Co-composting of municipal solid waste with activated biochar: A promising 1 approach to improve the quality of compost 2 Kamal Khalkhal<sup>1</sup>, Adel Revhanitabar<sup>1\*</sup>, Shahin Oustan<sup>1</sup>, and Nasser Aliasgharzad<sup>1</sup> 3 Abstract 4 Biochar reduces composting issues and improves compost quality. However, activated biochar 5 (AB) and its size are often overlooked. This research aimed to evaluate the impact of co-6 composting of municipal solid waste (MSW) with different-sized biochar and AB on the quality of 7 the resulting co-compost. The MSW were mixed thoroughly with different-sized (B<sub>2-4mm</sub>, B<sub>1-2mm</sub>) 8 and B<sub>0.5-1mm</sub>) and activated biochars (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-AB<sub>0.5-1mm</sub> and NaOH-AB<sub>0.5-1mm</sub>) and co-composted for 9 90 days until compost maturity. The results revealed that the activation of biochar with NaOH and 10 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> caused the appearance of a mesh structure on the biochar surface, leading to improved 11 stability and maturity, enhanced biodegradation and humification indices. Specifically, NaOH-AB 12 (5%, w/w) showed the highest temperature (71.5°C), germination index (130.9%), and total 13 nitrogen content (1.37%) and the longest thermophilic period (7 days). The highest organic matter 14 content (OM) (37.9%) and the lowest electrical conductivity (7.4 dS/m) were recorded in  $B_{1-2mm}$ 15 (10%, w/w). Furthermore, the lowest nitrate concentration (254.4 mg/kg) and the highest C/N ratio 16 (18.1) were achieved in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-AB (10%). Principal component analysis (PCA) highlighted the 17 critical role of the C/N ratio and OM content during the composting. The study recommends the 18 addition of biochar to MSW to achieve an appropriate C/N ratio and prevent nitrogen loss. Overall, 19 **incorporating** NaOH- and  $H_2SO_4$ -activated biochars was found to be a valuable strategy for the 20 composting of municipal solid wastes and the findings provide valuable insights into the potential 21 of biochar in optimizing the composting process. 22 Keywords: Biochar activation, Co-composting, Humification, Municipal solid wastes. 23

#### 25 **1 Introduction**

The management of municipal solid waste (MSW) is a global concern. According to the United Nations Environment Programme, the global MSW generation will reach 3.8 billion tons by 2050 (UNEP, 2024). In the Middle East, nearly 65% of MSW consists of organic waste, which is higher

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Islamic Republic of Iran. \*Corresponding author, email: areyhani@tabrizu.ac.ir

than the global average and more than 70% of this MSW is primarily disposed of in unsanitary 29 landfills (UNEP, 2024). Consequently, effective management of MSW has emerged as a pivotal 30 21st-century challenge, which requires innovative technologies to recover resources and facilitate 31 the transition to a sustainable bioeconomy, particularly in developing countries. From both 32 economic and environmental perspectives, composting offers a promising solution for managing 33 the organic fraction of MSW, while also reducing waste disposal costs (Bhattacharjee et al., 2023). 34 Composting involves various stages where diverse microbial communities (bacteria, fungi, and 35 actinomycetes) operate at different temperatures, generating heat as they decompose organic 36 matter. The resulting compost is a nutrient-rich organic fertilizer that improves the 37 physicochemical, and biological properties of soil, enhances soil fertility and reduces the reliance 38 on chemical fertilizers (Babu et al., 2021). Additionally, composting can be associated with 39 challenges such as greenhouse gas emissions, nitrogen loss, and the contamination of soil and 40 water resources (Barthod et al., 2018; Nguyen et al. 2022). To address these challenges, "co-41 composting," which involves the use of additives at the beginning of the composting, has emerged 42 as a promising approach (Barthod et al., 2018). 43 Recently, biochar has been recognized as a valuable additive and a key component to reduce the 44 adverse effects of MSW compositing and improve the quality of the final compost (Feng et al, 45 2024). Biochar possesses a range of beneficial characteristics, including a porous structure, high 46 specific surface area, high ion exchange capacity, active oxygen functional groups, and resistance 47 to decomposition. These properties enhance aeration and accelerate the decomposition of toxic 48 substances through co-metabolism, reducing heavy metal bioavailability, nitrogen loss, 49 greenhouse gas emissions, and composting time (Nguyen et al., 2022). In addition, the 50 physicochemical properties of biochar, including particle size and activation can affect its 51 efficiency in improving compost quality. The particle size affects aeration, moisture; porosity, 52 turning efficiency, and the uniformity of the compost pile (He et al., 2019). However, previous 53 studies have provided limited insights into the effects of biochar particle size on the co-composting 54 process. 55 The main purpose of the activation process is to enhance the oxygen-containing functional groups, 56

57 surface area, pore volume and diameter, and increase the porosity of the activated biochar (AB)

58 (Panwar and Pawar, 2020). Chemical activation (includes acid and alkaline activation) is the most

59	widely adopted process for activation, with several advantages over physical methods. Among
60	various chemical activators, NaOH and H2SO4 are considered more suitable due to their cost-
61	effectiveness and lower environmental impact (Panwar and Pawar, 2020). Ye et al. (2019) reported
62	that chemical-activated biochar increased available habitats for microorganisms, thereby
63	improving the intensity of microbial respiration. Given the limited studies on AB composting,
64	further investigation is warranted.
65	The objective of this study was to evaluate the impact of co-composting of $MSW$ with different-
66	sized biochar and AB on the quality of the resulting co-compost. The compost quality
67	characteristics were evaluated, including maturity and stability, enzyme activity, and humification
68	indices.
69	
70	2 Materials and methods
71	2-1 Preparation and activation of biochars
72	MSW was collected from the Municipal Waste Management Organization, Tabriz, Iran. The
73	waste biomass for the production of biochar, was prepared by mixing the pruning branches of plum
74	and pomegranate trees. Biochar was produced by slow pyrolysis at 400 °C with a heating rate of 10
75	°C per minute and a one-hour holding time at the target temperature. The biochar was separated
76	using 0.5-1 mm ( $B_{0.5-1 \text{ mm}}$ ), 1-2 mm ( $B_{1-2 \text{ mm}}$ ), and 2-4 mm ( $B_{2-4 \text{ mm}}$ ) sieves. For biochar activation,
77	the biochar $(B_{0.5-1mm})$ was mixed with solutions of 2M NaOH and/or $H_2SO_4$ at a solid-to-solution
78	ratio of 1:2 (w/v) with gentle stirring for 2 hours under the hood. Then, the suspension was filtered,
79	and the residual solid was washed several times with distilled water until the pH was fixed at
80	approximately 7.0. Finally, the washed biochar was dried in an oven at 65°C for 12 hours (Fan et
81	al., 2010).
82	
83	2-2 Chemical analysis of biochars and MSW
84	For the chemical analysis, the pH and EC were determined in a 1:10 (w/v) compost to water
85	ratio (Singh et al., 2017). The contents of carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and sulfur was measured by

CHNS analysis (vario ELIII Elementary analyzer, Germany). The biochar CEC was determined by
the method of Wang et al. (2013). The ash content was obtained by the Singh et al., (2017) method.
The total concentrations of P, K, Na, Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu, Pb, and Ni were determined by ash digestion
with a 3:1 mixture of two acids (HNO<sub>3</sub>:HCl, 1:3, v/v) (Jones Jr. and Case, 1990). The available P

90	concentration was determined by the Olsen method (Kuo, 1996), and the $NH_4^+$ concentration was
91	determined by the indophenol blue method (Li et al., 2015). Water soluble K and Na concentrations
92	were determined at 1:10 ratio (w/v). The concentration of heavy metals was measured by an atomic
93	absorption spectrometer (Shimadzu, AA-6300). Biochar functional groups were determined by the
94	FTIR technique as a general characterization technique (Bruker Tensor 27 FTIR spectrometer);
95	furthermore, the biochar surface morphology was evaluated by SEM (Tuscan FEG-SEM, MIRA3).
96	The characteristics of the produced biochars and the MSW used in this study are presented in Table
97	1.

98

**Table 1.** Basic characteristics of the MSW and biochars.

Properties	MSW	B*(0.5-1mm)	B* <sub>(1-2mm)</sub>	B* <sub>(2-4mm)</sub>	NaOH-AB**	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -AB**
Ash (g/100g)	72.05±3.04	25.57+0.58	19.75±0.35	19.02±0.31	18.80±0.14	17.95±0.35
C (g/100g)	$16.03 \pm 1.00$	54.47±5.67	54.47±5.67	54.47±5.67	63.61±4.28	50.67±2.86
H (g/100g)	$0.75 \pm 0.01$	$1.60\pm0.04$	$1.60 \pm 0.04$	$1.60\pm0.04$	$1.69 \pm 0.07$	$1.54 \pm 0.06$
N (g/100g)	$1.28\pm0.02$	$1.01 \pm 0.00$	$0.88 \pm 0.00$	$0.85 \pm 0.00$	$1.22 \pm 0.00$	$0.95 \pm 0.00$
S (g/100g)	$0.74 \pm 0.00$	0.36±0.01	$0.36 \pm 0.01$	0.36±0.01	$0.27 \pm 0.00$	$1.81 \pm 0.00$
O (g/100g)	8.88±1.59	16.99±6.29	22.94±5.35	23.7±5.4	$14.41 \pm 4.06$	27.08±2.44
O/C	0.42±0.05	0.23±0.15	0.32±0.14	0.44±0.15	$0.17 \pm 0.08$	$0.40 \pm 0.08$
H/C	0.56±0.01	0.35±0.00	0.35±0.00	0.35±0.00	0.32±0.00	0.36±0.00
C/N	$12.52 \pm 0.98$	53.93±6.58	61.9±6.68	64.08±6.6	52.14±4.73	53.34±3.26
CEC (Cmolc/kg)	-	$25.04 \pm 1.47$	$14.74 \pm 2.88$	$11.76 \pm 1.7$	28.34±2.7	25.57±0.74
EC $(dS/m)$	8.2±0.31	$0.55 \pm 0.00$	$0.34 \pm 0.00$	$0.28 \pm 0.01$	$0.47 \pm 0.01$	$1.76\pm0.01$
Total K (g/kg)	10.66±0.20	$5.03 \pm 0.01$	$5.03 \pm 0.01$	$5.13 \pm 0.01$	$2.67 \pm 0.00$	$2.47 \pm 0.01$
Total P (g/kg)	$1.41\pm0.08$	$1.16\pm0.01$	$1.13 \pm 0.01$	$1.12\pm0.03$	$0.94{\pm}0.01$	$0.72 \pm 0.01$
Total Na (g/kg)	$2.64 \pm 0.06$	$0.86 \pm 0.00$	$0.78 \pm 0.01$	$0.79 \pm 0.01$	$2.04 \pm 0.01$	0.35±0.00
Total Fe (g/kg)	1.28±0.33	$1.18\pm0.01$	$1.07 \pm 0.01$	$0.83 \pm 0.03$	$1.1 \pm 0.00$	$1.01 \pm 0.01$
Total Mn (mg/kg)	$143.34{\pm}14.11$	$110.78 \pm 2.86$	68.73±2.86	61.20±2.97	98.87±2.85	$84.04{\pm}1.46$
Total Zn (mg/kg)	49.47±1.56	30.1±0.21	28.3±1.41	25.4±0.57	163.71±4.26	138.21±2.86
Total Cu (mg/kg)	58.01±5.67	20.67±0.44	13.85±0.57	$14.18 \pm 0.45$	24.24±0.74	16.77±1.81
Total Pb (mg/kg)	$180.52 \pm 28.34$	161.13±7.11	$163.90 \pm 4.53$	163.9±14.27	172.21±2.98	166.67+11.46
Total Ni (mg/kg)	81.91±12.76	73.12±4.38	71.66±7.79	70.19±14.3	$81.18 \pm 1.5$	74.59±5.69
pH	Min: 7.53 Max: 7.61	Min: 8.58 Max: 8.74	Min: 8.92 Max: 9.20	Min: 9.15 Max: 9.21	Min: 9.06 Max: 9.34	Min: 7.63 Max: 7.77

#### 2-3 Composting system and experimental design

The experiment was carried out with two factors of biochar type at eleven levels, and time at eight levels of biochar, with two repetitions for three months. For this purpose, biochars of 2-4 mm, 1-2 mm, 0.5-1 mm, activated biochar (AB) with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and NaOH (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-AB<sub>0.5-1mm</sub> and NaOH-

102

103

104

105

AB<sub>0.5-1mm</sub>), were thoroughly mixed with MSW each at two levels of 5 and 10% (w/w). One 107 treatment with no addition of biochar was considered the control. The substrates were 108 109 accommodated in 100-liter plastic barrels (44 cm diameter and 76 cm height) with an approximate weight of 70 kg. In each barrel, one kg of cow manure was added to increase the microbial activity. 110 and 30 holes were drilled for better ventilation. The temperature of the composting mixture was 111 monitored daily. Once a week, the compost materials were turned and thoroughly mixed. The 112 moisture of the materials was kept in the range of 50-60% by weight until the end of the experiment. 113 Sampling was performed on days 1, 7, 14, 28, 42, 56, 70, and 90, and each time at least five 114 subsamples (approximately 500 g) were taken from different depths of the barrel and mixed 115 thoroughly, and a composite sample was taken (Awasthi et al., 2017a). The composite sample was 116 divided into two subsamples (air-dry and moist). The air-dried samples were used to measure the 117 basic physicochemical properties and elemental concentrations, and the moist samples were used 118 to measure the biological indicators and concentrations of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. Both samples were 119 stored in the refrigerator at 4 °C prior to analysis. 120

121

#### 122 **2-4 Compost analysis**

The pH and EC were measured in 1 to 5 (w/v) compost to water ratio (Awasthi et al., 2017a). 123 The organic matter (OM) content was determined by ashing at 550 °C for 6 hours (Haug, 1993). 124 Total N (TN) was determined by the Kjeldahl method (Bremner, 1996). The NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration 125 was determined using the methods of indophenol blue (Li et al., 2015). The  $NO_3^{-1}$  concentration 126 was measured using the sulfosalicylic acid (Cataldo et al., 1975). The germination index (GI), as 127 an indicator of phytotoxicity, was evaluated according to the method proposed by Zucconi et al. 128 (1981). The activity of enzymes, including urease (Tabatabai, 1994), and dehydrogenase (Schinner 129 et al., 2012) and microbial respiration (Anderson, 1983), were also determined in three composting 130 periods of mesophilic, thermophilic, and maturing (1, 7, and 90 days, respectively). The humic acid 131 was extracted and purified from compost according to the method described by Sánchez-Monedero 132 et al. (2002). To determine the  $E_4/E_6$  and  $E_3/E_5$  ratios, the absorbance was measured using a 133 spectrophotometer (SU-6100, Philler Scientific) at wavelengths of 465, 665, 350, and 550 nm 134 (Chen et al., 1977): 135

#### 137 2-5 Statistical analysis

After the normality test, a repeated-measures ANOVA was performed to evaluate the main and interaction effects of treatments and time on some dynamic response characteristics. The mean comparisons were performed by the Duncan method ( $p \le 0.05$ ). Principal component analysis (PCA) and cluster analysis were also used to group similar individuals. All statistical analyses and drawing graphs were performed using SPSS 27.0 and Origin software.

143

#### 144 **3 Results and discussion**

#### 145 **3-1** The effect of chemical activation on biochar properties

The chemical activation of biochar caused the appearance of a mesh structure with irregularly sized cavities and deep pores by modifying the biochar surface structure (Fig. 1-a, Fig. 1b, c). An et al. (2020) also reported that the chemical activation of biochar significantly changed the biochar surface structure and increased its specific surface area.

Fig. 1-d shows the FTIR spectra of the activated and non-activated biochars (NAB). No distinct 150 differences in the position of the relevant peaks were observed between the FTIR spectra of the 151 non-activated and activated biochars. However, similar to the results of Dehkhoda et al. (2014), 152 the chemical activation of biochar diminished the intensity of the peaks, indicating removal or 153 reduction of some compounds. The activation of biochar each produced a peak of approximately 154 1260 to 1270 cm<sup>-1</sup> related to the presence of C-O in aryl esters (aromatic structure). On the other 155 hand, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-AB produced a peak of approximately 1384 cm<sup>-1</sup> compared to NaOH-AB and NAB 156 (related to the presence of C-H in CH<sub>2</sub> or CH<sub>3</sub> (aliphatic structure). 157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology (JAST), 28(2) In Press, Pre-Proof Version



**Fig. 1.** Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of NAB (a), NaOH-AB (b), H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-AB (c) and FTIR spectra of NAB and NaOH-AB and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-AB (d).

3-2 Impact of biochar on some compost maturity and stability indices

The addition of biochar increased the temperature in the thermophilic phase (P<0.05), indicating the effect of biochar on microbial activity (Fig 2a). Except for the control and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-AB (5%) treatment, in which the thermophilic period was five days, the rest of the biochar treatments had a

167

168 169

longer thermophilic period (6 and 7 days). On the first day of the thermophilic period, the minimum 174 temperature (54.5 °C) was related to the control and the maximum (71.5 °C) was associated with 175 the longest thermophilic period (7 days) for the NaOH-AB (5%) treatment. Compared to the 176 control, biochar treatments led to the early onset of the thermophilic phase, increasing the 177 temperature and prolonging this phase, which agrees with the results of Manu et al. (2021). 178



Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology (JAST), 28(2) In Press, Pre-Proof Version



Fig. 2 Changes in temperature (a), pH (b), EC (c), OM (d), NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (g) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations (h), NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ratio (i), TN (j), C/N ratio (k), and GI% (l) during composting.
The pH of the compost fluctuated between 7.4 and 7.9. As shown in Fig 2b, at the initial phase of the composting, the pH of the compost decreased, probably due to the release of organic acids

then decomposition of proteins started and resulted in an increase in pH due to NH<sub>3</sub> production. On 189 days 28 to 42, the pH of the compost increased again due to the continued decomposition of organic 190 acids, which was consistent with (Wang et al., 2023). Finally, the pH of the compost pile stabilized 191 at values between 7.49 and 7.74 and the compost produced in the NaOH-AB (msocom 110%) 192 treatment had the highest pH (7.74) (p<0.05), in response to NaOH solution used in the biochar 193 activation process. There is confusing literature on biochar effects. Both increasing (Vandecasteele 194 et al., 2016) and decreasing (Mao et al., 2018) effects of biochar addition on the final pH of the 195 compost piles have been reported. However, some studies, similar to our study, did not observe a 196 significant difference in the pH of the final compost (Manu et al., 2021; Janczak et al., 2017). Much 197 of the confusion has probably arisen from the different nature of feedstock as well as biochar and 198 different conditions of co-composting processes. 199 According to Fig. 2c, the maximum and minimum values of final EC were recorded in the 200 control (9.32 dS/m) and B<sub>1-2mm</sub> (10%) treatments (7.41 dS/m), respectively. The increase in EC was 201 more intense in the thermophilic period and can be related to the increase in the activity of 202 microorganisms and mineralization of OM. The most significant decrease was found in the 203 treatments with 10% biochar, which indicated the dilution or absorption effect of added biochar. 204

Qu et al. (2020) also reported the potential of biochar to reduce compost EC values through dilution
and/or absorption.

The content of OM decreased over the time of composting process due to the consumption of 207 carbon by microorganisms (Fig 2d). After the thermophilic period, the decrease in OM content 208 slowed. In the final compost, the highest and lowest OM contents were observed in the  $B_{1-2mm}$ 209 (10%) treatment (37.93%) and the control (27.1% based on dry weight), respectively (p<0.05). In 210 general, the level of OM in the 10% biochar treatments was almost higher than that in the 5% 211 biochar treatments. Due to the chemical recalcitrance of biochar aromatic structure, it does not go 212 through severe degradation during composting. This is consistent with the results of Manu et al. 213 (2021). The reduction in OM content was the highest in the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-AB (10%) treatment (12.26%) 214 215 and the lowest in the control (7.18%).

The  $NH_4^+$  concentration increased at the beginning of the thermophilic period and then decreased (Fig. 2e). The initial increase could be due to ammonification reactions. In the final compost, the NaOH-AB (10%) treatment had the lowest  $NH_4^+$  concentration (70 mg/kg), and the control had the

highest  $NH_4^+$  concentration (153 mg/kg), with significant differences from the rest of the treatments 219 (p<0.05) and indicating the positive effect of biochar on the reduction in NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration. The 220 activated biochars, especially NaOH-AB (5%), had the highest impact on reducing NH4<sup>+</sup> 221 concentration, while the control had the lowest. This observation can be explained by combined 222 roles of adsorption (the high absorption capacity of the activated biochars for  $NH_4^+$ ) and microbial 223 immobilization in reducing nitrogen loss. On the other hand, the carboxylic and phenolic functional 224 groups attached to the surface of biochar, as a result of the aging process (Nguyen et al., 2017) or 225 activation with NaOH, have negative charges to adsorb NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. 226

During composting, the concentration of  $NO_3^-$ , in contrast to  $NH_4^+$ , increased (Fig 2f). In the 227 first few weeks of the composting process, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration was low, and there were no 228 significant changes in its concentration because the temperature, pH, or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration was 229 high enough to prevent the activity and growth of nitrate-producing bacteria (Ren et al., 2019; 230 Wang et al., 2023). After 42 days, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration rapidly started to increase and finally 231 reached a relatively constant level. The control and the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-AB (10%) treatment had the highest 232 and lowest  $NO_3^-$  concentrations, respectively (p<0.05). These results were consistent with the 233 results of Manu et al. (2021). The higher concentration of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the control was due to its lower 234 temperature, which favored the nitrification process. 235

The NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ratio is used as a nitrification index to check the compost maturity and stability. Ratios less than 0.5 are considered fully mature compost. All the composts produced in this research were fully mature regarding the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ratio (Fig. 2g). The highest and lowest ratios in the final compost were detected in the control (0.33) and the NaOH-AB (10%) treatment (0.23), respectively. It was observed that the treatments with 10% biochar had lower NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> molar ratios and reached the mature phase earlier. Furthermore, in the NaOH-AB treatments, the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ratio decreased with decreasing biochar particle size (B<sub>0.5-1mm</sub> < B<sub>1-2mm</sub> < B<sub>2-4mm</sub>).

In this study, the TN content first decreased sharply and then gradually increased during the composting process (p>0.05) (Fig 2h). The most significant decrease in TN content coincided with a sharp increase in  $NH_4^+$  concentration. These results were consistent with the reports of Wang et al. (2023). The reason for the reduction in TN content in the thermophilic phase can be associated with denitrification and/or ammonia volatilization. The TN content decreased in all treatments from the first to the seventh day (thermophilic period). However, the highest initial TN content (1.35%)

and the maximum of its subsequent decrease from the first day to the seventh day (11.35%) were both observed in the control (p<0.05). This sharp decrease was accompanied by a significant increase in  $NH_4^+$  concentration (Fig. 4d). Manu et al. (2021), reported that N losses during the composting averaged 31.4% TN, 17.2% NH<sub>3</sub>, and 1.4% N<sub>2</sub>O.

The decline in the C/N ratio in the control was less than that in the biochar-amended treatments 253 (Fig. 2i). The lowest C/N ratio at both the beginning and end of the composting process was 254 detected in the control (14.74 and 12.83, respectively), which indicated the loss of nitrogen through 255 ammonia volatilization and/or  $NO_3^-$  leaching from the compost pile. At low C/N ratios, carbon is 256 consumed before nitrogen fixation, and an unpleasant smell is generated due to ammonia 257 volatilization. In the conditions of this research, the decline in the C/N ratio in the composts that 258 received biochar was 28-46% higher than the decline in the C/N ratio in the control. The average 259 C/N ratio in the composts receiving 10% biochar was higher than those receiving 5% biochar. 260 Wang et al. (2023) recommended the use of biochar to decrease the C/N ratio of final compost. 261 likely due to enhanced nitrogen conservation and OM degradation in the compost pile. However, 262 other researchers, such as Vandecasteele et al. (2016), found the addition of biochar to be 263 inappropriate, likely due to the high doses used. Some studies also reported no significant 264 difference in the C/N ratio between composts with and without biochar incorporation (Malińska et 265 al., 2014). One of the reasons for these conflicting results is the difference in the C/N ratio of the 266 raw materials. Considering the carbon content in the biochar used in this research (51-64%), it is 267 recommended to add biochar to MSW in order to increase the C/N ratio to the appropriate range 268 of 20-30 and prevent nitrogen loss. 269

The most important tests to evaluate compost quality characteristics is the GI. The standard 270 value of the GI is reported to be > 80% for compost maturity (Zucconi et al., 1981). The GI had an 271 increasing trend during composting (Fig. 2i). However, in the final compost, the control had the 272 lowest GI (94%), and the NaOH-AB (5%) treatment had the highest GI (131%) (p<0.05). Similar 273 to the results of this research, some researchers also reported that the GI in composts with biochar 274 275 was higher than that in composts without biochar (Manu et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2023). The higher GI in the biochar treatments compared to the control in this study, can be attributed to their higher 276 temperature and longer thermophilic period, which helped remove pathogenic bacteria and 277 improve compost quality. 278

#### 279 3-3 Humic acid yield, E4/E6 and E3/E5 ratios

The HA yield increased during composting, mainly in the thermophilic phase (Fig 3a). The highest and lowest HA% were related to the  $B_{0.5-1mm}$  (10%) treatment (8.9%) and control (6.9%), respectively. By adding 0 to 10% biochar to the compost, the HA% of the final compost increased, on average, from 0 to 22.54%, which is consistent with the results Jindo et al. (2016). The enhanced humic acid production induced by biochar addition during composting may be due to the release of aromatic precursors from biochar or the adsorption of soluble organic compounds on the active surfaces of biochar (Jindo et al., 2016).



291



**Fig. 3.** Time dependence of humification indices during the co-composing process: Humic acid yield (a),  $E_4/E_6$  ratio (b), and  $E_3/E_5$  ratio (c).

The  $E_4/E_6$  ratio is inversely related to the degree of aromatic condensation of the humic 292 substances (Ren et al., 2019). The E<sub>3</sub>/E<sub>5</sub> ratio also denotes a more or less similar concept. In this 293 294 research, the trends of both the  $E_4/E_6$  and  $E_3/E_5$  ratios over time first increased and then decreased (Fig. 3b, c). For composts with low degrees of humification due to the presence of proteins and 295 carbohydrates, the ratios of  $E_4/E_6$  and  $E_3/E_5$  were high (Chen et al., 1977). As the degree of 296 humification increased, large molecules were formed, and the  $E_4/E_6$  and  $E_3/E_5$  ratios decreased. 297 The E<sub>4</sub>/E<sub>6</sub> ratios of the extracted HA varied between 2 and 10. The ratios near 2 are considered to 298 be mature compost. In this research, the control had the highest  $E_4/E_6$  and  $E_3/E_5$  ratios (4.77 and 8, 299 respectively), and the B<sub>0.5-1mm</sub> (10%), B<sub>1-2mm</sub> (10%), NaOH-AB (10%), and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-AB (10%) 300 treatments had the lowest ratios of  $E_4/E_6$  (2.52 to 2.63) and  $E_3/E_5$  (4.23 to 4.9) (P<0.05). Wang et 301 al. (2023) also reported E<sub>4</sub>/E<sub>6</sub> ratios of 2.84 to 3.47 for biochar-treated composts. The control in 302 303 the compost production process, showed decreases in the  $E_4/E_6$  and  $E_3/E_5$  ratios, indicating the

lower production of humic substances without biochar application. These results were consistent
with those of Wang et al. (2023) and Manu et al. (2021).

306

#### 307 **3-4 Microbial respiration, activity of urease, and dehydrogenase**

The biochar treatments, compared to the control, caused a significant increase in microbial 308 respiration for the thermophilic period only (p<0.05). Microbial respiration increased in the 309 thermophilic period due to the presence of easily degradable compounds, whereas it decreased in 310 the final days of composting (Fig. 4a). In the thermophilic period, the control and the AB treatments 311 had the lowest and highest microbial respiration, respectively. Biochars with smaller sizes  $(B_{0.5})$ 312 <sub>1mm</sub> and B<sub>1-2mm</sub>) had greater rates of microbial respiration than biochars with larger sizes (B<sub>2-4mm</sub>). 313 This is probably because of their higher specific surface area supporting a large proportion of the 314 total microbial community in the compost pile. The biochar treatments had higher levels of 315 microbial respiration (17.5%, on average) than the control. This finding is consistent with the 316 317 results of Steiner et al. (2011).



Fig. 4. Changes in biological indices during composting: Respiration (a), urease (b), and
 dehydrogenase (c).

Urease is an enzyme that its activity is determined by measuring the concentration of  $NH_4^+$ produced. In the final compost, the highest and lowest urease activities were observed in the control and the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-AB (10%) treatment, respectively. Similar results were obtained for the  $NH_4^+$ concentration. As expected, urease activity increased from the beginning of composting to the thermophilic phase and then decreased toward the maturing phase (Fig. 4b).

The activity of dehydrogenases enzyme often matches the microbial activity. In this study, the changes in dehydrogenase activity were similar to those observed for microbial respiration and

329 urease activity, with a maximum in the thermophilic period (Fig. 4c). Zhang and Sun, (2014) also 330 reported that the incorporation of biochar can increase the activity of dehydrogenase in the 331 thermophilic phase. The possible reason for this is the supply of nutrients from the biochar.

332

#### 333 **3-5 Principal component analysis (PCA)**

Based on the results obtained, 61% of the cumulative variance is explained by the first and 334 second components (Fig 5). Among the quality parameters of the produced composts, those with 335 the most impact on the first component were C/N> OM> urease activity> temperature>  $NO_3^-$ > 336  $EC > E_3/E_5$  ratio >  $E_4/E_6$  ratio >  $NH_4^+$  concentration, and those with the most influence on the second 337 component were HA> dehydrogenase> GI. In addition, PCA enabled the grouping of different 338 composts. As shown in Fig 5 (red points), there was a significant distance between the control and 339 the biochar-treated composts, indicating the significance of biochar incorporation in the 340 composting process. To elaborate on specific interactions between variables, it can be noted that 341 the reduction in the C/N ratio was associated with an increase in OM, indicating improved 342 decomposition of OM in the presence of AB. The NaOH/H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-activated biochars enhanced 343 microbial activity and surface interactions, which contributed to a faster reduction in the C/N ratio. 344 Additionally, a positive correlation between OM content and temperature during the thermophilic 345 phase highlighted biochar's role in enhancing microbial activity and enhancing compost quality. 346 Similar to our findings, Awasthi et al. (2017b) reported that the PCA showed the strongest 347

348 correlation with OM degradation and the C/N ratio.

Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology (JAST), 28(2) In Press, Pre-Proof Version



349 350

359

360

Fig. 5. PCA biplot during composting.

## 351 4 Conclusions

The results indicated that chemically AB (NaOH-AB and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-AB), particularly with smallsized biochar (0.5-2 mm) and when incorporating 10% biochar compared to 5% (w/w), enhanced stability and maturity indices, promoted OM biodegradation, and improved humification indices. This presents an effective strategy for improving the quality of MSW co-compost. PCA further highlighted the critical role of the C/N ratio and OM content in the co-composting. We recommend that future studies compare various activation methods, optimize biochar activation conditions to maximize composting efficiency, and conduct cost-benefit and carbon footprint analyses.

#### References

- An, Q., Miao, Y., Zhao, B., Zhu, S., 2020. An alkali modified biochar for enhancing Mn2+
   adsorption: Performance and chemical mechanism. Mater Chem Phys 248, 122895.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATCHEMPHYS.2020.122895
- Anderson, J.P.E., 1983. Soil Respiration, in: Methods of Soil Analysis. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd,
   pp. 831–871. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2134/agronmonogr9.2.2ed.c41

- Awasthi, M.K., Wang, M., Chen, H., et al., 2017a. Heterogeneity of biochar amendment to improve
   the carbon and nitrogen sequestration through reduce the greenhouse gases emissions during
   sewage sludge composting. Bioresour Technol 224, 428–438.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2016.11.014
- Awasthi, M.K., Wang, Q., Chen, H., et al., 2017b. Evaluation of biochar amended biosolids co composting to improve the nutrient transformation and its correlation as a function for the
- 372 production of nutrient-rich compost. Bioresour Technol 237, 156–166.
  373 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.01.044
- Babu, R., Prieto Veramendi, P.M., Rene, E.R., 2021. Strategies for resource recovery from the
  organic fraction of municipal solid waste. Case Studies in Chemical and Environmental
  Engineering 3, 100098. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscee.2021.100098
- Barthod, J., Rumpel, C., Dignac, M.F., 2018. Composting with additives to improve organic
  amendments. A review. Agron Sustain Dev 38, 17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-018-04919
- Bhattacharjee, S., Panja, A., Basak, N., 2023. Municipal solid waste compost: a comprehensive
  bibliometric data-driven review of 50 years of research and identification of future research
  themes. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 30, 86741–86761.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-28663-x
- Bremner, J.M., 1996. Nitrogen total, in: Sparks, D. (Ed.), Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 3Chemical Methods. SSSA Inc., Madison, WI, USA., p. 10851121.
- Cataldo, D.A., Haroon, M.H., Youngs, V.L., 1975. Rapid colorimetric determination of nitrate in
   plant tissue by nitration of salicylic acid. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 6, 71–80.
   https://doi.org/10.1080/00103627509366547
- Chen, Y., Senesi, N., Schnitzer, M., 1977. Information Provided on Humic Substances by E4/E6
  Ratios. Soil Science Society of America 41, 352–358.
  https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1977.03615995004100020037x
- Dehkhoda, A.M., Ellis, N., Gyenge, E., 2014. Electrosorption on activated biochar: Effect of
   thermo-chemical activation treatment on the electric double layer capacitance. J Appl
   Electrochem 44, 141–157. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10800-013-0616-4
- Fan, Y., Wang, B., Yuan, S., et al., 2010. Adsorptive removal of chloramphenicol from wastewater

- by NaOH modified bamboo charcoal. Bioresour Technol 101, 7661–7664.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIORTECH.2010.04.046
- Feng, D., Cui, Y., Zeng, Y., et al., 2024. Enhancing compost quality through biochar and oyster
  shell amendments in the co-composting of seaweed and sugar residue. Chemosphere 366,
  143500. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2024.143500
- 401 Haug, R., 1993. The practical handbook of compost engineering. CRC Press, Florida.
- Janczak, D., Malińska, K., Czekała, W., Dach, J., 2017. Biochar to reduce ammonia emissions in
   gaseous and liquid phase during composting of poultry manure with wheat straw. Waste
   Management 66, 36–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.04.033
- Jindo, K., Sonoki, T., Matsumoto, K., Sanchez-Monedero, M.A., 2016. Influence of biochar
  addition on the humic substances of composting manures. Waste Management 49, 545–552.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.01.007
- Jones Jr., J.B., Case, V.W., 1990. Sampling, Handling, and Analyzing Plant Tissue Samples, in:
  Westerman, R.L. (Ed.), Soil Testing and Plant Analysis. SSSA Inc., Madison, WI, USA., pp.
  389–427. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2136/sssabookser3.3ed.c15
- Kononova, M.M., 1961. Soil organic matter, its nature, its role in soil formation and in soil fertility.
  Pergamon Press. Oxford.
- Kuo, S., 1996. Phosphorus, in: Sparks, D. (Ed.), Methods of Soil Analysis: Chemical Methods.Part
  3-Chemical Methods. SSSA, ASA Inc., Madison, WI, USA, pp. 869–919.
- Li, R., Wang, Q., Zhang, Z., et al., 2015. Nutrient transformation during aerobic composting of pig
  manure with biochar prepared at different temperatures. Environmental Technology 36, 815–
  826. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2014.963692
- Malińska, K., Zabochnicka-Światek, M., Dach, J., 2014. Effects of biochar amendment on
  ammonia emission during composting of sewage sludge. Ecol Eng 71, 474–478.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.07.012
- Manu, M.K., Wang, C., Li, D., et al., 2021. Biodegradation kinetics of ammonium enriched food
  waste digestate compost with biochar amendment. Bioresour Technol 341, 125871.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.125871
- 424 Mao, H., Lv, Z., Sun, H., Li, R., et al., Zhou, L., 2018. Improvement of biochar and bacterial 425 powder addition on gaseous emission and bacterial community in pig manure compost.

- 426 Bioresour Technol 258, 195–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.02.082
- Nguyen, M.K., Lin, C., Hoang, H.G., et al., 2022. Evaluate the role of biochar during the organic
  waste composting process: A critical review. Chemosphere 299, 134488.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.134488
- Nguyen, T.T.N., Xu, C.Y., Tahmasbian, I., et al., 2017. Effects of biochar on soil available
  inorganic nitrogen: A review and meta-analysis. Geoderma 288, 79–96.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.11.004
- Panwar, N.L., Pawar, A., 2020. Influence of activation conditions on the physicochemical
  properties of activated biochar: a review. Biomass Convers Biorefin 12, 925–947.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-020-00870-3
- Qu, J., Zhang, L., Zhang, X., et al., 2020. Biochar combined with gypsum reduces both nitrogen
  and carbon losses during agricultural waste composting and enhances overall compost quality
  by regulating microbial activities and functions. Bioresour Technol 314, 123781.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123781
- Ren, X., Wang, Q., Awasthi, M.K., et al., 2019. Improvement of cleaner composting production
  by adding Diatomite: From the nitrogen conservation and greenhouse gas emission. Bioresour
  Technol 286, 121377. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121377
- 443 Sánchez- Monedero, M.A., Roig, A., Cegarra, J., et al., 2002. Effects of HCl–HF purification
  444 treatment on chemical composition and structure of humic acids. Eur J Soil Sci 53, 375–381.
  445 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2389.2002.00464.x
- Schinner, F., Öhlinger, R., Kandeler, E., Margesin, R., 2012. Methods in Soil Biology. Springer
  Science & Business Media.
- Singh, B., Camps-Arbestain, M., Lehmann, J., CSIRO, 2017. Biochar: a guide to analytical
  methods. Csiro Publishing.
- Steiner, C., Melear, N., Harris, K., Das, K., 2011. Biochar as bulking agent for poultry litter
  composting. Carbon Manag 2, 227–230. https://doi.org/10.4155/cmt.11.15
- Tabatabai, M.A., 1994. Soil Enzymes, in: Weaver, R.W., Angel, S., Bottomlet, P., Bezdicek, D.,
  Smith, S., Tabatabai, A., Wollum, A. (Eds.), Methods of Soil Analysis, 2nd Ed. SSSA, Inc,
  Madison Wisconsin, USA, pp. 775–833.
- 455 UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme International Solid Waste Association), 2024.

- Global Waste Management Outlook 2024 Beyond an age of waste: Turning Rubbish into a
  Resource.
- Vandecasteele, B., Sinicco, T., D'Hose, T., et al., 2016. Biochar amendment before or after
  composting affects compost quality and N losses, but not P plant uptake. J Environ Manage
  168, 200–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.11.045
- Wang, Y., Hu, Y., Zhao, X., et al., 2013. Comparisons of biochar properties from wood material
  and crop residues at different temperatures and residence times. Energy and Fuels 27, 5890–
  5899. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef400972z
- Wang, Z., Xu, Y., Yang, T., et al., 2023. Effects of biochar carried microbial agent on compost
  quality, greenhouse gas emission and bacterial community during sheep manure composting.
  Biochar 5, 3. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42773-022-00202-w
- Ye, S., Zeng, G., Wu, H., Liang, J., Yu, J., 2019. The effects of activated biochar addition on
  remediation efficiency of co-composting with contaminated wetland soil. Resour Conserv
  Recycl 140, 278–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.10.004
- Zhang, L., Sun, X., 2014. Changes in physical, chemical, and microbiological properties during
  the two-stage co-composting of green waste with spent mushroom compost and biochar.
- 472 Bioresour Technol 171, 274–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.08.079
- Zucconi, F., Pera, A., Forte, M., De, B., 1981. Evaluating toxicity of immature compost. Biocycle
  22, 54–57.