

1 **Temperature-Dependent Development and Temperature Thresholds of total**
2 **immature stage of Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann,**
3 **1824) (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Iran**

4
5 Najmeh Ebrahimi¹
6

7 **Abstract**

8 Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann, 1824) (Diptera: Tephritidae), is one of the
9 most important pests of agricultural crops in tropical and subtropical regions of the world. In this
10 study, the developmental rate of *C. ceratitidis* was studied at 10, 12, 15, 17, 20, 25, 27, 30, 32, and
11 35°C. The results showed a nonlinear relationship between temperatures and developmental rate.
12 The best nonlinear models were Performance-1 and Performance-2 in the Mazandaran and Fars
13 provinces, respectively. These models simulated the developmental rate of Mediterranean fruit fly
14 accurately at temperatures ranging from 15 to 35 and 20 to 30°C, in the Mazandaran and Fars
15 provinces, respectively and the estimated optimal temperature of total immature stages was 31.94
16 and 31.8°C, respectively. The lower and upper temperature thresholds the total immature stage in
17 Mazandaran and Fars provinces were estimated at 11.23 and 13.15 °C, and 38.1 and 37.74 °C,
18 respectively. Between two linear models, the Ikemoto linear model, showed better-fit data
19 compared with the ordinary model.

20 **Keywords:** *Ceratitis capitata*, Nonlinear models, Linear models, Developmental rate, Fars,
21 Mazandaran.
22

23 **Introduction:**

24 Fruit flies belong to the family Tephritidae, one of the largest and most economically important
25 groups in the order Diptera (White and Elson-Harris, 1992; Li *et al.*, 2013). The larvae of most
26 Tephritid species develop in the seed and cause severe damage to fruit and vegetable crops in most
27 tropical and subtropical countries. The Mediterranean fruit fly is one of the most damaging
28 agricultural pest in the world. It is a severe pest of more than 350 species of fruits and vegetables
29 (Thomas *et al.*, 2001; Morales *et al.*, 2007; White and Elson-Harris, 1992). In the last decade, the
30 consequences of climate change on the distribution, abundance, and phenology of insect species

¹ Research Department of Agricultural Entomology, Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran. e-mail: N.ebrahimi@iripp.ir or n_ebrahimi60@yahoo.com

31 have been widely studied. With an estimated further increase in mean global temperatures of 0.8°C
32 over the next 100 years, the biosphere can be expected to experience broad climate-related changes.
33 The occurrence of insect pests could be impacted by these changes. Insects may respond to climate
34 change in a variety of ways (Chandrakumara *et al.*, 2023; Kambrekar *et al.*, 2015; Yamamura and
35 Kiritani, 1998). Various factors, particular among them, temperature, is a critical abiotic factor
36 affecting the development, survival, and reproduction of insect species, fitness, or performance-
37 related traits of insects (Azrag *et al.*, 2018; Yadav *et al.*, 2014). Insect distribution and abundance
38 are highly affected by temperature and generally, an increase in temperature within the limits
39 tolerated by the insect results in a rapid population increase (Campbell *et al.*, 1974; Bale *et al.*,
40 2002; Mujica *et al.*, 2017).

41 The developmental rate of insects and other poikilothermic invertebrates is linearly dependent on
42 temperature from a lower developmental threshold (T_{min}) to the optimum temperature (T_{opt}). This
43 is because temperature affects many physiological processes and the activity of enzymes (Trudgill
44 *et al.*, 2005). Phenological models, using physiological time data, have been developed for
45 Mediterranean fruit fly to predict the emergence of adults from the overwintering generation, egg
46 hatching, larval, and pupal development, as well as generation time. These models, all based on a
47 linear relationship between temperature and developmental rate, have been used to time pesticide
48 application for Mediterranean fruit fly (Duyck *et al.*, 2002; Grout and Stoltz, 2007; Duyck and
49 Quilici, 2002). Linear approximation enables the estimation of lower temperature thresholds and
50 thermal constants within a limited temperature range (Campbell *et al.*, 1974; Honek 1999; Howell
51 and Neven, 2000; Jarosik *et al.*, 2002). The curvilinear models have not been routinely used of
52 their complexity (Howell and Neven, 2000). Temperature is the single most important
53 environmental factor determining development and survival of Tephritid fruit flies (Fletcher,
54 1989). Temperature effects on the development and stage-specific survival have been shown to
55 influence both the quantity and quality of Tephritid fruit flies produced (Vargas *et al.*, 1996; Vargas
56 *et al.*, 1997; Brévault and Quilici, 2000; Vargas *et al.*, 2000; Duyck and Quilici, 2002; Trudgill *et al.*
57 *et al.*, 2005; Grout and Stoltz, 2007; Rwomushana *et al.*, 2008; Vayssières *et al.*, 2008; Liu and Ye,
58 2009; Salum *et al.*, 2013). Various Tephritid species have specific optimal temperature ranges for
59 development that are limited by lower and upper thresholds. Development does not occur below
60 and above these temperature limits and this can vary both with developmental stage and
61 geographical origin (Honék and Kocourek, 1990). Information on the thermal requirements of

62 insect groups forms an essential basis for understanding and predicting the geographical
63 distribution of the different insect groups. This is the first study in which two linear and 26
64 nonlinear models have been used to model the effect of temperature on the development of this
65 important fruit fly. The results will contribute to improve integrated pest management (IPM)
66 programs.

67

68 **Materials and Methods**

69 **Rearing methods**

70 To establish and maintain the insect colony and conduct experiment, fruits infected with
71 Mediterranean fruit fly were collected from citrus orchards in Mazandaran and Fars provinces.
72 Infected citrus fruits were transferred to the growth chamber (in plastic boxes on sterilized sand)
73 and phytotron (rearing of colony) at a temperature of $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ and $60 \pm 10\%$ RH and 16: 8 (L:D).
74 Larvae and adults were reared for two generations on artificial food (bran, yeast, water, sugar,
75 sodium benzoate and citric acid) and hydrolyzed protein in Petri dishes and large cylindrical
76 containers (Mafi Pashaklai, 2013).

77

78 **Experimental conditions**

79 Rearing was conducted at (10, 12, 15, 17, 20, 25, 27, 30, 32, and $35 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$), $60 \pm 5\%$ RH, and a
80 photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h in growth chambers. The environmental conditions of each phytotron
81 were monitored with a temperature and relative humidity data logger.

82 **Egg, Larval and Pupal development**

83 Three hundred to 1000 eggs in groups of 100, less than one day old, on filter paper were incubated
84 at 10, 12, 15, 17, 20, 25, 27, 30, 32, and $35 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$. All eggs were checked daily for hatching. The
85 daily growth and development on artificial food and sterilized sand for larvae and pupae was
86 monitored and recorded until the emergence of adult flies.

87

88 **Developmental rate and mathematical models**

89 Developmental rate is the reciprocal of developmental time in days. These rates are used in linear
90 and nonlinear models where data are added daily (Arbab *et al.*, 2006). Development is completed
91 when the sum of daily developmental rate values equals 1 (Curry *et al.*, 1978). Therefore, the
92 integral of the developmental rate function over time can be used to simulate the development of
93 an organism exposed to different temperatures (Arbab *et al.*, 2006). The ordinary and Ikemoto

94 linear and 26 nonlinear descriptive models were used to determine the relationship between
95 temperature and Mediterranean fruit fly developmental rate. The parameters of interest are the
96 lower and upper temperature thresholds (T_{min} and T_{max} , respectively), the optimal temperature (T_{opt}),
97 and the thermal constant (K). Most models can estimate two or more parameters. In addition to the
98 ordinary model, the Ikemoto linear model was used to obtain more reliable estimates of the lower
99 temperature threshold and thermal constant (Ikemoto and Takai, 2000).

100 Three criteria including the sum of squared error (SSE), adjusted coefficient of determination
101 (R^2_{adj}), and Akaike information criterion (AIC) were used to evaluate the nonlinear models. All
102 nonlinear models in each stage were ranked using AIC , as the best statistical criterion (Akaike
103 1974), and the model with the smallest value of AIC was considered to be the best model for
104 describing the temperature-dependent development of *C. capitata* According to Burnham et al.
105 (2011), models with $\Delta > 7$ were dismissed where Δ is the difference between AIC of the best model
106 and the i^{th} model. T_{fast} , the temperature that the maximum development rate occur was calculated
107 directly from some of the nonlinear models (Arbabtafti et al. 2023). In addition to statistical criteria
108 accuracy (Kontodimas et al. 2004), biological significance (Briere et al. 1999) were considered to
109 select the best nonlinear model. The observed total development time of *C. capitata* in Mazandaran
110 and Fars provinces was compared with those estimated using the selected nonlinear models.

111 112 **Statistical Analysis of Developmental Rate**

113 To determine the effect of different temperatures on the developmental time of the Mediterranean
114 fruit fly, data were checked for normality. Then, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), was used
115 to determine the significant differences in developmental time of total immature stages (from egg
116 to pupal stage) at constant temperatures (Minitab, 2000). The differences among the treatments
117 were compared using Tukey's test ($\alpha = 0.05$). Comparison of development time of two provinces
118 was done by the Student's t-test. Minitab (ver. 19.2) software was used for all analyses. Excel 2016
119 was used for graph construction. Evaluation of two linear and 26 nonlinear models was done by
120 using Arthro Thermo Model (ATM) software (Mirhosseini et al. 2017) to describe the development
121 rate (the reciprocal of development time) of *C. capitata* as a function of temperature. The ATM
122 software calculates criteria and parameters for all models.

123
124
125

126 **Results**

127 **Developmental Time**

128 No development occurred at 10 and 12°C (in Fars province) and 10, 12, 15 and 17°C (in
129 Mazandaran province). The mean developmental time of total immature stages (from egg to pupal
130 stage) at ten constant temperatures in two provinces, is shown in Table 1. One-way ANOVA
131 showed a significant effect of temperature on development time for total immature stages of
132 Mediterranean fruit fly in Mazandaran and Fars provinces ($P < 0.05$). Total developmental time
133 was extended at 15°C (52.50 d) and 20°C (26.01 d) in population of Mazandaran and Fars
134 provinces, respectively.

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157 **Table 1.** Developmental time of *Ceratitis capitata* total immature stages at ten constant
 158 temperatures.

Geographical population	Temperature (°C)	Total (day) Mean ± SE	
Mazandaran	10	-	
	12	-	
	15	52.50±0.5 ^{aA} N=2	
	17	38.2±0.87 ^{bA} N=20	
	20	20.11±0.28 ^{cB} N=70	
	25	15.09±0.22 ^{dA} N=94	
	27	13.30±0.12 ^{eA} N=121	
	30	11.24±0.21 ^{defA} N=66	
	32	-	
	35	13±0.57 ^{defA} N=3	
	<i>F</i>	632.27	
	<i>df</i>	6,369	
	<i>P</i>	0.000	
	Fars	10	-
		12	-
15		-	
17		-	
20		26.01±0.17 ^{aA} N=121	
25		14.52±0.16 ^{bA} N=129	
27		13.39±0.10 ^{cA} N=133	
30		11.22±0.14 ^{dA} N=68	
32		-	
35		-	
<i>F</i>		1832.81	
<i>df</i>		3,447	
<i>P</i>		0.000	

159 Means followed by different lowercase letters in the columns are significantly different between different temperatures
 160 in each population (Tukey's test, $P < 0.05$) and the means followed with by capital letters were significantly different
 161 between two populations at each temperature (T-test, $P < 0.05$).

162 Model Evaluation

163 Linear models

164 Both linear models showed an acceptable fitness for total immature stages. The linear regression
 165 equation, the lower temperature threshold, and the thermal constant of the total immature stages of







167 *C. capitata* are shown in Table 2. The Ikemoto linear model had a higher value of R^2 and R^2_{adj} than
 168 the ordinary model, indicating a slight degree of confidence in parameter estimates provided by the
 169 Ikemoto linear model. In addition to the ordinary model, the Ikemoto and Takai linear models were
 170 used to obtain more reliable estimates of the lower temperature threshold and thermal constant
 171 (Ikemoto and Takai 2000). The Ikemoto linear model estimated lower temperature thresholds for
 172 total developmental of *C. capitata* was 10.80 and 12.69 °C, in Mazandaran and Fars provinces,
 173 respectively. The thermal constant of total immature stages were 228.86 and 188.59 degree days
 174 (DD) in Mazandaran and Fars province, respectively.







175
 176 **Table 2:** Linear regressions, lower temperature threshold (T_{min}), and thermal constant (degree days)
 177 of *Ceratitis capitata* immature stages using two linear models.

Geographical population	Model	Stage	Linear equation	T_{min} (°C)	K (DD)	R^2	R^2_{adj}	P
Mazandaran	Ordinary	Egg-pupa	$R= 0.024385+0.0033905T$	7.1921	294.9416	0.84082	0.80898	0.003
	Ikemoto	Egg-pupa	$DT= 228.8627+10.8028D$	10.8028	228.8627	0.94398	0.93278	0.00007
Fars	Ordinary	Egg-pupa	$R= 0.060777+0.0050415T$	12.0553	168.3525	0.98692	0.98039	0.006
	Ikemoto	Egg-pupa	$DT= 188.5943+12.695D$	12.695	188.5943	0.99341	0.99011	0.0002

178
 179 **Nonlinear Models**
 180 The curve of the influence of temperature on the developmental rate of total immature stages (from
 181 egg to pupae stage) fitted by 18 models in the Mazandaran province (Figure 1) and five models in
 182 the Fars province is shown in Figure 2. The values of R^2 , RSS (SSE), AIC (Akaike information
 183 criterion), and R^2_{adj} used to determine the goodness-of-fit, the models of the nonlinear models of
 184 the Mazandaran province are shown in Table 3. Considering the AIC and biological criteria (T_0 ,
 185 T_U , and T_{opt}) the Logan 6 model had the poorest and the Briere-1 model had the best fitness to data
 186 for total immature development in the Mazandaran province (Table 5). The values of measurable
 187 parameters of the nonlinear developmental rate models in Fars province are presented in Table 4.
 188 Among the non-linear models obtained from the Fars province, only the polynomial model was
 189 accepted for total immature development based on AIC , however biological criteria (T_0 , T_U , and
 190 T_{opt}), it had the poorest ability to provide the growth and development model. Performance-2, and
 191 Briere-1 models have provided more accurate estimates for T_0 , T_U , and T_{opt} in the Fars province
 192 (Table 6).

193
 194









Figure 1. Observed development rate for total immature stages of Mazandaran province of *Ceratitis capitata* (dots) and 18 fitted nonlinear models (Lines).



195 **Figure 2.** Observed development rate for total immature stages of Fars province of *Ceratitis*
 196 *capitata* (dots) and 5 fitted nonlinear models (Lines).
 197
 198
 199

200 **Table 3.** Comparison of 26 developmental rate models based on the no. of parameters, *SSE*, Akaike
 201 information criterion (*AIC*), and adjusted R^2 (R^2_{adj}) for predicting egg, larva, pupa and total
 202 immature development stages of *Ceratitis capitata* in Mazandaran province

Model	No. of parameters	Total			
		<i>SSE</i>	R^2_{adj}	<i>AIC</i>	Rank ¹
Pradhan-Taylor	3	8.000005	0.9698	-73.1459	6
Davidsons logistic	3	0.0043	-0.4999	-45.8029	24
Logan-6	4	1.00004	0.9454	-69.0103	18
Hilbert and Logan	5	9.3209e-05	0.9346	-68.5861	19
Lactin-1	3	1.00004	0.9408	-68.4299	20
Lactin-2	4	5.000005	0.9752	-74.5425	3
Logan-10	5	9.000005	0.9552	-68.3887	21
Analytis-1	5	6.000005	0.9538	-71.0189	12
Analytis-2	5	8.000005	0.9414	-69.3496	17
Analytis-1/Allahyari	5	7.000005	0.9498	-70.431	15
Analytis-3	5	6.000005	0.9530	-70.8958	14
Briere-1	3	6.000005	0.9785	-75.5218	1
Briere-2	4	6.000005	0.9716	-73.5736	5
Analytis-3/Kontodimas	3	7.000005	0.9746	-74.3637	4
Janisch/Kontodimas	4	8.000005	0.9610	-71.3618	11
Janisch/Rochat	4	1.00004	0.9509	-69.747	16
Sharpe and DeMichele	7	0.0274	NaN ²	-26.8023	26
Sharp and DeMichele/Schoolfield	7	0.0039	NaN	-40.4897	25
Sharp and DeMichele/Kontodimas	6	0.0013	-0.7976	-48.2395	23
Polynomial (cubic)	4	7.000005	0.9662	-72.3688	9
Sharpe–Schoolfield–Ikemoto (SSI model)	7	3.000005	NaN	-71.4554	10
Performance-1	5	4.000005	0.9653	-73.0182	7
Performance-2	4	5.000005	0.9766	-74.9404	2
Wang	6	4.000005	0.9303	-70.9876	13
Ratkowsky	4	3.00004	0.9705	-61.6343	22
Beta`	4	7.000005	0.9669	-72.5221	8

203 1 Rank is based on the *AIC* criteria.

204 2 NAN The number of model parameters is equal to or greater than the observations and cannot be calculated Model
 205 - Data could not be fitted by the model.

206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222

223 **Table 4.** Comparison of 26 developmental rate models based on the no. of parameters, *SSE*, Akaike
 224 information criterion (*AIC*), and adjusted R^2 (R^2_{adj}) for predicting total immature development of
 225 *Ceratitits capitata* in Fars province.

Model	No. of parameters	Total			
		<i>SSE</i>	R^2_{adj}	<i>AIC</i>	Rank ¹
Pradhan-Taylor	3	0.00001	0.9763	-45.3017	3
Davidsons logistic	3	0.0014	-1.9999	-25.9319	13
Logan-6	4	0.00001	NaN ²	-41.6177	10
Hilbert and Logan	5	-	-	-	-
Lactin-1	3	0.00001	0.9647	-43.7057	5
Lactin-2	4	0.00001	NaN	-43.3518	8
Logan-10	5	-	-	-	-
Analytis-1	5	-	-	-	-
Analytis-2	5	-	-	-	-
Analytis-1/Allahyari	5	-	-	-	-
Analytis-3	5	-	-	-	-
Briere-1	3	0.000009	0.9791	-45.7944	2
Briere-2	4	0.00001	NaN	-43.5121	7
Analytis-3/Kontodimas	3	0.00001	0.9749	-45.0691	4
Janisch/Kontodimas	4	0.0015	NaN	-23.6038	14
Janisch/Rochat	4	0.00001	NaN	-40.8527	11
Sharpe and DeMichele	7	-	-	-	-
Sharp and DeMichele/Schoolfield	7	-	-	-	-
Sharp and DeMichele/Kontodimas	6	-	-	-	-
Polynomial (cubic)	4	0.0000	NaN	-227.7655	1
Sharpe–Schoolfield–Ikemoto (SSI model)	7	-	-	-	-
Performance-1	5	-	-	-	-
Performance-2	4	0.00001	NaN	-43.5767	6
Wang	6	-	-	-	-
Ratkowsky	4	0.00004	NaN	-37.9192	12
Beta ³	4	0.00001	NaN	-43.2408	9

226 1 Rank is based on the *AIC* criteria

227 2 NAN The number of model parameters is equal to or greater than the observations and cannot be calculated Model

228 - Data could not be fitted by the model.

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242 **Table 5.** Values of fitted coefficients and measurable parameters of 18 developmental rate models
 243 to describe immature stage development of the *Ceratitis capitata* in Mazandaran province.

Model	Parameter	Value
Pradhan-Taylor	r_m	0.08524 (0.07732, 0.09316)
	T_{opt} (°C)	31
	$T\sigma$ (°C)	9.459 (7.418, 11.5)
Logan-6	Δ_T	4.99 (-27.04, 37.02)
	ψ	0.004267 (-0.00202, 0.01055)
	ρ	0.1296 (-0.4758, 0.735)
	T_{max} (°C)	38.01 (31.58, 44.44)
	T_{opt} (°C)	31.9
Hilbert and Logan	D	56.45 (-1.123e+05, 1.124e+05)
	Δ_T	3.526 (-62.35, 69.41)
	ψ	0.5187 (-2048, 2049)
	T_{min} (°C)	3.911 (-112.4, 120.3)
	T_{max} (°C)	40 (-140.6, 220.6)
	T_{opt} (°C)	31.72
	Δ	1.929 (-4.485, 8.344)
Lactin-2	λ	-1.047 (-1.08, -1.015)
	ρ	0.00435 (0.002843, 0.005857)
	T_{min} (°C)	10.59
	T_{max} (°C)	41.55 (20.71, 62.39)
Analytis-1	T_{opt} (°C)	32.3
	P	0.3931 (-11.38, 12.16)
	m	0.6314 (-14.28, 15.54)
	n	2.2 (-29.77, 34.17)
	T_{min} (°C)	5.52 (-142.3, 153.3)
	T_{max} (°C)	38.93 (-58.59, 136.4)
	T_{opt} (°C)	31.09
Analytis-2	P	3.286e+05 (-4.495e+12, 4.495e+12)
	m	2.735 (-25.89, 31.35)
	n	4.132 (-3.505e+06, 3.505e+06)
	T_{min} (°C)	6.376 (-94.12, 106.9)
	T_{max} (°C)	55.92 (-39.66, 151.5)
	T_{opt} (°C)	31.09
Analytis-1/Allahyari	P	0.2319 (-6.458, 6.922)
	m	4 (-96.35, 104.4)
	n	2.038 (-54.85, 58.92)
	T_{min} (°C)	3.903 (-328, 335.8)
	T_{max} (°C)	39.97 (12.65, 67.29)
	T_{opt} (°C)	31.09
Analytis-3	a	9.417e-05 (-0.0102, 0.01039)
	m	0.6681 (-14.83, 16.17)
	n	1.72 (-17.79, 21.23)
	T_{min} (°C)	8.793 (-72.46, 90.05)
	T_{max} (°C)	40.2 (-84.11, 164.5)
	T_{opt} (°C)	31.09
Briere-1	a	4.951e-05 (3.529e-05, 6.373e-05)
	t_{min} (°C)	9.553 (6.264, 12.84)
	T_{max} (°C)	38.07 (36.43, 39.7)
	T_{opt} (°C)	31.9

244	Briere-2	a	5.522e-05 (-0.0001026, 0.0002131)
245		n	2.192 (-3.56, 7.945)
246		T_{min} (°C)	9.267 (-0.188, 18.72)
247		T_{max} (°C)	37.62 (25.82, 49.42)
248	Analytis-3/Kontodimas	a	1.351e-05 (6.226e-06, 2.08e-05)
249		T_{min} (°C)	7.963 (5.177, 10.75)
250		T_{max} (°C)	42.87 (39.27, 46.47)
251		T_{opt} (°C)	31.23
252	Janisch/Kontodimas	D_{min}	4.968 (0.4977, 9.439)
253		k	0.0742 (-0.2308, 0.3792)
254		λ	0.05016 (-0.06608, 0.1664)
255		T_{opt} (°C)	34.25 (-13.09, 81.58)
256	Janisch/Rochat	C	0.08659 (0.05224, 0.1209)
257		a	1.116 (0.7389, 1.492)
258		b	1.143 (0.9574, 1.328)
259		T_{max} (°C)	30.06 (10.85, 49.28)
260		T_{opt} (°C)	30.9
261	Polynomial (cubic)	a_0	-1.372e-05 (-4.413e-05, 1.669e-05)
262		a_1	0.000812 (-0.001498, 0.003122)
263		a_2	-0.01055 (-0.06684, 0.04575)
264		a_3	0.04067 (-0.3963, 0.4777)
265		T_{min} (°C)	42.849
266		T_{max} (°C)	31.3
267	Performance-1	C	2.162 (-474.5, 478.8)
268		$K1$	0.002354 (-0.5263, 0.531)
269		$K2$	0.3431 (-3.903, 4.59)
270		T_{min} (°C)	11.23 (-3.707, 26.17)
271		T_{max} (°C)	38.1 (14.27, 61.92)
272		T_{opt} (°C)	31.94
273	Performance-2	$K2$	0.3956 (-0.8109, 1.602)
274		m	0.004867 (0.002948, 0.006786)
275		T_{min} (°C)	10.99 (7.62, 14.36)
276		T_{max} (°C)	37.71 (30.48, 44.93)
277		T_{opt} (°C)	32.071
278	Wang	C	0.2473 (-68.09, 68.59)
279		$K1$	0.001469 (-14.51, 14.51)
280		$K2$	0.3611 (-75.46, 76.18)
281		m	3.15 (-3.069e+04, 3.07e+04)
282		T_{min} (°C)	9.626 (-89.9, 109.2)
283		T_{max} (°C)	38.06 (-284.2, 360.3)
284		T_{opt} (°C)	31.97
285	Beta	r_m	0.08657 (0.07226, 0.1009)
286		T_{min} (°C)	3.865 (-35.25, 42.98)
287		T_{max} (°C)	41.44 (31.5, 51.38)
288		T_{opt} (°C)	31.29 (29.23, 33.35)

275 **Table 6.** Values of fitted coefficients and measurable parameters of 5 developmental rate models
 276 to describe total immature stage development of the *Ceratitis capitata* in Fars province.

Model	Parameter	Value
Lactin-2	Δ	-
	λ	-
	ρ	-
	T_{min} (°C)	-
	T_{max} (°C)	-
	T_{opt} (°C)	-
Analytis-3	a	-
	m	-
	n	-
	T_{min} (°C)	-
	T_{max} (°C)	-
	T_{opt} (°C)	-
Briere-1	a	5.284e-05 (-0.0001954, 0.0003011)
	t_{min} (°C)	11.61 (-21.42, 44.65)
	T_{max} (°C)	39.25 (-21.12, 99.62)
	T_{opt} (°C)	32.81
Briere-2	a	6.26e-05
	n	2.383
	T_{min} (°C)	10.78
	T_{max} (°C)	38.57
Performance-2	T_{opt} (°C)	33.01
	$K2$	0.3271
	m	0.005697
	T_{min} (°C)	13.15
	T_{max} (°C)	37.74
	T_{opt} (°C)	31.8

277 **Discussion**

278

279 Determining the developmental time at different temperatures is necessary to calculate the
 280 developmental rate. The present findings in Mazandaran province was different from the results of
 281 Ricalde *et al.* (2012) and Grout and Stoltz, 2007 at similar temperatures. Therefore, Ricalde *et al.*
 282 (2012), obtained the most extended period of developmental time of total immature stages of
 283 *C. capitata* was 71.20 d. at 15°C and the shortest, 16.90 d. at 30°C. Furthermore, Grout and Stoltz,
 284 (2007) reported that the longest developmental time of total immature stages of *C. capitata* at 14°C
 285 was 83.6 d. and the shortest, 21.2 d. at 30°C. The differences in the obtained results can be caused
 286 by regional climatic variability (e.g., temperature, humidity and rainfall), which can affect the
 287 development and survival of *C. capitata* populations (Papadogiorgou *et al.*, 2024). Linear models
 288 only estimate a lower temperature threshold, and this is proper for analysis of the phenology of
 289 insect populations due to simplifying the analysis (Ikemoto & Kiritani, 2019).

290 In the present study, lower temperature thresholds and thermal constant were estimated using both
291 ordinary and Ikemoto linear models. A comparison of total developmental time at different
292 temperatures showed that the linear range was up to 30°C for the population of Mazandaran and
293 Fars provinces. The R^2_{adj} coefficients used to fit the regression between temperature and the
294 developmental rate were higher for the Ikemoto linear model on the two populations tested.

295 The lower temperature threshold for total immature stages was estimated by the Ikemoto linear
296 model at 10.80 °C and 12.69°C, for the Mazandaran and Fars provinces, respectively. The lower
297 temperature threshold values estimated by Ricalde *et al.* (2012) (9.10, 9.30, 9.60°C) and Grout and
298 Stoltz (2007) (9.9°C) are closer our result of Mazandaran province. Based on Honek and Kocourek
299 (1990) T_0 decreased if K increased therefore, the thermal constant of total immature stages of *C.*
300 *capitata* for the two linear ordinary and Ikemoto linear models were obtained at 294.94 and 228.86
301 degree days in Mazandaran province, while it was 168.35 and 188.59 degree days In Fars province,
302 respectively. The result reported by Grout and Stoltz (2007) 337.8 (DD), and Ricalde *et al.* (2012)
303 350, 341 and 328 (DD) were higher than our results.

304 Many abiotic factors affect the growth and development of insects. Temperature is the most
305 significant environmental factor influencing insect development, survival, behavior, and
306 distribution (Fletcher, 1989). Biological parameters like developmental zero and the thermal
307 constant are supposed to be the limiting factors in the geographic distribution of fruit flies (Ye,
308 2001). The developmental response of insects to temperature can help to predict their occurrence
309 and, therefore, assist in monitoring and control strategies for pests. Different species of Tephritidae
310 have particular optimal temperature ranges for development, which are limited by low and high
311 thresholds (Honék and Kocourek, 1990). Different temperature characteristics, may be affected by
312 pest species (Honek, 1999), pest population (Lee and Elliott, 1998), growth, and development
313 stages (Honek, 1996; Kocourek and Stara, 2005) and other ecological factors such as food source
314 (Golizadeh *et al.*, 2007), and interspecies and intraspecies competition (Duyck and Quilici, 2004)
315 and the difference may be due to one or a set of the above factors. Model selection is critical
316 because of the significant differences between model predictions. Rebaudo and Rabhi (2018) point
317 out each of the criteria for model selection has its advantages and disadvantages therefore, a
318 combination of different methods should be used in model selection, e. g. the *AIC* criteria can
319 separate several models with the same R^2_{adj} and *SSE*. In most studies, the *AIC* index has been
320 mentioned as the best statistical parameter to measure the validity of models furthermore, model

321 selection should be performed based on observations and biological and ecological information or
322 biological significance (Arbabtafti *et al.* 2023). A standard method for evaluating the accuracy of
323 estimated critical temperatures is based on their comparison with experimental data (Kontodimas
324 *et al.*, 2004).

325
326 **Conclusions**

327 The findings of this study especially in relation to temperatures, can be used to accurately
328 predicting *C.capitata* population development in different provinces and enable us to choose the
329 best time for controlling this pest. Since the development rate of *C.capitata* may be influenced by
330 factors such as host plants of *C.capitata*, further studies should be done on different host plants to
331 obtain the best development models.

332
333 **Acknowledgements**

334 The financial and technical support of this research by Department of Entomology, Iranian
335 Research Institute of Plant Protection. Also, I would like to thank Dr. Roia Arbabtafti (Agricultural
336 Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Iranian Research Institute of Plant
337 Protection), Bruce L. Parker (Entomology Research Laboratory, University of Vermont) and Dr.
338 Masood Amir-Maafi (Sunn Pest Department, Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection) for
339 their assistance.

340
341 **References:**

- 342 Arbab, A., Kontodimas, D. C. and Sahragard, A. 2006. Estimating development of *Aphis pomi*
343 (DeGeer) (Homoptera: Aphididae) using linear and non-linear models. *Environ. Entomol.*,
344 **5**: 1208-1215.
- 345 Arbabtafti, R., Fathipour, Y. and Ranjbar-Aghdam, H. 2023. Thermal requirements and
346 development response to constant temperatures by *Sesamia cretica* (Lepidoptera:
347 Noctuidae). *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.* 43(2): 561-579.
- 348 Azrag, A. G., Pirk, C. W., Yusuf, A. A., Pinard, F., Niassy, S. and Mosomtai, G. 2018. Prediction
349 of insect pest distribution as influenced by elevation: Combining field observations and
350 temperature-dependent development models for the coffee stink bug, *Antestiopsis*
351 *thunbergii* (Gmelin). *PloS one.* **13**: 1-18.

- 352 Bale, J. S., Masters, G. J., Hodkinson, I. D., Awmack, C., Bezemer, T. M. and Brown, V. K. 2002.
353 Herbivore in global climate change research: direct effects of rising temperature on insect
354 herbivores. *Glob. Change Biol.*, **8**: 1-16.
- 355 Brévault, T. and Quilici, S. 2000. Relationships between temperature, development and survival of
356 different life stages of the tomato fruit fly, *Neoceratitis cyanescens*. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.*,
357 **94**: 25-30.
- 358 Briere J. F., Pracros, P., Le Roux, A. Y., Pierre, S. 1999. A novel rate model of temperature
359 dependent development for arthropods. *Environ Entomol.*, **28**:22–29
- 360 Campbell, A., Frazer, B. D., Gilbert, N., Gutierrez, A. P. and Mackauer, M. 1974. Temperature
361 requirements of some aphids and their parasites. *J. Appl. Ecol.*, **11**: 431-438.
- 362 Chandrakumara, K.; Sau, A. K.; Ankur; Rajesh; Tanwar, A. K. and Hadimani, B. N. 2023.
363 Variations in the biological and ecological attributes of insects due to climate change: A
364 review. *Indian Journal of Entomology Online* published Ref. No. e23899.
- 365 Curry, G. L., Feldman, R. M. and Sharp, P. J. H. 1978. Foundation on stochastic development. *J.*
366 *Theor. Biol.*, **74**: 397- 410.
- 367 Duyck, P. F. and Quilici, S. 2002. Survival and development of different life stages of three
368 *Ceratitis* spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae) reared at five constant temperatures. *Bull. Entomol.*
369 *Res.*, **92**: 461-469.
- 370 Duyck. P. F., Quilici, S. and Glenac, S. 2002. Comparative study of the developmental biology of
371 three species of fruit flies (*Ceratitis* spp.) (Diptera: Tephritidae), pests of fruit crops on
372 Reunion Island, Proceedings of 6th International Fruit Fly Species of fruit Fly Symposium
373 6-10 May, Stellenbosch, South Africa. PP. 67-69.
- 374 Fletcher, B. S. 1989. Temperature - development rate relationships of the immature stages and
375 adults of Tephritid fruit flies. In: Robinson AS, Hooper G (Eds) Fruit flies their biology,
376 natural enemies and control, Vol. 3A. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 377 Golizadeh, A., Kamali, K., Fathipour, Y. and Abbasipour, H. 2007. Temperature dependent
378 development of diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) on two
379 brassicaceous host plants. *J. Insect Sci.*, **14**: 309-316.
- 380 Grout, T. G. and Stoltz, C. S. 2007. Developmental Rates at Constant Temperatures of Three
381 Economically Important *Ceratitis* spp. (Diptera: Tephritidae) From Southern Africa.
382 *Environ. Entomol.*, **36**(6): 1310-1317.

- 383 Honék, A. and Kocourek, F. 1990. Temperature and development time in insects: a general
384 relationship between thermal constants. *Zool. Jahrb. Abt. Syst.*, **117**: 401-439.
- 385 Howell, J. F. and Neven, L. G. 2000. Physiological development time and zero development
386 temperature of the codling moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Environ. Entomol.*, **29**: 766-
387 772.
- 388 Ikemoto, T. and Kiritani, K. 2019. Novel Method of Specifying Low and High Threshold
389 Temperatures Using Thermodynamic SSI Model of Insect Development. *Environ.*
390 *Entomol.*, **48(3)**: 479-488.
- 391 Ikemoto, T. and Takai, K. 2000. A new linearized formula for the law of total effective temperature
392 and the evaluation of line-fitting methods with both variables subject to error. *Environ*
393 *Entomol.*, **29**:671–682
- 394 Kambrekar, D. N.; Guledgudda, S. S.; Katti, A. and Kumar, M. 2015. Impact of climate change on
395 insect pests and their natural enemies. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. Spl.* **28(5)**: 814-816.
- 396 Kocourek, F. and Stara, J. 2005. Predictive value of a model of the flight activity of *Adixophyes*
397 *orana* (Lep.: Tortricidae). *J. Pest Sci.* **78**: 205-211.
- 398 Kontodimas, D. C., Eliopoulos, P. A., Stathas, G. J. and Economou, L. P. 2004. Comparative
399 temperature- dependent development of *Nephus includens* (Kirsch) and *Nephus bisignatus*
400 (Boheman) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) preying on *Planococcus citri* (Risso) (Homoptera:
401 Pseudococcidae): evaluation of a linear and various nonlinear models using specific
402 criteria. *Environ. Entomol.*, **33**: 1-11.
- 403 Lee, J. H. and Elliott, N. C. 1998. Comparison of developmental responses to temperature in
404 *Aphelinus asychis* (Walker) from two different geographic regions. *Southwest. Entomol.*
405 **23**: 77-82.
- 406 Li, Z. H., Jiang, F., Ma, X., Fang, Y., Sun, Z., Qin, Y. and Wang, Q. - Plant Quarantine, 2013.
407 Review on prevention and control techniques of Tephritidae invasion. *Plant*
408 *Quarantine.* **27**:1–10.
- 409 Liu, X. and Ye, H. 2009. Effect of temperature on development and survival of *Bactrocera correcta*
410 (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Sci. Res. Essays.*, **4(5)**: 467-472.
- 411 Mirhosseini, M. A., Fathipour, Y. and Reddy, G. V. P. 2017. Arthropod development's response
412 to temperature: A review and new software for modeling. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* **110**:507-
413 520

- 414 Morales. P., Cermeli, M., Godoy, F. and Salas, B. 2007. Lista de hospederos de la mosca del
415 Mediterráneo *Ceratitis capitata* Wiedemann (Diptera: Tephritidae) basada en los registros
416 del Museo de Insectos de Interés Agrícola del INIA - CENIAP. *Entomotropica*. **19 (1)**: 51-
417 54.
- 418 Mujica, N., Sporleder, M., Carhuapoma, P. and Kroschel, J. 2017. A temperature-dependent
419 phenology model for *Liriomyza huidobrensis* (Diptera: *Agromyzidae*). *J. Econ. Entomol.*,
420 **110 (3)**: 1333-1344.
- 421 Papadogiorgou, G. D., Papadopoulos, A. G., Moraiti, C. A., Verykouki, E. and Papadopoulos, N.
422 T. 2024. Latitudinal variation in survival and immature development of *Ceratitis capitata*
423 populations reared in two key overwintering hosts. *Sci. Rep.* **14**:467.
- 424 Rebaudo, F. and Rabhi, V. B. 2018. Modeling temperature-dependent development rate and
425 phenology in insect review of major developments, challenges, and future directions. The
426 Netherland Entomology Society *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*. **166**: 607-617.
- 427 Ricalde, M. P., Nava, D. E., Loeck, A. E. and Donatii, M. G. 2012. Temperature-dependent
428 development and survival of Brazilian populations of the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis*
429 *capitata*, from tropical, subtropical and temperate regions. *J. Insect Sci.*, **12(33)**: 1-10.
- 430 Rwomushana, I., Ekesi, S., Ogol, C. K. P. O. and Gordon, I. 2008. Effect of temperature on
431 development and survival of immature stages of *Bactrocera invadens* (Diptera:
432 Tephritidae). *J. Appl. Entomol.*, **132**: 832-839.
- 433 Salum, J. K., Mwatawala, M. W., Kusolwa, P. M. and De Meyer, M. 2013. Demographic
434 parameters of the two main fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) species attacking mango in
435 central Tanzania. *J. Appl. Entomol.*, **138**: 141-148.
- 436 Thomas, M. C., Heppner, J. B., Woodruff, R. E., Weems, H. V., Steck, G. J. and Fasulo, T. R.
437 2001. Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Insecta: Diptera:
438 Tephritidae). <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/publication/IN371>.
- 439 Vargas, R. I., Walsh, W. A., Jang, E. B., Armstrong, J. W. and Kanehisa, D. T. 1996. Survival and
440 development of immature stages of four Hawaiian fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) reared
441 at five constant temperatures. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.*, **89**: 64-69.
- 442 Vargas, R. I., Walsh, W. A., Kanehisa, D. J., Stark, D. and Nishida, T. 2000. Comparative
443 demography of three Hawaiian fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) at alternating temperature.
444 *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.*, **93**: 75-81.

- 445 Vargas, R. I., Walsh, W. A., Kanehisa, D. T., Jang, E. B. and Armstrong, J. W. 1997. Demography
446 of four Hawaiian fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) reared at five constant temperatures. *Ann.*
447 *Entomol. Soc. Am.*, **90**: 162-168.
- 448 Vayssières, J. F., Carel, Y., Coubes, M. and Duyck, P. F. 2008. Development of immature stages
449 and comparative demography of two cucurbit-attacking fruit flies in Réunion Island:
450 *Bactrocera cucurbitae* and *Dacus ciliatus* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Environ. Entomol.*, **73**(2):
451 307-314.
- 452 White, I. M. and Elson-Harris, M. M. 1992. Fruit flies of economic significance: their identification
453 and bionomics. 601 PP. Wallingford, CAB International.
- 454 Yadav, R. and Chang, N. T. 2014. Effects of temperature on the development and population
455 growth of the melon thrips, *Thrips palmi*, on eggplant, *Solanum melongena*. *J. Insect Sci.*,
456 **14**:78. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jis/14.1.78> PMID: 25373225.
- 457 Yamamura, K. and Kiritani, K. 1998. A simple method to estimate the potential increase in the
458 number of generations under global warming in temperate zones. *Applied Entomol and*
459 *Zool.*, **33**: 289-298.
- 460 Ye, H. 2001. Distribution of the oriental fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Yunnan Province. *Ent.*
461 *Sinica.*, **8**: 175-182.

462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474

475 رشد نمو وابسته به دما و آستانه‌های دمایی کل مراحل نابالغ مگس میوه مدیترانه‌ای، *Ceratitis capitata*
476 (Wiedemann, 1824) (Diptera: Tephritidae) در ایران

477 نجمه ابراهیمی

478 چکیده

479 مگس میوه مدیترانه‌ای (*Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann, 1824) (Diptera: Tephritidae)) یکی از مهمترین آفات
480 محصولات کشاورزی مناطق گرمسیری و نیمه‌گرمسیری جهان است. در این پژوهش نرخ رشد و نمو مگس میوه مدیترانه‌ای
481 در دماهای 10، 12، 15، 17، 20، 25، 27، 30، 32، 35 درجه سلسیوس بررسی شد. یافته‌های حاصل وجود رابطه
482 غیرخطی بین دما و نرخ رشد و نمو را نشان داد. از بین مدل‌های غیر خطی، مدل پرفورمانس-1 و پرفورمانس-2 به ترتیب
483 در دو استان مازندران و فارس بیشترین برآزش را روی مقادیر مشاهده شده نرخ رشد و نمو را نشان دادند. در استان مازندران،
484 مدل پرفورمانس-1 ضمن شبیه سازی نزدیک به واقعیت رشد و نمو مگس میوه مدیترانه‌ای در گستره دمایی 15 تا 35 درجه
485 سلسیوس، دمای بهینه رشد و نمو کل دوره نابالغ مگس میوه مدیترانه‌ای را 31/94 درجه سلسیوس برآورد کرد و همچنین در
486 استان فارس مدل پرفورمانس-2، در گستره دمایی 20 تا 30 درجه سلسیوس، دمای بهینه رشد و نمو کل دوره نابالغ مگس
487 میوه مدیترانه‌ای را 31/8 درجه سلسیوس برآورد کرد. دمای آستانه پایین برای رشد و نمو کل دوره نابالغ مگس میوه مدیترانه‌ای
488 در استان مازندران با استفاده از مدل پرفورمانس-1، 11/23 و در استان فارس با استفاده از مدل پرفورمانس-2، 13/15
489 درجه سلسیوس برآورد شد. دمای آستانه بالا نیز برای رشد و نمو کل مراحل نابالغ مگس میوه مدیترانه‌ای در استان مازندران
490 با استفاده از مدل پرفورمانس-1، 38/1 درجه سلسیوس و در استان فارس مدل پرفورمانس-2، 37/74 درجه سلسیوس،
491 تخمین زده شد. در بین مدل‌های خطی مورد بررسی، مدل خطی ایکیموتو توانست دمای آستانه پایین و نیاز دمایی مراحل
492 مختلف نابالغ مگس میوه مدیترانه‌ای را با دقت بیشتری برآورد نماید.

493