

29 per oxidation.

31 **INTRODUCTION**

30

1

32 Climate change is contributing to global warming, which has implications for the regional

33 distribution and cultivation conditions of crops (Nesar et al., 2022). From last many years, the air

34 temperature of earth is increasing steadily and it is expected that the rise in temperature will

35 continue which would result in significant rise in average temperature of earth (IPCC, 2018). High

36 temperature can have a severe impact on crop productivity (Mostofa et al., 2022). Canola is 37 vulnerable to high temperature especially during its reproductive stage (Annisa et al., 2013). In 38 canola the highly heat sensitive stage is flowering stage and considerable yield reduction occurs 39 when temperature goes higher than 28-30°C at flowering stage (Chen et al., 2020). High 40 temperature can cause abnormal flower development, leading to fewer pods and seeds (Chen et al., 41 2021). The problem is further exacerbated when canola planting is delayed due to intensive 42 cultivation practices. Farmers often struggle to plant canola on time, especially after cultivating 43 kharif crops like cotton, rice, and potatoes (Yousaf et al., 2002). Pakistan is among the countries 44 which are facing the severity of climate change. Based on records from the International Disasters 45 Database (EM-DAT), Pakistan has experienced a significant rise in the frequency and intensity of 46 extreme meteorological as well as hydrological events, including droughts, storms, floods and 47 extreme temperatures, over the past three decades. In addition to that the annual average 48 temperature of Pakistan has also increased up to 1.68℃ during 1901 to 2021 whereas the global 49 rise of average annual temperature during the same period is 1.1℃; hence the increase in Pakistan's 50 average annual temperature is also higher than the global annual average temperature rise. 51 Fertilization strategies significantly reduce abiotic stress impacts by promoting plant 52 development, structure, biochemical processes, and nutrients stores, enabling plants to tolerate 53 hostile ecological circumstances (Xu et al., 2021). Within vital group of macronutrients, K assumes 54 a predominantly pivotal part in affecting growth and development of plant, whether in typical or 55 challenging environmental conditions. Its primary function in plants revolves around boosting 56 stress tolerance. Through its ability to decrease transpiration rates and enhance water absorption, 57 K contributes to increased agricultural productivity (Aslam et al., 2021). Furthermore, it assists in 58 preserving turgidity of cell and counteracting detrimental impacts of reactive oxygen species (ROS) 59 (Jan et al., 2019). Enzymatic activities and metabolic processes are enhanced by K (Zaman et al., 60 2019), thus enhancing physiological systems and building up antioxidant defense mechanisms 61 (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2020). Importantly, K performs a pivotal function in stress mitigation by 62 accelerating the metabolism of plant proteins, which regulate numerous plant processes during 63 adverse environmental conditions. Furthermore, it supports proline synthesis, contributes to 64 osmotic regulation and **bolsters** plant resilience to stress (Zamani et al., 2020).

65 Implementing proper K fertilization strategies can, therefore, perform a crucial part in boosting 66 productivity and health of plant, even in harsh conditions. Li *et al*. (2023) found that the application

81 field trial was conducted for two growing seasons, from 2019 to 2021. The experimental soil was

82 sandy loam with an 8.6 pH, 4 ds cm⁻¹ electrical conductivity (EC), 0.06% nitrogen (N), 6.9 ppm

83 phosphorus (P) , and 206.7 ppm K.

Fig. 1: Geographic location of the experimental site.

85 **Experimental Treatments and Design**

86 The experiment was laid out in RCBD with split-plot arrangement with two sowing dates (main 87 plots) and four K application treatments (sub-plots) having net plot size on 7.0 m \times 2.7 m and three 88 replications. Sowing dates were: October 15th; November 1st, and K treatments were: T₁: control

84

89 (no application of K), T₂: 50 kg K ha⁻¹ (soil applied), T₃: T₂ + foliar spray of 0.25% K at pre- and

90 post-flowering stages, T_4 : T_2 + foliar spray of 0.50% K at pre- and post-flowering stages. The

canola variety Faisal Canola was used in the study. Potassium nitrate (KNO3) was used as K source,

 and K solutions were prepared distilled water as solvent and sprayed manually using a hand sprayer.

Crop husbandry

96 Canola was planted in rows 45 cm apart with a rabi drill using \mathbf{A} kg ha⁻¹ seed rate. At four- leaf stage, the crop was thinned to maintain 10 cm plant to plant distance. Weeds were removed 98 manually when required. Overall 85 kg N and 74 kg P ha⁻¹ were given to crop; with all P and K fertilizers applied at sowing time and N fertilizer was applied in two equivalent splits at sowing and flowering. Three irrigations were provided, at 30 days after sowing, flowering, and pod formation. Harvesting for treatments sown on October 15th and November 1st took place on March 102 $8th$ and March 24th, respectively, in both years of the study.

Observations

Leaf Biochemical Analysis

106 Leaf samples (from middle portion of main branch) were collected 10 days after K-foliar sprays application to recorded superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD), catalase (CAT), total soluble sugars (TSS) and malondialdehyde (MDA). The SOD (U/mg protein) activity was determined using procedure described by Winterbourun et al. (1993). The (POD) (U/mg protein) activity was determined by employing the procedure described by Ogawa et al. (1985). The amount of CAT (U/mg protein) was determined by following the procedure described by Sinha (1972). The TSS (mg/g dry weight) was determined using the procedure described by Dubois et al. (1956). 113 The MDA (U/mg) was determined by employing the procedure described by Velikova et al. (2000).

Relative Cell Injury

 Ten days following the K-foliar spray treatment, fresh leaf samples (from the middle region of 117 the main branch) were taken to record relative cell injury. The following formula was used (Lutts et al., 1999). Electrical conductivity (EC) was measured using EC meter (Model, Jenway 4510, Japan).

142

151

135 **Yield and Yield Related Traits**

 When the plants reached maturity, ten were chosen at random from each plot. Measurements included plant height, number of branches and pods per plant, and length of pod. The quantity of seeds per pod was calculated by counting and threshing fifteen pods that were taken from the chosen plants. After that, the complete plots were gathered and threshed. A total of 1000 seeds were weighed and counted in order to record the weight. The yield per plot was calculated by taking the total weight of seeds in each plot and converting it to yield per hectare.

143 **Economic Analysis**

144 The gross income per hectare (Rs.) was calculated by multiplying canola seed yield (kg ha⁻¹) by 145 the market rate (Rs. /kg) of canola seed (Byerlee, 1988). Fixed and variable costs per hectare (Rs. 146 /ha) were calculated by combining the costs associated with standard field operations and 147 treatment-specific expenses, respectively. The total cost of production (Rs. /ha) was obtained by 148 combining the fixed and variable costs. Net income (Rs. /ha) was calculated by deducting the total 149 cost of production from the gross income. The benefit-cost ratio (BCR) for each treatment was 150 determined by dividing the net income by the total cost of production.

Weather

- During 2019-20, average maximum temperature was 24.50°C, while average minimum
- temperature was 11.36°C and total rainfall was 232 mm from October to April. However, from
- February to March of 2020, when the crop was in the reproductive stage, the highest temperature
- range was 25.50–36.50°C. During 2020-21, average maximum temperature was 27.27°C, while
- average minimum temperature was 10.87°C and total rainfall was 64.20 mm from October to April.
- However, in February and March of that year the highest temperature range was 22.50–35.50°C.

Fig. 2: Climate conditions at the experimental location throughout the study duration.

RESULTS

Leaf Physiology

 Late sowing reduced SOD, POD, CAT, and TSS contents in leaves, and increased MDA production. Foliar sprays of K enhanced these contents and reduced MDA production, especially 164 for plants sown on November $1st$ (Fig. 3, 4). Late sowing resulted in high RCI, which was reduced 165 by foliar sprays of K, especially at 0.50% concentration (Fig. 4). Delayed sowing reduced Pn and Gs, which were preserved by foliar spray of K, especially at 0.50% concentration (Fig. 4). Late sowing also reduced chlorophyll fluorescence and leaf chlorophyll contents (a and b), which were 168 maintained by foliar sprays of K, especially at 0.50% concentration (Fig. 5). Overall, foliar sprays of K mitigated the negative effects of late sowing on canola plants.

 [\[Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2](https://jast.modares.ac.ir/article-23-71799-en.html)024-11-10]

Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2024-11-10]

Fig. 3: Influence of two sowing dates and potassium application on biochemical of canola. Means sharing same case letter do not differ significantly at $P<0.05$.

Fig. 4: Influence of two sowing dates and potassium application on physiology of canola.

Fig. 5: Influence of two sowing dates and potassium application on chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm) and chlorophyll contents of canola.

173 **Yield and Yield Related Traits**

172

174 Data regarding yield and related traits has been presented in table 1. During 2019-20, the canola 175 crop sown on 15th of October resulted in 9% higher plant height as compared to canola crop sown 176 on November 1st. Moreover regarding number of pods per plant, 52% higher were recorded in crop 177 sown on October 15th and sprayed with 0.50% K-foliar spray than crop sown on same date but no 178 K-foliar spray was applied. Additionally, regarding pod length and number of seed per pod, 10% 179 and 21% higher pod length and number of seed per pod, respectively, were documented in plants 180 sprayed with 0.50% K-foliar spray as compared with control. Regarding 1000-seed weight, 14% 181 higher was recorded when canola was sown on October $15th$ than canola sown on November $1st$, 182 whereas among K treatments, the 0.25 and 0.50% K-foliar sprays resulted in 29 higher 1000-seed 183 weight than control. Regarding yield, canola crop sown on October $15th$ and sprayed with 0.50% 184 K-foliar spray resulted in 7% higher yield as compared with crop sown on same date but not 185 sprayed with K. Whereas, crop sown on November $1st$ and sprayed with 0.50% K-foliar spray 186 resulted in 43% higher yield as compared with crop sown on similar date but not sprayed with K 187 at pre- and post-flowering stages. 188 During 2020-21, the canola crop sown on $15th$ of October resulted in 4% and 17% higher plant height and number of branches per plant, respectively, than canola crop sown on November 1st. 190 Moreover regarding number of pods per plant, 39% higher were recorded in crop sown on 191 November 1st and sprayed with 0.50% K-foliar spray than crop sown on same date but no K foliar

193 plants sown on October $15th$ than plants sown on November $1st$ whereas among K treatments, crop

192 spray was applied. Additionally, regarding pod length, 16% higher pod length was documented in

194 sprayed with 0.50% K-foliar sprays gave 7% higher pod length as compared with control.

- 195 Furthermore, regarding number of seed per pod, 48% higher number of seed per pod was recorded 196 in plants sown on October 15th as compared to plants sown on November 1st whereas among K 197 treatments, crop sprayed with 0.50% K-foliar sprays gave 48% higher number of seed per pod as 198 compared with control. Regarding 1000-seed weight, 14% higher was recorded when canola was 199 sown on October 15th than canola sown on November 1st, whereas among K treatments, the 27% 200 higher 1000-seed weight as compared with control. In case of yield, canola crop sown on October 201 $15th$ and sprayed with 0.50% K-foliar spray resulted in 7%, higher yield as compared with crop sown on same date but not sprayed with K. Whereas, crop sown on November $1st$ and sprayed with 203 0.50% K-foliar spray resulted in 43% higher yield as compared with crop sown on similar date but
- 204 not sprayed with K at pre- and post-flowering stages.
- 205

206 **Table 1:** Influence of two sowing dates and potassium application on yield and related traits of 207 canola.

Treatments	Plant height (cm)		Number of		Number of branches per pods per Plant		Pod length (cm)		Number of seeds per		1000-seed Seed yield (g) weight (g)			
			plant 2019-						pod				2019-2020-2019-2020-2019-2020-2019-2020-	
	20	$\overline{2019}$ -2020-21	20	2020-21	20	$\overline{2019}$ - 2020-21	20	21	20	21	20	21	20	21
						Sowing dates (D)								
15th October (D_1)	197A	170 A	6	6 A	374 A	238 A	6.80	7.43 A	17	23 A	4.14 A	4.32 A	A	$\overline{1170}$ 2606 A
1st November (D ₂)	179 B	163 B	5	5 B	319 B	171 B	6.60	6.22 B	17	18 B	3.58 B	3.76 B	723 B	2232 B
HSD	10.79	4.19	\equiv	0.16	10.79	17.14	\blacksquare	0.34	\equiv	1.26	1.06			1.24 43.56 32.66
						Treatments (T)								
Control (T_1)	182	165	5	5	254 D	175 \mathcal{C}	6.43 B	6.54 B	15 $\mathbf C$	13 $\mathbf C$	3.04 \mathcal{C}	3.22 \mathcal{C}	849 D	2037 D
50 kg K ha ⁻¹ (T ₂)	193	165	5	5		334 C 198 BC	6.63 B	6.68 AB	17 B	21 $\, {\bf B}$	3.90 \overline{B}	4.08 \bf{B}	890 \overline{C}	2278 C
0.25% K+50 kg K ha ⁻¹ (T_3)	190	168	5	5		379 B 209 AB	6.58 B	6.99 A	17 B	23 A	4.29 A	4.38 A	951 B	2601 B
0.50% K+50 kg K ha ⁻¹ (T_4)	190	168	5	6	419 A	235 A	7.17 A	7.07 A	19 A	25 A	4.20 A	4.47 A	A	$\overline{109}4$ 2760 A
HSD		\overline{a}	÷,	\overline{a}	26.86	31.37	0.52	0.44	1.52	1.78				0.18 0.18 41.23 87.11
						$D \times T$								
D_1T_1	167	170	5	6	234 d	219 ab		6.56 7.17	14	15		3.35 3.53	1156 bc	2280 de
D_1T_2	188	169	6	5	339 c	237 \rm{a}		6.63 7.32	18	24		4.12 4.30	1097 $\mathbf c$	2413 cd
D_1T_3	181	171	6	6	436 b	243 \rm{a}		6.60 7.60	18	27		4.59 4.77	1186 ab	2752 b
D_1T_4	180	171	6	6	487 a	253 a		7.43 7.61	20	26				4.49 4.67 1240a 2980 a
D_2T_1	196	160	5	5	273 d	132 \mathbf{C}		6.30 5.91	16	12		2.72 2.90	543 \mathbf{f}	1794 f

Fig. 6: Economic analysis of two sowing dates and foliar spray treatments of canola.

220 **DISCUSSION**

221 The findings of study demonstrated that K-foliar sprays resulted in improved physiological 222 response and yield of canola plants which are likely to face high temperature at flowering under

219

283 **CONCLUSIONS**

284 This study has demonstrated that the foliar application of K triggers antioxidant activity within 285 plants, as evidenced by increased production of SOD, POD, CAT, and TSS. This timely activation

- 286 of the antioxidant defense system, brought about by K-foliar spraying, led to a significant decrease
- 287 in MDA levels, reduced cell injury, and the maintenance of optimal photosynthesis rates, stomatal
- conductance, and chlorophyll a/b contents. Furthermore, when a combination of 50 kg K ha⁻¹
- applied at sowing and foliar spraying with 0.50% K at both pre- and post-flowering stages was
- employed, higher crop yield and improved benefit-cost ratios were obtained. Based on these
- 291 findings, it is recommended to apply 50 kg K ha⁻¹ at sowing and a foliar spray of 0.50% K at the
- pre and post-flowering stages to canola crop sown late in the season to achieve optimal and
- economical yield levels.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- This research was not funded by any public, commercial, or non-profit organizations.
-

REFERENCES

- Annisa, Chen, S., Turner, N. C., Cowling, W. A. 2013. Genetic Variation for Heat Tolerance During the Reproductive Phase in *Brassica rapa*. *J Agron Crop Sci*, **199:** 424–435.
- Anschütz, U., Becker, D., Shabala, S. 2014. Going Beyond Nutrition: Regulation of Potassium
- Homeostasis as a Common Denominator of Plant Adaptive Responses to the Environment. *J Plant*

Physiol, **171(9):** 670–687.

- Aslam, B., Khurshid, M., Arshad, M. I., Muzammil, S., Rasool, M., Yasmeen, N., Shah, T.,
- Chaudhry, T. H., Rasool, M. H., Shahid, A., Xueshan, X., Baloch, Z. 2021. Antibiotic Resistance:
- One Health One World Outlook. *Front Cellular Infection Microbiol*, **11:** 771510.
- Byerlee, D. 1988. From Agronomic Data to Farmer's Recommendation, An Economics Training Manual, CIMMYT, Mexico, PP. 31–33.
- Chen, J., Pan, A., He, S., Pin, S., Xiaoling, Y., Shengwei, Z., Zhi, L. 2020. Different microRNA families involved in regulating high temperature stress response during cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L) anther development. *Int J Mol Sci*, **21**: 1280.
- Chen, S., Stefanova, K., Siddique, K. H. M., Cowling, W. A. 2021. Transient Daily Heat Stress during the Early Reproductive Phase Disrupts Pod and Seed Development in *Brassica napus* L. *Food Energy Security*, **10:** 262.
- Dien, D. C., Mochizuki, T., Yamakawa, T. 2019. Effect of various drought stresses and subsequent recovery on proline, total soluble sugar and starch metabolisms in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties. *Plant Prod Sci*, **22(4)**: 530–545.
- Dubois, M., Gilles, K. A., Hamilton, J. K., Rebers, P. A., Smith, F. 1956. Colorimetric Method
- for Determination of Sugars and Related Substances. *Analy Chem*, **28(3):** 350–356
- Fang, S., Yang, H., Wei, G., Shen, T., Wan, Z., Wang, M., Wang, Z., Wu, Z. 2022. Potassium
- application enhances drought tolerance in sesame by mitigating oxidative damage and regulating
- osmotic adjustment. *Front Plant Sci*, **13(2022)**.
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Nahar, K., Alam, M. M., Roychowdhury, R., Fujita, M. 2013. Physiological,
- biochemical, and molecular mechanisms of heat stress tolerance in plants. *Int J Mol Sci*, **14**: 9643– 9684.
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Bhuyan, M. H. M. B., Nahar, K., Hossain, M.S., Mahmud, J. A., Hossen, M.
- S., Masud, A. A. C., Moumita, Fujita, M. 2018. Potassium: A Vital Regulator of Plant Responses
- and Tolerance to Abiotic Stresses. *Agronomy*, **8(3):** 31.
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Borhannuddin Bhuyan, M. H. M., Zulfiqar, F., Raza, A., Mohsin, S. M.,
- Mahmud, J. A., Fujita, M., Fotopoulos, V. 2020. Reactive Oxygen Species and Antioxidant
- Defense in Plants under Abiotic Stress: Revisiting the Crucial Role of a Universal Defense Regulator. *Antioxidants*, **9(8):** 681.
- Houmani, H., Debez, A., Freitas-Silva, Ld, Abdelly, C., Palma, J. M., Corpas, F. J. 2022 334 Potassium (K^+) starvation-induced oxidative stress triggers a general boost of antioxidant and nadph-generating systems in the halophyte *Cakile maritima*. Antioxidants, **11(3)**: 401.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2018. The Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C.
- Jan, A. U., Hadi, F., Akbar, F., Yu, Q. 2019. Role of Potassium, Zinc, and Gibberellic Acid in Increasing Drought Stress Tolerance in Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Pak J Bot,* **51:** 809– 815.
- Kaushal, M., Wani, S. P. 2016. Plant-Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria: Drought Stress Alleviators to Ameliorate Crop Production in Drylands. *Annals Microbiol,* **66**: 35–42.
- Kawakami, A., Sato, Y. Yoshida, M. 2008. Genetic engineering of rice capable of synthesizing fructans and enhancing chilling tolerance. *J Exp Bot*, **59**: 793–802.
- Keunen, E., Peshev, D., VanGronsveld, J., Van den Ende, W., Cuypers, A. 2013. Plant sugars are crucial players in the oxidative challenge during abiotic stress: extending the traditional concept. *Environ Exp Bot*, **36(7)**: 1242–1255.
- Kirkegaard, J. A., Lilley, J. M., Bril, R. D., Sprague, S. J., Fettell, N. A., Pengilley, G. C. 2017.
- Re-Evaluating Sowing Time of Spring Canola (*Brassica napus* L.) in South-Eastern Australia—
- How Early is Too Early? *Crop Pasture Sci,* **67:** 381–396.
- Li, J., Li, Z., Li, X., Tang, X., Liu, H., Li, J., Song, Y. 2023. Effects of spraying KH2PO⁴ on flag
- leaf physiological characteristics and grain yield and quality under heat stress during the filling
- period in winter wheat. *Plants*, **12**: 1801.
- Lu, Z., Xie, K., Pan, Y., Ren, T., Lu, J., Wang, M., Shen, Q., Guo, S. 2019. Potassium mediates
- coordination of leaf photosynthesis and hydraulic conductance by modifications of leaf anatomy.
- *Plant Cell Environ*, **42(7)**: 2231–2244.
- Lutts, S., Kinet, J. M., Bouharmont, J. 1999. Salinity Tolerance in Plants: What are the keys? In:
- "*Responses of Plants to Abiotic Stresses: Cellular and Molecular Biology*", (Eds.): Kinet, J. M.,
- Lutts, S. CRC Press, PP. 21-46.
- Murchie, E. H., Lawson, T. 2013. Chlorophyll Fluorescence Analysis: a Guide to Good Practice
- and Understanding some New Applications. *J Exp Bot,* **64(13):** 3983–3998.
- Mostafa, S., Tengyue, H., Khalid, J., Yu, Q., Muhammad, N. 2022. Role of Eco-Friendly Products
- in the Revival of Developing Countries' Economies and Achieving a Sustainable Green Economy.
- *Front Environ Sci,* **10:** 2553–2562.
- Nesar, A., Atal, H., Meenakshi, A., Rakesh, A., Ranjeet, B., Rahmat, G., Hritik, S. 2022. Terminal
- Heat Stress and its Mitigation Options Through Agronomic Interventions in Wheat Crop: a Review.
- *Inter J Environ Climate Change,* **12(11):** 131–139.
- Ogawa, K., Hirata, T., Ohashi, H., Ono, T. 1985. A New Method for the Determination of Peroxidase Activity by the Oxidation of Guaiacol. *Analy Biochem,* **149(2):** 198–206.
- Oosterhuis, D. M., Loka, D. A., Kawakami, E. M., Pettigrew, W. T. 2014. The physiology of potassium in crop production. In: "*Advances in Agronomy*", (Ed.): Sparks, D. Academic Press,
-
- 126 PP. 203-233. Rawat, J., Pandey, N., Saxena, J. 2022. Role of potassium in plant photosynthesis, transport,
	- growth and yield. In: "*Role of Potassium in Abiotic Stress*", (Eds.): Iqbal, N., Umar, S. Springer, Singapore.
	- Sáez, G. T., Están-Capell, N. 2014. Antioxidant enzymes. In: "*Encyclopedia of Cancer*", (Ed.): Schwab, M. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Sarwar, M, Saleem, M. F., Maqsood, H., Ullah, N., Khan, A., Waqas, M., Sattar, N., Tasneem,
- M., Xu, X., Zhangli, H. Shuang, Y. 2022. Strengthening Leaf Physiological Functioning and Grain
- Yield Formation in Heat-Stressed Wheat Through Potassium Application. *Front Plant Sci,* **13:**1–
- 16.
- Shabala, S. 2003. Regulation of potassium transport in leaves: from molecular to tissue level. *Ann Bot*, **92(5)**: 627–34.
- Shabala, S. 2017. Signalling by Potassium: Another Second Messenger to Add to the list? *J Exp Bot,* **68:** 4003–4007.
- Siddiqui, M. H., Mukherjee, S., Kumar, R., Alansi, S., Shah, A. A., Kalaji, H. M., Javed, T., Raza,
- A. 2021. Potassium and Melatonin-Mediated Regulation of Fructose-1,6-Bisphosphatase (FBPase)

and Sedoheptulose-1,7-Bisphosphatase (SBPase) Activity Improve Photosynthetic Efficiency,

- Carbon Assimilation and Modulate Glyoxalase System Accompanying Tolerance to Cadmium
- Stress in Tomato Seedlings. *Plant Physiol Biochem,* **171:** 49–65.
- Sinha, A. K. 1972. Colorimetric Assay of Catalase. *Analy Biochem,* **47(2)***:* 389–394
- Tavakol, E., Jákli, B., Cakmak, I., Dittert, K., Senbayram, M. 2022. Optimization of potassium supply under osmotic stress mitigates oxidative damage in barley. *Plants*, **11(1)**: 55.
- Tränkner, M., Tavakol, E., Jákli, B. 2018. Functioning of potassium and magnesium in photosynthesis, photosynthate translocation and photoprotection. *Physiol Plant*, **163**: 414–431.
- Valluru, R. Van den Ende, W. 2008. Plant fructans in stress environments: emerging concepts and future prospects. *J Exp Bot*, **59**: 2905–2916.
- Velikova, V., Yordanov, I., Edreva, A. 2000. Oxidative Stress and Some Antioxidant Systems in Acid Rain-Treated Bean Plants: Protective Role of Exogenous Polyamines. *Plant Sci,* **151(1):** 59– 66
- Weng, X. Y., Zheng, C. J., Xu, H. X., Sun, J. Y. 2007. Characteristics of photosynthesis and functions of the water-water cycle in rice (*Oryza sativa*) leaves in response to potassium deficiency. *Physiol Plant*, **131**: 614–621.
- Winterbourun, C. C., Hawkins, R. E., Brian, M., Carrell, R. W., Lunec, J. 1993. The Estimation of Red Cell Superoxide Dismutase Activity. *J Clinical Pathol,* **46(6):** 563–566.
- Xu, X., Sharma, P., Shu, S. 2021. Global Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Animal-Based Foods are Twice Those of Plant-Based Foods. *Nat Food,* **2:** 724–732.
- Yousaf, M., Amir A., Jahangir, M., Naseeb, T. 2002. Effect of Different Sowing Dates on the
- Growth and Yield of Canola (Sarson) Varieties. *Asian J Plant Sci,* **1:** 634–635.
- Zaman, B. U., Ali, A., Arshadullah, M. 2019. Growth Response of Sunflower to Potassium
- Sulphate Application In Saline-Sodic Soil. *Asian J Res Agric For,* **1:** 1–7.
- Zamani, S., Naderi, M. R., Soleymani, A. 2020. Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) Biochemical
- Properties and Seed Components Affected by Potassium Fertilization under Drought Conditions.
- *Ecotox Environ Saf,* **190:** 11–17.
- Zhao, D., Oosterhuis, D. M., Bednarz, C. W. 2001. Influence of Potassium Deficiency on
- Photosynthesis, Chlorophyll Content, and Chloroplast Ultrastructure of cotton plants.
- *Photosynthetica,* **39:** 103–109.
-