Influence of Two Different Pre-Imaginal Stages of *Bemisia tabaci* on Development, Life Table parameters, and Predation Rate of the Predatory Mite, *Euseius scutalis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae)

4 Mohammad Gravandian¹, Parviz Shishehbor^{1*}, Mehdi Esfandiari¹, and Elham Riahi²

5 ABSTRACT

The predatory mite, *Euseius scutalis* (Athias-Henriot), was studied in terms of its development, 6 survival, and life table parameters on two preimaginal stages (egg and first instar nymph) of its 7 prey, Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius) (Hem.: Alevrodidae). The first instar nymphs of B. tabaci were 8 found to be the preferred food for *E. scutalis*, resulting in decreased developmental time from egg 9 to adult, as well as a shorter pre-oviposition period and a higher rate of oviposition. The intrinsic 10 rate of increase (r) was found to be 0.1503 day⁻¹ on first instar nymphs and 0.0843 day⁻¹ on eggs 11 of the prey. On average, females E. scutalis consumed 16.30 eggs and 29.40 nymphs from their 12 emergence to death. When first instar nymphs of B. tabaci were provided, E. scutalis showed a 13 14 higher net predation rate (C_0) and finite predation rate (ω) compared to feeding on eggs. On average, it consumed 3.52 eggs or 2.76 first instar nymphs of B. tabaci to produce a single egg of 15 E. scutalis. In terms of the progeny sex ratio of the progeny, predatory females that fed on first 16 instar nymphs produce more females. 17

18 Keywords: Phytoseiidae, *Euseius scutalis*, predation rate, growth rate, *Bemisia tabaci*.

19

20 INTRODUCTION

The agricultural pest known as the cotton whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Hem.: Aleyrodidae) is one of the major global threats to different crops. *B. tabaci* damages greenhouse vegetables and ornamental plants by sucking sap from the plants, which can reduce production (De Barro *et al.*, 2011). This is primarily due to their direct damage to host plants through leaf piercing, sap sucking, and honeydew secretion (Jones, 2003). It has a high reproductive capacity and is capable of causing significant damage through the secretion of honeydew, which promotes

¹ Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Islamic Republic of Iran.

² Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, P. O. Box: 14115-336, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran.

^{*}Corresponding author; e-mail: pshishehbor@scu.ac.ir

the rapid growth of molds (Gangwar and Gangwar, 2018). The use of insecticides to eliminate this
pest raises production costs and contributes to the development of pest resistance.

The crucial role of predatory mites of the family Phytoseiidae in biological control is widely recognized (McMurtry *et al.*, 2013). These efficient predators play a significant role in managing pest mites and other small arthropods in crops grown in both greenhouses and open fields worldwide. With over 2,700 species reported on all continents except Antarctica, the mites from this family make up 60% of the global biological control market (Momen *et al.*, 2020). They are considered a vital solution for sustainable plant protection and for promoting integrated pest management against spider mites, thrips, and whiteflies (Yazdanpanah and Fathipour, 2022).

Euseius scutalis (Athias-Henriot) is common phytoseiids in Middle East and North Africa 36 (Bounfour and McMurtry, 1987). This predatory mite is one of the most dominant predator on 37 economic crops in Iran (Kamali et al., 2001), and it is one of the pollen-feeding generalist species 38 of the Phytoseiid mite (McMurtry *et al.*, 2013). It is a significant predatory mite in biological 39 control due to its ability to effectively manage pest populations. Although this predatory mite has 40 41 the highest reproductive capacity when feeding on pollen, it is also capable of consuming a variety of small insects and mites, such as eriophyid, tenuipalpid and spider mites, eggs, and immature 42 43 stages of whiteflies, thrips and scale insects (Abou-Elella et al., 2013; Stathakis et al., 2021). E. scutalis exhibits optimal life cycle parameters and fecundity when feeding on Tetranychus 44 45 turkestani (Ugarov & Nikolskii), followed by date palm pollen, making it a versatile and effective biocontrol agent (Shishehbor et al., 2022). Previous studies have shown a close association 46 between this species and B. tabaci (Meyerdirk and Coudriet, 1986). The use of E. scutalis in 47 integrated pest management programs can reduce reliance on chemical pesticides, promoting a 48 safer and more sustainable agricultural ecosystem. 49

50 While some researchers have provided information on the life table of *E. scutalis* when fed on *B. tabaci* compared to other prey species or pollen grains (Nomikou *et al.*, 2001, Nawar 2017), there 52 is a lack of data regarding the life table of this predatory mite in relation to the different growth 53 stages of *B. tabaci*. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the influence of two different 54 developmental stages (eggs and first instar nymphs) of the *B. tabaci* on the biological 55 characteristics and predation rate of *E. scutalis*, which plays a vital role in biological control.

58 MATERIAL AND METHODS

59 Mite Rearing

The population of *E. scutalis* was obtained from a laboratory-grown culture at the Faculty of 60 Agriculture, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Iran. The initial population, identified by Dr. 61 Farid Faraji from Mitox (Amsterdam, The Netherlands), was collected in February 2021 from 62 marshmallow plants (Althea officinalis L.) infested with *T. turkestani* on the university campus. 63 For laboratory rearing, we used plastic green sheets measuring 3 cm in diameter, placed on a water-64 saturated sponge in a Petri dish measuring 6 cm in diameter and filled with water. The edges of 65 the sheet were surrounded by strips of tissue paper. Cotton threads were placed on the plastic sheets 66 to provide egg-laying sites and shelter for the predators (Walzer and Schausberger, 1999). As a 67 food source, we provided date palm pollen (Phoenix dactylifera L.) on the plastic sheets. The mites 68 were fed this pollen grain for approximately one month before starting the experiments. Date palm 69 pollen was chosen because it has been recommended as one of the most suitable alternative diet 70 sources for the easy and cost-effective rearing of E. scutalis (Shishehbor et al., 2022). The rearing 71 units were held in a growth chamber at 25±1 °C, 60± 10% RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D). 72

73

81

74 Whitefly Rearing

A number of mature cotton whiteflies were collected using an aspirator from infested plants in the greenhouse at Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Faculty of Agriculture. The whiteflies were then reared on cucumber plants inside cages ($60 \times 60 \times 120$ cm). Identification of this species was carried out using the taxonomic keys of Martin (1987). The cucumber plants (Var. Negin) used for colony maintenance were reared at $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C, $60\%\pm10\%$ RH, and a photoperiod of 16L:8D. Every week, a new cucumber plant with at least eight fully developed leaves was added to each cage.

82 Experimental Setup

Leaves of a cucumber plant infested with *B. tabaci* were carefully selected and cut into smaller pieces. Each piece was then examined under a stereomicroscope to confirm the presence of only 10 fresh *B. tabaci* eggs. For first instar nymphs, the same procedure was repeated, but the leaves were cut after the eggs had developed to the first instar nymph stage (10 first instar stable nymphs). The test units were prepared the same as units used for mite rearing except using a piece of

cucumber leaf (3 cm in diameter) containing whitefly eggs or first instar nymphs pad instead ofusing plastic sheet.

90

93

Effect of *B. tabaci* Immature Stages on the Development, Prey Consumption and Survival Rate of the Predatory Mite *E. scutalis*

About 50 females of E. scutalis were separated from the rearing unit using a fine brush and 94 transferred to a new experimental unit. After 24 hours, the eggs that were less than 24 h old were 95 placed individually in petri dishes containing one of the two pre-adult stages of *B. tabaci*. The petri 96 dishes were checked daily to record survival and developmental durations until adulthood. Once 97 they became adults, the females and males were paired and transferred to a new petri dish. Each 98 day, we checked the experimental units to confirm oviposition and note the consumption. The leaf 99 in each arena was replaced daily with a new piece of fresh food. The number of prey consumed by 100 101 each pair of predatory mite was recorded daily. Additionally, the number of eggs laid by each female was recorded daily until all the females died. The survival of both females and males was 102 monitored daily until all individuals had died. Each experiment was repeated 60 times. The tests 103 were conducted in a controlled-temperature cabinet at 25±1 °C, 60±10% RH, and a photoperiod 104 of 16:8 (L:D). E. scutalis eggs collected daily and were transferred to new petri dishes containing 105 a piece of cucumber leaf containing whitefly eggs or first instar nymphs. This procedure was 106 repeated on all days when oviposition occurred. After they matured, the gender of the predators 107 was determined to estimate the daily sex ratio. 108

109

110 Data Analysis

The computer programs TWOSEX-MSChart and CONSUME-MS Chart were utilized for 111 analyzing the life history and the daily consumption of *E. scutalis* on the two stages of *B. tabaci*, 112 respectively (Chi and Liu, 1985; Chi, 1988; Chi, 2023a, b). The age-stage-specific survival rate 113 114 (s_{xi}) , age-stage-specific fecundity (f_{xi}) , age-specific survival rate (l_x) , age-specific fecundity (m_x) , age-stage life expectancies (e_{xi}) , and age-stage reproductive value (v_{xi}) , and along with population 115 116 growth parameters were calculated using TWOSEX-MS Chart program (Chi, 2023a). Based on Chi and Yang (2003), net predation rate (C_0), transformation rate (Q_p), stable predation rate (ψ), 117 118 and finite predation rate (ω), were computed using CONSUME-MS Chart program (Chi and Yang, 2003; Chi, 2023b). Means and standard errors of the all parameters were calculated by bootstrap 119

- method with 100000 resamplings. Paired bootstrap test using the TWOSEX-MS Chart program(Chi, 2023a) was used for mean comparisons.
- 122

123 **RESULTS**

124 Immature Developmental Time and Survival

Individuals of E. scutalis successfully developed from larvae to adults when fed on eggs and first 125 instar nymphs of B. tabaci (Table 1). The time spent by E. scutalis in each developmental stage 126 was affected by the immature stage of *B. tabaci*. Development from egg to adult was significantly 127 faster for both females and males when feeding on first instar nymphs of B. tabaci compared to 128 eggs. While the adult longevity and total lifespan of female E. scutalis when fed on first instar 129 nymphs of *B. tabaci* were not different from those reared on eggs, these parameters for males were 130 significantly longer on first instar nymphs of *B. tabaci* than on its eggs (Table 1). Additionally, 131 132 here were no significant differences between the sexes in terms of immature development on either food item. When B. tabaci eggs were the food source, males exhibited shorter adult longevity and 133 total lifespan compared to females (Table 1). 134 The overlapping observed in Figure 1A can be explained by the fact that individuals at different 135

135 The overlapping observed in Figure 1A can be explained by the fact that individuals at different 136 stages have varying development rates. *Euseius scutalis* exhibited high survivorship during the 137 immature development stage. Females that were fed eggs and first instar nymphs of *B. tabaci* 138 survived for 39 and 32 days, respectively. The males lived for 24 days when fed on the eggs of *B. tabaci*, while they survived for 31 days when fed on first instar nymphs.

140

141 Fecundity and Life Table Parameters

The consumption of the first instar nymphs of *B. tabaci* resulted in a shorter average preoviposition period (APOP) of *E. scutalis* compared to its eggs (Table 1). Similarly, significant differences in the total pre-oviposition period (TPOP) were observed between the different immature stages of *B. tabaci*. When the predator was fed first instar nymphs of *B. tabaci*, the adult females laid eggs more rapidly (2.67 \pm 0.15 days) compared to when eggs of this prey were offered (3.92 \pm 0.35 days). *Euseius scutalis* that fed *B. tabaci* eggs had a shorter oviposition duration compared to those that used *B. tabaci* first instar nymphs.

Figure 1B summarizes the age-specific survival rate (l_x), the age-specific fecundity rate (m_x), and female age-specific fecundity (f_x) for *E. scutalis* reared on different life stages of *B. tabaci*. The

maximum values of f_x for *E. scutalis* on the first instar nymphs and eggs of *B. tabaci* were 2.54 and 2 eggs/individual/day, respectively, which occurred on the 13th and 33rd days, respectively.

153 All the population parameters of *E. scutalis* were significantly influenced by the prey stage, except

154 for the gross reproductive rate (*GRR*) (Table 2). When *B. tabaci* first instar nymphs were offered

as food, R_0 , r, and λ of the predator mite were higher compared to when whitefly eggs were offered.

Similarly, the mean generation time of *E. scutalis* on the former stage of prey was shorter than onthe latter stage (Table 2).

- The age-stage life expectancies (e_{xi}) of E. scutalis, which represent the expected lifespan of 158 individuals at age x and stage *j* after age x, are illustrated in Figure 2A for two different life stages 159 of B. tabaci. As age increased, the exj values gradually decreased. Notably, e_{xi} was higher for 160 nymphs compared to eggs (Figure 2A), indicating that *E. scutalis* feeding on nymphs of *B. tabaci* 161 lived longer than those that fed on eggs. The age-stage reproductive value (v_{xi}) , which indicates 162 the contribution of an individual at age x and stage *i* to the future population, increased significantly 163 when adults emerged and the peak of v_{xi} for the predator occurred at ages 28 and 12 days on the 164 egg and nymph stages of *B. tabaci*, respectively (Figure 2B). 165
- 166

167 Sex Ratio

During the first days of oviposition, sex ratio was males-based (Figure 3). The percentage of female to male progeny per female parent reared on *B. tabaci* eggs during the initial three 24-hour periods were 00:00, 40:60 and 44:55 (on the first day, all collected eggs died before adult emergence). For *E. scutalis* females reared on *B. tabaci* first instar nymphs, similar measurements were 0:100, 61:38, and 36:63.

173

174 Consumption Rate

The larval stage of *E. scutalis* did not prey on the eggs and first instar nymphs of *B. tabaci*. The amount of prey consumed by *E. scutalis* immatures when *B. tabaci* eggs were provided did not differ from that obtained when *B. tabaci* first instar nymphs were offered (Table 3). The predation rate of *E. scutalis* adults and their total life span were significantly affected by the prey stage. The consumption rate of both female and male *E. scutalis* was higher when they were fed on the first instar nymphs of *B. tabaci* rather than its eggs. When the first instar nymphs of *B. tabaci* were provided, *E. scutalis* showed a higher net predation rate (C_0) and finite predation rate (ω) compared

- to when its eggs were provided. On average, it takes either 3.52 eggs or 2.76 first instar nymphs
 of *B. tabaci* to produce a single egg of *E. scutalis*, respectively (Table 3).
- 184 The age-specific predation rate (k_x) refers to the average number of *B. tabaci* consumed by *E.* 185 *scutalis* at age *x* (Figure 4). The highest value of this parameter was 2.08 and 1.62 on first instar
- 186 nymphs and eggs of *B. tabaci*, respectively, which was observed at age 10. The age-specific net
- 187 predation rate (q_x) can be determined by taking into account the survivorship (Figure 4). Its highest
- value was estimated to be 1.88 and 1.40 on the aforementioned prey stages.
- 189

190 DISCUSSION

In our study, we found that the different immature stages of *B. tabaci* as prey had a significant 191 192 impact on the duration of E. scutalis' pre-adult stages and its total lifespan. We observed that motile prey stage (nymph L1) was more conducive to the growth of E. scutalis. Regardless of previous 193 194 studies showing that the type of prey influences the fecundity and reproductive parameters of E. scutalis (Momen and Hussein, 2011), our research has revealed that the developmental stage of 195 the prey can also impact these parameters. Female E. scutalis that fed on first instar nymphs of B. 196 tabaci had a shorter pre-oviposition period, longer oviposition period, and produced more eggs 197 198 than those reared on eggs of B. tabaci. This suggests that prey on first instar nymphs are more favorable to this predator than prey on eggs. 199

Prey stage preferences vary considerably among generalist and specialist phytoseiid predatory 200 mites, with specialists typically preferring the egg stage over other stages of their prey (Blackwood 201 et al., 2001). According to the literature, some other predators, including Macrolophus caliginosus 202 203 (Wagner) (Hem.: Miridae) and Serangium parcesetosum Sicard (Col.: Coccinellidae) also preferred the nymph stage of B. tabaci for optimal reproductive success (Bonato et al., 2006; Firas 204 and Sengonca, 2007). However, our findings differ from those reported by Meyerdirk and Coudriet 205 (1986), who found that eggs, followed by first instars of *B. tabaci*, were the most suitable whitefly 206 207 host stage for E. scutalis, while the second instar nymph was the least suitable stage. The predation of E. scutalis on the eggs and first instar larvae of B. tabaci was not significantly different 208 (Nomikou et al., 2004), which contrasts with our results. This discrepancy can be explained by the 209 fact that Nomikou et al. (2004) reported the predation rate over only one day, while we examined 210 the predation rate throughout the lifespan. Additionally, variations in predator and prey strains, as 211 212 well as the previous food source of the predatory mite, can also account for this difference.

Furthermore, it appears that insect nymphs have a higher nutritional content compared to insect 213 eggs. Shah et al. (2022) indicated that insect nymphs can contain a protein content ranging from 214 40-73% of their body weight, depending on the species. Additionally, higher concentrations of 215 fatty acids are found in insect nymphs compared to their eggs. However, information on the 216 nutritional value of insect eggs is not as extensively covered in the literature. It has been noted that 217 the hardness of the egg's chorion and the length of time required for handling them may pose 218 difficulties for the mites when feeding (Meyerdirk and Coudriet, 1986; Carrillo and Pena, 2012; 219 Ganjisaffar and Perring, 2015). Furthermore, it is evident that there is a difference in size and 220 biomass between the eggs and nymphs of *B. tabaci*. The nymphs were found to be larger than the 221 eggs. However, the potential benefits of this size difference are somewhat diminished by the fact 222 that the nymphs are active stages, requiring the predator to exert energy and time in capturing 223 them. Nevertheless, due to the smaller size of the leaf discs compared to normal leaves and the 224 high density of prey, the predator females did not have to exert much energy in searching for active 225 prey (Bruce-Oliver and Hoy, 1990). 226

227 Similar to previous studies on E. scutalis (Bounfour and McMurtry, 1987; Bazazzadeh et al., 2025), the pre-adult development for male was incomparable to that of females in our study. This 228 229 developmental similarity can be attributed to some biological and genetic factors. Research indicates that the sex-lethal gene (Ppsxl) plays a role in sex determination and reproductive 230 231 processes, but its influence on sexual differentiation in *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot is not as pronounced as in insect (Li et al., 2023). This suggests that both sexes may share similar 232 233 developmental pathways during their immature stages. While the similarities in immature development are evident, it is important to consider that environmental factors and specific 234 235 ecological roles may also influence the developmental trajectories of these mites, potentially leading to variations not solely explained by genetic mechanisms. 236

Based on the results of the current study and regardless of the tested immature stages, most of the
eggs give rise to male offspring at the beginning of the oviposition period and to female offspring
throughout the rest of the oviposition period. A similar trend has also been reported for a Morocco
(Marrakech) strain of *E. scutalis* (Bounfour and McMurtry, 1987) and for other phytoseiid such as *Typhlodromus caudiglans* Schuster (Putman, 1962), *Phytoseiulus persimilis*, *Amblyseius bibens*Blommers (Schulten *et al.*, 1978), *Galendromus helveolus* (Chant) (Caceres and Childers, 1991),
and *Kampimodromus aberrans* (Boroufas *et al.*, 2007). Sabelis (1985) stated that increased male

offspring production at the beginning of the oviposition period could result in the earlyinsemination of females that would afterwards start to search for suitable food.

246 The predatory mite *E. scutalis* showed a higher *r*-value when preying on first instar nymphs of *B*.

247 *tabaci* compared to its predation on *B. tabaci* eggs. The *r*-value estimated in this study was lower

- than the *r*-value of *E*. scutalis on *B*. tabaci (0.191 day⁻¹) (Fouly et al., 2013). By contrast to our
- study, when Amblyseius orientalis Ehara was fed on B. tabaci, the r was negative, indicating that
- this prey is not a sufficient food source for sustaining A. *orientalis* populations (Zhang *et al.*, 2015).
- 251 In contrast, when *Typhlodromus negevi* Swirski and Amitai was fed on *B. tabaci* eggs, the intrinsic
- rate of increase (0.271 day⁻¹) was higher than our study (Momen *et al.*, 2009), indicating that this
- 253 prey is more suitable for *T. negevi* than *E. scutalis*.
- 254

255 Conclusions

The pre-immature stage of the prey appears to be a crucial factor in developing an integrated pest 256 management program for B. tabaci using E. scutalis. Our results demonstrate that E. scutalis has 257 the potential to control B. tabaci due to its ability to feed on both eggs and first instar nymphs of 258 the prey. Predators that can consume multiple life stages of their prey are more effective at 259 260 controlling pest populations. Furthermore, E. scutalis showed a preference for feeding on nymphs rather than eggs. Field evaluations should be conducted to further assess its biological control 261 potential, particularly with strategies aimed at enhancing its population establishment and long-262 term control efficiency, such as providing supplementary food. 263

264

268

265 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support (Grant no. SCU.AP1403.400) provided by the research deputy of ShahidChamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran is gratefully acknowledged.

269 **REFERENCES**

1.Abou-Elella, G. M., Saber, S. A. and El-Sawi, S. A. 2013. Biological Aspects and Life Tables
of the Predacious Mites, *Typhlodromips swirskii* (Athias-Henriot) and *Euseius scutalis* (AthiasHenriot) Feeding on Two Scale Insect Species and Plant Pollen. *Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Protec.*,
46(14): 1717–1725.

- 274 2.Blackwood, J. S., Schausberger, P. and Croft, B. A. 2001. Prey-Stage Preference in Generalist
- and Specialist Phytoseiid Mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) When Offered *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari:
- 276 Tetranychidae) Eggs and Larvae. *Environ. Entomol.*, **30**(6): 1103–1111.
- 277 3.Bonato, O., Couton, L. and Fargues, J. 2006. Feeding Preference of Macrolophus caliginosus
- 278 (Heteroptera: Miridae) on Bemisia tabaci and Trialeurodes vaporariorum (Homoptera:
- 279 Aleyrodidae). J. Econ. Entomol., **99(4)**: 1143-1151.
- 4.Bounfour, M. and McMurtry, J. A. 1987. Biology and Ecology of Euseius scutalis (Athias-
- Henriot) (Acarina: Phytoseiidae). *Hilgardia*, **55** (**5**): 1-23.
- 282 5.Boroufas, G. D., Pappas. M. L. and Koveos, D. S. 2007. Development, Survival and
- 283 Reproduction of Kampimodromus aberrans (Acari: Phytoseiidae) at Different Constant
- 284 Temperatures. *Environ. Entomol.*, **36** (**4**): 657-665.
- 6.Bruce-Oliver, S. J. and Hoy, M. A. 1990. Effect of Prey Stage on Life Table Attributes of a
- 286 Genetically Manipulated Strain of *Metaseiulus occidentalis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Exp. Appl.*287 Acarol., 9: 201–217.
- 7.Caceres, S. and Childers, C. C. 1991. Biology and Life Tables of *Galendromus helveolus* (Acari:
 Phytoseiidae) on Florida Citrus. *Environ. Entomol.*, 20: 224-229.
- 8. Carrillo D. and Pena J. E. 2012. Prey-stage Preferences and Functional and Numerical Responses
- of Amblyseius largoensis (Acari: Phytoseiidae) to Raoiella indica (Acari: Tenuipalpidae). Exp.
- 292 Appl. Acarol., 57: 361-372. doi:10.1007/s10493-011-9488-7
- 9.Chi, H. 1988. Life-Table Analysis Incorporating Both Sexes and Variable Development Rates
 Among Individuals. *Environ. Entomol.*, 17: 26-34.
- 295 10. Chi, H. 2023a. TWOSEX-MSChart: A Computer Program for the Age Stage, Two-Sex Life
- *Table Analysis*. 2019.01.09 Edition. http://140.120.197.173/Ecology/prod02.htm. Accessed June
 2024
- 11. Chi, H. 2023b. CONSUME-MSChart: Computer Program for Consumption Rate Analysis
 Based on the Age Stage, Two-Sex Life Table. 2019.02.01 ed.
 http://140.120.197.173/Ecology/prod02.htm. Accessed June 2024
- 12. Chi, H. and Liu, H. 1985. Two New Methods for the Study of Insect Population Ecology. *Bull. Inst. Zool. Acad. Sin.*, 24: 225-240.

- 303 13. Chi, H. and Yang, T. 2003. Two-Sex Life Table and Predation Rate of Propylaea japonica
- Thunberg (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) Fed on *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer). *Environ. Entomol.*, 32:
 305 327-333.
- 14. De Barro, P. J., Liu, S. S., Boykin, L. M. and Dinsdale, A. B. 2011. Bemisia tabaci: a Statement
- 307 of Species Status. Annu. Rev. Entomol., 56: 1–19.
- 308 15. Firas, A. and Sengonca, C. 2007. Prey Preference and evaluation of the predator Serangium
- 309 parcesetosum Sicard (Col., Coccinellidae) as a Bio-Agent of Bemisia tabaci (Genn.) (Hom.,
- 310 Aleyrodidae) under Greenhouse Conditions. Sixth Agricultural Scientific Jordanian Conference.
- 311 p. 18.
- 16. Fouly, A. H., Nassar, O. A. and Osman, M. A. 2013. Biology and Life Table of *Euseius scutalis*
- 313 (A.-H.) Reared on Different Kinds of Food. J. Entomol., 10: 199-206.
- 17. Ganjisaffar F. and Perring T.M. 2015. Prey Stage Preference and Functional Response of the
- 315 Predatory Mite Galendromus flumenis to Oligonychus pratensis. Biol. Control., 82: 40-45.
- 316 doi:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2014.12.004
- 18. Gangwar, R. K. and Gangwar, Ch. 2018. Lifecycle, Distribution, Nature of Damage and
 Economic Importance of Whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius). *Acta Sci. Agric.*, 2: 36–39.
- 319 19. Jones, D. R. 2003. Plant Viruses Transmitted by Whiteflies. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*, 109: 195–
 320 219.
- 20. Kamali, K., Ostovan, H. and Atamehr, A. 2001. A Catalogue of Mites and Ticks (Acari) of
 Iran. Islamic Azad University Scientific Publication Center, 192 pp. https://doi.org/
 10.13140/2.1.4825.8244
- 21. Martin, J. 1987. Identification Guide Common Whitefly 324 H. An to 325 Pest Species of the World (Homoptera, Aleyrodidae). Trop. Pest Manag., 33: 298–322. 326
- 22. Li, M., Wang, Wang, Z., Wang, E., Zhang, B., Lv, J. and Xu, X. 2023. Expression and Function
 Analyses of Sexlethal Gene in *Phytoseiulus persimilis*. *Syst. Appl. Acarol.*, **28(8)**: 1356—1367.
- 329 23. McMurtry, J. A., De Moraes, G. J. and Sourassou, N. F. 2013. Revision of the Lifestyles of
 330 Phytoseiid Mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) and Implications for Biological Control strategies. *Syst.*331 *Appl. Acarol.*, **18** (4): 297-320.

- 332 24. Meyerdirk, D. E. and Coudriet, D. L. 1986. Evaluation of Two Biotypes of *Euseius scutalis*
- 333 (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) as Predators of Bemisia tabaci (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). J. Econ.
- 334 *Entomol.*, **79(3)**: 659-663.
- 25. Momen, F. M. and Hussein, H. 2011. Influence of Prey Stage on Survival, Development and
- 336 Life Table of the Predacious Mite, Neoseiulus barkeri (Hughes) (Acari: Phytoseiidae). Acta
- 337 *Phytopathol. Entomol. Hung.*, **46** (2): 319–328.
- 26. Momen, F., Fahim, S. and Barghout, M. 2020. Mass Production of Predatory Mites and Their
- 339 Efficacy for Controlling Pests. In: El-Wakeil, N., Saleh, M. and Abu-Hashim, M. (eds). Cottage
- Industry of Biocontrol Agents and Their Applications. Springer Nature, Cham, pp 157–202.
- 341 27. Momen, F., Abdel-Khalek, A. and El-Sawi, S. 2009. Life Tables of the Predatory Mite
- 342 Typhlodromus negevi Feeding on Prey Insect Species and Pollen Diet (Acari: Phytoseiidae). Acta
- 343 *Phytopathol. Entomol. Hung.*, **44** (2): 353-361.
- 28. Nawar, M.A. 2017. Biology and Thermal Requirments of *Euseius scutalis* (Athias-Henriot)
- Fed on Three Pest Prey Types and Pollen. *Acarines*, **11**: 21-27.
- 29. Nomikou, M., Janssen, A., Schraag, R. and Sabelis, M. W. 2001. Phytoseiid Predators as
- 347 Potential Biological Control Agents for *Bemicia tabaci. Exp. Appl. Acarol.*, **25**: 271 291.
- 30. Nomikou, M., Janssen, A., Schraag, R. and Sabelis, M. W. 2004. Vulnerability of *Bemisia tabaci* Immatures to Phytoseiid Predators: Consequences for Oviposition and Influence of
 Alternative Food. *Entomol Exp Appl.*, **110**: 95–102.
- 351 31. Putman, W. L. 1962. Life-History and Behavior of the Predacious Mite *Typhlodromus* (*T*.)
- *caudiglans* (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) in Ontario, with Notes on the Prey of Related Species. *Can. Entomol.*, **94**: 163-177.
- 354 32. Sabelis, M.W. 1985. *Sex Allocation*. In: Helle W. and Sabelis M.W. (eds). World Crop Pests,
 355 Spider Mites, Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control. Vol. 1B, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The
 356 Netherlands, p. 83-94.
- 357 33. Schulten, G. G. M., Vanarendonk, R. C. M., Russell, V. M. and Roorda, F. A. 1978.
 358 Copulation, Egg Production and Sex Ratio in *Phytoseulus persimilis* and *Amblyseius bibens*359 (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Entomol. Exp. Appl. Acarol.*, 24: 145-153.
- 360 34. Shah, A. A., Totakul, P., Matra, M., Cherdthong, A., Hanboonsong, Y. and Wanapat, M. 2022.
- Nutritional Composition of Various Insects and Potential Uses as Alternative Protein Sources in
 Animal Diets. *Anim Biosci.*, 35(2): 317-331.

- 35. Shishehbor, P., Rahmani Piyani, A. and Riahi, E. 2022. Effect of Different Pollen Diets in 363
- Comparison to a Natural Prey, Tetranychus turkestani (Acari: Tetranychidae), on Development, 364
- Survival, and Reproduction of Euseius scutalis (Acari: Phytoseiidae). Syst. Appl. Acarol., 23 (10): 365
- 2111-2122. https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.27.10.19 366
- 36. Stathakis, T. I., Kapaxidi, E. V., Papadoulis, G. Th. and Papanikolaou, N. E. 2021. Predation 367
- by Euseius scutalis (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on Tetranychus urticae and Eutetranychus orientalis 368
- (Acari: Tetranychidae): Effect of Prey Density and Developmental Dtage. Syst. Appl. Acarol, 369
- 370 **26(10)**: https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.26.10.8
- 37. Walzer, A. and Schausberger, P. 1999. Cannibalism and Interspecific Predation in the 371
- Phytoseiid Mites Phytoseiulus persimilis and Neoseiulus californicus: Predation Rates and Effects 372
- on Reproduction and Juvenile Development. *BioControl*, **43**: 457–468. 373
- 38. Yazdanpanah, S. and Fathipour, Y. 2022. Predators of Mite Pests. In: Omkar (Ed.), Insect 374 Taylor & USA. 375 Predators in Pest Management. Francis, (In English) https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003370864-10 376
- 377
- 378
- 379
- 380
- 381

384 385 386

382 383

395**Table 1.** Comparative duration (Mean \pm SE) of developmental time, adult longevity, total396life span, APOP, TPOP, and oviposition days (days), as well as, fecundity and sex ratio397of females and males of *Euseius scutalis* fed on egg and first-instar nymph of *Bemisia*398tabaci.

Parameter	Prey stage	
	Egg	Nymph (L1)
Female Egg	2.17 ±0.10 a A	2.07 ±0.05 a A
Male Egg	2.27 ±0.19 a A	2.26±0.10 a A
Female Larva	1.50 ±0.09 a A	1.13 ±0.06 b A
Male Larva	1.64 ±0.15 a A	1.21±0.12 b A
Female Protonymph	1.90 ±0.12 a A	1.57 ±0.09 b A
Male Protonymph	2.00 ±0.13 a A	1.53 ±0.12 b A
Female Deutonymph	2.17 ±0.14 a A	2.10 ±0.13 a A
Male Deutonymph	2.36 ±0.24 a A	1.68 ±0.17 b A
Female Developmental time	7.73 ±0.21 a A	6.87 ±0.15 b A
Male Developmental time	8.27 ±0.24 a A	6.68 ±0.19 b A
Female Adult longevity	12.80 ±1.29 a A	14.93 ±0.85 a A
Male Adult longevity	9.45 ±1.27 b B	14.74 ±1.27 a A
Female Total life span	20.53 ±1.40 a A	21.80 ±0.86 a A
Male Total life span	17.73 ±1.28 b B	21.42 ±1.25 a A
APOP	3.92 ±0.35 a	2.67 ±0.15 b
ТРОР	11.73 ±0.45 a	7.87 ±2.00 b
Oviposition days	5.42 ±0.83 b	8.93 ±0.54 a
Fecundity (eggs/Female)	$6.50\pm1.09~b$	15.67 ± 1.47 a
Sex ratio (Nf/N)	0.67 ± 0.07 a	0.56 ± 0.07 a

399 400

401

402

403

406

407

408

409

410

411

Means (\pm SE) within rows followed by lowercase different letters are significantly different (100000 resamplings, paired bootstrap test, *P* < 0.05). Mean values followed by different capital letters shows the significant difference between female and male for each parameter (*P* < 0.05), based on paired bootstrap test with 100,000 samples.

404 APOP: Adult pre-oviposition period, TPOP: Total pre-oviposition period. Nf/N: number of females to number of individuals.

Table 2. Population parameters of *Euseius scutalis* fed on egg and first-instar nymph of *Bemisia tabaci*.

Parameter	Egg	Nymph (L1)
GRR (eggs/individuas)	17.77 ±3.27 a	11.12 ±1.54 a
R ₀ (eggs/individuas)	4.33 ±0.85 b	8.70 ±1.34 a
<i>r</i> (day ⁻¹)	0. <mark>084</mark> ±0. <mark>01</mark> b	0. <mark>150</mark> ±0. <mark>01</mark> a
λ (day ⁻¹)	1. <mark>088</mark> ±0. <mark>01</mark> b	1. <mark>162</mark> ±0. <mark>01</mark> a
T (day)	17.38 ±1.11 a	14.39 ±0.18 b

Means (\pm SE) within rows followed by different letters are significantly different (100000 resamplings, paired bootstrap test, *P* < 0.05).

GRR: Gross reproductive rate, R_0 : Net reproductive rate, r: Intrinsic rate of increase, λ : Finite rate of increase, T: Mean generation time.

Table 3. Mean (±SE) predation rates of *Euseius scutalis* fed on419egg and first-instar nymph of *Bemisia tabaci*.

egg and first-instar nymph of <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> .				
Parameter	Egg	Nymph (L1)		
Protonymph female	0.27 ±0.07 a A	0.23 ±0.05 a A		
Protonymph male	0.36 ±0.08 a A	0.26 ±0.08 a A		
Deutonymph female	1.33 ±0.10 a A	1.47 ±0.07 a A		
Deutonymph male	1.18 ±0.12 a A	1.11 ±0.15 a A		
Pre-adult female	1.60 ±0.19 a A	1.70 ±0.18 a A		
Pre-adult male	1.55 ±0.25 a A	1.37±0.22 a B		
Adult female	16.30 ±5.48 b A	29.40 ±5.48 a A		
Adult male	11.33 ±3.32 b B	17.67±4.36 a B		
Total longevity female	17.90 ±1.55 b A	32.10 ±1.65 a A		
Total longevity male	12.87 ±1.55 b B	19.04 ±1.29 a B		
<i>C</i> ₀	15.26 ±1.30 b	23.99 ±1.62 a		
Q_p	3.52 ±0.54 a	2.76 ±0.34 a		
ψ	0.58 ±0.03 a	0.63 ±0.03 a		
(1)	0 63 +0 03 b	0 73 +0 04 a		

Mean values followed by different lowercase letters within the same row are significantly different (P < 0.05), based on paired bootstrap test with 100,000 samples. Mean values followed by different capital letters shows the significant difference between female and male for each parameter (P < 0.05), based on paired bootstrap test with 100,000 samples. C_0 : Net predation rate; ω : Finite predation rate; Q_p : Transformation rate; ψ : Stable predation rate.



Figure 1. The age-stage survival rate (s_{xj}) (A) and the age-specific survivorship (l_x) , age-stage specific fecundity of female (f_{xj}) (eggs) and age-specific fecundity (m_x) (B) of *Euseius scutalis* fed on egg and first-instar nymph of *Bemisia tabaci*.



462

Figure 2. The age-stage specific life expectancy (e_{xi}) (A) and the age-stage reproductive value (v_{xi})

(B) of *Euseius scutalis* fed on egg and first-instar nymph of *Bemisia tabaci*.



Figure 3. Offspring sex ratio of females of Euseius scutalis fed on egg and first-instar nymph of Bemisia tabaci. White and black bars indicate the percentages of male and female offspring, respectively.

[Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2025-06-07]



478

Figure 4. Age-specific predation rate (k_x) , age-specific net predation rate (q_x) and cumulative predation rate (C_x) of predator mite *Euseius scutalis* fed on egg and first-instar nymph of *Bemisia tabaci*.

483