# Effectiveness of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Shigetane) Commercial Products against the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick, 1917) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae)

4 5 6

7

Burçin Çiçek<sup>1</sup>, Mahmut Mete Karaca<sup>1</sup>, and Kamil Karut<sup>1\*</sup>

## ABSTRACT

The tomato leaf miner, Tuta absoluta (Meyrick, 1917) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), is one of 8 the most important pests causing significant economic losses in plant species belongingthe 9 Solanaceae family. The preferred management method for T. absoluta currently involves 10 insecticide application. However, beside the undesired effects of insecticides, chemical 11 treatments can also negatively impact the efficiency of integrated pest management programs 12 (IPM). Bacillus thuringiensis (Shigetane 1902) (Bacillales: Bacillaceae) (Bt) is a pathogen with 13 formulations used as host-specific bioinsecticides. These formulations decompose quickly in 14 15 the environment, thereby reducing non-target effects and residue problems compared to chemical pesticides. In this study, the effectiveness of six commercial *Bt* products, belonging 16 to *aizawai* and *kurstaki* strains, against T. *absoluta* was assessed under laboratory conditions, 17 using manufacturer-recommended doses. The efficacy of the Bt products varied between  $\frac{70}{70}$ 18 and 97.5%. The lowest and highest mortalities were recorded in B. thuringiensis var. aizawai 19 and B. thuringiensis var. kurstaki products, respectively. Mortality reached 100% within three 20 days following insecticide treatments, whereas peak mortality in Bt applications was noted after 21 a post-treatment period of fifteen days. These findings highlight the potential of certain Bt 22 products as effective components of IPM programs for T. absoluta, suggesting the need for 23 further field studies to optimize their use in agricultural practices. 24

25 Keywords: Bacillus thuringiensis, development time, mortality, tomato, Tuta absoluta

## INTRODUCTION

The tomato laf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick, 1917) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), originating from South America, stands as one of the most economically detrimental pests affecting a range of plant species within the Solanaceae family (Miranda et al., 1998; Garzia, 2009). Initially reported in Spain in 2006, the pest subsequently spread throughout Europe and the Mediterranean countries (Urbaneja et al., 2007; Arno et al., 2009). In Turkey, following its

26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Cukurova University, 01330, Adana, Turkiye.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author; e-mail: karuti@cu.edu.tr

first appearance in 2009, it rapidly proliferated and emerged as a prominent pest in both greenhouse and field tomato cultivation (Kılıç, 2010; Karut et al., 2011). *T. absoluta* larvae feed between the two epidermal layers of tomato leaves, creating irregular transparent galleries that eventually turn brown, causing complete leaf desiccation. Furthermore, the larvae also feed on tomato fruits, and their excrement fosters an environment conducive to decay and the development of secondary microorganisms. Collectively, these damages result in significant losses in fruit quality and yield (Korycinska and Moran 2009; Desneux et al., 2010).

The predominant method for controlling T. absoluta involves insecticide application among 40 existing practices (Tropea et al., 2012; Roditakis et al., 2018). However, due to the limited 41 penetration of insecticides into plant tissues and the rapid development of resistance attributed 42 to T. absoluta's high reproductive capacity, chemical control alone often fails to yield the 43 desired results (Biondi et al., 2018; Buragohain et al., 2021). Moreover, the indiscriminate and 44 intensive use of insecticides poses adverse effects on human and environmental health. 45 Consequently, alternative control methods, such as biological and biotechnical control, have 46 gained preference for the better management of the pest (Lietti et al., 2005; Gonzales-Cabrera 47 48 et al., 2011; Desneux et al., 2022).

Numerous natural enemies of T. absoluta from Hymenoptera and Hemiptera group of insects 49 have been identified (Miranda et al., 1998; Marchiori et al., 2004; Luna et al., 2007; Bajonero 50 2008; Cabello et al., 2009; Kabiri et al., 2010; Doğanlar and Yiğit 2011). In addition to predators 51 and parasitoids, microorganisms are also employed for pest control (Buragohain et al., 2021). 52 Bacillus thuringiensis (Shigetane 1902) (Bacillales: Bacillaceae) (Bt) is a unique soil-dwelling 53 bacterium utilized in the biological control of T. absoluta (Palma et al., 2014; Dammak et al., 54 2016; Biondi et al., 2018). Commercial products derived from various subspecies of Bt are 55 deployed in managing insect species across different families. While **B**. t var. kurstaki is 56 effective against lepidopteran larvae,  $\frac{B}{B}$ , t var. israelensis and  $\frac{B}{B}$ , t var. tenebrionis are used to 57 control mosquitoes and coleopteran pest species, respectively (Gelernter, 2004; Palma et al., 58 59 2014; Dammak et al., 2016).

Studies investigating the efficacy of *Bt* products against on *T. absoluta* commenced with *B. t* var. *kurstaki* (*Btk*), sourced from South America in the early 2000s. Giustolin et al. (2001)
demonstrated *Btk* induced mortality across all developmental stages of *T. absoluta* larvae.
Subsequently, there has been a notable increase in research assessing the efficacy of *Bt* products
in managing the pest (Niedmann and Meza-Basso, 2006; Gonzalez-Cabrera, 2011; Sarr et al.,
2021). Niedmann and Meza-Basso (2006) revealed that two indigenous strains of Bt exhibited

lethal effects against *T. absoluta* in Chile. Gonzalez-Cabrera (2011) reported that the impact of *T. absoluta* could be significantly diminished by exclusively applying *B. t*-based formulations,
obviating the need for chemical insecticides. Sarr et al. (2021) demonstrated a reduction in the
proportion of damaged fruits and an improvement in tomato yield, particularly with the
application of *Bt* products. Furthermore, it has been drevealed that more favorable outcomes in
pest management could be achieved by combining *Bt* with various biocontrol agents (GonzalezCabrera et al., 2011; Alsaedi et al., 2017; Jamshidnia et al., 2018; Asma et al., 2018).

Environmentally friendly agents such as *Bt* strains are essential for a sustainable Integrated Pest Management (IPM) program against tomato pests. Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the effects of specific *Bt* commercial products with the potential to be used in biological control programs against *T. absoluta*.

### 78 MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### 79 Host Plant Rearing

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) cultivar Soray was used as a host plant in this study. The production of tomato plants was carried out in the a specialized rearing room adjusted at 25±2 °C temperature and 70±5% humidity with long day lighting (16 Light: 8 Dark)h. The plants were grown in pots (15x15 cm) containing potting soil.

84

77

#### 85 Tomato Leaf Miner Rearing

The initial population of *T. absoluta* was obtained from tomato fields of Adana, and bioassay studies were completed at Cukurova University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, Laboratory of Insect Molecular Genetics and Biotechnology. The production was carried out in three fully grown tomato plants in net cages. The cages, each measuring 70x70x150 cm, were placed in the rearing room adjusted to 25±2 °C temperature of and 70±5% humidity, with long-day lighting (16 Light: 8 Dark)h. To maintain the *T. absoluta* production, dead tomato plants were replaced with new healthy plants during mass rearing period.

93 94

#### **Bacillus thuringiensis Products**

In this study, six registered *Bt* products in Turkey were tested. In addition to those products,
 two commercial insecticides, spinetoram 120 g L−1 (Radiant<sup>TM</sup>, Dow AgroSciences, Istanbul,
 Turkey), and spinosad 480 g L−1 (Laser<sup>TM</sup>, Dow AgroSciences, Istanbul,Turkey), widely
 preferred in pest control by growers, were used as positive controls. The features and

recommended doses of the products are given in Table 1. Except for Dacron, all products were 99 100 registered against T. absoluta.

101

#### **Bioassay Experiment** 102

Leaves obtained from the upper half of 40 cm tall tomato plants (40 cm in height) were used 103 in the experiments. The recommended doses of the products, given in Table 1, were prepared 104 using distilled water, and were applied to the tomato leaves by leaf dipping method. In the 105 process, the leaves were dipped in the prepared solution for three seconds and then, allowed to 106 dry on a paper for 30 minutes under laboratory conditions. The petiole of the tomato leaves 107 were wrapped in wet cotton to provide moisture and keep the leaves alive during the 108 experiments. The leaves were placed in rectangular transparent plastic containers of 12x6x6 109 cm, where the lids were covered with nets for ventilation. One newly hatched first instar of T. 110 absoluta larvae was transferred to each leaf with the help of a fine-tipped paint brush. The first 111 instar larvae were obtained from T. absoluta eggs kept in cabinet adjusted to  $25\pm1^{\circ}C$ 112 temperature and 70±5% humidity. The larvae released on leaves treated with distilled water 113 were considered as controls. The prepared units were placed in a cabinet adjusted to  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C 114 temperature, 70±5% humidity, and long-day lighting (16 hour Dark:8 hour Light). 115 Experimental units were checked daily, and the number of live/dead larvae and the development 116 of the larvae that remained alive were recorded. The stages of the larvae were determined 117 depending on the head capsules they left after each molting. A total of ten individuals were used 118 per replicate and each treatment was set up with 10 replicates (100 individuals) in bioassay 119 experiments. The mean development time of larval instars was determined from individuals 120 that remained alive and completed the immature development (Kandil et al., 2020). To 121 determine adult longevity, individuals reaching the adult stage were carefully transferred to 122 123 separate containers, and provided with honey as a regular consistent food source. These containers were kept under controlled environmental conditions, including a temperature of 124  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C, relative humidity of  $70\pm5\%$ , and a photoperiod of 16 hours light/8 hours dark. Each 125 adult was observed daily, and their survival was recorded until death. 126

127

		0	1		1	
Bt products						
Name	Formulation	Strain	Isolate	Bacteria density	Recommended rate	
Agree 50	WG	B. thuringiensis spp. aizawai+	GC-91	%50	100 g /100 L	
Dacron	WP	B. thuringiensis berliner var kurstaki	Serotype 3a 3b, SA- 11 5300	32000 IU/mg	100 g /100 L	
Delfin	WG	B. thuringiensis berliner var kurstaki	Serotype 3a 3b, SA- 11	32000 IU/mg	100 g /100 L	
Dipel DF	WG	B. thuringiensis subsp kurstaki	ABTS-351	32000 CLU/mg	100 g /100 L	
Florbac	WG	B. thuringiensis var. aizawai	ABTS-1857	35000 DBM/mg	150 g /100 L	
Rebound	WP	B. thuringiensis var. kurstaki	-	16000 IU/mg	200 g /100 L	
		Ins	secticides			
		Ac	tive ingredient			
Laser	SC	480 g/l Spinosad 2			25 ml/100 l	
Radiant	SC	120 g/l Spinetoram 50 ml/ da				

#### Table 1. Characteristis of Bacillus thuringiensis products and insecticides used in the experiments.

## 130 131

#### 132 Statistical Analyses

133 Corrected mortality rates of 3, 7, 10, and 15 days after application and cumulative mortality were calculated using the Abbott formula (Abbott, 134 1925). Before conducting the analysis, we assessed the normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test and checked for homogeneity of variances using 135 Levene's test. In case of violation of assumptions, the data were transformed using Log10(X+1) and arcsin for homogeneity of variances. The 136 original data were presented in the results. Data were analyzed using the One-Way ANOVA, followed by separation of means using the Tukey 137 test. All analyses were conducted using SPSS 25.0 (Chicago, IL, USA).

#### 138 **RESULTS**

### 139 Effects of **B**. thuringiensis Products on Mortality of **Tuta absoluta**

The insecticides, spinosad and spinetoram, exhibited the highest cumulative mortality rates, both reaching 100%, indicative of their potent lethal effects. Delfin and Dacron, belonging to *Bt* category, followed with mortality rates of 97.5 and 92.5%, respectively, and were statistically fell within the same group [F(7,79)=9.74, P=0.0001]. The remaining *Bt* products demonstrated mortality rates ranging from 86.2 to 70.0%, showcasing variability in their effectiveness (Figure 1).



#### 146

147

**Fig. 1.** Cumulative mortality rates ( $\pm$ SE) of *Tuta absoluta* caused by different *Bacillus thuringiensis* products and two insecticides (Spinosad and Spinetoram). \* Values with different letters denote statistically significant difference (Tukey; *p*< 0.05).

On the third day after application, a 100% mortality rate was observed for spinosad and spinetoram, while Dipel exhibited a low rate of 1%. Mortality rates increased for all products by day 7, ranging from 9 for Agree to 50% for Delfin, signifying varied responses to the treatments. On day 10, except for Delfin (67%), all products exhibited mortality rates below 50%, indicating sustained but varied efficacy across treatments. On the 15th day, the highest and lowest mortality rates were observed in Delfin (94.2) and Agree (55.2%) treatment units, respectively, highlighting the durability and variability of the treatments (Table 2).

In the first instar larvae, the highest mean number of dead individuals was detected for Delfin (3.6), followed by Rebound (1.9) and Dipel (1.6). The three products differed statistically from the control experimental unit [F(6, 69)=10.1, P=0.0001]. No mortality was observed in Agree, which belonged to the same category as the control group. In the second instar larvae, the

highest average numbers of dead individuals were found in Dacron (4.6), followed by Florbac 159 (4.5). Other products showed varied mean mortality values between 0 and 2.8; the differences 160 were statistically significant [F(6, 69)=16.08, P=0.0001]. In the third instar larvae, the mean 161 numbers of dead individuals were close to each other, with the highest in Agree and Dacron 162 (2.8). All the products were statistically different from the control, but showed no difference 163 between each other [F(6, 69)=8.27, P=0.0001]. In the fourth instar larvae, the highest mean 164 mortality values was determined as two for both Agree and Florbac. The values varied between 165 0.6 and 1.5 for the other products. In the pupal stage, the highest and lowest mean numbers of 166 dead individuals were recorded respectively for Rebound (0.8), and Delfin (0.1); the statistical 167 difference, however was not significant [F(6,69)=1.40, P=0.226]. In the sum of the first and 168 second instars, the mean number of dead individuals exceeded approximately 50% for the three 169 products (Dacron, Delphin and Florbac) (Table 3). 170

Table 2. Corrected mortality rates (±SE) of commercial *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt)-based
 products and two insecticides (Spinetoram and Spinosad) after post-treatment period of
 3, 7, 10 and 15 days.

Products	Days					
Floducts	3	7	10	15		
Agree	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{b^*}$	9.00±3.48°	13.00±3.34 <sup>b</sup>	55.25±4.38°		
Dacron	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{b}$	16.00±4.00 <sup>bc</sup>	44.00±6.86ª	$64.50 \pm 7.00^{bc}$		
Delfin	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{b}$	50.00±7.60 <sup>a</sup>	67.00±9.07 <sup>a</sup>	94.25±3.07 <sup>a</sup>		
Dipel	$1.00{\pm}1.00^{b}$	32.00±4.16 <sup>ab</sup>	46.00±7.18ª	78.50±4.47 <sup>abc</sup>		
Florbac	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{b}$	21.00±4.33 <sup>bc</sup>	45.00±7.49ª	$76.25{\pm}6.57^{abc}$		
Rebound	$0.00 \pm 0.00^{b}$	26.00±6.15 <sup>abc</sup>	37.00±6.15 <sup>ab</sup>	82.25±6.17 <sup>ab</sup>		
Spinetoram	100±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	-		
Spinosad	100±0.00 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	-		

174 175

176

177

\* Means within the same column with different letters denote statistically significant difference (Tukey; p < 0.05).

 Table 3. Mean (±SE) numbers of mortality Tuta absoluta individuals at different larval instars treated with commercial Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt)-based products

 Larval instars and pupa

		Larval instars and pupa					
Products	Ι	II	III	IV	Pupa		
Agree	$0.0 \pm 0.00^{c*}$	$2.0\pm0.36^{bc}$	2.8±0.44 <sup>a</sup>	2.0±0.61ª	0.7±0.30ª		
Dacron	$0.5 \pm 0.30^{bc}$	$4.6\pm0.76^{ab}$	$2.8\pm0.46^{a}$	$1.2 \pm 0.38^{a}$	0.3±0.30 <sup>a</sup>		
Delphin	3.6±0.61ª	2.8±0.44 <sup>abc</sup>	2.0±0.55ª	$1.4{\pm}0.26^{a}$	0.1±0.10 <sup>a</sup>		
Dipel	$1.6\pm0.54^{ab}$	$2.5\pm0.54^{abc}$	2.6±0.30 <sup>a</sup>	1.5±0.37ª	0.2±0.13 <sup>a</sup>		
Florbac	$1.0\pm0.29^{bc}$	4.5±0.63ª	$2.4\pm0.26^{a}$	$0.6 \pm 0.26^{a}$	0.3±0.15 <sup>a</sup>		
Rebound	$1.9 \pm 0.62^{ab}$	1.5±0.16°	2.0±0.59ª	2.0±0.53ª	0.8±0.29 <sup>a</sup>		
Control	$0.0\pm 0.00^{\circ}$	$0.0\pm 0.00^{d}$	$0.1 \pm 0.10^{b}$	0.6±0.22ª	$0.4\pm0.22^{a}$		

\* Means within the same column with different letters denote statistically significant difference (Tukey; p < 0.05).

180	Effects of <mark>B. <i>thuringiensis</i> Products on Development and Longevity of <mark>Tuta absoluta</mark></mark>
181	In the first instar, statistically significant differences were observed in mean development
182	times. The longest and shortest times were recorded for Dipel (4.37 days) and the control (3.33
183	days), respectively [F(5, 161)=2.72, P=0.02] (Table 4). In the second instar, the mean
184	development times varied between 2.77 and 5.00 days. In the third instar, a statistically
185	significant difference in mean development times was observed, exceeding those of the control
186	[F(5, 161)=9.62, P=0.0001]. In the pupal stage, mean development times were close to each
187	other and did not show statistically significant differences [F(5, 161)=1.83, P=0.10]. Total mean
188	development times ranged between 24.5 and 20.27 days, with statistically significant
189	differences observed [F(5, 161)=12.7, P=0.0001]. Except for Florbac, adult longevities in all
190	treatments were longer and statistically different from control [F(5, 161)=6.42, P=0.0001]
191	(Table 4).
107	Table 4 Mean (+SE) development time (day) of different larval stages, and adult longevity of

192 **Table 4.** Mean  $(\pm SE)$  development time (day) of different larval stages, and adult longevity of 193 *Tuta absoluta* calculated from the larvae do not dead and complated development after *Bacillus* 

194 *thuringiensis* treatment.

	Larval instars and pupa							
Products	n	Ι	II	III	IV	Pupa	Total	Longevity
Agree	24	$3.45 \pm 0.20^{ab^*}$	4.16±0.48 <sup>ab</sup>	4.54±0.37 <sup>a</sup>	$3.54 \pm 0.24^{ab}$	$8.12\pm0.06^{a}$	23.83±0.63 <sup>ab</sup>	12.33±1.13 <sup>ab</sup>
Dacron	6	4.33±0.42 <sup>ab</sup>	4.83±0.30 <sup>a</sup>	3.50±0.22 <sup>ab</sup>	3.66±0.33 <sup>ab</sup>	8.16±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	24.50±0.42ª	15.16±0.60 <sup>a</sup>
Dipel	16	4.37±0.32ª	3.62±0.32 <sup>ab</sup>	$3.37{\pm}0.28^{ab}$	3.56±0.47 <sup>ab</sup>	8.12±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	23.06±0.50 <sup>ab</sup>	13.68±0.76 <sup>a</sup>
Florbac	12	$3.91{\pm}0.28^{ab}$	5.00±0.68ª	$3.33{\pm}0.28^{ab}$	2.83±0.40 <sup>ab</sup>	7.58±0.19ª	22.66±0.93 <sup>abc</sup>	8.66±1.00 <sup>b</sup>
Rebound	18	3.66±0.19 <sup>ab</sup>	2.77±0.26 <sup>c</sup>	2.88±0.25 <sup>b</sup>	4.22±0.40 <sup>a</sup>	7.94±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	21.50±0.49 <sup>bc</sup>	14.66±0.94 <sup>a</sup>
Control	86	3.33±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	3.50±0.14 <sup>bc</sup>	2.74±0.09 <sup>b</sup>	2.72±0.13 <sup>b</sup>	$7.88 \pm 0.07^{a}$	20.27±0.26°	11.04±0.32 <sup>ab</sup>

195\* Means within the same column with different letters denote statistically significant difference (Tukey; p < 0.05).197

#### 198 DISCUSSION

Although there were statistical differences, the effectiveness of **B**. thuringiensis (Bt) 199 products, manifest by mortality rates exceeding 70.0%, was confirmed in this study. Similarly 200 the effectiveness of Bt products on larval mortality of T. absoluta was confirmed under 201 laboratory and greenhouse conditions (Hafsi et al., 2012; Birgücü et al., 2014; Jallow et al., 202 2019; Kandil et al., 2020; Sandeep Kumar et al., 2020a, b; Buragohain et al., 2021; Sarr et al., 203 2021). Although the application method is different, Hafsi et al. (2012) also found an average 204 205 of 72.5% larval mortality at seven days after the treatment of the Bt product (Bt 32000) under laboratory conditions. Jallow et al. (2019), reported 55%-65% mortality when second- instar 206 T. absoluta larvae were exposed to tomato leaves treated with Bt (Dipel). 207

It can be suggested that the high mortality rate in the first two larval stages, with over 50% 208 mortality in three Bt products (Delfin, Florbac, and Dacron), could increase the success in the 209 biological control of tomato leaf miner. Similar results were reported in different studies, and 210 mortality in the first and second larval stages was found to be higher than other larval stages in 211 comparison (Giustolin et al., 2001; Gonzalez-Cabrera et al., 2011; Hashemitassuji et al., 2014). 212 Coelho and França (1987) argued that this was because the new larva that emerged from the 213 egg was feeding by chewing the leaf surface to reach the mesophyll layer. This behavior 214 increases the chance of getting bacterial toxins into the digestive system of the larvae. 215

**B.** thuringiensis products prolonged the larval development period in infected individuals 216 that survived and completed their development. These results were aligned with other 217 researchers who demonstrated the effect of Bt products on T. absoluta larvae, reporting a 218 significant increase in larval and pupal development periods (Kandil et al., 2020). Similar 219 results were also reported for other lepidopteran pests. Yang et al. (2008) determined that the 220 Bt YL17 isolate distrupted the development of the 3rd larval stage of Spodoptera exigua 221 (Hübner, 1808) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), and prolonged the total immature development. Erb 222 et al. (2001) reported that Bt had a sub-lethal effect on Lymantria dispar (Linnaeus, 1758) 223 (Lepidoptera: Lymantridae) fourth instar larvae and prolonged the development period. Barker 224 (1998) reported 12.4 days longer total immature development time for Bt treated Cochylis 225 hospes (Walsingham, 1884) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) larvae compared to the control group. 226 Similarly, Huarong et al. (2005) found that after Bt applications, larval development of Ostrinia 227 nubilalis (Hübner, 1796) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) was prolonged when compared to the 228 control. 229

The mortality rates of the *Bt* products were found to be close to the that of the insecticides. 230 However, the highest mortality rate (100%) was reached on the 3rd day in insecticide 231 treatments, while it was reached on the 15th day in Bt treatments. This could be due to the 232 different modes of action of the insecticide and Bt. While insecticides lead to immediate death 233 234 after application, mortality in Bt applications may occur after a few hours or weeks (Perez et al., 2015). **B. thuringiensis** strains generate toxins during both the initial sporulation phase and 235 236 the growth stage, resulting in the formation of parasporal crystalline inclusions. Upon ingestion by insects, these toxins dissolve within the midgut. Subsequently, midgut proteases trigger the 237 238 toxins through proteolysis, binding them to precise receptors on the insect cell membrane. This binding results in cell disruption, ultimately leading to the death of the insect (Schnepf et al., 239 240 1998; Palma et al., 2014).

In this laboratory study, we demonstrated that *Bt* products are at least as effective as insecticides but require more time to achieve the maximum mortality rate. Therefore, for a successful IPM program in greenhouses, these products should be applied repeatedly at specific time window (one week) supported with supplemental application of other natural enemies, such as predators or parasitoids.

246

251

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

## 247 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Arif Arslan (Cargill, Alberta, Canada) and Mohammed A.
Mohammed for language editing the manuscript; Cukurova University Scientific Project
Foundation Units (CU-BAP) (grant numbers FYL-2019-12065).

### 252 **REFERENCES**

- Abbott, W. S. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 18: 265–267.
- 255 2. Alsaedi, G., Ashouri A. and Talaei-Hassanloui, R. 2017. Evaluation of *Bacillus thuringiensis* to control *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) under
   laboratory conditions. *Agric. Sci.*, 8: 91–599.
- Arno, J., Sorribas, R., Prat, M., Matas, M., Pozo, C., Rodriguez, D., Garreta, A., Gomez,
   A. and Gabarra, R. 2009. *Tuta absoluta*, a new pest in IPM tomatoes in the northeast of
   Spain. *IOBC/WPRS Bull.*, 49: 203–208.
- 4. Asma, C., Ons, I., Sabrine, B. and Kaouthar, L. 2018. Life-stage-dependent side effects
  of selected insecticides on *Trichogramma cacoeciae* (Marchal) (Hymenoptera:
  Trichogrammatidae) under laboratory conditions. *Phytoparasitica*, 46: 105–113.
  - Aynalem, B. 2022. Empirical review of *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick effect on the tomato production and their protection attempts. *Adv. Agric.*, 2595470. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2595470.
  - Bajonero, J. 2008. Biology and life cycle of *Apanteles gelechiidivoris* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) parasitoid of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Agron. Colomb.*, 26(3): 417–426.
    - Barker, J. F. 1998. Effect of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* toxin on the mortality and development of the larval stages of the banded sunflower moth (Lepidoptera: Cochylidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 91 (5): 1084–1088.

- Birgücü, A. K., Çelikpençe, Y., Karaca, İ. and Bayındır A. 2014. Growth inhibitory
   effects of bio- and synthetic insecticides on *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick, 1917)
   (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Turk. J. Entomol.*, 38 (4): 389–400.
- P. Biondi, A., Guedes R. N. C., Wan F. H. and Desneux N. 2018. Ecology, worldwide
  spread, and management of the invasive South American Tomato Pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*: Past, present, and future. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.*, 63: 239-258.
- 279 10. Buragohain P., Kumar Saikia, D., Sotelo-Cardona, P. and Srinivasan, R. 2021.
  280 Evaluation of Bio-Pesticides against the South American Tomato Leaf Miner, *Tuta*281 *absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in India. *Horticulturae*, 7(9): 325.
- 282 11. Cabello, T., Gallego, J. R., Vila, E., Soler, A., Del Pino, M., Carnero, A., Hernandez, E.
  283 and Polaszek, A. 2009. Biological control of the South American Tomato Pinkworn,
  284 *Tuta absoluta* with releases of *Trichogramma achaeae* in tomato greenhouses of Spain.
  285 *IOBC/ WPRS Bull.*, 49: 225–230.
- 286 12. Coelho, M. C. F. and França, F. H. 1987. Biologia e quemotaxia da larva e descriçao da
  287 pupa e adulto da traça-do-tomateiro. *Pesqui Agropecu Brasil*, 22: 129–135.
- 13. Dammak, M., Ben Khedher, S., Boukedi, H., Ikbel, C., Laarif, A. and Tounsi, S. 2016.
  Involvement of the processing step in the susceptibility/tolerance of two lepidopteran
  larvae to *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Aa toxin. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.*, 127: 46–50.
- 14. Desneux, N., Han, P., Mansour, R., Arno, J., Brévault, T., Campos, M. R., Chailleux, 291 A., Guedes, R. N. C., Karimi, J., Konan, K. A. J., Lavoir, A. V., Luna, M. G., 292 Perez- Hedo, M., Urbaneja, A., Verheggen, F. J., Zappala, L., Abbes, K., Ali, A., 293 Bayram, Y., Cantor, F., Andrew, G. S. Cuthbertson, A. G. S., Vis, R. D., Erler, F., 294 Firake, D. M., Haddi, K., Hajjar, M. J., Ismoilov, K., Jaworski, C. C., Kenis, M., Liu, 295 H. T., Madadi, H., Martin, T., Mazih, A., Messelink, G. J., Mohamed, S. A., Nofemela, 296 R. S., Oke, A., Ramos, C., Ricupero, M., Roditakis, E., Shashank, P. R., Wan, F. H., 297 Wang, M. H., Wang, S., Zhang, Y. B. and Biondi, A. 2022. Integrated pest management 298 299 of Tuta absoluta: practical implementations across different world regions. J. Pest Sci., 95:17-39. 300
  - 15. Desneux, N., Wajnberg, E., Burgio G., Arpaia, S., Wyckhuys-Kris A. G., Narvaez-Vasquez, C.A., Gonzalez-Cabrera, J., Tabone, E., Frandon J., Pizzol, J., Poncet C. and Urbaneja A. 2010. Biological invasion of European tomato crops by *Tuta absoluta*: ecology, geographic expansion and prospects for biological control. *J. Pest Sci.*, 83: 197–215.

301

302

303

304

- 306 16. Doğanlar, M. and Yiğit, A. 2011. Parasitoid complex of the Tomato Leaf Miner, *Tuta*307 *absoluta* (Meyrick 1917), (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Hatay, Turkey. *KSU J. Nat.*308 *Sci.*, 14(4): 28–37.
- 309 17. Erb S., Bourchier, R., van Frankenhuyzen, K. and Smith, S. 2001. Sublethal effects of
  310 *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner subsp. *kurstaki* on *Lymantria dispar* (Lepidoptera:
  311 Lymantriidae) and the Tachinid parasitoid *Compsilura concinnata* (Diptera:
  312 Tachinidae). *Environ. Entomol.*, 30(6): 1174–1181.
- 313 18. Garzia, G.T. 2009. *Physalis peruviana* L. (Solanaceae), a host plant of *Tuta absoluta* in
  314 Italy. *IOBC/WPRS Bull.*, 49: 231–232.
- 315 19. Gelernter W. 2004. The rise and fall of *Bacillus thuringiensis* tenebrionis.
  316 *Phytoparasitica*, 32: 321–324.
- 317 20. Giustolin, T. A., Vendramim, J. D., Alves, S. B., Vieira S. A. and Pereira, R.M. 2001.
  318 Susceptibility of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lep., Gelechiidae) reared on two species of
  319 lycopersicon to *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki. J. Appl. Entomol.*, 125: 551–556.
- 320 21. Gonzalez-Cabrera, J., Molla, O., Monton H. and Urbaneja, A. 2011. Efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Berliner) in controlling the tomato borer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick)
   322 (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *BioControl*, 56: 71–80.
- 323 22. Hafsi, K. A., Chermiti, B. and Nasraoui, B. 2012. Response of the tomato miner *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) to thirteen insecticides in semi-natural conditions
   in Tunisia. *Bull. OEPP/EPPO Bull.*, 42 (2): 312–316
- 326 23. Hashemitassuji, A., Safaralizadeh, M. H., Aramideh, S. and Hashemitassuji, Z. 2014.
  327 Effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki* and spinosad on three larval stages 1st,
  328 2nd and 3rd of tomato borer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in
  329 laboratory conditions. *Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot.*, 48(5): 377–384.
  - 24. Huarong, L., Oppert, B., Higgins, R. A., Huang, F., Buschman, L. L. and Zhu, K. Y. 2005. Susceptibility of Dipel resistant and susceptible *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) to individual *Bacillus thuringiensis* protoxins. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, 98(4): 1333–1340.
    - 25. Jallow, M. F. A., Dahab A. A., Albaho, M. S. and Devi, V. Y. 2019. Efficacy of some biorational insecticides against *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) under laboratory and greenhouse conditions in Kuwait. *J. Appl. Entomol.*, 143: 187– 195.

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

- 26. Jamshidnia, A., Abdoli, S., Farrokhi, S. and Sadeghi, R. 2018. Efficiency of spinosad, *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Trichogramma brassicae* against the Tomato Leafminer in
  greenhouse. *BioControl*, 63: 619–627.
- 27. Kabiri, F., Vila, E. and Cabello T. 2010. *Trichogramma achaeae*: An excellent
  biocontrol agent against *Tuta absoluta*. *Sting Newsletter Biol. Control*, 33: 5–6.
- 28. Kandil, M. A., Abdel-Kerim R. N. and Moustafa M. A. 2020. Lethal and sub-lethal
  effects of bio-and chemical insecticides on the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta*(Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Egyption J. Biol. Pest Control*, 30:1–7.
- 29. Karut, K., Kazak C.. Döker I. and Ulusoy M. R. 2011. Mersin ili domates seralarında
  Domates yaprak galeri güvesi *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick. 1917) (Lepidoptera:
  Gelechiidae)'nın yaygınlığı ve zarar durumu. *Turk. Entomoloji Derg.*, 35 (2): 339–347.
  (in Turkish with abstract in English)
- 350 30. Kılıç, T. 2010. First record of *Tuta absoluta* in Turkey. Phytoparasitica, 38: 243–244.
- 351 31. Korycinska, A. and Moran, H. 2009. Plant Pest Notice: South American tomato moth,
   352 *Tuta absoluta. Dep. Environ. Food Rural Aff. Food Environ. Res. Agency* (Fera), 56: 1–
   353 4.
- 354 32. Lietti, M. M. M., Botto, E. and Alzogaray, R.A. 2005. Insecticide resistance in
  355 Argentine populations of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Neotrop.*356 *Entomol.*, 34 (1): 113–119.
- 357 33. Luna, M. A. G., Sanchez, N. and Pereyra, P.C. 2007. Parasitism of *Tuta absoluta*358 (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) by *Pseudapanteles dignus* (Hymenoptera, Braconidae)
  359 under laboratory conditions. *Environ. Entomol.*, 36(4): 887–893.
- 34. Marchiori, C. H., Silva, C. G. and Lobo, A. P. 2004. Parasitóides de *Tuta absoluta*(Meyrick, 1917) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) coletados em plantas de tomate em lavras,
  estado de Minas gerais, Brasil. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.*, 50(6): 434–437.
  - 35. Miranda, M. M. M., Picanço, M., Zanuncio, J. C. and Guedes, R.N.C. 1998. Ecological life table of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Biocontrol Sci. Technol.*, 8: 597–606.
  - 36. Niedmann L. L. and Meza-Basso, L. 2006. Evaluation of native strains of *Bacillus thuringiensis* as an alternative of integrated management of the tomato leaf miner (*Tuta absoluta* Meyrick; Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Chile. *Agricultura Técnica*, 66(3): 235–246.

363

364

365

366

367

368

- 370 37. Palma L., Muñoz, D., Berry, C. Murillo, J. and Caballero, P. 2014. *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins: An overview of their biocidal activity. *Toxins*, 6(12): 3296–3325.
- 372 38. Perez, J., Bond, C., Buhl, K. and Stone, D. 2015. *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) General
  373 Fact Sheet; National Pesticide Information Center, Oregon State University Extension
  374 Services. http://npic.orst.edu/factsheets/btgen.html.
- 375 39. Roditakis, E., Vasakis, E., Garcia-Vidal, L., Del Rosario, M. A. M., Rison, J. L.,
  376 Haxaire-Lutun, M. O., Nauen, R., Tsagkarakou, A. and Bielza, P. (2018). A four-year
  377 survey on insecticide resistance and likelihood of chemical control failure for tomato
  378 leaf miner *Tuta absoluta* in the European/Asian region. *J Pest Sci.*, *91*(1), 421–435.
- 40. Sandeep, Kumar, J., Jayaraj, J., Shanthi, M., Theradimani, M., Venkatasamy, B.,
  Irulandi, S. and Prabhu, S. 2020 (a). Potential of Cry1Ac from *Bacillus thuringiensis*against the tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Gelechiidae: Lepidoptera). *Egyption J. Biol. Pest Control*, 30: 81
- 41. Sandeep Kumar, J., Jayaraj, J., Shanthi, M., Theradimani, M., Venkatasamy, B.,
  Irulandi, S. and Prabhu, S. 2020 (b). Potential of standard strains of *Bacillus thuringiensis* against the tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera:
  Gelechiidae). *Egyption J. Biol. Pest Control*, 30: 123.
- 42. Sarr, O. M., Bal, A. B., Fossati-Gaschignard O. and Gauthier, N. 2021. Effectiveness of
  two biopesticides against the invasive tomato pest *Tuta absoluta. Entomologia Exp. et Appl.*, 169: 674–685.
- 390 43. Schnepf, E., Crickmore, N., van Rie, J., Lereclus, D., Baum, J., Feitelson, J., Zeigler, D.
  391 R. and Dean, D. H. 1998. *Bacillus thuringiensis* and its pesticidal crystal proteins.
  392 *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 62: 775–806.
  - 44. Tropea Garzia, G. G., Siscaro, G., Biondi, A. and Zappalà, L. (2012). *Tuta absoluta*, a South American pest of tomato now in the EPPO region: Biology, distribution, and damage. EPPO Bulletin, 42(2): 205-210.
    - 45. Urbaneja, A., Vercher, R., Navarro, V., García Marí, F. and Porcuna, J. 2007. La polilla del tomate, *Tuta absoluta. Phytoma España*, 194: 16–23.
    - 46. Yang, X., Oluwafemi, A. R. and Zhang, H. 2008. Screening of highly toxic *Bacillus thuringiensis* and its effects on the growth and development of *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Entomol. Gen.*, 31(1): 95–104.

393

394

395

396

397

398

399