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ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to reevaluate the digestible lysine requirement of Arian male broilers by comparing the performance of chicks fed different levels of cottonseed meal (CSM) on a total amino acid (AA) versus a digestible AA basis. Four hundred and thirty two (432) Arian male broiler chicks were allotted to 18 treatments with four replicates of six chicks each in a completely randomized block design in a factorial arrangement with two factors (two levels of digestible lysine × nine different diets). The first of the eighteen treatments was the corn and soybean meal control diet based on previously determined digestible lysine requirement for maximum body weight gain. Diets 2 to 5 contained 5, 10, 15 or 20% CSM, respectively and were formulated to contain levels of total AA equivalent to those in the first treatment. Diets 6 to 9 contained the same levels of CSM as diets 2 to 5 but the diets were formulated to be equal in digestible AA content to the first treatment. Treatments 10 to 18 were the same as treatments 1 to 9, but on the basis of determined digestible lysine requirement for maximum feed efficiency. Formulating diets containing CSM on a digestible AA basis resulted in an improvement in chick performance compared to those formulated on a total AA basis (P<0.01). The lessening of chick performance with diets formulated on a total AA basis compared to a digestible AA basis, indicated that the previously determined digestible lysine requirement for the Arian broiler strain may be correct.
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INTRODUCTION

The results of previous experiments by the authors indicated that the digestible lysine requirements of Arian male broilers to achieve maximum body weight gain and feed efficiency in the starter period were 1.075 and 1.179 (percentage of diet) respectively (Zaghari et al., 2002). These results indicated that the lysine requirement of Arian male broilers for maximum body weight gain and feed efficiency were higher than those of values reported for other strains (Han and Baker, 1991 and 1993; Baker, 1994). Nevertheless accuracy of estimates is critical for applying data in practice to broiler diet formulation. Therefore CSM was used as an alternative feed ingredient with a low digestibility of AA for practical evaluation of the determined digestible lysine requirements of Arian male broilers by comparing the performance of chicks fed diets formulated on a total AA basis versus a digestible AA basis. The low digestibility of AA in CSM, particularly lysine, has several implications (NRC, 1994). Lysine can become unavailable because of binding to gossypol, a polyphenolic compound that is located inside the pigment glands of cotton seeds (Ryan et al., 1986). This compound can form a Schiff’s base with the epsilon amino
group of lysine and render it undigestible. It has been shown that the adverse effects of gossypol can be alleviated by adding FeSO₄ to CSM in a 2:1 ratio of iron weight to free gossypol to form a harmless iron gossypol complex (Clawson and Smith, 1966). In addition, processing CSM involves much heat and pressure to break the seed and extract as much oil as possible. Thus there is the possibility of forming maillard compounds (Mauron, 1981) between the reducing sugars in CSM and AA, particularly lysine. The problem of low digestibility of AA should be resolved by obtaining accurate values in the dietary formulation to compensate for deficiencies in CSM.

The primary objective of this research was to evaluate the determined digestible lysine requirement of Arian male broilers for maximum body weight gain and feed efficiency in the starter period using CSM diets formulated on a total AA vs a digestible AA basis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ingredient Analyses**

The corn and soybean meal (SBM) used in the experimental diets were from the same batch as previous experiments (Zaghari et al., 2002). Corn, SBM and CSM were first analyzed for dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE) and crude fiber (CF). After proximate analysis, the feed ingredients were analyzed for amino acids (except tryptophan). The amino acid concentrations of three feedstuffs (Table 1) except for total sulfur AA were determined after acid hydrolysis, whereas total sulfur amino acid content was determined after performic acid oxidation and acid hydrolysis (AOAC, 1990) followed by ion-exchange chromatography. Analysis of the free gossypol in the used CSM was conducted elsewhere using high performance liquid chromatography (Fernandez et al., 1995).

**Chick Assays**

One day-old commercial male broiler chicks (Arian strain) were individually weighed and wing banded and were assigned to diets and battery cages. The initial body weight and weight range was 39.5 ± 1 (gr). The chicks were housed in thermostatically controlled batteries with raised wire floors from 0 to 21 days old. Feed and water were supplied *ad libitum* and uniform light was provided 24 hours per day. Diets were formulated on the basis of the analyzed values. Digestible amino acids were calculated using the digestibility coefficients of Rhone-Poulenc (1993) and by assuming 100% digestibility of crystalline amino acids (Chung and Baker, 1992). The apparent metabolizable energy value of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Corn</th>
<th>Cottonseed meal</th>
<th>Soybean meal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>25.45</td>
<td>46.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystine</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arginine</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenylalanine</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CSM corrected for zero nitrogen retention (ME₀) was equal to 3333.69 Kcal/Kg which was calculated by using the following equation: ME₀ = 21.26DM + 47.13EE - 30.85CF (NRC, 1994). To avoid any adverse effect from free gossypol in CSM, FeSO₄.7H₂O was added to CSM to achieve a 2:1 ratio of iron weight to free gossypol before mixing the experimental diets (Husby and Kroening, 1971), an amount of FeSO₄.7H₂O equivalent to the average amount of iron, added to the control corn-SBM diet. On day 21, all chicks were weighed individually and their pen feed intake was measured. Growth performance was evaluated by average body weight gain (ABWG), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and gain: feed (G:F) ratio.

**Experimental Design**

In this experiment, 432 male chicks were randomly allotted to 18 treatments of four replicates of six chicks per replicate based on a completely randomized block design in a factorial arrangement with two factors (two levels of digestible lysine × nine different diets). Battery cages consisted of four decks, and each deck was identified as a block. The first of the eighteen treatments was the corn-SBM control diet based on the previously determined digestible lysine requirements for maximum body weight gain (Zaghari et al., 2002). Diets 2 to 5 contained 5, 10, 15 or 20% CSM, respectively, and were formulated to contain levels of total AA equivalent to those in the first treatment. Diets 6 to 9 contained the same levels of CSM as diets 2 to 5 but the diets were formulated to be equal in digestible AA content to the first treatment (Table 2). Treatments 10 to 18 were similar to treatments 1 to 9 but on the basis of determined digestible lysine requirement for maximum feed efficiency (Zaghari et al., 2002) (Table 3). The control diet met an ideal amino acid ratio as recommended by Illinois (Baker, 1994) for all other indispensable amino acids for chicks from 0 to 21 days old as determined for the levels of 1.075 and 1.179% digestible lysine.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were subjected to ANOVA procedures appropriate for completely randomized block designs (Steel and Torrie, 1980) and analyzed as a 2 × 9 factorial, with main effects of digestible lysine requirement basis (body weight gain vs feed efficiency) and diet (1 to 9), using algorithms generated by the SAS Institute (1985). Differences between individual treatment means were assessed using the Duncan multiple range test (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

**RESULTS**

Dietary inclusion of 10 to 20% CSM on a total AA and weight gain lysine requirement basis depressed chick weight gain and feed efficiency (P<0.01) in comparison to the corn-SBM control diet (Table 4). However, when the diets were formulated according to the requirement for maximum feed efficiency, the dietary inclusion of 20% CSM on a total AA basis depressed chick weight gain and feed efficiency in comparison to the corn-SBM control diet (P<0.01). In both cases, formulating diets containing CSM on a digestible AA basis resulted in improved chick performance compared to those achieved from formulation on a total AA basis (P<0.01). No significant effect was observed on average daily feed intake (P>0.05).

Dietary formulation on the basis of lysine requirement for maximum feed efficiency vs the maximum weight gain had no effect on average body weight gain and feed efficiency (P>0.05) but resulted numerically in an 0.8% improvement in feed efficiency. No significant interaction was observed between these two factors and chick performance.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of the present study further confirmed an earlier study by Fernandez et al. (1995) that formulation of diets contain-
ing 20% CSM on a true digestible AA basis was superior to formulation on a total AA basis. The explanation of this observation was probably the lower AA digestibility of the CSM compared with corn or SBM. Thus the

### Table 2. Composition of experimental diets containing cottonseed meal, according to the digestible lysine requirement for maximum body weight gain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients and analysis</th>
<th>Control diet</th>
<th>Total AA basis</th>
<th>Digestible AA basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td>
<td>6 7 8 9</td>
<td>6 7 8 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>51.022 48.939 46.827 44.716 42.624 48.979 46.937 44.866 42.814</td>
<td>48.979 46.937 44.866 42.814</td>
<td>48.979 46.937 44.866 42.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal</td>
<td>37.27 34.85 32.41 29.97 27.51 34.76 32.25 29.74 27.23</td>
<td>34.76 32.25 29.74 27.23</td>
<td>34.76 32.25 29.74 27.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottonseed meal</td>
<td>0 5 10 15 20 5 10 15 20</td>
<td>5 10 15 20</td>
<td>5 10 15 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Corn oil**: 7.40 6.91 6.43 5.94 5.44 6.89 6.39 5.89 5.39
- **Oyster shell**: 1.56 1.57 1.57 1.58 1.59 1.57 1.58 1.58 1.59
- **Monocalcium phosphate**: 1.54 1.53 1.51 1.50 1.48 1.53 1.51 1.50 1.49
- **NaCl**: 0.47 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.45 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.45
- **Vitamin premix a**: 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25
- **Mineral premix b**: 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25
- **FeSO4.7H2O**: 0.028 0.011 0.023 0.034 0.046 0.023 0.034 0.046 0.011
- **L.Lysine**: 0.04 0.06 0.09 0.11 0.14 0.09 0.13 0.18 0.22
- **L.Thr**: 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.05 0.06
- **L.Ile**: 0.02

**Analysis**

- **ME, Kcal/Kg**: 3200 3200 3200 3200 3200 3200 3200 3200 3200
- **Na, %**: 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
- **Calcium %**: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
- **Available phosphorous %**: 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45
- **Crud fiber**: 3.7 4.7 5.7 6.8 7.8 4.7 5.7 6.8 7.8
- **Total Lys %**: 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.22 1.23 1.25 1.26
- **Total Thr %**: 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.84 0.85 0.86
- **Total Trp %**: 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26
- **Total Ile %**: 0.93 0.92 0.91 0.90 0.90 0.92 0.90 0.89 0.87
- **Total Arg %**: 1.51 1.58 1.66 1.74 1.81 1.58 1.65 1.73 1.80
- **Total Val %**: 1.02 1.02 1.01 1.01 1.01 1.02 1.01 1.01 1.00
- **Total Phe+Tyr %**: 1.95 1.92 1.90 1.88 1.86 1.92 1.90 1.87 1.85
- **Total His %**: 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.60 0.59 0.60 0.60 0.59 0.59
- **D Digestible Lys %**: 1.075 1.05 1.045 1.03 1.01 1.075 1.075 1.075 1.075
- **D Digestible Met+Cys %**: 0.77 0.76 0.75 0.74 0.73 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77
- **D Digestible Thr %**: 0.72 0.71 0.70 0.69 0.68 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72
- **D Digestible Trp %**: 0.22 0.22 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.22 0.22 0.21 0.21
- **D Digestible Ile %**: 0.84 0.82 0.80 0.78 0.77 0.82 0.79 0.77 0.75
- **D Digestible Leu %**: 1.72 1.67 1.62 1.57 1.52 1.67 1.62 1.57 1.52
- **D Digestible Arg %**: 1.41 1.47 1.53 1.59 1.65 1.47 1.53 1.58 1.64
- **D Digestible Val %**: 0.90 0.89 0.87 0.86 0.85 0.88 0.87 0.86 0.85
- **D Digestible Phe+Tyr %**: 1.79 1.76 1.72 1.69 1.66 1.75 1.72 1.68 1.65
- **D Digestible His %**: 0.55 0.54 0.54 0.53 0.52 0.54 0.54 0.53 0.52

**Free Gossypol %**

- **a** Vitamin premix provided the following per kilogram of diet: Vitamin A, 9000IU; Cholecalciferol, 2000IU; Vitamin E, 18IU; Vitamin k3, 4mg; Vitamin B12, 0.015mg; Biotin, 0.15mg; Fol acin, 1mg; Niacin, 30mg; Pantothenic acid, 25mg; Pyridoxine, 2.9mg; Riboflavine, 6.6mg; Thiamine 1.8mg.
- **b** Mineral premix provided the following per kilogram of diet: Copper(as cupric sulfate 5H2O),10mg; Iodin (as calciumiodate), 0.99mg; Ironas ferrous sulphate 7H2O), 50mg; Manganese (as manganese oxide), 99mg; Selenium (as sodium selenite), 0.2mg; Zinc (as zinc oxid), 84mg.
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Digestible AA levels in the diet decreased as the level of CSM increased. For example, dietary lysine digestibility decreased about 3.5% as the CSM level increased from 0 to 20%. Previous studies on several by-product ingredients (Rostagno et al., 1995 and Michele et al., 1999) have also shown that formulation of poultry diets on a digestible AA basis is superior to formulation on a total AA basis when using ingredients that have AA digestibilities lower than those in corn- and SBM-based diets.

The dietary inclusion of CSM up to 20% had no effect on feed intake. However, Fernandez et al. (1995) reported that higher levels of CSM in the diet decreased feed intake from between 30 to 40%. This decrease in feed intake might be due to the presence of some detrimental factors in Table 3.

### Table 3. Composition of experimental diets containing cottonseed meal, according to the digestible lysine requirement for maximum feed efficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients And Analysis</th>
<th>Control diet</th>
<th>Total AA basis</th>
<th>Digestible AA basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>50.302</td>
<td>48.219 46.117 44.026 41.954 48.329 46.286 44.286 42.304</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal</td>
<td>37.65</td>
<td>35.25 32.81 30.37 27.91 35.1 32.56 30.0 27.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cottonseed meal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 10 15 20 10 15 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral Tt%</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>6.98 6.49 5.90 5.49 5.95 5.93 5.56 5.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyster shell</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.57 1.57 1.58 1.59 1.57 1.57 1.58 1.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocalcium phosphate</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.52 1.51 1.49 1.48 1.52 1.51 1.50 1.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.46 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin premix</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral premix</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.011 0.023 0.034 0.046 0.011 0.023 0.034 0.046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-Met</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.24 0.24 0.24 0.23 0.26 0.27 0.28 0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.Lysine</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18 0.20 0.23 0.25 0.21 0.26 0.30 0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.Thr</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07 0.08 0.08 0.09 0.08 0.10 0.11 0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.Ile</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01 0.03 0.04 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.Val</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01 0.03 0.04 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis

- MEₖ, Kcal/Kg: 3200
- CP %: 21.89
- Na %: 0.47
- Calcium %: 1
- Available phosphorous %: 0.45
- Crud fiber: 3.7
- Total Lys %: 1.31
- Total Met+Cys %: 0.93
- Total Thr %: 0.90
- Total Ile %: 0.94
- Total Leu %: 1.88
- Total Arg %: 1.52
- Total Val %: 1.02
- Total Phe+Tyr %: 1.96
- Total His %: 0.61
- Digestible Lys %: 1.179
- Digestible Met+Cys %: 0.84
- Digestible Thr %: 0.78
- Digestible Trp %: 0.22
- Digestible Ile %: 0.85
- Digestible Leu %: 1.73
- Digestible Arg %: 1.42
- Digestible Val %: 0.90
- Digestible Phe+Tyr %: 1.80
- Digestible His %: 0.55
- Free Gossypol %: 0.0011

Additional analysis includes:
- Kcal/Kg: 3200
- CP %: 21.89
- Na %: 0.2
- Calcium %: 1
- Available phosphorous %: 0.45
- Crud fiber: 3.7
- Total Lys %: 1.31
- Total Met+Cys %: 0.93
- Total Thr %: 0.90
- Total Ile %: 0.94
- Total Leu %: 1.88
- Total Arg %: 1.52
- Total Val %: 1.02
- Total Phe+Tyr %: 1.96
- Total His %: 0.61
- Digestible Lys %: 1.179
- Digestible Met+Cys %: 0.84
- Digestible Thr %: 0.78
- Digestible Trp %: 0.22
- Digestible Ile %: 0.85
- Digestible Leu %: 1.73
- Digestible Arg %: 1.42
- Digestible Val %: 0.90
- Digestible Phe+Tyr %: 1.80
- Digestible His %: 0.55
- Free Gossypol %: 0.0011
- Kcal/Kg: 3200
- CP %: 21.89
- Na %: 0.2
- Calcium %: 1
- Available phosphorous %: 0.45
- Crud fiber: 3.7
- Total Lys %: 1.31
- Total Met+Cys %: 0.93
- Total Thr %: 0.90
- Total Ile %: 0.94
- Total Leu %: 1.88
- Total Arg %: 1.52
- Total Val %: 1.02
- Total Phe+Tyr %: 1.96
- Total His %: 0.61
- Digestible Lys %: 1.179
- Digestible Met+Cys %: 0.84
- Digestible Thr %: 0.78
- Digestible Trp %: 0.22
- Digestible Ile %: 0.85
- Digestible Leu %: 1.73
- Digestible Arg %: 1.42
- Digestible Val %: 0.90
- Digestible Phe+Tyr %: 1.80
- Digestible His %: 0.55
- Free Gossypol %: 0.0011
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CSM, such as the high amount of fiber, gossypol, or cyclopropene fatty acids. However, these factors wouldn’t be a problem in the present study because all diets were formulated to be isocaloric, iron sulfate was added to neutralize the gossypol and cyclopropene fatty acids should be low because they are almost totally eliminated from the cottonseeds during the oil extraction process (Phelps et al., 1965).

Under the conditions of this study, the depression of chick performance with diets formulated on a total AA basis compared to a digestible AA basis, indicated that the previously determined digestible lysine requirement for Arian chicks is correct (Zaghari et al., 2002). If the levels of AA in diets, especially lysine, were higher than the requirement, we would not observe any differences in chick performance between the two dietary formulation methods.

Table 4. The effects of different diets containing cottonseed meal formulated on a digestible and total AA basis, on the performance of Arian male broiler chicks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lysine requirement basis</th>
<th>CSM</th>
<th>Dietary formulation method</th>
<th>Body weight gain/bird (%)</th>
<th>Gain:Feed (g:g)</th>
<th>Average daily feed intake/bird (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 Digestible AA</td>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>621.8a</td>
<td>0.721ab</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Digestible AA</td>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>634.8a</td>
<td>0.752a</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Digestible AA</td>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>620.8a</td>
<td>0.715ab</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Digestible AA</td>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>630.2a</td>
<td>0.714ab</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Digestible AA</td>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>627.0a</td>
<td>0.729ab</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Total AA</td>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>633.7a</td>
<td>0.732ab</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Total AA</td>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>580.8b</td>
<td>0.695bc</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Total AA</td>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>578.6b</td>
<td>0.698b</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Total AA</td>
<td>CSM</td>
<td>573.6b</td>
<td>0.647bc</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean                611.3 0.711 40.3
SE                   5.6 0.004 0.466
P-Values             0.01 0.01 Ns

Means within a column with different superscript differ significantly (P<0.05). 
Ns: Not significant.
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ارزیابی مجدد لیزین قابل هضم مورد نیاز گوشه‌های گوشی‌های آرین توسط چربی‌های حاوی کنجاله تخم پنجه

م. زاغری، م. شیوازاد، ع. کامیاب و ع. نیکخواه

چکیده

در یک آزمایش با مقایسه عملکردهای جوجه‌های خاصی که مطالعه مختلف کنجاله تخم پنجه با مصرف نمونه و جیره آنها بر اساس میزان آمنیتواسیده‌های کل و قابل هضم منشا مورد بررسی قرار گرفته بود، تابعی برای لیزین قابل هضم جوجه‌های نر سوبه آرین مورد ارزیابی مجدد قرار گرفت. در این پژوهش تعداد 4226 جوجه نر از سه جنس آرین به 18 نیم‌بود در 4 تکرار و 6 مینیموم در هر تکرار در قالب طرح یک‌وکلیک کم‌عامل تصادفی بصورت فاکتوریل (2×9) قابل هضم × 9 جیره اختصاص داده شد. نتایج نشان داد که افزودن کنجاله تخم پنجه به جیره‌های خاصی که آمنیتواسیده‌های آنها بر اساس آمنیتواسیده‌های کل و قابل هضم لیزین قابل هضم برای صفت و زن بدن و یا گذاشته شده بود موجب کاهش وزن بدن و پیش از جیره‌های ندارنده در مقایسه با جیره کنترل بود (P<0.01). صرف نظر از صفت مورد نظر در تمام لیزین نبود میزان منشا آمنیتواسیده‌های گرفته و به‌طور عمده آمنیتواسیده‌های قابل هضم نسبت به آمنیتواسیده‌های کل موجب به‌هم کردن جوجه‌های خوراک بر اساس آمنیتواسیده‌های قابل هضم نسبت به آمنیتواسیده‌های کل موجب به‌هم کردن جوجه‌های خوراک بر اساس آمنیتواسیده‌های قابل هضم نسبت به آمنیتواسیده‌های کل می‌باشد. به‌طور نهایی آنها بر اساس آمنیتواسیده‌های قابل هضم به دنبال شده بود هاکی از صحت برآورده‌های نرژی لیزین قابل هضم این سوبه در آزمایش‌های قبلی بود.