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ABSTRACT

Environmental variables, including soil water content (SWC), act as constraints on crop growth and productivity. Therefore, open air (E0), perforated (E1) and non-perforated (E2) plastic housings were used with well-watered (W0), moderately-watered (W1) and water-stressed (W2) bush bean plants to explore the relationships between water-use efficiency (WUE), carbon isotope discrimination (Δ) and isotopic composition (δp), leaf assimilation rate (A) and leaf Kjeldahl nitrogen (N) under diverse environments. The CO2 concentration and air carbon isotopic composition (δa) varied with the environment. The δa values were reduced by about 0.8 x 10^-3 and 3.8 x 10^-3 in E1 and E2, respectively, compared with that in E0. SWC significantly affected WUE, Δ and δp in both E0 and E1 but not in E2. The decoupling of plants from the outside atmosphere might have contributed in maintaining the above quantities almost constant in E2. The Δ-value increased by about 2.2 x 10^-3 in E0 and 1.7 x 10^-3 in E1 compared with E2. Water stress reduced the Δ-value by about 1.1 x 10^-3 in both E0 and E1. WUE and Δ were significantly correlated in E0 and E1 (r = -0.72, and -0.75, respectively) whereas there was no definite relationship between WUE and Δ in E2 indicating that stomatal conductance was almost independent of SWC. The N-content had little effect on Δ. Leaf N significantly increased in water-stressed plants depending upon the time of harvest and the environment. The mean leaf assimilation rate was significantly higher in E0 than in either E1 or E2.
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INTRODUCTION

Two stable isotopes of carbon occur naturally in the atmosphere as 12CO2 and 13CO2 with their respective quantities of 98.9% and 1.1% (Fritz and Fouther, 1980; Farquhar, et al., 1989; Yeh and Wang, 2001). Although the isotope effect due to mass difference is usually a nuisance in radiotracer methodology, the same effect can be turned around and used as a tool especially in studying chemical reactions that proceed in tandem. Isotope effects occur in plant tissues due to differences in the diffusivities of 13CO2 and 12CO2 in the ambient air (Farquhar et al., 1989) and also in biochemical reactions involved in photosynthesis (Melander and Saunders, 1979; O’Leary et al., 1981; Farquhar et al., 1989; Yeh and Wang, 2001). Since crops encounter different environmental conditions during their growth, the 13C to 12C ratio varies significantly in the tissues of C3 plants (Farquhar et al., 1982; Yeh and Wang, 2001). This variation in the ratio of isotopes can be used to evaluate the effects of genetic and environmental factors.
on the yield performance of cultivars. Any environmental factor that affects stomatal conductance and enzymatic activity may result in changes in water-use efficiency (WUE) and $^{13}$C discrimination ($\Delta$) (Farquhar et al., 1982) as defined in Eq. (2).

The theory of isotope effect has been established through a linear relationship between $\Delta$ and the ratio $C_i/C_a$ of the internal CO$_2$ concentration in the plant tissue, $C_i$, to that of the ambient air, $C_a$ (Farquhar and Richards, 1984). Ambient CO$_2$ concentration ($C_a$) is almost constant in a wide range of environmental conditions, while internal CO$_2$ concentration ($C_i$) can vary as a photosynthetic response to environmental variables. Eq. (1) describes the relationship between the WUE$_i$ of a single leaf defined as the ratio of the instantaneous photosynthetic and transpiration rates (mol CO$_2$/mol H$_2$O) and $C_i/C_a$ (Farquhar et al., 1989),

$$WUE_i = \frac{C_i(1-C_i/C_a)}{1.6v}$$

where, $v$= water vapor pressure difference between the intercellular spaces and the ambient air. The factor 1.6 is the ratio of the diffusivity of water vapor to that of CO$_2$ in the air. A negative correlation was found between $\Delta$ and both the long-term transpiration efficiency, WUE$_o$, defined as the total dry matter per kg of water transpired (Farquhar and Richards, 1984; Ehdaie et al., 1991; Ismail and Hall, 1992; Raeini-Sarjaz et al., 1998; Edbon et al., 1998) and WUE$_i$ (Wright et al., 1988 and 1994) for several crops. For legumes, Meinzer et al. (1990) reported simultaneous reductions in stomatal conductance and in $\Delta$ with increased WUE$_i$ for water-stressed cowpea. Ehleringer et al. (1991) found a high correlation between $C_i/C_a$ and $\Delta$ for common bean. Wright et al. (1994) demonstrated a significant effect of various water regimes on $\Delta$ for peanut. Ehdaie et al. (1991) obtained higher $\Delta$-values for greenhouse-grown wheat than those grown in the field. Hall et al. (1990) observed an association between gas exchange and $\Delta$ when the roots of the same cowpea genotypes were subjected to varying environments. Rao and Wright (1994) showed a significant effect of location and water regime on $\Delta$ for cowpea. Johnson et al. (1995) observed a significant correlation between $\Delta$ and WUE$_o$ for lentil. Hubick (1990) reported that low-N peanut plants accumulated less dry matter and used less water than the high-N plants.

Water use efficiency can be increased if the major factors that influence water loss are evaluated. For example, the rate of transpiration from a canopy is mainly a function of stomatal and boundary layer conductances, water vapor pressure deficit (VPD), net radiation, wind speed, and temperature. The effect of each of these factors may depend on the canopy structure and the surrounding growing environment. The canopy boundary layer conductance may have a crucial impact on the relation between water use and isotope discrimination of plants inside plastic housing. In the open air, wind increases canopy conductance and enhances mass and heat transfer, while in enclosed environments, the boundary layer conductance is relatively small, which lowers the heat and mass transfer processes (Jarvis and McNaughton, 1986; Jones, 1992). The open air canopy is well-coupled to the atmosphere and transpiration is mostly controlled by stomatal conductance, while inside a plastic housing the canopy is decoupled from the outside air and energy input becomes the governing factor for transpiration (Jones, 1992).

The CO$_2$ concentrations of a modified atmosphere and under enclosed environments, such as plastic tunnels and greenhouses, may be higher than that of open air (Yeh and Wang, 2001). Long-term elevated CO$_2$ concentrations will lead to a stomatal conductance reduction (Jones and Jongen, 1996; Pospisilova and Catsky, 1999), and therefore, will tend to reduce leaf transpiration rate and increase WUE (Jones and Jongen, 1996; Saralabai et al., 1997). Hence, the increase of water use efficiency might be the positive effect of environmental elevated CO$_2$ (Pospisilova and Catsky, 1999). Although bulk air $\delta_8$ value is around $-8 \times 10^{-3}$ (Freidli et al., 1986; Goodman and Francey,
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... but elevated CO₂ concentrations under enclosed environments, due to C₃ organic matter decomposition, may reduce ¹³CO₂ enrichment (Clark and Fritz, 1997), therefore it affects air source CO₂ composition.

Although literature on ¹³C discrimination is extensive in plant breeding, plant physiology, eco-physiology and other fields (Ehleringer et al., 1993), the interaction of crop growth and soil moisture on Δ needs to be examined for diverse environments so that a suitable growing environment can be determined for a particular crop. The physical environment can be modified to create a favorable microclimate for optimum plant growth. For example, plastic culture is being increasingly used, especially in temperate climates, to promote early-season vegetable production and to reduce the detrimental effects of low air and soil temperatures. Plant physiological responses, especially long-term stomatal conductance, to these artificial microclimates are not well known.

¹³C discrimination, as a long term indicator of C₃/C₄, stomatal conductance, and a probe of long-term WUE (Farquhar et al., 1989; Condon et al., 1990; Hubick, 1990; Brugnoli and Farquhar, 2000) may provide a tool to assess responses of plants to changes in the growing environments. Thus, the objectives of this study were to examine whether decoupling plants from the outside atmosphere (closed plastic housings), or providing facilities for re-coupling through holes (perforated plastic enclosures) in combination with soil moisture availability may influence the WUE, leaf N, and Δ of the bush bean.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Well-watered (W₀), moderately-watered (W₁), and water-stressed (W₂) plants were used in combination with the experimental environments of the open air (E₀), a plastic housing perforated uniformly with 400 holes m⁻² each of 0.5 cm diameter (E₁), and a closed plastic cover (E₂). The housings were made of clear polyethylene plastic sheets, which were transformed into tunnels of 1 m in width, 10 m in length, and 0.8 m in height. The plastic sheets were 87% transparent (Raeini-Sarjaz and Barthakur, 1997) to photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD). Bush bean seeds (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. cv. Provider) were germinated in the greenhouse as previously described (Raeini-Sarjaz and Barthakur, 1997). The one-week old seedlings were transferred from the greenhouse to the sites E₀, E₁, and E₂ when the ambient temperature was not harmful for the open air plants, on May 15, Macdonald Campus, Experimental Farm, McGill University (45°25' 45” N and 73°56'00”W). All plants were initially watered to 100% field capacity (FC). The soil water content (SWC) of W₀ plants was kept at 100% FC, while for W₁ and W₂ plants water was supplied to 100% FC only when SWC reached 50% and 30% FC, respectively. Two control pots with an identical amount of soil but without plants were watered and weighed similarly to monitor non-plant evaporative losses. The transpiration rate was calculated from the difference between water added and lost.

Water was replenished every day and the loss was measured with a balance. CO₂ concentrations were measured instantaneously at each site using a photosynthesis system (LI-6200, LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA). During growth and development, plants were fertilized uniformly each week with 20-20-20 NPK. To reduce leaf sunburn during sunny and hot days, the tunnel ends were opened at noon for three hours of ventilation. At mid-June with the increasing air temperature during the pre-flowering stage (Day 35), the plastic covers were removed. Shelter was provided whenever there was rain or a risk of rain. In order to evaluate the effects of crop growth conditions on yield and WUE, half of the plants were harvested after the removal of the plastic cover (HT₁= 35 d), and the rest were kept in ambient air until pod harvest time (HT₂= 50 d).

For each harvest, five pots from each treatment were selected randomly, and the plant parts were put into paper bags. The
contents were dried in an oven at 60°C for 72 h to determine the total dry matter (TDM) with an electronic balance of ± 0.001 g precision, and WUE t was calculated. Leaf N at both harvest times was measured on 0.2 ± 0.005 g of subsamples of ground leaf tissue using Automated Ion Analyzer (Lachat Instruments, model Quikchem AE, USA).

To evaluate the effect of previous soil moisture conditions on leaf gas exchange (LGE) in each experiment, plants were transferred to the greenhouse before measurements. The LGE measurements were made using a steady-state LI-6200 photosynthesis system (LI-COR, Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA). The measurements were made on newly developed trifoliate leaves of recently watered (100% FC) plants under going all water regime treatments between 11:00 and 14:00 local time on bright sunny days. The mean air temperature (37°C) and relative humidity (56%) were constant during the LGE measurements.

Carbon Isotope Discrimination

Trifoliate leaves at harvest times HT1 and HT2 were dried at 60°C for 72 h and then ground to a fine powder using a Wiley mill. From each sample, 4 to 5 mg subsamples of leaf tissues were combusted under vacuum using Vycor tubes containing silver wire and cupric oxide. Combustion took place at 820°C for 5 h to release CO₂. Combusted samples were left at room temperature for 12 h prior to the liquid N cryogenic purification of CO₂. Eq. (2) was used to calculate carbon isotope discrimination (Δ) from the measurements of δp = \left( \frac{R_p}{R_s} \right) - 1, where \( R_p \) is the \(^{13}\)C/\(^{12}\)C ratio in the plant and \( R_s \) that of Pee Dee Belemnite (PDB) standard (Ehleringer and Osmund, 1989).

\[
\Delta = \frac{\delta_a - \delta_p}{1 + \delta_a} \tag{2}
\]

where, \( \delta_a \) and \( \delta_p \) are isotopic composition or enrichment of air and plant samples, respectively.

To measure carbon isotopic composition of the air, \( \delta_a \), at each site, air was collected in special aluminum bags (Mil-B-131H, Ludlow Corp.) and air CO₂ was immediately purified cryogenically. The isotopic composition measurements for duplicated plant samples were made by an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (VG T50 GAS 903D Device, Middlewich, UK). The mean of the duplicated samples of \( \delta_a \) is reported along with \( \Delta \).

Statistical Analysis

Each of the three experiments of E₀, E₁, and E₂ was conducted on a 10-replicate completely random design model. Variances of the experiments were found to be homogeneous using Bartlett’s test. A combined analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed for the entire data of three experiments using SAS software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, 1990), where sources of variations were environment (E), soil water content (SWC), harvest time (HT), and their interactions. An ANOVA was performed on the data from each experiment separately, where SWC and HT were the sources of variation. For LGE analysis, leaf temperature and PPFD were employed as covariates in the model. Student-Neuman-Keuls’ post hoc tests were used to identify significant effects of treatments. For determining correlations, the Pearson correlation procedure was employed, and an unpaired Cochran’s t-test was used to compare harvest time results within each experiment.

RESULTS

The mean CO₂ concentrations were 453, 732 and 1478 μmol mol\(^{-1}\) within E₀, E₁ and E₂ environments, respectively. The measured \( \delta_a \) values were: -8 × 10⁻³ in E₀, -8.8 × 10⁻³ in E₁, and -11.8 × 10⁻³ in E₂. The mean daytime air temperature and relative humidity of the three sites, E₀, E₁ and E₂, during the last two weeks of May were 24.6, 32.4, 34.5°C and 65, 75, 95%, respectively.

The result of the combined ANOVA stas-
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Metrics showed that environmental diversity had significant (p<0.001) effects on N, $\Delta$, $\delta$, WUEt, and the assimilation rate (A). Therefore, each of the environmental data was analyzed separately by ANOVA. Leaf N of W2 plants were significantly higher than those of W0 in E0 at HT1; and in E2 environment regardless of harvesting times (Table 1). The previous SWC history had little effect on $A$, and there was no significant interaction between SWC and environment, and so the pooled data of $A$ for different SWC were run to test the effect of various environments. E0 significantly increased the assimilation rate compared with E1 and E2. The mean $A$-values increased in the order of $E_0>E_1>E_2$ environments (Table 1). A definite relationship did not emerge between N and $\Delta$ except showing a correlation at the HT1 harvest in E0 ($r = -0.81; p<0.01$).

There were significant differences in both $^{13}$C enrichment ($\delta$) and $^{13}$C discrimination ($\Delta$) values at both HT1 and HT2 in E0 and E1 for different water regimes, while such differences almost disappeared in E2. WUEt generally increased with reduction of soil water contents in both E0 and E1 at both HT1 and HT2 stages, while WUEt remained almost constant along different SWC in E2 (Table 1). WUEt and $\Delta$ relationships were linear and significant in both E0 ($r = -0.76, p<0.02$) and E1 environments ($r = -0.75, p<0.02$), while no significant relationship was found ($r = 0.18, p>0.6$) with E2 (Figure 1).

Table 1. Mean leaf N (µg/mg), $\Delta$ (x 10$^{-3}$), $\delta$ (x 10$^{-3}$), WUEt (g DM/ kg H$_2$O) and leaf assimilation rate, A (µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) with harvest time (HT), environment (E) and soil water content (SWC) for bush bean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>HT</th>
<th>SWC</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>$\Delta$</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>WUEt</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>W0</td>
<td>36.44b</td>
<td>19.55a</td>
<td>-27.03b</td>
<td>5.99b</td>
<td>15.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W1</td>
<td>37.93b</td>
<td>19.29a</td>
<td>-26.78b</td>
<td>6.09b</td>
<td>16.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2</td>
<td>48.05a</td>
<td>18.42b</td>
<td>-25.94a</td>
<td>6.65a</td>
<td>16.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40.81</td>
<td>19.08</td>
<td>-26.57b</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>16.15x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>W0</td>
<td>33.20</td>
<td>19.01a</td>
<td>-27.29b</td>
<td>5.68b</td>
<td>14.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W1</td>
<td>32.21</td>
<td>18.95a</td>
<td>-27.24b</td>
<td>6.52b</td>
<td>12.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2</td>
<td>34.55</td>
<td>17.99b</td>
<td>-26.32a</td>
<td>6.98b</td>
<td>15.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>18.65</td>
<td>-26.95b</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>14.21y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>W0</td>
<td>30.80b</td>
<td>17.13</td>
<td>-28.51b</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>12.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W1</td>
<td>34.61b</td>
<td>16.90</td>
<td>-28.28b</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>15.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2</td>
<td>41.76a</td>
<td>16.69</td>
<td>-28.09b</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>13.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35.72</td>
<td>16.91</td>
<td>-28.29b</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>13.85y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>W0</td>
<td>50.53</td>
<td>19.56a</td>
<td>-27.03b</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W1</td>
<td>55.58</td>
<td>18.59b</td>
<td>-26.09a</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2</td>
<td>52.33</td>
<td>18.58b</td>
<td>-26.11a</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52.81</td>
<td>18.91</td>
<td>-26.41b</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>W0</td>
<td>48.38</td>
<td>20.34a</td>
<td>-27.78b</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W1</td>
<td>46.52</td>
<td>20.01ab</td>
<td>-27.47ab</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2</td>
<td>43.78</td>
<td>19.42b</td>
<td>-26.90a</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46.22</td>
<td>19.92</td>
<td>-27.38b</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>W0</td>
<td>43.85b</td>
<td>19.95</td>
<td>-27.41b</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W1</td>
<td>50.59a</td>
<td>19.61</td>
<td>-27.04b</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W2</td>
<td>53.85a</td>
<td>19.57</td>
<td>-27.09b</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49.43</td>
<td>19.71</td>
<td>-27.18</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters of a and b show significant differences across different SWC (p<0.05), while x and y show significant differences between different environments.
The t-test showed significant differences ($p \leq 0.04$) in $\Delta$-values between HT1 and HT2 for E1 and E2, but not for E0. The $\delta_p$ values showed significant differences along soil water contents in both E0 and E1, decreasing with an increase in SWC (Table 1).
DISCUSSION

Although WUE$_t$ enhancements for water-stressed bean plants in E$_0$ and E$_1$ environments may seem to be unlikely at first glance, yet several authors (Nobel, 1991; Ismail and Hall, 1992; Raeini-Sarjaz and Barthakur, 1997; Raeini-Sarjaz et al., 1998) have concurred with the present finding. An explanation for this apparent anomaly can be found in decreased biomass production and a drastic reduction in the rate of transpiration for the plants growing in these environments. The plants were decoupled from the outside atmosphere and a high relative humidity and temperature prevailed in E$_2$ (Raeini-Sarjaz and Barthakur, 1997), which might have prevented them from obtaining a similar enhancement of WUE$_t$ in E$_2$. For example, the mean daytime air temperature and relative humidity during the last two weeks of May were 24.6, 32.4, 34.5°C and 65, 78, 95% in E$_0$, E$_1$ and E$_2$ environments, respectively.

The less negative δ or lower Δ value in plant tissue corresponds to the lesser discrimination against $^{13}$C and is an indicator of environmental stresses imposed on stomatal conductance. To compare these values, the source (air) CO$_2$ should have the same enrichment in $^{13}$C, δ$_a$. The less negative mean δ values in E$_0$ and E$_1$ environments (-26.57×10$^{-3}$ and -26.95×10$^{-3}$, respectively) compared with that of E$_2$ environment (-28.29×10$^{-3}$) can be speculated to be the result of a greater stress condition imposed on E$_0$ and E$_1$ plants, while the lower Δ values on plants of the E$_2$ environment compared with those of other environments contradicts the δ values. The Δ values increased in E$_0$ and E$_1$ environments by about 2.2×10$^{-3}$ and 1.7×10$^{-3}$, respectively, compared with E$_2$ at HT1. As Δ values were adjusted against the source isotopic composition, therefore, the reduction in Δ values of E$_2$ must be due to other environmental stresses imposed on stomatal behavior other than water stress alone. Ehdaie et al. (1991) reported higher Δ-values for greenhouse-grown plants at higher humidity than those of field-grown crops in less humid air. Our results were not in agreement with the above finding perhaps due to the variation in δ$_a$ values inside the growing environments. In microclimates, source CO$_2$ enrichment in $^{13}$C might be different from ambient air, which mostly is influenced by soil respiration and spatial variation in δ$_a$ (Jones, 1992; Clark and Fritz, 1997). The above authors assumed the δ$_a$ value to remain constant in both open air and in the greenhouse. If we make the same assumption, our findings agree with their data. The validity of this assumption needs to be tested in the future. Within each growth environment, water stress reduced the Δ value by about 1.1×10$^{-3}$, except in E$_2$, where Δ changes indicated minimal. The reduction in Δ values due to water stress in our experiments (E$_0$ and E$_1$) agreed fairly well with the results of previous authors on other plant species (Meinzer et al., 1990; Ismail and Hall, 1992; Wright et al., 1994). Thus, stomatal conductance and carbon isotope discrimination decreased with water stress in a similar fashion, except when plants were not coupled with the ambient air, as was the case for E$_2$ environment. Well-watered plants growing in an atmosphere of high relative humidity had a high stomatal conductance (Comstock and Ehleringer, 1993). The essentially constant value of Δ in E$_2$ environment across different soil water contents might be attributed to the imposition of a lower restriction expected for stomatal conductance and enzymatical activity of water-stressed plants compared with those of W$_0$ plants. Soil water depletion is expected to be less for plants in an environment of higher relative humidity. Photosynthesizing plants in enclosures are exposed to low-velocity air movements. This might contribute to a depletion of air CO$_2$ due to photosynthesis and also release of CO$_2$ from the decaying organic matters in the soil. The elevated CO$_2$ concentrations observed in the E$_1$ and E$_2$ environments indicates the latter process to be dominant. The δ$_a$ values varied with the growing environment, which was due to decomposing organic matters of C$_3$
Photosynthesizing C₃ plants discriminate against ¹³CO₂ which increases δᵦ in the canopy. However, CO₂ originated from decaying C₃ plant organic matters is not enriched in ¹³CO₂ (Farquhar et al., 1989) and will reduce δᵦ. Our measurements of lower δᵦ values inside the enclosures compared with the open air indicated the contribution of CO₂ from decaying organic matters. The intermediate δᵦ value in the perforated housing showed that air movement through the holes facilitated the exchange between the enriched ¹³CO₂ of the open air with the low enriched ¹³CO₂ inside the enclosure.

Harvest time had a significant effect on mean Δ values. After harvesting, HT1 plants of all environments were kept in the open air, which experienced increasingly higher air temperatures as the summer season approached, while the relative humidity was reduced. The increased Δ values in E₁ and E₂ environments at HT2 could result from a higher availability of ¹³CO₂ in the ambient air (δ₁ ≈ -8×10⁻³) compared with previous condition under plastic covers (δ₁< -8 ×10⁻³) and also the coupling of stomatal movement with open air conditions. The negative relationships and significant correlations between WUEᵢ and Δ in E₀ and E₁ environments of our experiment agreed not only with the theory of the isotope effect but also with the experimental works of previous authors (Wright et al., 1994; Johnson et al., 1995; Raeini-Sarjaz et al., 1998).

Although the relationship between Δ and WUEᵢ in E₂ was not significant, the decoupling of plants and their surroundings from outside air (Jarvis and McNaughton, 1986; Jones, 1992) may have contributed to practically no change in WUEᵢ across soil water contents. Therefore, the poor mixing condition of E₂ might have reduced plant water use, resulting in an almost no variation in WUEᵢ and Δ. Under closed environments such as E₂, canopy transpiration is mainly controlled by energy input (radiation) rather than stomatal conductance (Jarvis and McNaughton, 1986; Slavich et al., 1998). The driving force for transpiration from stomatal cavity to boundary layer is the gradient of saturation vapor pressure deficit (VPD). In an isothermal condition under an enclosed environment, transpiration from a canopy increases the relative humidity toward saturation point, which in turn reduces the driving force of VPD gradient towards zero. Under such environments, energy input increases air temperature which, in turn, builds up a VPD gradient and therefore enhances transpiration. The overall leaf-to-air VPD in E₂ was lower than those of the other environments, and no air movement was registered inside E₂ (Raeini-Sarjaz and Barthakur, 1997). Therefore, the absence of an air mixing mechanism combined with a low leaf-to-air VPD in E₂ resulted in a relatively small leaf boundary layer conductance and relatively low transpiration. This is expected to reduce the driving force for mass transfer. The likelihood of any increase in WUE or a reduction of Δ from low soil water content might be due to the stomatal activity. Although decoupling of plants inside the E₂ caused little change in WUE in plants across different soil water contents, the modification of plastic housing through perforations (E₁) increased the WUE and reduced Δ of water-stressed plants. Mass and heat transfer were facilitated by the presence of holes, so that the plants were coupled actively with the outside environment. Linear regression analyses showed different slopes and y-intercepts for Δ versus WUEᵢ relationships for different environments. This indicated that each growth environment affected the WUEᵢ and Δ relationship somewhat differently. The absence of a relationship between Δ and WUEᵢ in E₂ indicated that stomatal conductance in the closed tunnel was almost independent of soil water contents.

Since all plants received the same amount of fertilizer, N content was expected to be dependent on the rate of growth. Plants with a low growth rate contained more leaf N. The results on Δ and N were in fair agreement with those reported by Ehleringer (1990). The higher average assimilation rates for plants in E₀ than those of E₁ and E₂ supported the findings of Comstock and
Ehleringer (1993) who reported that leaf N increases photosynthetic rates. The increased assimilation rates of $W_2$ compared with those of $W_0$ plants, although not significant, indicated the effect of leaf N on photosynthesis. In general, our data showed that perforated plastic tunnels ($E_1$) were superior in terms of soil plant water relations, water use, WUE and plant growth rate.

In conclusion, the present results showed that carbon isotope discrimination is a valuable tool in exploring the relationships of transpiration efficiency and growing environment in C$_3$ plants. This type of research is expected to be increasingly important in view of the expected environmental changes and their influence on plant growth.
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اثر خردادهای گوناگون و ظرفیت آبی خاک روی کارایی مصرف آب و فرق گازهای ایزوتوپی کربن در لولای سبز م. راتینی سرجاز و. جمالی

چکیده

متریالهای محیطی همچون گنجایش آب حاکم SWC(ض)$WUE singer$ (وزاپردازی آب) و ترکیب ایزوتوپی(Δ) ایزوتوپی (A) و گازهای فوسطنتری برگ گازهای فوسطنتری برگ در لولای سبز، آزمایش در سه خردادهای هوا باز (E1)، تولید متوسط خاک (E0) و تولید شیمیایی ونین آبی (W) همراه با تغییر آبیار خوش آبی (W0)، آبیاری متوسط (W1)، و نشش آبی (W2) انجام شد.

غلظت CO2 و ترکیب ایزوتوپی کربن هوا (δ13C) در محیط‌های ایزوتوپی متفاوت بود. مقدار δ13C در محیط متوسط و نشش آبی (W2) از مقدار δ13C در مقیاسه با هوا یا با تغییر آبیار خوش آبی (W0) 3 تا 10 برابر بود. در اثر گذاشتن و نشش آبی اثر مقدار مصرف آب و نشش نداشت. جداسازی (decoupling) گیاهان از هوای بیرون تولید همیگیاهی بسته ممکن است عامل ثابت مانند متغیرهای بالا در این محیط بوده باشد.

مقدار δ13C در محیط‌های باز و تولید همیگیاهی بسته در مقیاسه با تغییر متوسط کربن داره و تغییر کربن داره و تغییر فعالیت بافت (1/1) و در دو محیط باز و تغییر تولید خاک. در حالی که چنین رابطه‌ای در محیط بهشته‌اوت نشد. این مسئله از نگاه گواهی این اثبات که روانی و روانی از این محیط تقابل مستقل از مقدار آب خاک بوده است. مقدار از برگ اثر گذاشتن و نشش آبی (W2) نداشت. از برگ اثر گذاشتن اثر به زمان برداشت و محیط در گیاهان زیرتر راست آبی به طور معمول زیر آبی به‌صورت یافته می‌گذارد. میانگین به‌صورت فوسطنتری برگ در محیط باز در مقیاسه با دو محیط دیگر به طور معمول داشت و بیشتر بود.
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