

# Aroma of Yogurt from Cow Milk with a Combination of Several Probiotic Bacteria

Suryono<sup>1\*</sup>, Anton Apriyantono<sup>2</sup>, and Ester Restiana Endang Gelis<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

Yogurt is a widely consumed fermented product celebrated for nutritional benefits and distinctive aroma, influenced by factors such as the type of probiotic bacteria, incubation methods, and the used milk type. Common bacteria in yogurt production include *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus thermophilus*. Aroma is crucial for consumers' acceptance and varies according to the compounds formed during fermentation. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the effect of different probiotic bacteria on aroma of yogurt through a unique incubation process. Probiotic bacteria examined were *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus*, and *Bifidobacterium*. Additionally, yogurt production process included sterilizing skim milk, introducing bacterial cultures, and incubating at specific temperatures. Aroma components of yogurt were analyzed using Gas Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry. The result showed that yogurt comprised various aroma compounds classified into four categories, namely acids, alcohols, ketones, and aldehydes. The dominant acid components found were acetic, octanoic, decanoic, and dodecanoic acids. Among the components, alcohol affected aroma despite being present in minimal quantities. Ketones such as acetoin and diacetyl were identified along with aldehydes including octadecanal and dodecanal in yogurt. This study provided valuable insights into the effect of probiotic bacteria on aroma profile of yogurt, assisting manufacturers in refining production processes to prepare product appealing to consumers.

**Keywords:** aroma compounds, Gas Chromatography, Mass Spectrometry, probiotic bacteria, yogurt production.

## Introduction

Yogurt is a popular fermented milk product known for the nutritional value and unique aroma, which varies depending on the used ingredients. The major ingredient in yogurt is primarily cow milk, and live cultures such as *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* are commonly used during the production. Additional flavorings or sweeteners may be added to customize the taste of yogurt (Nair & Peerkhan, 2022; Trentin et al., 2022; Wihansah et al., 2022; Fadhlurrohman et al., 2023).

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Animal Husbandry, Universitas Jambi, Jambi, Indonesia.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Food Science and Technology, Bakrie University, Jakarta, Indonesia.

\* Corresponding author; e-mail: suryono@unja.ac.id

Aroma of yoghurt plays a crucial role in the acceptance by consumers and this varies significantly based on factors such as the type of probiotic bacteria used in fermentation, milk type, and the incubation methods applied. According to Chen (2017), yogurt production is a complex process that generates a distinctive aroma. Zhao et al. (2023) reported that factors such as the production process, extraction method, starter culture concentration, and incubation time could further affect aroma.

Aktar (2022) stated that aroma produced was affected by variations in the use of bacteria. Krastanov et al. (2023) found that the starter culture (bacteria) affected the sensory characteristics, including aroma and taste of yogurt.

A key factor in shaping the preferences of consumers for yogurt product is aroma, which the perceptions greatly determine purchase decisions and continual product enjoyment. This shows the need to comprehend the various aroma components of yogurt as well as the effect on consumers' preferences in the food and beverage industry. Aroma is affected by several factors, including the type of bacteria in the starter culture, the processing method, the source of milk and chemicals, and any additional ingredients used. According to Eker et al. (2020), aroma is essential in shaping the preferences of consumers and the general sensory experience of yogurt.

Probiotic is a group of bacteria commonly found in fermented milk product that provides numerous health benefits to humans. According to Latif et al. (2023), probiotic is live microorganism that offers health advantages to the host when consumed in sufficient quantities. The seven primary microbial genera majorly used in probiotic product are *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Saccharomyces*, *Streptococcus*, *Enterococcus*, *Escherichia*, and *Bacillus*.

Probiotic bacteria used in this study were *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus*, and *Bifidobacterium* which provide health benefits and produce various aroma components. The combination of the

three bacteria is expected to help with the production of high-quality yogurt due to containing a harmonious blend. According to Siddiqi et al. (2024), *L. bulgaricus* and *S. thermophilus* bacteria contribute to a decrease in pH, which is important for the texture and flavor of yogurt.

*Bifidobacteria* is among the dominant colonies in the human gut, specifically in infants (He et al., 2023; Znamenska and Vorobiova, 2024).

Kamara et al. (2016) reported that yogurt fermented with mixed cultures of *L. bulgaricus*, *S. thermophilus*, and *L. acidophilus* showed antibacterial activity against *E. coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*. A study by Elbarbary (2014) found that the inclusion of *bifidobacteria* spp. in stored yogurt inhibited the growth of *E. coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*.

During yogurt fermentation, probiotic bacteria used are essential in developing the unique aroma of the finished product and various strains can generate different aroma compounds.

Therefore, selecting the appropriate probiotic combination is crucial in achieving the desired aroma.

Several previous investigations focused on different culture treatments and temperature variations (Yu et al., 2016; Swelam et al., 2019; Jegal et al., 2019; Adrianto et al., 2020).

However, a unique method was used in this study where *Bifidobacteria* was incubated with *L. bulgaricus* and *S. thermophilus* cultures, as well as in a separate manner.

This study aimed to examine the effect of various probiotic bacteria on yogurt aroma.

Understanding the effect of microbial factors can help yogurt producers enhance manufacturing processes to prepare product with an appealing aroma that resonates with consumers. Aroma profile in this study is determined by the presence of volatile components.

The results will provide valuable insights into the production of yogurt with a distinct aroma, enhancing product competitiveness in a rapidly growing market. Additionally, this study can contribute to advancing knowledge on fermented milk product and the practical applications in the food and beverage industry.

## Material and Method

### Material and Equipment

Commercial powdered skim milk is used in yogurt production, along with bacteria strains *L. bulgaricus* 18 Visbyvac Serie 50 No. 700398 (*L. bulgaricus*), *S. thermophilus* A Vysbivac No. 640638 (*S. thermophilus*), and *Bifidobacterium longum* BF1 (*B. longum*). Additionally, the laboratory equipment used were a rotary evaporator, Gas Chromatograph (Shimadzu 17 A), and Mass Spectrometer (Shimadzu QP 5000), as well as Erlenmeyer tubes and other necessary glass tools.

### Yogurt Preparation and Production

Skim milk powder was dissolved in distilled water until a specific gravity of 1.027 equivalent to fresh milk was attained. The mixture was sterilized at 121°C for 15 minutes in an autoclave. After cooling to 42°C, a commercial culture of *L. bulgaricus* / *S. thermophilus* was added at a concentration of 2% of the sterilized milk volume. The resulting mixture was incubated at 37°C for 20 hours, then cooled to 37°C before adding *B. longum* to the milk. *B. longum* was selected over more commonly used probiotic due to the superior adaptability and health benefits provided in the human gut (Sharma et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023; Li et al., 2024).

**Preparing Samples for the Analysis of Aromatic Compounds**

The separation of volatile aroma components is conducted using the Likens-Nickerson equipment and SDE (Simultaneous Distillation Extraction) method. A Gas Chromatograph was used in combination with a Mass Spectrometer (GC-MS) to analyze aroma components following the method described by Shiratsuchi et al. (1994). A solution of 300 g yogurt dissolved in 900 ml distilled water was used to separate aroma components, with Polyethylene glycol 4000 added to prevent foaming. Subsequently, extraction and distillation were carried out simultaneously for 1 hour using 30 ml of diethyl ether as the solvent. Anhydrous sodium sulfate was added to bind water, and the solution was concentrated with a rotary evaporator. Nitrogen gas was used to obtain the distillate, which was injected into GC-MS apparatus. This process was duplicated and analyzed under the following conditions presented in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Condition of GC-MS Instrument Used.

The condition of GC-MS	Information
<b>GC Conditions</b>	
Brand of tools	: Shimadzu Model 17 A
Column type	: Shimadzu CBP20-M50_025. Column length 50 m (i.d. 0.22 mm and o.d.0.33 mm). Hi-Cap series. Film layer thickness 0.25 µm
Carrier gas	: Helium, pressure 199 kPa
Initial temperature	: 50°C duration of 5 minutes
Final temperature	: 200°C duration of 30 minutes
The rate of temperature increase	: 4°C per minute
Sample volume.	: 1 µl
Injection method	: Grob Split-splttless; Sampling time is 30 seconds
Temperature interface	: 230°C
Injector temperature	: 220°C
<b>MS Conditions</b>	
Brand of tools	: Shimadzu model QP 5000
Energy detector	: 1.1 kV
Mass range	: 33 – 400
Resolution	: 1000
Database.	: National Institute for Standard and Technology (NIST) library

Yogurt was produced in this study using the incubation method with a freeze-dried *B. longum* bacterial culture. The incubation process of the culture was carried out for 20 hours at 37°C. Seven combination treatments were performed using probiotic bacteria and the incubation method during yogurt production. These include L = single culture of *L. bulgaricus*, 2) LB1 = *L. bulgaricus* + *B. longum* incubated, 3) S = single culture of *S. thermophilus*, 4) SB1 = *S. thermophilus* + *B. longum* incubated, 5) LS = *L. bulgaricus* + *S. thermophilus*, 6) LSB1 = *L. bulgaricus* + *S. thermophilus* + *B. longum* incubated, and 7). B = single culture of *B. longum*, from which the results are reported descriptively.

## Results and Discussion

Yogurt manufactured contains a wide variety of aroma components grouped into acids, alcohols, ketones, and aldehydes. This study found that the entire components vary greatly in both quantity and type.

### Group of Acids

The results showed that yogurt group had a dominant presence of acids in terms of aroma components. GC-MS analysis can be used to identify various acidic compounds, specifically volatile acids that evaporate when exposed to high GC temperatures and pressures. Table 2 presents the acidic compounds detected in the treated yogurt.

**Table 2.** Group of Acids.

155

Table 2. Group of Acids.

No.	Types of Acids	RT	LRI	LRI (Ref)	Treatments						
					L	LB	S	SB	LS	LSB	B
					% area						
1	Acetic acid	21.60	1457	1425*	1.37	2.11	0.87	5.95	3.06	3.28	2.04
2	Butanoic acid	27.30	1631	1652*	2.08	1.55	0.38	1.12	3.66	3.58	4.56
3	Hexanoic acid	33.60	1842	1849*	6.63	7.98	1.26	10.59	9.88	9.06	10.5
4	Octanoic acid	38.02	2010	2084*	19.72	20.22	6.73	15.11	22.9	20.35	16.5
5	Nonanoic acid	41.95	2166	2192*	0.68	0.99	0.33	0.47	0.82	2.92	0.41
6	Decanoic acid	44.88	>2200	2486*	20.72	19.94	18.7	14.95	14.6	15.38	14.4
7	Benzoic acid	50.83	>2200	2380*	0.15	1.81	0	0	0.16	1.12	0.32
8	Dodecanoic acid	52.15	>2200	2517**	1.06	3.79	27.7	10.4	0	0	20.3
9	Undecanoic acid	53.28	>2200	2365***	1.48	6.19	0.24	9.8	5.52	3.06	0.28
10	Tetradecanoic acid	64.11	>2200	2733**	3.06	1.03	13.4	5.25	0	0	8.91

Note: L= Yogurt with single *L. bulgaricus* culture; LB= Yogurt with *L. bulgaricus* and *B. longum* cultures; S= Yogurt with single *S. thermophilus* culture; SB= Yogurt with *S. thermophilus* + *B. longum* cultures; LS= Yogurt with *L. bulgaricus* + *S. thermophilus* cultures; LSB= Yogurt with *L. bulgaricus* + *S. thermophilus* + *B. longum* cultures; B= Yogurt with single *B. longum* culture; RT= Retention Time; LRI= Linear Retention Index; Ref= Reference.

\* Tian et al., 2019; \*\* Shiratsuchi et al., 1994; \*\*\* Marce et al., 1976.

Yogurt is a dairy product containing various acids, such as lactic acids essential for the taste and texture. During fermentation process, lactic acid bacteria (LAB), such as *L. bulgaricus* and *S. thermophilus*, consume the lactose in milk and convert it into lactic acids. The tangy flavor of yogurt originates from lactic acids which also helps prevent the growth of harmful bacteria and contributes to the thick product consistency. The performance of LAB starters directly affects the quality of yogurt, including the texture and gel properties (Zhang & Zhang, 2012). LAB from traditionally prepared dairy product, such as *Lactobacillus plantarum*, was found to enhance the condensed and textural integrity of yogurt (Li et al., 2022).

Acids are long carbon chain fat molecules with a carboxylic acid group at the terminal portion. The milk used in yogurt production contains both saturated and unsaturated acids, which contribute to the characteristic taste and aroma. Additionally, acids play a role in the thickness

and texture of yogurt. Some acids, such as butyric (butanoic) and caproic (octanoic) acids, can impart a buttery taste to yogurt, while others, including acetic and hexanoic acids, contribute to a soft and fruity aroma. According to Zhao et al. (2023) and Tian et al. (2019), aroma is influenced by various acids, including butyric, caproic, acetic, and hexanoic acids, which collectively contribute to the general flavor profile and sensory characteristics of yogurt.

Analysis of yogurt using GC-MS did not detect lactic acids potentially due to the hydrophilic nature and presence in the form of ions in yogurt. GC-MS is more effective for detecting volatile non-polar compounds, leading to the unsuitability for detecting lactic acids. This result corresponded with reports from other studies (Zhao et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2022) which failed to detect lactic acids in yogurt.

The data in Table 2 show that the composition of acids varies across the different treatments, suggesting that each treatment has a unique pattern in terms of the type and concentration of acids. Some treatments are characterized by a high concentration of specific types of acids.

Yogurt aroma analysis in this study found that acetic acids were the first to appear, therefore, considered as the most volatile component (Zhao et al., 2023) detected using GC-MS (Arslaner, 2020; Yüksel & Bakırcı, 2015; Cheng, 2010). According to Cheng (2010), acetic acids contribute to the vinegar-like, pungent, and acidic aroma of yogurt.

Table 2 shows that certain yogurt using different cultures and combinations (L, LB, B) produce 10 types of volatile acid components. Some treatments, including S, SB, LS, and LSB, generated fewer than 10 types of volatile acids. In this study, yogurt with a single culture of *L. bulgaricus* produced 11 acid group compounds. Liu et al. (2022) identified 12 aroma-active compounds in yogurt, including butanoic, acetic, and hexanoic acids, as the main aroma-active compounds in fermented milk produced by *L. bulgaricus*. The results showed that yogurt produced with a single culture of *L. bulgaricus* obtained these compounds. Treatments with a single culture of *S. thermophilus* (S), as well as incubation with *L. bulgaricus* (LS) and Bifidobacteria (SB), did not produce benzoic acid. According to Yu et al. (2016), benzoic acid production has been studied for various starter cultures and incubation temperatures without a report on the optimization process. The use of a single culture of Bifidobacteria in preparing yogurt produces 10 types of components, among which the most prominent are octanoic, decanoic, and dodecanoic acids. Tian et al. (2019) found that both octanoic and decanoic acids contributed to the distinctive taste of cheese, while octanoic acids produced a light creamy taste in yogurt.

The majority of treatments generated high levels of octanoic, decanoic, and dodecanoic compounds compared to other groups. These acids are present in higher quantities in yogurt



due to the activity of probiotic bacteria commonly used during fermentation. Probiotic bacteria, such as *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*, convert lactose (milk sugar) into octanoic, decanoic, and dodecanoic acids. Barros et al. (2019) stated that the process of converting lactose into acids in yogurt included several steps. Fermentation of lactose leads to the production of acids, which contribute to the flavor and texture of yogurt.

### Group of Alcohol

During the preparation of yogurt, microbes ferment lactose and produce acids as well as other compounds, including alcohol. The amount of alcohol produced is extremely low and not considered harmful to health. Additionally, the presence of alcohol can contribute to the unique aroma of yogurt. Hussain et al. (2010) found that *S. thermophilus* and *L. bulgaricus* produced alcohol-related compounds as well as saturated volatile free acids during yogurt manufacturing.

**Table 3.** Group of Alcohol.

No.	Types of Alcohol	RT	LRI	LRI (Ref) *	Treatments						
					L	LB	S	SB	LS	LSB	B
					% area						
1	2-nonanol	22.87	1494	1097	2.06	1	0	0	1.12	0.8	0
2	2-undecanol	29.38	1704	1301	5.06	1.1	0	0	1.89	0.9	0
3	2-tridecanol	34.81	1885	1570	0	1.04	0	0	1.11	0	0
4	2-decanol	43.62	2200	1198	2.15	1.41	0	0	0.79	0	0

Note: L= Yogurt with single *L. bulgaricus* culture; LB= Yogurt with *L. bulgaricus* and *B. longum* cultures; S= Yogurt with single *S. thermophilus* culture; SB= Yogurt with *S. thermophilus* + *B. longum* cultures; LS= Yogurt with *L. bulgaricus*+*S. thermophilus* cultures; LSB= Yogurt with *L. bulgaricus* + *S. thermophilus*+*B. longum* cultures; B= Yogurt with single *B. longum* culture; RT= Retention Time; LRI= Linear Retention Index; Ref= Reference.

\*= Adams, 2007.

Alcohol compounds produced are very low probably due to acids generated as the main product by bacteria during yogurt preparation, while more alcohol is obtained from fermentation with yeast. Nurhayati et al. (2022) found that yeast could generate alcohol, and several studies focused on the isolation and identification of various yeast species with the potential to produce ethanol. The profile of alcohol amount obtained from this study had the same pattern, where some treatments generated a high content of alcohol compounds and vice versa. The three treatments that produce higher alcohol compounds include LB1, LS, and B.

### Group of Ketones, Aldehydes, Furan Derivatives, and Ester Derivatives

Ketones are a type of compound included in the carbonyl group and not the major product formed during yogurt fermentation process. Table 4 shows that acetoin and diacetyl are the most abundant compounds produced in ketone group.

Table 4. Groups of Ketone Compounds, Aldehydes, Furan Derivatives, and Ester Derivatives.

No.	RT	LRI	LRI (Ref)	Compound Type	Treatments						
					L	LB	S	SB	LS	LSB	B
					% area						
<b>A</b>											
<b>Ketones</b>											
1	6.09	1014	981*	Diacetyl	0.07	0	2.14	1.7	0.9	1.34	2.4
2	8.17	1089	1056*	2,3-pentanedione	0.06	0	0.67	0.89	0	0	0.4
3	15.2	1280	1299*	Acetoin	1.6	3.44	3.4	19.5	2.2	8.38	4.6
4	18.2	1362	1387*	2-nonanone	0.07	1.63	0	1	1.3	0	0
5	18.4	1368		3-hydroxi-2-pentanone	0	0	0.27	0.91	0.9	0.61	0.4
6	31.7	1774	1488*	2-tridecanone	1.56	0.54	0.25	0	0	0	0
<b>B</b>											
<b>Aldehydes</b>											
1	23.2	1504	1502**	Benzaldehyde	0.07	0	0	0.8	0	0	0
2	33.5	1836	1410***	Dodecanal	6.63	0.67	0.18	0.4	0	1.22	0.2
3	40.4	2103		Octadecanal	4.86	1.25	0.76	0.53	1.8	7.53	0.6
<b>C</b>											
<b>Furan Derivatives</b>											
1	21.6	1456	1474****	2-furancarboxaldehyde	0.71	0	0.19	0.96	0.9	0.68	1.2
2	27.97	1652	1657*****	2-furanmethanol	0.21	0	0.47	0.99	0	0.69	2.3
<b>D</b>											
<b>Ester Derivatives</b>											
1	4.25	901	888*****	Ethyl Acetate	1.16	1.3	6.47	5.65	3.3	1.22	4.7
2	58.1	2200	1356*	Butyl octyl ester	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6

Note: L = Yogurt with single *L. bulgaricus* culture; LB= Yogurt with *L. bulgaricus* and *B. longum* cultures; S= Yogurt with single *S. thermophilus* culture; SB= Yogurt with *S. thermophilus* + *B. longum* cultures; LS= Yogurt with *L. bulgaricus* + *S. thermophilus* cultures; LSB= Yogurt with *L. bulgaricus* + *S. thermophilus* + *B. longum* cultures; B= Yogurt with single *B. longum* culture; RT= Retention Time; LRI= Linear Retention Index

Ref= Reference.

\*Tian et al., 2019; \*\*Sakho et al., 1985; \*\*\* Zhang et al., 2020; \*\*\*\*Wong & Tie, 1993

\*\*\*\*\*Shiratsuci et al., 1994.

Acetoin and diacetyl are both ketone compounds commonly used in foods and drinks to enhance specific flavors and aroma. For example, acetoin can impart a buttery taste to yogurt, while diacetyl provides an acidic or buttery flavor. This study showed that all treated yogurt produced acetoin, and diacetyl was only generated by six (L, S, SB, LS, LSB, B) out of the seven treatments. These two are the primary aroma compounds in yogurt playing a significant role in determining the general aroma and taste (Tian et al., 2020). Acetoin is produced by *L. acidophilus* during fermentation, while diacetyl is formed through the lipolysis of milk fat as well as the microbiological transformation of lactose and citrate (Cheng, 2010). The odor threshold for acetoin and diacetyl in yogurt is higher than in water (Nadal et al., 2009). Acetoin is commonly found in food fermentation product due to being a metabolic byproduct of microorganisms such as lactic acid bacteria. In yogurt, acetoin is typically present in higher concentrations than other ketone compounds, leading to easy detection with GC-MS and a more prominent appearance. This is attributed to acetoin being a compound produced by the metabolism of LAB commonly used in the production of yogurt.

Aldehydes are included in the group of carbonyl compounds, along with ketones. The main difference between ketones and aldehydes depends on the atoms attached to the carbonyl group. In ketones, the carbonyl group is bonded to two carbon atoms, while in aldehydes, it is bonded to one carbon and a hydrogen atom. Aldehydes are present in very small amounts as by-product



during fermentation process but not considered a major component or main characteristic of yogurt.

The results of yogurt aroma analysis conducted using GC-MS showed the presence of dodecanal, benzaldehyde, and octadecanal aldehyde groups. Both octadecanal and dodecanal were found to be the most dominant. Octadecanal was present in all treatments, while dodecanal was not detected in SB2 and S. These compounds have no significant effect on aroma of yogurt, and aldehydes are known for the stimulating and fruity aroma (Reineccius, 2006).

Furan derivatives found in yogurt are chemical compounds with furan rings in the structure. These have been detected in various foods, including coffee, canned/bottled product, cereal-based product, and thermally processed foods such as rye bread. However, the presence of furan derivatives in yogurt remains unclear. More investigations or special studies are needed to determine the presence in this food product (Arisseto, 2016; Tameko et al., 2017). The heating process particularly carried out during milk pasteurization before fermentation may lead to the formation of furan derivatives in yogurt (Batoool et al., 2023). Furan derivatives can be formed during milk heating, specifically in the context of UHT (Ultra High Temperature) processing for yogurt production.

In LS, 2-furancarboxaldehyde increased and 2-furanmethanol decreased, which was a trend similarly observed in all yogurt treatments. These two compounds are primarily produced in yogurt with treatment B. The relationship between bacterial activity in producing 2-furancarboxaldehyde and 2-furanmethanol is not yet understood, as both compounds are formed during the heating process. According to Guo et al. (2019) and Asaduzzaman et al. (2021), the heating process of milk in yogurt production significantly affects furan formation. Furan has the potential to be a carcinogenic compound that can form during the thermal processing of heated food.

Ester derivatives are chemical compounds related to esters, which are organic groups formed from the reaction between carboxylic acids and alcohols. The structures and properties of the derivatives can vary depending on the type of carboxylic acids and alcohol used in the synthesis. Moreover, esters are formed during the esterification process through the reaction of lactic acids with other acids in milk. These compounds play a role in giving yogurt a distinctive taste and aroma, although the contribution is not very significant. Farooq & Haque (1992) stated that sugar esters could improve the general quality of yogurt, enhancing the texture, taste, and mouthfeel. Vásquez-Trespalcios & Romero-Palacio (2014) found that yogurt drinks with added plant stanol esters significantly reduced total and LDL cholesterol.

Ethyl acetate, such as esters, is formed through an esterification reaction between carboxylic acids and alcohol probably due to the better solubility of ethyl acetate compared to butyl octyl ester. Furthermore, it is suspected to be more volatile, leading to the dominance during analysis with GC-MS. Cheng (2010) described aroma of ethyl acetate as solvent-like and fruity, resembling pineapple. Ni et al. (2022) found this aroma to induce cytotoxicity in breast cancer without affecting normal cells.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, yogurt is a fermented dairy product prioritized for the nutritional benefits and unique aroma, commonly influenced by the ingredients and fermentation process. The production of yogurt from cow milk often uses live cultures such as *L. bulgaricus* and *S. thermophilus*. Therefore, this study explores the effect of different probiotic bacteria combinations on aroma of yogurt by identifying components such as acids, alcohols, ketones, and aldehydes. Octanoic, decanoic, and dodecanoic acids are the most prominent among the 10 types of acids found, contributing to aroma complexity. Aldehydes and furan derivatives formed during heating are not highly significant. The results show the importance of selecting specific probiotic combinations to achieve the desired aroma profile, which helps to improve yogurt marketability.

## Reference

1. Adams, R. P. 2007. Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, 4th Edition. Allured Publ. Carol Stream, Illinois, USA.
2. Adrianto, R., Wiraputra, D., Daniro Jyoti, M., & Zulaika, A. 2020. Total Bacteria of Lactic Acid, Total Acid, pH Value, Syneresis, Total Dissolved Solids and Organoleptic Properties of Yoghurt Back Slooping Method. *Jurnal Agritechno*, 13(2), 105–111. <https://doi.org/10.20956/AT.V13I2.358>
3. Aktar, T. 2022. Physicochemical and sensory characterization of different yoghurt production methods. *International Dairy Journal*, 125, 105245–105245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2021.105245>
4. Ariseto, A. P. 2016. Furan in processed foods. *Food Hygiene and Toxicology in Ready-to-Eat Foods*, 383–396. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-801916-0.00021-2>
5. Arslaner, A. 2020. The effects of adding garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) on the volatile composition and quality properties of yogurt. *Food Science and Technology International*, 40, 582–591. <https://doi.org/10.1590/FST.31019>

- 311 6. Asaduzzaman, M., Mahomud, M. S., & Haque, M. E. 2021. Heat-Induced Interaction  
312 of Milk Proteins: Impact on Yoghurt Structure. *International Journal of Food Science*, 2021,  
313 5569917. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5569917>
- 314 7. Barros, R. F., Cutrim, C. S., Da Costa, M. P., Junior, C. A. C., & Cortez, M. A. S. 2019.  
315 Lactose hydrolysis and organic acids production in yogurt prepared with different onset  
316 temperatures of enzymatic action and fermentation. *Ciência Animal Brasileira*, 20, 1–10.  
317 <https://doi.org/10.1590/1809-6891V20E-43549>
- 318 8. Batool, Z., Chen, J. H., Liu, B., Chen, F., & Wang, M. 2023. Review on Furan as a Food  
319 Processing Contaminant: Identifying Research Progress and Technical Challenges for Future  
320 Research. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 71(13), 5093–5106.  
321 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ACS.JAFC.3C01352>
- 322 9. Cheng, H. 2010. Volatile Flavor Compounds in Yogurt: A Review. *Critical Reviews in*  
323 *Food Science and Nutrition*, 50(10), 938–950. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408390903044081>
- 324 10. Eker, T. and T. Cabaroğlu (2020). The Impact factors of yogurt matrix on in vivo aroma  
325 release during consumption of yogurt. *GIDA* (2020) 45 (1): 50-60. doi:  
326 10.15237/gida.GD19103.
- 327 11. Elbarbary, H. A. 2014. Using of some bifidobacteria species as biopreservative cultures  
328 in some dairy products. *Assiut Veterinary Medical Journal*, 60(143), 54–60.  
329 <https://doi.org/10.21608/AVMJ.2014.171052>
- 330 12. Fadhlurrohman I, Sumarmono J, Tianling M, Prasetya R, Safitri A, Kafa UA, et al.  
331 (2023). Physical and Chemical Properties of Cow's Milk Yogurt Added Whey Protein  
332 Concentrate (WPC). *Proceeding ICMA-SURE [Internet]* ;2(1):109–109.
- 333 13. Farooq, K., & Haque, Z. U. 1992. Effect of Sugar Esters on the Textural Properties of  
334 Nonfat Low Calorie Yogurt. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 75(10), 2676–2680.  
335 [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(92\)78029-1](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(92)78029-1)
- 336 14. Guo, J., Zhao, R., Li, J., Wu, D., Yang, Q., Zhang, Y., & Wang, S. 2019. Furan  
337 formation from ingredient interactions and furan mitigation by sugar alcohols and antioxidants  
338 of bamboo leaves in milk beverage model systems. *Journal of the Science of Food and*  
339 *Agriculture*, 99(11), 4993–4999. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JSFA.9739>
- 340 15. He, B. L., Xiong, Y., Hu, T. G., Zong, M. H., & Wu, H. 2023. Bifidobacterium spp. as  
341 functional foods: a review of current status, challenges, and strategies. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci.*  
342 *Nutrition*. 63, 8048–8065. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2022.2054934>
- 343 16. Hussain, S. A., Panjagari, N. R., Singh, R. R. B., & Patil, G. R. 2010. Production and  
344 function of dealcohol yogurt beverage. *China Dairy Industry*, 38(1), 26–28.

345 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2011.649148>

346 17. Jegal, S., BCIT School of Health Sciences, Environmental Health, Heacock, H., Trmcic,  
347 A., & Shaw, F. 2019. The effect of probiotics as a starter culture for producing yogurt. *BCIT*  
348 *Environmental Public Health Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.47339/EPHJ.2019.41>

349 18. Kamara, D. S., Rachman, S. D., Pasisca, R. W., Djajasoepana, S., Suprijana, O., Idar,  
350 I., & Ishmayana, S. (2016). Pembuatan dan Aktivitas Antibakteri Yogurt Hasil Fermentasi Tiga  
351 Bakteri (*Lactobacillus bulgaricus*, *Streptococcus thermophilus*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*). *Al-*  
352 *Kimia*, 4(2), 121–131. <https://doi.org/10.24252/AL-KIMIA.V4I2.1680>.

353 19. Krastanov A, Georgiev M, Slavchev A, Blazheva D, Goranov B, Ibrahim SA. (2023).  
354 Design and Volatile Compound Profiling of Starter Cultures for Yogurt Preparation. *Foods*  
355 2023, 12(2), 379; <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12020379>

356 20. Latif, A., A. Shehzad, S. Niazi, A. Zahid, W. Ashraf et al. 2023. Probiotics: mechanism  
357 of action, health benefits and their application in food industries. *Front Microbiol.* 2023; 14:  
358 1216674. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1216674

359 21. Li, H., Gao, J., Chen, W., Qian, C., Wang, Y., Wang, J., & Chen, L. 2022. Lactic acid  
360 bacteria isolated from Kazakh traditional fermented milk products affect the fermentation  
361 characteristics and sensory qualities of yogurt. *Food Science and Nutrition*, 10(5), 1451–1460.  
362 <https://doi.org/10.1002/FSN3.2755>

363 22. Liu, A., Liu, Q., Bu, Y., Hao, H., Liu, T., Gong, P., Zhang, L., Chen, C., Tian, H., & Yi,  
364 H. 2022. Aroma classification and characterization of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp.  
365 *bulgaricus* fermented milk. *Food Chemistry: X*, 15, 100385–100385.  
366 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FOCHX.2022.100385>

367 23. Liu, C., Yang, P., Wang, H., & Song, H. 2022. Identification of odor compounds and  
368 odor-active compounds of yogurt using DHS, SPME, SAFE, and SBSE/GC-O-MS. *LWT*, 154,  
369 112689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112689>

370 24. Li, L. Q., Chen, X., Zhu, J., Zhang, S., Chen, S. Q., & Liu, X. 2023. Advances and  
371 challenges in interaction between heteroglycans and *Bifidobacterium*: utilization strategies,  
372 intestinal health and future perspectives. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 134, 112–122.

373 25. Li, L., Zhang, C., Liu, B., Tian, F., Yu, L., Chen, W., & Zhai, Q. 2024. Factor involved  
374 in the abundant dominance of *Bifidobacterium logum* within the genus in the human gut. *Food*  
375 *Biosci.* 61, 104638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2024.104638>.

376 26. Nadal, I., Rico, J., Pérez-Martínez, G., Yebra, M. J., & Monedero, V. 2009. Diacetyl  
377 and acetoin production from whey permeate using engineered *Lactobacillus casei*. *Journal of*  
378 *Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 36(9), 1233–1237.

379 <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10295-009-0617-9/FULLTEXT.HTML>

380 27. Nair, S., & Peekhan, N. 2022. Formulation of Millet Milk and Herb Extract Enriched  
381 Yogurt and to Assess Its Nutritional Characteristics. *Int J life Sci pharma Res* [Internet]. 2022  
382 Dec 12 [cited 2023 Oct 24]; DOI:10.22376/ijlpr.2023.13.1.L151-163 Ni, B., Li, W., Ifrah, K.,  
383 Du, B.,

384 28. Ni, B., Li, W., Ifrah, K., Du, B., Xu, Y., Zhang, C., & Li, X. 2022. Dynamic  
385 Transcriptome Analysis Reveals Transcription Factors Involved in the Synthesis of Ethyl  
386 Acetate in Aroma-Producing Yeast. *Genes*, 13(12), 2341–2341.  
387 <https://doi.org/10.3390/GENES13122341>

388 29. Nurhayati, Jayus, J., Rini, A. W. E., Sugiharto, B., & Rahmanto, D. E. 2022. Isolasi dan  
389 Identifikasi Khamir Toleran Alkohol dari Molase. *Biota: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu-Ilmu Hayati*, 1–  
390 10. <https://doi.org/10.24002/BIOTA.V7I1.5426>

391 30. Reineccius, G. 2006 . Flavor Chemistry and Technology. Taylor & Francis. Boca Raton.

392 31. Sakho, M., Crouzet, J., Seck, S., 1985. Volatile components of African mango. *J. Food*  
393 *Sci.* 50, 548/550.

394 32. Sharma, M., Wasan, A., & Sharma, R. K. 2021. Recent developments in probiotics: an  
395 emphasis on Bifidobacterium. *Food Biosci.* 41, 100993.  
396 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2021.100993>

397 33. Shiratsuchi, H., Shimoda, M., Imayoshi, K., Noda, K. & Osajima, Y. 1994. Volatile  
398 flavor compounds in spray-dried skim milk powder. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 42 ; 984-988.

399 34. Siddiqi, M., Taraah, A., Chen, ZH and LaPointe, G. 2024. Phenotypic Differentiation  
400 of *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. bulgaricus Isolates Found  
401 in Yogurt Starter Cultures. *Fermentation*. 10 (12)

402 35. Swelam, S., Rashed, M., & khames, E. 2019. Properties of Non-Fat Yoghurt as  
403 Influenced by The Incubation Temperature of Exopolysaccharide Producing Culture. *Journal*  
404 *of Food and Dairy Sciences*, 10(12), 447–452. <https://doi.org/10.21608/JFDS.2019.71333>

405 36. Tameko, J. E. M., Chouna, J. R., Nkeng-Efouet-Alango, P., Tapondjou, L. A., &  
406 Sewald, N. 2017. Furan derivatives from *Lannea kerstingii*. *Phytochemistry Letters*, 20, 282–  
407 284. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytol.2017.04.040>

408 37. Tian, H., Shi, Y., Zhang, Y., Yu, H., Mu, H., & Chen, C. 2019. Screening of aroma-  
409 producing lactic acid bacteria and their application in improving the aromatic profile of yogurt.  
410 *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, 43(10). <https://doi.org/10.1111/JFBC.12837>

411 38. Tian, H., Yu, B., Yu, H., & Chen, C. 2020. Evaluation of the synergistic olfactory effects  
412 of diacetyl, acetaldehyde, and acetoin in a yogurt matrix using odor threshold, aroma intensity,

- 413 and electronic nose analyses. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 103(9), 7957–7967.  
414 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-17495>
- 415 39. Trentin, M. M., Becker, A. F., Hanauer, D., Bianchi, A., Borges, L., & Schogor, A. L.  
416 B. 2022 Development of yogurts with mixture of sheep and bovine milk: effect on chemical  
417 physical characteristics, protein profile and antioxidant activity. *Research, Society and*  
418 *Development*. <https://doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v11i16.37531>
- 419 40. Vásquez-Trespacios, E. M., & Romero-Palacio, J. 2014. Efficacy of yogurt drink with  
420 added plant stanol esters (Benecol® , Colanta) in reducing total and LDL cholesterol in subjects  
421 with moderate hypercholesterolemia: a randomized placebo-controlled crossover trial  
422 NCT01461798. *Lipids in Health and Disease*, 13(1), 125–125. [https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-](https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-511X-13-125)  
423 [511X-13-125](https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-511X-13-125)
- 424 41. Wihansah RRS, Pazra DF, Wahyuningsih, and Handayani KS.(2022). Assesment of the  
425 Antidiabetic Activity and Characteristics of Cow's Milk Yogurt Enhanced with Herbs Extracts.  
426 *IOP Conf Ser*. 1020(1):012024–012024.
- 427 42. Wong, K.C. & Tie, D. Y. 1995. Volatile constituent of durians (*Durio ziberthimus*  
428 Murr). *J. Flav. and Frag*. 79-83.
- 429 43. Yu, H. S., Lee, N. K., Jeon, H. L., Eom, S. J., Yoo, M. Y., Lim, S. D., & Paik, H. D.  
430 2016. Benzoic Acid Production with Respect to Starter Culture and Incubation Temperature  
431 during Yogurt Fermentation using Response Surface Methodology. *Korean Journal for Food*  
432 *Science of Animal Resources*, 36(3), 427–434. <https://doi.org/10.5851/KOSFA.2016.36.3.427>
- 433 44. Yüksel, A. K., & Bakırcı, İ. 2015. An investigation of the volatile compound profiles of  
434 probiotic yogurts produced using different inulin and demineralised whey powder  
435 combinations. *Food Science and Biotechnology*, 24(3), 807–816.  
436 <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10068-015-0105-0>
- 437 45. Zhang, L., Mi, S., Ruo-bing Liu, Ya-xin Sang, and Xiang-hong Wang. 2020. Evaluation  
438 of Volatile Compounds during the Fermentation Process of Yogurts by *Streptococcus*  
439 *thermophilus* Based on Odor Activity Value and Heat Map Analysis. *International Journal of*  
440 *Analytical Chemistry*. Volume 2020, Article ID 3242854, 10 pages.  
441 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/3242854>
- 442 46. Zhang, S., & Zhang, L. 2012. Effect of Exopolysaccharide Producing Lactic Acid  
443 Bacterial on the Gelation and Texture Properties of Yogurt. *Advanced Materials Research*,  
444 430–432, 890–893. <https://doi.org/10.4028/WWW.SCIENTIFIC.NET/AMR.430-432.890>
- 445 47. Zhao, M., Li, H., Zhang, D., Li, J., Wen, R., Ma, H., Zou, T., Hou, Y., & Song, H. 2023.  
446 Variation of Aroma Components of Pasteurized Yogurt with Different Process Combination



447 before and after Aging by DHS/GC-O-MS. *Molecules*, 28(4), 1975–1975.  
448 <https://doi.org/10.3390/MOLECULES28041975>

449 48. Znamenska, T and O. Vorobiova. 2024. Functions and Individual Mechanisms of  
450 Influence of

451 49. Bifidobacteria on The State of Health of Infants (Literary Review). *J. Neonatology,*  
452 *Surgery and Perinatal Medicine*. 4(54), pp 191-195