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ABSTRACT

Manual planting of sugar-beet stecklings is a very laborious and time-consuming operation. To overcome the problems, and decrease the cost of operation to a reasonable level, a semi-automatic steckling transplanter was designed. The suitability of the steckling transplanter with regard to the agrotechnical requirements of sugar-beet stecklings was studied and the main field performance parameters of the machine were measured. The results showed that the transplanter was able to place the stecklings with a row spacing of 65 cm and a plant spacing of 50.3 cm at a selected depth of 13 cm. Deviations of the steckling placements relative to their theoretical positions were 4.5 % and 3.6 % along the row and in a direction perpendicular to the row respectively. For a forward speed of 0.6 km/h and a maximum planting depth (18 cm), the required draft was 4.05 kN.
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INTRODUCTION

Sugar-beet steckling transplanting is a very laborious and time-consuming operation. It is therefore very important to minimize the time and labor required for this process.

Bornscheuer et al. (1993) reported that early planting gives higher seed yield. The commonest method of producing hybrid seeds is strip planting with the female and male components planted separately. Stecklings must be planted vertically in the soil at the correct depth, with the top of the crown covered with soil. For optimum seed yield, a plant population of 30,000 plants/ha must be achieved with row spacings of 65 cm and a 50 cm plant spacing along the row. Smith et al. (1984) described field-tested automated machines to transplant sugar-beets, while Hauser (1985) described a transplanter for grass seedlings. Suggs et al. (1986) designed and constructed a self-feeding transplanter for tobacco and vegetable crops. They demonstrated that the machine operated well at speeds up to 100 plants/min. They also constructed and evaluated an automatic feeding bare-root transplanter. It was operated at speeds up to 43 plants/min, but 30% misplanting occurred. A mechanism for transplanting seedlings extracted directly from a commercial growing flat was designed and tested by Munilla and Shaw (1987). Brewer (1988) conducted an experiment using an automatic feeder for seedling transplanter. He described a laboratory bench model of a new feeder mechanism for free-cell seedlings. It transferred 90% of the seedlings at a rate of 30 to 60/min. Accord Land Machine Company (1963) developed a semi-automatic transplanter, in which fingers were fitted in pairs to the feed in along with disks to hold the steckling and feed it past the share. Odighboh (1978) described a two-row automatic cassava-cutting planter. Ardalan and Hassan (1982) described a method for the automatic feeding and storing of bare-root seedlings: after singulation, bare-root seedlings could logically be stored on a tape and transplanted later. Graham and 
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Rohrbach (1993) constructed and evaluated a hook device to withdraw tree seedlings from a container. Also, several other varieties of transplanters were designed, constructed and evaluated by Boa (1984), Penley (1981), Pretzer (1984), Maw (1984), Khan and Gunkel (1988) and Kohli (1985). To mechanize sugar-beet transplanting some work was done by Kazmeinkhah (1997), resulting in a two-row semi-automatic sugar-beet steckling transplanter design, construction and evaluation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The machine designed comprises transport wheels, a hopper, two furrow openers, a metering disk with two grippers, a covering device consisting of two disk type ridgers, two driving press wheels and two operator seats (Figure 1). Ground driven press wheels powered the metering mechanism of the machine; therefore, within-any row spacing of the stecklings, the mechanism was independent of the tractor forward speed.

The operators sitting on the transplanter seats pick the stecklings from the hopper, feeding them into the grippers with the crown downward. The motion of the grippers was provided by the profile of a stationary cam. The steckling was held firmly between the jaws of the gripper. When the steckling was at its lowest position in the furrow, the jaws of the gripper opened. The steckling was covered with soil by the covering device and a ridge was formed. A pair of press, wheels moving in an oblique position, followed the covering device and compressed the soil around the stecklings.

The field tests of the sugar-beet steckling transplanter were conducted in the Agriculture Machinery Test Center of the Republic of Azarbaijan in 1995. The transplanter was tested for its suitability with regard to the agrotechnical requirements of the stecklings and the ergonomic and power requirement parameters were determined. The experiments were done in an experimental field measuring four hectares, with 4 replications. The experimental conditions are given in Table 1.

![Figure 1](image-url)
Tests

Suitability of the Transplanter in Relation to the Agrotechnical Requirements of the Stecklings

An experiment was conducted for determination of row spacing, plant spacing along the row, planting depth, ridge height uniformity, deviation of steckling spacings along the row and in a direction perpendicular to the row. All measurements were based on the standards given by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO).

Determination of Power Requirement of the Transplanter

The required parameters, namely draft, transplanter forward speed, drawbar power and fuel consumption were measured by a mobile field laboratory. During transplanting operations, the mobile laboratory following the transplanter measured the factors being studied. Also measurement of the transplanter draft was checked by another method. In this method two MTZ 80 tractors were hitched face-to-face through a dynamometer, with the transplanter mounted to one of the tractors. The other tractor moved the one mounted with transplanter (Figure 3). In both tests, the tractor motion resistance and the force needed to pull the tractor and transplanter combination were measured. Then, the draft required to pull the transplanter and drawbar power were calculated. In the second test, as shown in Figure 3, the line of pull was not horizontal. Therefore, all the data measured in this method were multiplied by \( \cos 17 \) to correct the draft values.

Determination of Ergonomic Parameters

Some of the ergonomic parameters were investigated by interviewing the operators, and some of them were measured using a mobile laboratory (Figure 2). The amount of dust raised during the transplanting operation, which may be harmful to the health of operators, was measured. Also, the number of stecklings that each operator could take out of the hopper and put into the gripper of transplanter was determined. The results obtained were compared with the ergonomic parameters of a semi-automatic potato planter.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of experiments showed that the steckling transplanter planted the stecklings upright in the ridges with a 65 cm row spacing, a 50.38 cm plant spacing along the row and at a selected depth of 13 cm (Table 2). The row spacing was quite uniform, but the plant spacing along the row was higher than agronomical requirements of the steckling. This was due to the transplanter wheels slip page.

About 95% of the stecklings were planted vertically. Deviations in the steckling placements relative to their theoretical positions along the rows and in a direction perpendicular to the row were 4.5% and 3.6% respectively. Also these data proved that the steckling transplanter was suitable for the transplanting operation (Table 3). It has been demonstrated that the stecklings must be planted vertically in the soil at a correct depth, with the top of the crown covered with soil. For optimal seed yield, a plant population of 30,000 plants per hectare must be achieved with a row spacing of 65 cm and a plant spacing of 50 cm (Bornscheuer et al., 1993). The amounts of draft at different depths of planting are given in Table 4. As indicated in Figure 4, the relationship between the draft requirement and planting depth is linear. When the planting depth varies from 12 to 18 cm, the amount of draft changes from 2,600 to 4,050 N accordingly. When the working speed of the transplanter altered from 0.199 to 0.203 m/s, the drawbar power varied from 0.528 to 0.806 kW (Figure 5). For the maximum planting depth (18 cm) with full hopper of stecklings and two operators on the board, the maximum drawbar power was 0.8 kW (Figure 5). However, regardless of the type of tractor used, the forward speed during transplanter operations must not exceed 0.6 km/h. The Accord Land Machine Company (1963) and Ranjbar et al. (1996) indicated that the suitable speed for a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replications</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>( \text{Row spacing} ) (cm)</th>
<th>( \text{Plant spacing} ) (cm)</th>
<th>Planting depth (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>50.38</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are the average of 30 observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Replication</th>
<th>Left (%)</th>
<th>Right (%)</th>
<th>Front (%)</th>
<th>Back (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Max deviation angle 4° 5° 5° 4°

The values are the average of 30 observations.
Determination of Parameters of Semi-automatic Stickling Transplanter

The transplanting capacity of the machine was 14 seedlings per operator per minute. The ergonomic parameter results showed that when the forward speed of the transplanter varied from 0.199 to 0.203 m/s, the operators had sufficient time to take the seedlings out of the hopper and put them into the transplanter grippers. The planting rates of a semi-automatic planter reported by Nix and Hill, (1986) were in the range of 25-30 plant per operator per minute. However, 35-40 seedlings per operator per minute for a seedling transplanter and 15 plants per operator per minute for a tobacco transplanter have also been reported by Listopad (1986) and Suggs et al. (1986), respectively. The amount of dust raised during transplanting operations was 0.005 g/m³ (Table 6). According to the report by Aliev and Eslamev (1999), the acceptable amount of poisonous dust and harmful molecules raised by animal and man in the work environment were 0.002-0.006 g/m³. However, Doulin (1982) and Koloshin (1981) reported that it could range from 0.002 to 0.010 g/m³.
CONCLUSIONS

1. The steckling transplanter demonstrated a good suitability for the steckling transplanting operation. About 95% of the stecklings were planted in the ridges upright with a 65 cm row spacing, 50.38 cm plant spacing and at a selected depth of 13 cm.

2. At a forward speed of 0.199-0.203 m/s the required draft and drawbar power were 2.6-4.05 kN and 0.528-0.806 kW, respectively. The fuel consumption and power required for the transplanting operation were 0.66 L/h and 0.410 kW, respectively.

3. Mechanical transplanting and mechanized inter–row cultivation, weeding and seed harvesting are possible.

4. In order to meet safety standards, the transmission chains need to be covered.
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Table 4. Energetic parameters for transplanting of stecklings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Planting depth (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2700 3200 3700 4100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2600 3300 3800 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2500 3200 3700 4100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2600 3100 3600 4000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Replications.
<sup>b</sup> Draft (N).
<sup>c</sup> Transplanter forward speed (m/s).
<sup>d</sup> Average value for the transplanter draft (N).
<sup>e</sup> Average value for the transplanter forward speed (m/s).
<sup>f</sup> Average value for the required drawbar power (kW).

Table 5. Fuel consumption and tractor power.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Replications</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel consumption (L h&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawbar power (kW)</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are the average of 30 observations.
Table 6. Comparison of ergonomic parameters of designed steckling transplanter with a semi-automatic potato planter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ergonomics parameters</th>
<th>Potato planter (based on ISO standards)</th>
<th>Designed steckling transplanter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety of machine during operation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of maintenance</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of work</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of plant per operator per minute</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity of raised dust during machine working (g m⁻³)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operators opinion</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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تمین مشخصات انرژتیکی و ارگونومیکی ماشین ریسچه کار جنگریند
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چکیده

کاشت دستی ریسچه جنگریند از مراحل بر هزینه و زمان و می باشد. برای افزایش کارآیی کارگر و کاهش هزینه‌ها، یکدستگاه ماسین ریسچه کار نیمه‌تومانیک طراحی و نمونه‌سازی شد. نیازهای آرگو تکنیکی ریسچه مطالعه و با ماشین تطبیق گردد و پارامترهای اصلی طرفین مزدهای (Field) ارزیابی و اندازه‌گیری شدند. نتایج آزمایشات نشان داد که ماشین تونالی کاشت ریسچه‌ها را در فاصله بین ویدیدی ۵۵ سانتی‌متر، فاصله بین بونهای ۴۸/۵۰ سانتی‌متر و عمق کاشت مناسب ۱۳ سانتی‌متر داشت. اningarافات ریسچه‌ها نسبت به خط کاشت ۴/۵ درصد و نسبت به خط عنایت ۳/۵ درصد بودند. هنگامی که ماسین با ضعف کاشت بیشتره ۱۳ سانتی‌متر و با سرعت پیش روزی ۶/۰ کیلومتر در ساعت کار می‌کرد، متوسط نیاز میان ماسین ۴/۰۵ کیلو‌نیوتن محاسبه گردید.
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