1 2

ABA accumulation and *PsAO* gene expression in field pea under water deficit

Gordana Petrović¹*, Radmila Stikić², Tomislav Živanović², Dušica Jovičić¹, Aleksandra Ilić¹,
 Gordana Timotijević³, and Jelena Samardžić³

5 Abstract

The plant hormone abscisic acid (ABA) plays a crucial role in plant responses to drought and 6 7 other abiotic stresses, facilitating adaptation mechanisms under water-deficit conditions. This study aimed to investigate the response of field pea (Pisum sativum) varieties to drought stress 8 by evaluating ABA concentrations, stomatal conductance, and the expression of *PsAO* genes 9 during the third leaf pair stage. Drought stress was simulated by withholding irrigation to 10 impose moderate and severe levels of water deficit. A statistically significant increase in ABA 11 12 concentration was observed in all tested pea varieties under stress conditions. Under moderate 13 drought, stomatal responses varied among genotypes; however, severe drought triggered 14 accelerated stomatal closure across all varieties. The cultivar Dukat exhibited the highest 15 stomatal sensitivity, which corresponded with a tenfold increase in ABA concentration, 16 suggesting a strong reliance on chemical (ABA-mediated) drought signaling. In contrast, Javor 17 showed only a modest (2.5-fold) increase in ABA, despite reduced stomatal conductance, 18 indicating a likely reliance on hydraulic signals for drought response. Gene expression analysis 19 revealed that PsAO2 and PsAO3 genes were upregulated under drought, while PsAO1 20 expression remained relatively unchanged compared to control plants. Notably, PsAO3 21 expression was consistently elevated under both moderate and severe drought, suggesting that 22 this gene may play a central role in conferring drought tolerance in field pea. These findings highlight the importance of ABA biosynthesis and signaling, particularly via *PsAO3*, in the 23 24 adaptation of pea plants to water-deficit conditions.

25 Keywords: Drought, Field pea, ABA, Gene expression.

1. Introduction

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is the second most important food legume worldwide which,
together with other legumes, provides one-third of the entire amount of protein for human and

26

¹ Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Maksima Gorkog 30, Novi Sad, Serbia.

² Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Nemanjina 6, Zemun, Serbia.

³ Institute of Molecular Genetics and Genetic Engineering, University of Belgrade, Vojvode Stepe 444a, Belgrade, Serbia.

^{*}Corresponding author; e-mail: gordana.petrovic@nsseme.com

30 animal consumption. Field pea serves as a significant source of fodder and forage for livestock, 31 as well as a key component in the production of edible and industrial oils (Petrović et al., 2016). Like other pulses, field pea exhibits moderate sensitivity to various abiotic stressors, 32 33 particularly drought (Petrović et al., 2021). Drought is defined as the prolonged absence of 34 sufficient moisture, which is essential for normal plant growth and the completion of its life 35 cycle (Latif, 2014). It is a major abiotic factor that adversely affects crop plant growth and development, primarily reducing vegetative growth, shoot and root length, and leaf area 36 37 (Petrović et al., 2021). Water deficit reduces plant growth through the inhibition of various physiological and biochemical processes, such as reduction of the rate of photosynthesis, 38 respiration, translocation, ion uptake, carbohydrates, nutrient metabolism, and hormones (Latif, 39 40 2014). One of the initial responses of plants to water deficit is stomatal closure, a process regulated by the plant hormone abscisic acid (ABA). ABA plays a crucial role in the plant's 41 42 response to drought stress by mediating cellular signaling that regulates water movement from 43 the root to the leaf (Alves and Setter, 2004). Under drought conditions, the accumulation of 44 ABA helps plants adapt to drought and other abiotic stress factors (Tuteja, 2007; Sah et al., 2016). The constant presence of ABA in low concentrations is necessary for the normal growth 45 46 and differentiation of plant cells. Increased ABA concentration is necessary for stomatal closure, seed dormancy, and aging (Sah et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2022). ABA is very intensively 47 48 studied worldwide because it has been established that it represents a universal "stress hormone" whose accumulation, especially in roots, helps plants adapt to abiotic stress factors, 49 such as drought, high and low temperatures, salt or ion stress (Nakashima and Yamaguchi-50 51 Shinozaki, 2013; Liu et al., 2017). It is one of the most important signaling molecules in plants, 52 which plays multiple roles in regulating many developmental processes and adaptive stress 53 processes (Santner et al., 2009; Cutler et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2022).

54 In different plant tissues and organs, the intensity of biosynthesis and ABA concentrations can vary dramatically during different stages of development, depending on the changing 55 56 environmental conditions (Xiong and Zhu, 2003). ABA biosynthesis primarily occurs in 57 chloroplasts and other plastids. The concentration of free ABA in the cytosol is regulated 58 through processes such as degradation, conjugation, and transport. For example, cytosolic ABA 59 increases during drought as a result of synthesis in the leaf, redistribution within the mesophilic cells, transport from the shoots, and recirculation from other leaves. The concentration of ABA 60 decreases after water rehydration due to degradation and reduction in the rate of synthesis, but 61 also due to translocation from leaves to other organs (Zdunek and Lips, 2001). 62

The primary objective of studying plant responses to abiotic stresses, such as drought, salinity, and cold, is to develop crops with enhanced tolerance to these stress factors. Plants usually respond to water deficit in the soil or in the growth substrate by closing the stoma and reducing leaf growth in order to reduce its transpiration surface (Wilkinson and Davies, 2008; Goodger et al., 2005). These stress responses can be initiated by the so-called chemical (Davies and Zhang, 1991) or hydraulic signals (Gowing et al., 1990). Abscisic acid (ABA) has the main role in sending chemical signals related to drought stress.

70 A lot of research has been done to clarify molecular mechanisms behind plant adaptation to abiotic stresses. Now the most of the genes involved in ABA biosynthesis have been identified. 71 Water deficit stimulates changes in the expression profiles of different gene classes, most of 72 which are associated with ABA signaling pathways (Zdunek-Zastocka et al., 2004; Zdunek-73 74 Zastocka, 2008). Metabolic pathways leading to free active ABA have been described (Wu et 75 al., 2022). Aldehyde oxidase (AO; EC 1.2.3.1) plays a crucial role in the final step of abscisic 76 acid (ABA) biosynthesis, and it is also involved in the biosynthesis of other important 77 phytohormones, including indole-3-acetic acid (IAA). The enzyme is localized in the cytosol and catalyzes the oxidation of indole-3-acetaldehyde (IAAld) to IAA and abscisic aldehyde 78 79 (ABAld) to ABA (Seo et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2022). AO is a molybdoenzyme, meaning it contains a molybdenum cofactor (Moco) at its catalytic site, which is essential for its enzymatic 80 81 function. Three AO isoforms were isolated from the leaves and roots of peas: PsAO1, PsAO2, 82 and PsAO3 (Zdunek-Zastocka et al., 2004; Zdunek-Zastocka, 2008). The activity of PAO1 was 83 dominant in the leaves of seedlings and young leaves of mature plants, while PAO3 showed the highest band intensity in old leaves and roots. PsAO2 mRNA was not affected by salinity or 84 85 ammonium treatment. PsAO3 could oxidize abscisic aldehyde, a precursor of ABA, indicating the possible involvement of this isoform in ABA synthesis in pea plants (Zdunek-Zastocka, 86 87 2008).

Bue to the limited information available on the physiological responses of Serbian field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) varieties to drought, this study aimed to evaluate the effects of water deficit on selected physiological and biochemical parameters. Specifically, it investigated differences in abscisic acid (ABA) concentration, stomatal conductance, and the expression of ascorbate oxidase (AO) isoforms among selected cultivars at both the early seedling stage and the stage of the third pair of leaves. In addition, the study sought to identify the most active AO isoform under drought conditions in field pea plants.

- **Materials and Methods** 96 2. 97 2.1 Plant material The study was conducted on seven genus of *Pisum* genotypes: Junior, Dukat, Partner, Trezor, 98 99 Javor, Pionir and Mraz, selected based on morphological characheristics and wether they are spring or winter genotypes, taken from the collection of the Institute of Field and Vegetable 100 Crops, Novi Sad, Serbia (five spring and two winter cultivars). Seeds were sterilized with 0.1% 101 102 Sodium hypochlorite (w/v) for 1 min and then thoroughly rinsed with distilled water (Asmat et 103 al., 2019). 104 2.2 Drought stress experiment 105 The drought stress experiment was conducted under controlled conditions (phytotron 106 chamber), with a photoperiod of 14h, brightness (PAR) 300 µmol/m, temperature 25/18 °C and 107 108 70% relative humidity of air. The plants were grown in 1 L pots filled with commercial substrate (Potground H, Klasmann-Deilmann, Germany) and irrigated to maintain an optimal soil water 109 content of 36% until the development of the third pair of leaves. Drought stress was then 110 induced by withholding irrigation, reducing the substrate water content to 18% for moderate 111 drought (treatment T1) and to 9% for severe drought (treatment T2). Control plants were 112 maintained under optimal soil water conditions, with irrigation adjusted to achieve a substrate 113 114 water content of 36%. The amount of water in the substrate was measured by the Theta Probe (ThetaProbe, type ML2X, Delta-T Devices Ltd., England). 115
- 116 117

2.3 Measurement of the stomatal conductance

Stomatal conductance (gs) was measured on the abaxial surface of the third leaf pair using a SC-1 Leaf Porometer (Decagon Devices Inc., USA). Five plants per treatment were used for measurements. Measurements were made for each variety in the control, as well as in two different drought treatments (T1 and T2). Values are expressed in mmol/m².

122 123

2.4 ABA concentration measurement

Before extraction, frozen plant leaves were ground to a fine powder in pre-chilled steel cylinders, with liquid nitrogen, by a mixer mill (A-11 basic, IKA). ABA extraction was done from 0.5g of plant tissue mixed with 1.5ml of water and 2% PVP without further purification (Asch, 2000). Cross-reaction of the antibody with other compounds in the extract was avoided by briefly boiling plant tissue in water before extraction and then in a Thermomixer comfort (Eppendorf) at 4 °C, in a dark room, during the night. Before the analysis, the cross-reaction

130 test confirmed that the isolated ABA extract does not contain other substances that react with 131 the antibody without being antigenic, i.e. abscisic acid. ABA content in the leaves of the 132 investigated plants was measured by the ELISA method using MAC 252 monoclonal antibody 133 for ABA according to Asch (2000). Plate contents (Nunc: F96 Maxisorp immuno plate) were 134 read at 405 nm by an ELISA reader (Sunrise, Tecan).

135 136

2.5 Gene expression analysis

137 RNA was extracted from 100 mg of frozen plant tissue, using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany), according to the manufacturer's manual. The quality and quantity of the extracted 138 RNA were checked with an UV/VIS spectrophotometer (Evolution 100, Thermo Scientific, 139 USA), by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm (A260) and 280 nm (A280). The A260/A280 140 141 ratio is a widely used method to assess the purity of RNA samples, specifically to check for 142 protein contamination. It is based on UV absorbance measurements and provides useful information about the quality of the RNA. The ratio for the isolated RNA ranged between 1.8 143 144 and 2.0, indicating high purity. The use of Ambion 171 DNA-free DNase Treatment and Removal Reagents before cDNA synthesis is an essential step to ensure the removal of genomic 145 146 DNA from the RNA sample. cDNA synthesis was carried out with 100 ng of total RNA in a 147 final reaction volume of 20 µ, using the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo 148 Fisher Scientific, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. The synthesized cDNA, using 149 it as a template in a PCR reaction is a key step for amplifying specific genes of interest.

150 The amplification was carried out on Mastercycler gradient (Eppendorf, Germany), using a 151 premix of $2 \times PCR$ Master Mix, (Fermentas, Lithuania) containing 4 mM MgCl₂, 0.4 mM dNTP, 152 0.05 unitsµl⁻¹ Taq DNA Polymerase (recombinant in a funal volume of 25 µl. The temperature 153 programme was as follows: denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 95 °C for 154 30 sec, 53 – 57 °C for 30 sec, and 72 °C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 °C for 10 min.

After performing PCR, amplification products were analyzed by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel with ethidium bromide ($0.5 \mu g/ml$). The expected fragment sizes were estimated by comparison with the FastRulerTM Low Range DNA Ladder (Fermentas, Lithuania). Gels were visualized under UV light using a transilluminator, and images were captured with the BioDoc Analyze documentation system (Biometra, Germany).

2.6 Statistical analysis

Percentage data were arcsine-transformed before statistical analysis. Analysis of variance
 (ANOVA) was performed for all investigated parameters using SigmaPlot 10.0 (Systat

Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). We followed the statistical methodology described in Marchin et al., 2020. Significant differences among the mean values were compared by Student's t-test (P < 0.05). Figures were drawn using Sigmaplot. Genotypes and treatments were compared by means of the two-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) with significance levels of *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001.

169

170 **3. Results**

171 **3.1** Stomatal conductance (g_s)

Stomatal conductance was measured for each variety on the third pair of leaves under control conditions and two drought treatments (T1 and T2) (Table 2). Notable differences were observed between the T1 and T2 treatments, with all varieties exhibiting significantly reduced stomatal conductance under severe drought conditions (T2).

176 The conductivity of the stoma was the lowest in cultivar Pionir at the moderate drought (T1)

(50.04 mmols⁻²), while cultivar Trezor had the highest conductivity (80.64 mmols⁻²). In the case
of severe drought (T2), cultivar Dukat showed the highest conductivity values of stomatal

179 conductance (37.96 mmols⁻²), while Junior had the lowest value (16.08 mmol s⁻²).

Regression analysis was conducted in order to interpret treatment effects correctly. A 180 181 sigmoidal fourth-order regression pattern was made to draw the curve of the dependence of the 182 stomatal conductance in relation to the volume of water content in the substrate (Figure 1). The regression model explains a significant portion of the variance in stomatal conductance under 183 moderate drought (T1), with statistical significance from P<0.001. Dukat showed the highest 184 185 tolerance in this treatment with faster closing of stomata and decreased conductance by 66.98% 186 compared to conductance under optimal conditions. Similar results were found in the cultivar 187 Pionir (reduction in conductivity by 63.30%). Under the same - T1 treatment, the stomas of 188 cultivar Junior had a significantly higher conductivity of stoma than other varieties (52.98% 189 compared to control) so they were more open. However, with a further decline of water in the 190 substrate and stronger stress (T2 treatment), the stoma of this cultivar closed faster and the lowest conductance was measured (11.20% compared to the control). Comparing the reactions 191 192 of stomatal cells in cultivar Partner with other cultivars, the slowest decrease in conductance 193 was observed in this particular cultivar.

3.2 ABA Accumulation in leaves of field peas

Measurements of ABA accumulation (Table 3) were made in plant leaves under control and inplants exposed to drought stress (moderate and severe).

- 198 In all cultivars, a statistically significant increase in ABA content, compared to the control, was observed at both drought treatments (Table 3). Compared to control, under the conditions 199 of moderate and severe stress, the lowest increase in ABA concentration was found in cultivar 200 201 Javor (moderate 95.62%, severe 147.26%), and the highest was found in cultivar Dukat (moderate 637.27%, severe 917.04%). Comparing the drought treatments, the lowest increase 202 203 in ABA at T2 compared to T1 was observed in cultivar Mraz (13.08%) and the highest in 204 cultivar Pionir (55.33%). 205 Among the tested cultivars, Dukat exhibited the highest accumulation of the abscisic acid 206 (ABA) stress hormone under both drought treatments, with the differences being statistically 207 significant compared to other cultivars. Conversely, Javor consistently showed the lowest ABA
- content across both treatments. Furthermore, the most pronounced increase in ABA
 concentration was observed under drought conditions compared to the optimal water regime,
 indicating a strong drought-responsive hormonal adjustment.
- The dependence of ABA content in leaves and water content in the soil is shown in Figure 2. A statistically significant increase in the ABA concentration in leaves in reducing soil water content is evident at both drought treatments in all varieties (P <0.001). Variety Dukat showed the highest accumulation of ABA while accumulation of ABA was the lowest in variety Javor, compared to other varieties (Table 3).
- The results of stoma cell conductivity and changes in the conduction of stoma cells are alsoshown in correlation with ABA content in leaves (Figure 3).
- The results showed different sensitivity of the stoma cells of the tested cultivars to the changes in ABA concentration. In this case, the accumulation of ABA in leaves greatly affected Dukat's stoma and less the cultivar Javor's stoma.
- Dukat showed the highest accumulation of ABA in leaves, while the lowest accumulation was measured in Javor. Dukat also showed the highest sensitivity of stoma cells in drought treatments because the stomatal conductivity decreased, compared to conductivity under optimal conditions. Based on all listed above Dukat was the most resistant cultivar.

3.3 The influence of drought on the expression of the genes involved in the synthesis of ABA

The expression levels of three genes: *PsAO1*, *PsAO2*, and *PsAO3* were analyzed in two field pea (*Pisum sativum*) genotypes: Dukat, which showed the highest ABA accumulation, and Javor, which showed the lowest, relative to control conditions. Gene expression was assessed in leaf tissue collected from plants grown under control conditions and those subjected to severe

225 226

227

228

229

230

drought stress. The *Arabidopsis thaliana* 18S rRNA gene was used as the internal reference fornormalization.

Changes in the expression levels of the transcript of three genes for AO in the leaves of selected pea cultivars are presented in Figure 4.

Compared to the control, the ABA content increased by 917% under drought conditions in Dukat and by 147% in the Javor (Table 3). For both cultivars, the increased expression of the *PsAO2* and *PsAO3* genes was observed under the influence of drought, but it was more expressed in Dukat than in Javor. The expression of the *PsAO1* gene in both cultivars was similar to the expression in the control group. It can be assumed that *PsAO1* and *PsAO3* play a greater role than *PsAO2* in the synthesis of ABA in leaves of selected pea cultivars under drought stress.

243

244 **4. Discussion**

245 Different environmental and internal signals including drought, salt stress, light, temperature, 246 CO₂ concentration, relative humidity, phytohormones, and microbes affect and regulate the 247 function of the stomata on the plant leaves (Bawa et al., 2023; Driesen et al., 2020; Wachendorf 248 and Küppers, 2017). The stomatal response is a crucial aspect of plant defense against abiotic 249 stress. As one of the first reactions in response to stress, it emphasizes the essential role of 250 stomatal regulation in maintaining water balance and minimizing water loss, particularly in 251 drought and high-temperature conditions. Research continues to deepen our understanding of 252 how ABA and other regulatory pathways control stomatal function and help plants survive in 253 challenging environmental conditions. (Matkowski and Daszkowska-Golec, 2019; Ferguson 254 2019; Kolist et al., 2019). Stomatal conductance (g_s) is a key physiological parameter that 255 estimates the rate of gas exchange and transpiration through the leaf stomata, particularly under 256 abiotic stress conditions such as drought or high temperatures. Early stomatal closure in 257 response to mild drought can be positively correlated with drought tolerance in pea genotypes. 258 However, the timing and extent of stomatal response must be finely tuned—too early or too 259 severe closure can hurt productivity (Agurla et al., 2018).

The rate of gas exchange and transpiration through the leaf stomata is estimated by stomatal conductance (g_s). Generally, stomatal conductance is higher when stomata are open wider and lower when stomata are closed or narrower. Our study of the stomatal cell conductance in seven field pea cultivars under drought stress showed differences between cultivars, and also between treatments. All cultivars had significantly lower stomatal conductance (P<0.0001) in conditions of severe drought. In order to explain the obtained results

266 regression analysis was conducted. The curve of the dependence of the stomatal conductance 267 in relation to the volume of water content in the substrate showed that the greatest differences in the reactions of the stoma of the investigated cultivars were expressed under moderate 268 269 drought (T1). However, with a further decline of water in the substrate, the stoma of all cultivars closed faster. R-squared values ranged from 0.9652 to 0.9935. This means that 85% of the 270 variance in stomatal conductance can be explained by the independent variables in the model, 271 272 suggesting a strong relationship between the predictors and stomatal conductance. The fastest 273 partial closure of the stomata in the cultivar Dukat, in conditions of drought, may represent a 274 significant adaptive reaction that allows this cultivar to maintain the highest degree of stoma 275 openness in comparison to other cultivars, in conditions of severe drought. This enables the 276 assimilation process to be continued, which results in the highest drought resistance index of both the aerial part and the roots (Petrović, 2021). The stomatal response observed in Dukat is 277 an intriguing aspect of its drought tolerance strategy. However, to assess whether this trait is 278 279 generalizable across other species, it's important to consider the genetic and physiological 280 diversity within legumes. Similar results of changes in stoma conductivity were determined by Maksimović et al. (2010), by measuring stomatal conductance on the leaves of pea plants under 281 282 the influence of salinity, as well as Sassi et al. (2010), who investigated the effect of osmotic 283 stress on bean plants. Many legumes, such as common beans (Polania et al., 2022) and 284 chickpeas (Pang et al., 2016), also exhibit adaptive stomatal responses to drought, suggesting 285 that this trait may be a common mechanism for drought resilience in the family. However, the effectiveness of these responses can vary based on environmental conditions and specific 286 genetic backgrounds. Therefore, while similar patterns may be observable in other legumes, it 287 288 is crucial to conduct comparative studies to understand the nuances of stomatal behavior and 289 its adaptive significance in different cultivars and species.

290 Many signals induce stomatal closure. Among these ABA is a best-known signal that 291 regulates water status and stomatal movement. The amount of ABA in the plant tissue is 292 regulated in several metabolic steps, both in biosynthesis and inactivation steps (Brookbank et al., 2021). Under drought conditions, plants produce and accumulate increased amounts of 293 294 ABA in the guard cells, and this induces stomatal closure to conserve water (Bharath et al., 295 2021). Measurement of ABA concentration in the leaves of tested field pea cultivars exposed 296 to drought in this work also confirmed these claims. In all cultivars, a statistically significant 297 increase in ABA content, compared to the control, was observed, at both drought treatments. 298 Dukat showed the highest accumulation of ABA stress hormone in both treatments compared

to other cultivars, and this difference is statistically significant. In both treatments, the lowest content of ABA, compared to other cultivars, was found in Javor. In addition, the maximum increase in the concentration of ABA was determined under drought compared to the optimal water regime conditions. There is a clear relationship between ABA response and drought tolerance. In pea genotypes, more efficient ABA perception and signalling are often key traits in drought-tolerant lines. Breeding programs increasingly use these traits as selection criteria

305 for improving drought resilience.

306 The results of stomatal conductance measurements, together with changes in ABA content in 307 the leaves, revealed differential sensitivity of stomatal cells among the tested cultivars in 308 response to ABA accumulation. In particular, ABA accumulation had a pronounced effect on 309 stomatal closure in Dukat, while the stomata of Javor exhibited lower responsiveness to changes 310 in ABA levels. Dukat displayed the highest stomatal sensitivity under drought stress, as 311 indicated by a significant reduction in stomatal conductance compared to well-watered 312 conditions. Taken together, these findings suggest that Dukat possesses enhanced drought 313 resistance, likely mediated through more efficient ABA-regulated stomatal control. This 314 response is clearly influenced by chemical signaling, particularly through the accumulation of 315 abscisic acid (ABA). In contrast, Javor exhibited only a minimal increase in ABA concentration 316 under drought stress, while still showing a reduction in stomatal conductance. This suggests 317 that, in Javor, stomatal regulation may be primarily governed by hydraulic signals rather than 318 chemical cues. Hydraulic signaling involves changes in leaf water status, often resulting from 319 reduced root water uptake and decreased turgor pressure in leaf cells (Goodger et al., 2005). 320 These changes can inhibit leaf growth and promote stomatal closure. According to the concept 321 of chemical drought signaling (Gowing et al., 1990), the root system acts as a critical "sensor" 322 of soil conditions-detecting not only reductions in water availability but also changes in the 323 soil's mechanical composition. Roots perceive environmental stress and initiate a complex 324 chemical communication network with the shoot. This involves ABA, ethylene, cytokinins, sugars, and ions, each playing roles in: modulating stomatal behavior, reprogramming growth 325 326 and metabolism and activating systemic stress responses. The results of Davies and Zhang 327 (1991) showed that ABA begins to accumulate at the root in the first stages of water deficiency. 328 As a result of the changed pH values of xylem juice and under water deficit, the synthesized 329 compounds, as chemical stress signals, are transported by the xylem to shoots, where they cause 330 the closure of the stoma and reduce the growth of leaf cells (Bahrun et al., 2002; Davies et al., 331 2005; Schachtman and Goodger, 2008; Wilkinson and Davies, 2008). ABA-driven closure is a

332 more direct, specific response, where the plant actively synthesizes a hormone (ABA) to signal closure under stress conditions. Hydraulic signals are more of a passive, mechanical response 333 to physical changes in water status, where the plant detects internal water loss and reacts 334 335 accordingly by closing the stomata. In summary, while both mechanisms lead to stomatal 336 closure in response to water stress, ABA-driven closure involves a hormonal signaling pathway that triggers biochemical changes in the guard cells, while hydraulic signals result from physical 337 changes in the plant's water status, which lead to stomatal closure as a protective mechanism 338 339 against excessive water loss (Wilkinson and Davies, 2008).

340 It should also be noted that ABA biosynthesis is related to carotenoid biosynthesis. Reduced 341 ABA concentration in the leaves may therefore be the result of the metabolic pathway in 342 drought conditions being oriented in the direction of the synthesis of carotenoids as antioxidant agents necessary for metabolism. Other authors suggest a potential trade-off between ABA 343 production and antioxidant capacity. Specifically, during stress conditions (e.g., drought or high 344 345 salinity), is noted an upregulation of key genes involved in ABA biosynthesis—*NCED3*, for example—which diverts carotenoid precursors like 9-cis-violaxanthin and 9'-cis-neoxanthin 346 347 toward ABA synthesis. Interestingly, this increase in ABA biosynthesis coincided with a reduction in xanthophyll cycle activity and lower expression of VDE (violaxanthin de-348 349 epoxidase) and ZEP (zeaxanthin epoxidase), which are critical for non-photochemical 350 quenching (NPQ)—a major photoprotective, antioxidant mechanism. These changes were also 351 accompanied by measurable decreases in total carotenoid content and antioxidant enzyme activities (like SOD and APX), suggesting that as more carotenoids are diverted into ABA 352 synthesis, there's less available for direct ROS quenching or photoprotection. This supports the 353 354 hypothesis that under stress, plants may prioritize hormonal signaling (ABA) over maintaining 355 maximum antioxidant capacity, possibly as a short-term adaptation to optimize water-use efficiency and stomatal regulation (Cazzonelli, 2011; Ruiz-Sola et al., 2014; Van Norman et 356 al., 2014; McAdam et al., 2016, Mercado-Reves et al., 2024). 357 358

The cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying ABA-induced stomatal closure have been extensively studied and are now relatively well understood. Most of the genes involved in ABA biosynthesis have now been identified. Water deficit stimulates changes in the expression profiles of different gene classes, most of which are associated with ABA signaling pathways (Zdunek-Zastocka et al., 2004; Zdunek-Zastocka, 2008). According to Yao et al. (2023) understanding the function of the *AAO* gene family is of great significance for insight into plants' response to abiotic stresses. Analysis of the expression of *PsAO1*, *PsAO2*, and *PsAO3*

365 was performed in selected field pea genotypes, in which the highest and lowest ABA 366 accumulation occurred in the leaves of pea plants exposed to severe drought treatment, compared to the control. It can be assumed that the genes PsAO2 and PsAO3 play a more 367 368 important role in the synthesis of ABA in the leaves of plants that were in an older vegetative 369 phase of development than *PsAO1*, while in the seedlings the *PsAO2* gene has less importance 370 in the synthesis of ABA, compared to other genes. This is especially expressed in Dukat where, 371 under the influence of severe drought,-an 90 - fold increased concentration of ABA was 372 measured in the leaves of the plants compared to the control. Accumulation of ABA in this 373 cultivar also contributes to faster closing of stomata, which reduces transpiration, as well as 374 activation of antioxidant mechanisms (Petrović et al., 2023). An increase in ABA concentration 375 was also measured in Javor, but slightly less than in Dukat, so the stomata of this cultivar closed 376 slower, and the activation of antioxidant mechanisms was reduced. The results of this study are 377 consistent with the results of Zdunek-Zastocka (2010) and Zdunek-Zastocka and Sobczaka (2013), who showed that the most important gene, whose expression increases in pea plants 378 exposed to drought, is *PsAO3*. When compared with other ABA-related genes, such as NCED3 379 380 (a key gene in ABA biosynthesis), which was upregulated, and ABI5 (an ABA signaling component), which showed also an increase, *PsAO1* gene expression level aligns closely with 381 major components of the ABA pathway. This suggests a potential functional association or 382 383 regulatory coordination between PsAO2 and PsAO3 and core ABA signaling elements (Barrero, 2006). 384

385

386 CONSLUSIONS

The findings of this study underscore the link between drought tolerance and specific 387 388 physiological and molecular adaptations in selected field pea (*Pisum sativum*) genotypes. The 389 genotypes exhibited distinct responses to drought stress, with notable differences in both 390 physiological and molecular mechanisms depending on the severity of drought. Under 391 moderate drought, stomatal behavior varied among genotypes, whereas all genotypes 392 responded to severe drought with accelerated stomatal closure, a classical water-conserving 393 defense mechanism. A clear correlation was observed between leaf ABA concentration and 394 stomatal conductance. In Dukat, stomatal conductance was strongly affected by a tenfold 395 increase in ABA content, suggesting a dominant role of ABA-mediated "chemical signaling" 396 in this cultivar's drought response. In contrast, Javor showed minimal stomatal sensitivity 397 despite a 2.5 - fold increase in ABA, indicating a response more reliant on "hydraulic signals," 398 likely driven by changes in leaf water status and turgor pressure. At the molecular level, all

399 three analyzed genes (PsAO1, PsAO2, and PsAO3), which are involved in the biosynthesis of 400 the key ABA-producing enzyme aldehyde oxidase, were expressed under drought conditions. Notably, *PsAO3* expression consistently increased under drought, suggesting it plays a central 401 402 role in ABA biosynthesis during stress and may be a critical component of the drought response 403 pathway in pea. These findings highlight the complexity of drought adaptation mechanisms in 404 pea and point to PsAO3 as a potential target for future research and breeding programs. 405 However, further studies are needed to elucidate the localization and regulation of ABA 406 transporters, as well as the detailed dynamics of ABA biosynthesis and catabolism under 407 drought conditions.

408

409 Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and
Innovation of the Republic of Serbia, grant numbers: 451-03-66/2024-03/200032 and 451-0366/2024-03/200042.

413

414 **REFERENCES**

Agurla, S., Gahir S., Munemasa S., Murata Y. and Raghavendra A. 2018. Mechanism
 of Stomatal Closure in Plants Exposed to Drought and Cold Stress. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.*, 1081:
 215-232, doi:10.1007/978-981-13-1244-1_12.

Alves, A. A. C. and Setter, T. L. 2004. Abscisic acid accumulation and osmotic
adjustment in cassava under water deficit. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 51: 259-271.
doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2003.11.005.

421 3. Asch F. 2000. Determination of Abscisic Acid by Indirect Enzyme-Linked
422 Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA). Technical Report. Laboratory for Agrohydrology and
423 Bioclimatology, Department of Agricultural Sciences, The Royal Veterinary and Agricultural
424 University, Tastrup, Denmark.

Asmat A, Sofi P.A., Rather M.A., Dar Z.A., Z.A. Showket Maqbool and Baba, 2019.
 Role of Polyethylene Glycol in Screening the Common Bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) Cultivars
 for Root Traits under Water Stress Conditions. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 8(07): 2776 2782. doi: 10.20546/ijcmas.2019.807.339

Bahrun, A., Jensen, C.R., Asch, F., Mogensen, V.O., 2002. Drought-induced changes
in xylem pH, ionic composition, and ABA concentration act as early signals in field-grown
maize (*Zea mays* L.). *J. Exp. Bot.*, 53(367): 251-263. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/53.367.251.

432 6. Barrero J.M., Rodríguez P.L., Quesada V., Piqueras P., Ponce M.R., Mico J.L. Both 433 abscisic acid (ABA)-dependent and ABA-independent pathways govern the induction of NCED3, AAO3 and ABA1 in response to salt stress. Plant Cell Environ., 29: 2000-2008. doi: 434 435 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2006.01576.x 436 7. Bawa G., Yu X., Liu Z., Zhou Y., Sun X., 2020. Surviving the enemies: Regulatory 437 mechanisms of stomatal function in response to drought and salt stress. Environ. Exp. Bot., 209: 438 10521, doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2023.105291. 439 8. Bharath, P., Gahir, S. and Raghavendra, A. S. 2021. Abscisic Acid-Induced Stomatal 440 Closure: An Important Component of Plant Defense Against Abiotic and Biotic Stress. Front. 441 Plant Sci., 12:615114. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.615114. 442 Brookbank, B.P.; Patel, J.; Gazzarrini, S.; Nambara, E. 2021. Role of Basal ABA in 9. Plant Growth and Development. Genes. 12: 1936. https://doi.org/10.3390/ genes12121936. 443 444 10. Cazzonelli, C.I. 2011. Carotenoids in nature: insights from plants and beyond. Funct. 445 Plant Biol., 38 (11): 833-847. doi: 10.1071/FP11192. 11. Cutler, S. R., Rodriguez, P. L., Finkelstein, R. R., Abrams, S. R. 2010. Abscisic acid: 446 447 Emergence of a core signaling network. Ann. Rev. Plant Biol., 61: 651-679. 448 12. Davies, W. J., Kudoyarova, G., Hartung, W. 2005. Long-distance ABA Signaling and 449 Its Relation to Other Signaling Pathways in the Detection of Soil Drving and the Mediation of 450 the Plant's Response to Drought. J. Plant Growth Regul., 24: 285-295. doi: 10.1007/s00344-451 005-0103-1. 452 13. Davies, W. J., Zhang, J., 1991. Root Signals and the Regulation of Growth and 453 Development of Plants in Drying Soil. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 42: 55-76. 454 doi: 10.1146/annurev.pp.42.060191.000415. 455 14. Driesen, E.; Van den Ende, W.; De Proft, M.; Saeys, W. Influence of Environmental 456 Factors Light, CO₂, Temperature, and Relative Humidity on Stomatal Opening and 457 Development: Α Review. 2020. Agronomy., 10: 1975. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10121975. 458

459 15. Ferguson J. 2019. Climate change and abiotic stress mechanisms in plants. *Emer. Top.*460 *Life Sci.*, (2): 165–181. doi: https://doi.org/10.1042/ETLS20180105.

461 16. Goodger, J. Q. D., Sharp, R. E., Marsh, E. L., Schachtman, D. P. 2005. Relationships
462 between xylem sap constituents and leaf conductance of well-watered and water-stressed maize
463 across three xylem sap sampling techniques. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 56 (419): 2389–2400.
464 doi:10.1093/jxb/eri231.

- 465 17. Gowing, D. J. G., Davies, W. J. and Jones, H. G. 1990. A Positive Root-sourced Signal
 466 as an Indicator of Soil Drying in Apple, *Malus x domestica* Borkh. J. *Exp. Bot.*, 41 (12): 1535467 1540. doi.org/10.1093/jxb/41.12.1535.
- Kollist, H., Zandalinas, S. I., Sengupta, S., Nuhkat, M., Kangasjärvi, J., and Mittler, R.
 2019. Rapid responses to abiotic stress: priming the landscape for the signal transduction
 network. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 24 (1): 25-37. doi: 10,1016/j.tplants.2018.10.003.
- 471 19. Latif, H. H. 2014. Physiological responses of *Pisum sativum* plant to exogenous ABA
 472 application under drought conditions. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 46 (3): 973-982.
- Liu, H. R., Sun, G. W., Dong, L. J., Yang, L. Q., Yu, S. N., Zhang, S. L. and Liu, J. F.,
 2017. Physiological and molecular responses to drought and salinity in soybean. *Biol. Plant.*,
 61: 557-564. doi: 10.1007/s10535-017-0703-1.
- 476 21. Maksimović, I., Putnik-Delić, M., Gani, I., Marić J. and Ilin Ž. 2010. Growth, ion
 477 composition, and stomatal conductance of peas exposed to salinity. *Cent. Europ. J. Biol.*, 5:
 478 682–690. doi:10.2478/s11535-010-0052-y.
- 479 22. Marchin R.R., Ossola A., Leishman M.R. and Ellsworth D.S. 2020. A Simple Method
 480 for Simulating Drought Effects on Plants. Front Plant Sci 10: 1715.
 481 doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01715.
- 482 23. Matkowski H. and <u>Daszkowska-Golec</u> A. (2023): Update on stomata development and
 483 action under abiotic stress. *Front. Plant Sci.*, (14): 1270180 doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1270180.
- 484 24. McAdam, S. A. M., Sussmilch, F.C. and Brodribb, T. J. 2016. Stomatal responses to
 485 vapour pressure deficit are regulated by high-speed gene expression in angiosperms. *Plant Cell*486 *Environ.*, **39:** 485-491. doi: 10.1111/pce.12633.
- 487 25. Mercado-Reyes, J., Soares Pereira T., Manandhar A., Rimer I. and McAdam S. 2024.
 488 Extreme drought can deactivate ABA biosynthesis in embolism-resistant species. *Plant Cell*489 *Environ.*, 47 (2): 383-725. doi.org/10.1111/pce.14754.
- ABA signaling in stress-response
 and seed development. *Plant Cell Rep.*, **32:** 959-970. doi: 10.1007/s00299-013-1418-1.
- 492 27. Pang, J., Turner, N.C., Khan, T., Du, Y., Xiong, J., D. Colmer, T.D., Devilla, R.,
 493 Stefanova, K. and Siddique, K.H.M. (2016). Response of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) to
 494 terminal drought: Leaf stomatal conductance, pod abscisic acid concentration, and seed set. *J.*495 *Exp. Bot.* 1-13. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw153.

- Petrović, G., Jovičić, D., Nikolić, Z., Tamindžić, G., Ignjatov, M., Milošević, D. and
 Milošević, B. 2016. Comparative study of drought and salt stress effects on germination and
 seedling growth of pea. *Genetika.*, 48 (1): 373-381. doi.org/10.2298GENSR1601373P.
- 499 29. Petrović, G., Živanović, T., Stikić, R., Nikolić, Z., Jovičić, D., Tamindžić, G. and
 500 Milošević D. 2021. Effects of Drought Stress on Germination and Seedling Growth of Field
- 501 Pea. Matica Srpska J. Nat. Sci., **140:** 59-70. doi.org/10.2298/ZMSPN2140059P.

502 30. Petrović, G., Živanović, T., Nikolić, Z., Vasiljević S., Milošević D., Stanisavljević N.

and Samardžić J. 2023. Drought induced Changes in the Antioxidant System in *Pisum sativum*L. *Legume Res.* doi: 10.18805/LRF-755.

505 31. Polania J.A., Salazar-Chavarría V., Gonzalez-Lemes I., Acosta-Maspons A., Chater
506 C.C.C. and Covarrubias AA (2022). Contrasting Phaseolus Crop Water Use Patterns and
507 Stomatal Dynamics in Response to Terminal Drought. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13: 894657. doi:
508 10.3389/fpls.2022.894657.

- 32. Rivera-Becerril, F., Metwally, A., Martin-Laurent, F., Van Tuinen, D., Dietz, K.J.,
 Gianinazzi, S. and Gianinazzi-Pearson V. 2005. Molecular Responses to Cadmium in Roots of *Pisum Sativum* L. *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 168: 171-186. doi: 10.1007/s11270-005-1247-0.
- Si Ruiz-Sola, M. Á., Arbona. V., Gómez-Cadenas, A., Rodríguez-Concepció, M. and
 Rodríguez-Villalón, A. 2014. A Root Specific Induction of Carotenoid Biosynthesis
 Contributes to ABA Production upon Salt Stress in Arabidopsis. *PLoS ONE.*, 9 (3): e90765.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090765.
- 516 34. Sah, S. K., Reddy, K. R. and Li, J. 2016. Abscisic Acid and Abiotic Stress Tolerance in
 517 Crop Plants. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 7: 571. doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00571.
- 518 35. Santner, A., Calderon-Villalobos, L.I. and Estelle, M., 2009. Plant hormones are
 519 versatile chemical regulators of plant growth. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 5: 301-307. doi:
 520 10.1038/nchembio.165.
- 36. Sassi S., Aydi S., Hessini K., Gonzalez E. M., Arrese-Igor C. and Abdelly C. 2010.
 Longterm mannitol-induced osmotic stress leads to stomatal closure, carbohydrate
 accumulation and changes in leaf elasticity in *Phaselous vulgaris* leaves. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 9:
 6061-6069.
- 525 37. Schachtman., D. P. and Goodger., Q. D. 2008. Chemical root to shoot signaling under
 526 drought. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 13 (6): 281-287. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2008.04.003.

527 38. Seo M., Aoki H., Koiwai H., Kamiya Y., Nambara E. and Koshiba T. 2004.
528 Comparative Studies on the *Arabidopsis* Aldehyde Oxidase (*AAO*) Gene Family Revealed a

- 529 Major Role of AAO3 in ABA Biosynthesis in Seeds. Plant Cell Physiol., 45(11): 1694–1703.
- 530 doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pch198.
- 531 39. Tuteja, N. 2007. Abscisic Acid and Abiotic Stress Signaling. *Plant Signal. Behav.*, 2:
 532 135-138. doi.org/10.1007/s00299-013-1418-1.
- 40. Xiong, L. and Zhu, J. K., (2003). Regulation of Abscisic Acid Biosynthesis. *Plant Physiol.*, 133: 29-36.
- 41. Wilkinson, S. and Davies, W. J. 2008. Manipulation of the apoplastic pH of intact plants
 mimics stomatal and growth responses to water availability and microclimatic variation. J. Exp.
 Botany., **59** (3): 619-631. doi:10.1093/jxb/erm338.
- 42. Wu, J., Kamanga, B. M., Zhang, W., Xu, Y. and Xu, L. 2022. Research progress of aldehyde oxidases in plants. *PeerJ.*, **10:** e13119 doi:10.7717/peerj.13119.
- 540 43. Van Norman, J. M., Zhang, J., Cazzonelli, C. I., Pogson, B. J., Harrison, P. J., Bugg, T.
- 541 D. H., Chan, K. X., Thompson, A. J. and Benfey, P. N. 2014. Lateral root formation requires a
- 542 carotenoid. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci..*, **111 (13):** E1300-1309. doi:10.1073/pnas.1403016111.
- 44. Yao, P., Zhang, C., Zhang, D., Qin, T., Xie, X., Liu, Y., Liu, Z., Bai, J., Bi, Z., Cui, J.,
 et al. 2023. Characterization and Identification of Drought-Responsive ABA-Aldehyde
 Oxidase (AAO) Genes in Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Plants.*, 12: 3809.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12223809.
- 547 45. Zdunek-Zastocka, E and Sobczak M. 2013. Expression of *Pisum sativum PsAO3* gene,
 548 which encodes an aldehyde oxidase utilizing abscisic aldehyde, is induced under progressively
 549 but not rapidly imposed drought stress. 2013. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 71: 57-66. doi:
- 550 **10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.06.027.**
- 46. Zdunek-Zastocka, E., 2008. Molecular cloning, characterization and expression
 analysis of three aldehyde oxidase genes from *Pisum sativum* L. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*, 46:
 19-28. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2007.09.011.

47. Zdunek-Zastocka, E., Omarov, R. T., Koshiba, T. and Lips, H. S. 2004. Activity and
protein level of AO isoforms in pea plants (*Pisum sativum* L.) during vegetative development
and in response to stress conditions. *J. Exper. Botany.*, 55(401):1361-1369. doi:
10.1093/jxb/erh134.

48. Zdunek, E. and Lips, H. 2001. Transport and accumulation rates of abscisic acid and
aldehyde oxidase activity in *Pisum sativum* L. in response to suboptimal growth conditions. J.
Exper. Botany., **52:** 1269-1276. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/52.359.1269.

562	Table 1. Sequences of oligonucleotide primers.					
	Gene	Sequence (5'–3')	Annealing temperature (°C)	Reference		
1	18S rRNK	F: CCAGGTCCAGACATAGTAAG	55	Zdunek-Zastocka, 2008		
		R: GTACAAAGGGCAGGGACGTA				
	PsAO1	F: GACAGTTCTGCAAGAAAAACCAGTGG	57			
		R: CAGCACCAGCTATAGATTTCATGCTC				
1	PsAO2	F: GTCAAGGCCCTGAGTTTGCACA	57			
		R: GGAGTTGGTTTTAGATGCATCTCTTG	57			
	PsAO3	F: CAGCTGGATGGAGTAAGAGATG	~ ~			
		R: GTGCTGTAACTATGTTATGTGG	22			

Table 2. Mean values of the stomatal conductivity by varieties in the control and drought treatment group.

Variety	Treatment	g _s (mmol/s ²)
Mraz	Control	124.13 ± 2.04
	T1	$60.86^{***} \pm 1.10$
	T2	$25.08^{***}\pm 0.57$
	Control	143.61 ± 2.57 570
Junior	T1	$76.08^{***} \pm 0.94^{-7.0}$
	T2	$16.08^{***} \pm 0.8571$
Javor	Control	166.57 ± 6.74
	T1	$64.70^{***} \pm 1.22^{1/2}$
	T2	$30.66^{***} \pm 0.74_{573}$
	Control	194.00 ± 14.96
Dukat	T1	$64.06^{***} \pm 3.9474$
	T2	$37.96^{***} \pm 1.31_{-7}$
	Control	147.17 ± 5.46 ³⁷³
Pionir	T1	$50.04^{**} \pm 0.74576$
	T2	$22.62^{***} \pm 0.86^{+0}$
	Control	131.80 ± 4.98577
Partner	T1	$66.48^{**} \pm 1.26_{570}$
	T2	$36.64^{**} \pm 1.61^{5/8}$
	Control	189.33 ± 10.0 7 9
Trezor	T1	$80.64^{**} \pm 1.44$
	T2	$28.54^{**} \pm 1.34580$

*** - P<0.001, ** - P<0.01.

Table 3. ABA concentrations in plant leaves (ng/g fresh weight) under the influence of drought
 treatment.

Variety	Treatment	ABA (ng/g FW)		
	Control	187.00 ± 11.23		
Mraz	T1	$486.20^{***} \pm 9.81$		
	T2	$549.80^{***} \pm 15.23$		
	Control	171.00 ± 13.72		
Junior	T1	$389.00^{***} \pm 26.63$		
	T2	$458.40^{***} \pm 15.24$		
	Control	146.00 ± 8.84		
Javor	T1	$285.60^{***} \pm 11.71$		
	T2	$361.00^{***} \pm 10.16$		
	Control	88.00 ± 7.44		
Dukat	T1	$648.80^{***} \pm 19.80$		
	T2	$895.00^{***} \pm 27.21$		
	Control	164.00 ± 7.66		
Dionin	T1	$454.00^{***} \pm 15.29$		
PIOIIII	T2	$705.20^{***} \pm 46.19$		
	Control	161.00 ± 9.44		
Dontron	T1	$456.00^{***} \pm 20.04$		
Partner	T2	$657.80^{***} \pm 26.89$		
	Control	146.00 ± 10.78		
Trezor	T1	$356.40^{***} \pm 8.12$		
	T2	$506.00^{***} \pm 24.00$		
*** - P < 0.001.				





Fig. 1. Changes in stomatal conductivity (g_s) depending on the content of water in the substrate.







Fig. 2. Changes in the concentration of ABA in leaves depending on the water content of thesoil.

605



Fig. 3. The ratio of ABA concentration in leaves and conductivity of stoma (expressed in relation to the control = 1).



- 611 612
- **Fig. 4.** Effect of drought on the expression of the *PsAO1*, *PsAO2* and *PsAO3* genes in plant
- 614 leaves of selected field pea varieties.