 1–6.
- Gochev, V., Wlcek, K., Buchbauer, G., Stoyanova, A., Dobreva, A., Schmidt, E. and Jirovetz, L. 2008. Comparative Evaluation of Antimicrobial Activity and Composition of Rose Oils from Various Geographic Origines, in Particular Bulgarian Rose Oil. *Nat. Prod. Commun.*, 3: 1063–1068.
- Gudin, S. 2000. Rose: Genetics and Breeding. In: "Plant Breeding Reviews", (Ed.): Janick, J. John Wiley and Son, Inc., 17: 159-189.
- Guenther, E. 1952. *The Essential Oils*. Robert E. Krieger Publishing Company, Florida, USA, 5: 3-48.
- Halavani, E. M. 2014. Antimicrobial Activity of *Rosa damascena* Petals Extracts and Chemical Composition by Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (GC– MS) Analyses. *Afr. J. Microbiol.* Res., 8: 2359–2367.
- Horn, W. A. H. 1992. Micropropagation of Rose (Rosa L.). In: "Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry, High-tech and Micropropagation IV". (Ed.): Bajaj, Y. P. S. Springer, Germany, 20: 320–342.
- NIST Chemistry WebBook. Available online at: http://webbook.nist.gov/cgi/cbook.cgi (Last accessed 10 February 2017).
- Jafari, M., Zarban, A., Pham, S. and Wang, T. 2008. *Rosa damascena* Decreased Mortality in Adult Drosophila. *J. Med. Food.*, **11**: 9–13.

[Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2025-07-21]

- Jirovetz, L., Buchbauer, G. and Shahabi, M. 2002. Comparative Investigations of Essential Oils and Their SPME Headpsace Volatiles of *Rosa damascena* from Bulgaria and *Rosa centifolia* from Morocco Using GC-FID, GC-MS and Olfactometry. *J. Essent Oil. Bear. P.*, 5: 111-121.
- Jirovetz, L., Buchbauer, G., Stoyanova, A., Balinova, A., Guangjiun, Z. and Xihan, M. 2005. Solid Phase Microextraction Gas Chromatographic and Olfactory Analysis of the Scent and Fixative Properties of the Essential Oil of *Rosa damascene Mill*. From China. *Flavour. Frag. J.*, 20: 7–12.
- Jirovetz, L., Eller, G. and Buchbauer, G. 2006. Chemical Composition, Antimicrobial Activities and Odor Descriptions of Some Essential Oils with Characteristic Floral–Rosy Scent and of Their Principal Aroma Compounds. *Recent Res. Devel. Agron. Hort.*, 2: 1–12.
- Khan, M. A. and Rehman, S. 2005. Extraction and Analysis of Essential Oil of *Rosa* Species. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 7: 973– 974.
- Kheirabadi, M., Moghimi, A., Rakhshande, H. and Rassouli, H. M. 2008. Evaluation of the Anticonvulsant Activities of *Rosa damascena* on the PTZ Induced Seizures in Wistar Rats. J. Biol. Sci., 8: 426–430.
- Kovach, W. L. 1985-2002. Multivariate Statistical Package. Institute of Earth Studies, University College of Wales, Aberystwyth, (shareware), MVSP Version 3.2, Kovach Computing Services, Available from http:// www.kovcomp.co.uk/mvsp/index.html [Last accessed 28 Aug. 2012].
- Kovatcheva, N., Zheljazkov, V. D. and Astatkie, T. 2011. Productivity, Oil Content, Composition, and Bioactivity of Oil–Bearing Rose Accessions. *Hort. Sci.* 46: 710–714.
- Kovats, E. 1987. Composition of Essential Oils: Part 7. Bulgarian Oil of Rose (*Rosa* damascena Mill.) J. Chromatogr., 406: 185-222.
- Krussman, G. 1981. The Complete Book of Roses. Timber Press, Portland, Oregon, 234 Page.
- Kumar, P. 2013. Evaluation, Genetic Diversity, Recent Development of Distillation Method, Challenges and Opportunities of *Rosa damascena;* A Review. J. Essent. Oil. Bear. Pl., 16: 1–10.

- Kurkcuoglu, M., Abdel–Megeed, A. and Baser, K. H. C. 2013. The Composition of Taif Rose Oil. J. Essent Oil. Res., 25: 364– 367.
- Loghmani–Khouzani, H., Fini, O. S. and Safari, J. 2007. Essential Oil Composition of *Rosa damascena* Mill. Cultivated in Central Iran. *Sci. Iran.*, 14: 316–319.
- Mahmood, N. S., Piacente, C., Pizza, A., Bueke, A., Khan, I. and Hay, A. J. 1996. The Anti–HIV Activity and Mechanisms of Action of Pure Compounds Isolated from *Rosa damascena. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 229: 73–79.
- Moeina, M., Karamib, F., Tavallalib, H. and Ghasem, Y. 2010. Composition of The Essential Oil of *Rosa damascena* Mill. from South of Iran. *Iran. J. Pharma. Sci.*, 6: 59– 62.
- 37. Ohloff, G. 1994. Scent and Fragrances: The Fascination of Odors and Their Chemical Perspectives. Softcover Reprint of the Original 1st Edition, Springer, Berlin.
- Ozkan, G., Sagdic, O., Baydar, N. G. and Baydar, H. 2004. Antioxidant and Anti– Bacterial Activities of *R. damascena* Flower Extracts. *Int J. Food Sci Tech.*, 10: 277–281.
- Perumal, K., Sambanda Moorthy, T. A. and Savitha, J. S. 2012. Characterization of Essential Oil from Offered Temple Flower *Rosa damascena* Mill. *Asian. J. Exp. Biol. Sci.*, 3: 330–334.
- Rusanov, K., Kovacheva, N., Rusanova, M. and Atanassov, I. 2012. Reducing Content in *Rosa damascena* Mill Rose Oil by Changing the Traditional Rose Flower Harvesting Practices. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.*, 234: 921–926.
- 41. Rusanov, K., Kovacheva, N., Vosman, B., Zhang, L., Rajapaks, S., Atanassov, A. and Atanassov, L. 2005. Microsatellite Analysis of *Rosa damescena* Mill, Accessions Reveals Genetic Similarity between Genotypes Used for Rose oil Production and Old Damask Rose Varieties. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **111**: 804–809.
- 42. Sneath, P. H. A. and Sokal, R. R. 1963. *Principles of Numerical Taxonomy*. Freeman, New York, San Francisco.
- 43. Sood, P. R., Singh, B. and Singh, V. 1994. Constituents of Rose Oil from Kangra Valley. CSIR Comples. Palampur 176061, Himachal Pardesh, India. J. Essent. Oil. Res., 4: 425–426.

- 44. Tambe, E. and Gotmare, S. R. 2016. Study of Variation and Identification of Chemical Composition in Rosa Species Oil Collected from Different Countries. *IOSR. J. Appl. Chem.*, **9**: 2278–5736.
- 45. Weiss, E. A. 1997. *Essential Oil Crops*. CAB International, Wallingford, U.K.
- 46. Yassa, N., Masoomi, F., Rohani Rankouhi, S. E. and Hadjiakhoondi, A. 2009. Chemical Composition and Antioxidant Activity of the Extract and Essential Oil of *Rosa damascena* from Iran, Population of Guilan. *Daru.*, 17: 175–180.
- Yousefi, B., Tabaie-Aghdaie, S. R., Assareh, M. H. and Darvish, F. 2011. Evaluation of Stability Parameters for Discrimination of Stable, Adaptable and High Flower Yielding Landraces of *Rosa damascena. J. Agric. Sci. Technol.*, 13: 99–110.
- 48. Zargari, A. 1992. *Medicinal Plants*. 5th Ed. Tehran: Tehran University Press.
- 49. Zeinali, H., Tabaei-Aghdaei, S. R. and Arzani, A. 2009. A Study of Morphological Variations and Their Relationship with Flower Yield and Yield Components in Rosa damascena. J. Agric. Sci. Technol., 11: 439– 448.

آنالیز مقایسهای ترکیبات شیمیایی اسانس جمعیتهای مختلف گل محمدی در ایران

ز. طلوعی، س. ع. حسینی تفرشی و م. عارفی ترک آبادی

چکیدہ

گل محمدی (.Rosa damascena Mill) یک گونه منحصر به فرد از خانواده رزاسه است. یکی از عمده ترین و محبوب ترین مناطق کشت گل محمدی کاشان است و اسانس گل های آن دارای عطر و بوی منحصر به فرد و شهرت جهانی است. هدف از این مطالعه مقایسه تنوعی که بهطور طبیعی در میزان و کیفیت اسانس جمعیت های مختلف وجود دارد و انتخاب بهترین جمعیت ها است زیرا اطلاعات جامع و کامل در مورد جمعیتهای مختلف گل محمدی موجود در شهرستان کاشان وجود ندارد. ۱۵ جمعیت گل محمدی از مناطق اصلی و مهم تولید اسانس کاشان جمع آوری شد. ترکیبات شیمیایی اسانس با استفاده از دستگاه کروماتوگرافی گازی متصل به طیفسنج جرمی آنالیز و شناسایی شد. بر اساس دندروگرام حاصل از آنالیز خوشهای ترکیب شیمیایی اسانس، ۱۵ جمعیت گل محمدی به ۳ گروه تقسیمبندی شدند. در کل بیش از ۵۵ ترکیب شیمیایی توسط دستگاه GC-MS شناخته شد. بازده اسانس گلها پس از استخراج توسط دستگاه کلونجر ۰٬۰۰۲ – ۰٬۰۱۹ (جرمی/جرمی) بود. عمده ترین تركيبات اسانس شامل ليمونن (٢/٩-١٢/٨/)، ٢-فنيل اتيل الكل (١-١/٣/٪)، سيترونلول (١٩/٢-٨٧/٨٪)، ژرانیول (۰/۹–۱۴/۱/)، متیل اوژنول (۰/۵–۲/۸/)، هیتادکان (۸/۰–۳٪)، ۱– نونادکان (۲/۱–۰/۷٪)، ۹– نونادکان (۱۴/۹–۲۰/۲۰٪)، آیکوزان (۱–۲/۳٪)، هنیکوزان (۸/۵–۱۸/۶٪)، تریکوزان (۹/۰–۱۸/۶٪) و ينتاكوزان (٣/١-١/٢٪) هستند.اسانس جمعيت جوشقان با ميزان بالاي سيترونلول، ژرانيول و فنيل اتيل الکل که دارای پتانسیل بالقوه ضداکسیدانی و رایحه قوی در صنایع آرایشی و دارویی است بهعنوان يهترين إسانس از لحاظ كيفي شناسايي شد.