Influence of AM Fungi, *Glomus mosseae* and *Glomus intraradices* on Chickpea Growth and Root-Rot Disease Caused by *Fusarium solani* f. sp. *pisi* under Greenhouse Conditions
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ABSTRACT

In the present study, the effect of two species of AMF, *Glomus mosseae* and *Glomus intraradices*, alone and in combination, was evaluated on the growth criteria, chlorophyll content, and root rot disease caused by *Fusarium solani* f. sp. *pisi*, on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under greenhouse conditions. Chickpea seeds were sown into pots containing 100 g of AMF inoculum (more than 1,000 propagules g⁻¹) and, after four weeks, root of seedlings were inoculated with conidia suspension (10⁶ conidia mL⁻¹) of *F. solani* f. sp. *pisi*. Six weeks after pathogen inoculation, shoot and root dry weight, shoot height, chlorophyll content and mycorrhizal and *Fusarium* colonization were measured. Results showed that inoculation of *G. mosseae* was more effective than *G. intraradices* and dual inoculations (*G. intraradices*+*G. mosseae*) on the above criteria. Inoculation of *F. solani* f. sp. *pisi* without AMF treatments reduced shoot height, shoot and root dry weight, and chlorophyll content significantly compared with the control. In the presence of AMF, root colonization by *F. solani* f. sp. *pisi* and disease severity decreased and individual inoculation with *G. mosseae* was more effective than the other treatments. Inoculation of *G. mosseae* and *G. intraradices* caused a significant increase in plant height, shoot and root dry weight, and chlorophyll content of pathogen-inoculated plants compared with inoculated chickpea plants with *F. solani* f. sp. *pisi*. Based on the results, application of *G. mosseae* was found to be the best for reducing the root rot disease and improving plant growth parameters of chickpea, followed by *G. intraradices* and dual inoculations.
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INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is one of the most important pulse crops cultivated in many countries of Africa and Asia. In addition to its importance as food crop, it is valued for its beneficial effects in improving soil fertility and thus profitability and sustainability of production systems (Honnareddy and Dubey, 2006). *Fusarium* root rot caused by *Fusarium solani* Mart. amend. f. sp. *pisi* Synder and Hansen, is one of the major factors limiting chickpea production worldwide. The disease is widespread in chickpea-growing areas of the world and is reported from different countries, causing significant economic losses (Westerlund et al., 1974). The pathogen persists in the soil as chlamydospores that can survive for several seasons and is capable of colonizing crop
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residue and roots of most crops grown in rotation with chickpea and some weeds (Mohammadi and Banihashemi, 2006). Plant diseases, especially soil borne diseases, are the most difficult to manage and excessive use of pesticides may pose threat to human health (Johansson et al., 2004). Numerous strategies have been proposed to control soil borne plant diseases such as chemical (Amini and Sidovich, 2010), induced resistance (Whipps, 2004), use of antagonistic fungi and cyanobacteria (Alwathnani and Perveen, 2012) and biocontrol methods (Alam et al., 2011). The AM fungi are the major components of the rhizosphere and play an important role in decreasing plant disease incidence (Akhtar and Siddiqui, 2008). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi belong to the phylum Glomeromycota (Schübler et al., 2001) and form symbiosis with about 90% land plants in agricultural and natural ecosystems (Brundrett, 2002). Since soil borne pathogens as well as symbionts share common habitat and show differential influence on the growth of the host plant, major interest has been focused on the relevance of AMF and rhizobia in the control of soil borne pathogens (Dar et al., 1997). There is evidence that AM play a role in the suppression of crop pests and diseases, particularly soil-borne fungal diseases (Linderman, 1994; Borowicz, 2001, Tanwar et al., 2013). Although the mechanisms involved in the biocontrol of AM symbiosis in the plant root are still not well characterized, localized and systemic induced resistances (Cordier et al., 1998) increase in plant phosphorus status (Vannette and Hunter, 2009), competition for infection site, morphological changes in the host root, root damage compensation, changes in microbial populations in the mycorrhizosphere and modifications in the phytohormone balance in the roots of the host plants, such as abscisic acid, gibberellins, ethylene, cytokinins and jasmonates (López-Ráez et al., 2010; Martínez-Medina et al., 2011) as well as increase in levels of pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins (Khan et al., 2010) appear to be involved. Several AM fungi species have been found to control soil borne pathogens such as species of Aphanomyces, Cylindrocladium, Fusarium, Macrophomina, Phytophthora, Verticillium (Harrier and Watson, 2004), Pythium (El-Mohamedy, 2012), Rhizoctonia solani (Matloob and Juber, 2013), obligate root parasitic weeds (Louarn et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012) and root knot nematode (Banuelos et al., 2014). Glomus fasciculatum and Gigaspora margarita were shown to decrease root rot disease caused by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. asparagi in asparagus (Matsubara et al., 2001). Glomus clarum also was shown to decrease root necroses of cowpea due to R. solani (Abdel-Fattah and Shabana, 2002). The purpose of this study was to evaluate the biological potential of Glomus mosseae and Glomus intraradices, on growth, chlorophyll contents, and root-rot disease of chickpea caused by Fusarium solani f. sp. pisi under greenhouse conditions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Preparation of AM Fungi Inoculums and Inoculation of Test Plants**

In this study, inoculum of two species of AM fungi, namely, G. mosseae and G. intraradices, as colonized corn roots (more than 1,000 propagules g<sup>-1</sup>) was provided by Pistachio Research Institute of Iran, Rafsanjan (Kerman Province). Seeds of chickpea were surface sterilized in 10% sodium hypochlorite, rinsed 2-3 times in sterile distilled water, and then five healthy seeds were sown in pots containing 100 g inoculum of AMF.

**Pathogen Inoculums and Inoculation of Test Plants**

Fusarium solani f. sp. pisi was isolated from chickpea plants showing root rot symptoms in Fars province (Mohammadi
and Banihashemi, 2006) and maintained on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA: Merck, Germany). A representative single spore isolate (Eg-35) originally isolated from Eghlid (Fars Province) was grown on PDA for 7 days at 25 °C. Spores were harvested into sterile water and the solution was adjusted to 10^7 conidia mL\(^{-1}\) with haemocytometer. Four weeks after sowing of chickpea, plants were inoculated by \textit{F. solani} f. sp. \textit{pisi}. For inoculation, soil around each plant was carefully removed without damaging the roots and 10 ml of spore suspension was applied by pipette just below the collar region around the hypocotyls of each plant; then, the soil was replaced. An equal volume of sterile water was added to the control treatments.

**Experimental Design and Measurements**

The experiment was carried out in a completely randomized design with seven replicates and five treatments: Control, \textit{F. solani} f. sp. \textit{pisi} (Fus), \textit{Glomus mossaeae} (Gm), \textit{G. intraradices} (Gi) and \textit{G. mossaeae+G. intraradices} (Gm+Gi). Six weeks after inoculation, shoot and root dry weight (g pot\(^{-1}\)), shoot height (cm), chlorophyll content, the percentage colonization of AMF and pathogen in roots and disease severity were assessed. Dry weights were recorded after drying the samples at 70°C for 48 hours in a hot air oven until constant weight. The chlorophyll content of leaf tissue was estimated from the optimal density at 654 and 663 nm of a clear 80% acetone extract and the chlorophyll content was calculated by the following formula:

\[
\text{Chlorophyll content (mg g}^{-1}\text{)}= (A_{653} \times 0.00802) + (A_{663} \times 0.0202) 
\]

(Kirk, 1968; Behboudian \textit{et al}., 1986). Mycorrhizal roots were stained by the method of Kormanic and McGraw (1982). Ninety randomly selected stained root pieces of each species were mounted on slides and examined microscopically for estimation of mycorrhizal root colonization (Vierheiling \textit{et al}., 2005). The percentage colonization of mycorrhizal fungi in roots was calculated by the following formula:

\[
\% \text{ Root colonization}= (\text{No. of root segments infected/Total no. of root segments studied}) \times 100
\]

Fusarium isolation was made from the root necrotic lesions onto PDA. Plates were incubated at 25°C and the percentage of \textit{F. solani} f. sp. \textit{pisi} colonization were determined by calculating the percentage of isolates retrieved from re-isolations based on colony growth after 5-8 days. Disease severity was estimated by scoring individual plants on a 0-5 visual scale according to Folion \textit{et al}., 2003): Where, \(0=\) No disease symptoms, \(1=\) Slightly brown or \(<50\%\) surface discoloration of the hypocotyl and slight root pruning, \(2=\) As 1 but \(>50\%\) surface discoloration, \(3=\) Discolored hypocotyl and roots collapsing under considerable pressure and extensive root pruning, \(4=\) Darkly discolored hypocotyl and roots completely collapsed and severe root pruning, and \(5=\) Dead or dying plant. Data were analyzed with the statistical analysis system MSTAT C and comparisons among means were made using Duncan's multiple range test.

**RESULTS**

**Effects on Shoot Length**

Inoculation of \textit{Glomus} spp. increased shoot length compared with the control plants. Increase in shoot length was only significant in Gm and Gm+Gi treatments. In presence of \textit{Fusarium}, inoculation of Gm, Gi, and Gm+Gi significantly increased shoot length compared to \textit{Fusarium} and the control treatments, with Gm having the highest effect on shoot length of plants. On the other hand, plants inoculated with \textit{F. solani} f. sp. \textit{pisi} showed a significant decrease in shoot length in comparison to the control plants (Table 1).
Table 1. Plant growth factors and chlorophyll contents of chickpea inoculated with *Glomus mosseae*, *Glomus intraradis* and *Fusarium solani* f. sp. *pisi* alone and various combinations.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dry weight (g pot(^{-1}))±SD</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)±SD</th>
<th>Chlorophyll (mg g(^{-1}))±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>28.75 ± 0.5&lt;sup&gt;cd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusarium solani</em> f. sp. <em>pisi</em> (+Fus)</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.15&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.25 ± 1.55&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glomus mosseae</em> (Gm, -Fus)</td>
<td>2.29 ± 0.26&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>39.00 ± 1.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. intraradices</em> (Gi, -Fus)</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.13&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>34.25 ± 1.29&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gm + Gi, -Fus</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.18&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>31.50 ± 0.96&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. mosseae</em> + Fus (Gm, +Fus)</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.26&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>34.25 ± 0.96&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. intraradices</em> + Fus (Gi, +Fus)</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>25.25 ± 0.96&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gm + Gi, +Fus</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.12&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.50 ± 1.73&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different at 1% level based on Duncan's multiple range test.

**Effects on Shoot Dry Weight**

According to Table 1, inoculation of Gm and Gi led to a significant increase of shoot dry weight compared to the control, whereas combination of these species (Gi+Gm) showed no significant difference compared to the control treatment. Inoculation of the pathogen (Fus) significantly reduced shoot dry weights compared with the non-inoculated plants. In presence of the pathogen, inoculation of Gi and Gm caused a significant increase in shoot dry weight compared with +Fus treatment. In Gi+Gm treatment, minimum increase in shoot dry weight was found in the plants that showed no significant difference with +Fus treatment. Based on the results, application of *G. mosseae* was found to be the best for reducing the negative effects of *Fusarium* root rot disease on the shoot of chickpea plants.

**Effects on Root Dry Weight**

The highest and lowest root dry weight was observed in plants inoculated by Gm and Fus, respectively. Gm treatment led to a significant increase in root dry weight compared to the control, while, Gi and Gm+Gi treatments showed no significant differences with the control plants. Inoculation of chickpea plants by the pathogen (+Fus treatment) caused a significant decrease in root dry weight (0.19 g pot\(^{-1}\)) compared with other treatments including the control. In presence of pathogen (+Fus), Gm (0.41 g pot\(^{-1}\)), Gi, and Gm+Gi showed increase in root dry weight compared with Fus treatment (+FUS). The increase of root dry weight was only significant in Gm treatment.

**Effects on Chlorophyll Content**

The leaf chlorophyll content recorded in the mycorrhizal plants was typically higher than the control plants (Table 1). Chlorophyll content showed significant increase in Gm treatment compared with control plants, but, in Gi and Gm+Gi treatment, increase in chlorophyll content had no significant difference with non-inoculated plants. A significant decrease in
chlorophyll content over the other treatments was observed in plants inoculated with the pathogen (1.57 mg g⁻¹). Inoculation of infected chickpea with Gm increased chlorophyll content significantly compared with Fus treatment (+FUS), but Gi and Gm+Gi treatments showed no significant effect on chlorophyll content.

**Percent AM and Pathogen Root Colonization**

Mycorrhizal and pathogen colonization was not observed in the control plants, indicating no contamination with these fungi during the experiment. Root colonization increased in mycorrhizal treatments and a significant difference was observed among the Gm, Gi and Gm+Gi treatments. Inoculation of Fus (+FUS) reduced the mycorrhizal colonization in roots. Inoculation of mycorrhizal fungi also reduced Fusarium root colonization (Table 2). Root colonization by *Fusarium* was 45.75, 66.00, and 72.75% in Gm, Gi, and Gm+Gi treatments, respectively, and *G. mosseae* was the best individual treatment which significantly reduced root colonization by *F. solani* f. sp. *pisi* in chickpea plants. According to Table 2, AM treatments significantly reduced the percentage of disease severity in infected chickpea plants compared to Fus (+FUS) treatment. Maximum reduction in disease severity was recorded in combined inoculation of *F. solani* f. sp. *pisi* and *G. mosseae* (Gm +Fus). Whereas plants inoculated by the pathogen alone (without any bioagent) showed maximum disease severity, no significant difference in disease severity was found among Gi+Fus and (Gm+Gi)+Fus treatments.

**DISCUSSION**

Mycorrhizal fungi are known to affect growth of most plant species through various ways. The results of the present study clearly showed the beneficial effects of two AM fungi inoculation (*G. mosseae* and *G. intraradices*) on the growth and biochemical parameters of chickpea. Results showed a significant increase over uninoculated control plants in respect to root

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mycorrhizal colonization (%)</th>
<th>Fusarium colonization (%)</th>
<th>Disease severity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>control</td>
<td>0.00 e</td>
<td>0.00 e</td>
<td>0.00 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusarium solani</em> f. sp. <em>pisi</em></td>
<td>0.00 e</td>
<td>88.00 a</td>
<td>4.75 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+Fus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glomus mosseae</em> (Gm, -Fus)</td>
<td>78.75 a</td>
<td>0.00 e</td>
<td>0.00 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. intraradices</em> (Gi, -Fus)</td>
<td>69.50 b</td>
<td>0.00 e</td>
<td>0.00 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gm +Gi, -Fus</td>
<td>56.25 c</td>
<td>0.00 e</td>
<td>0.00 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. mosseae</em> + Fus (Gm, +Fus)</td>
<td>57.50 c</td>
<td>45.75 d</td>
<td>2.75 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. intraradices</em> + Fus (Gi, +Fus)</td>
<td>41.25 d</td>
<td>66.00 c</td>
<td>3.50 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gm + Gi, +Fus</td>
<td>33.50 d</td>
<td>72.75 b</td>
<td>4.00 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different at 1% level based on Duncan's multiple range test.
and shoot dry weight as well as shoot length. Results of the experiment confirmed various reports on enhanced plant growth due to AM inoculation to medicinal plants (Nisha and Rajeshkumar, 2010) and forest trees species (Rajan et al., 2000). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi influence plant growth in a number of ways (Klironomos, 2003). Mycorrhizal roots have been known to absorb phosphorus faster than non-mycorrhizal plants (Vannette and Hunter, 2009). Several workers have reported that AM fungi not only increases phosphorus uptake, but also plays an important role in the uptake of water and other plant nutrients and this could have resulted in a higher biomass in inoculated plants (Srivastava et al., 2002; Abohatem et al., 2011). Apple (2010) has reported the role of mycorrhizal symbiosis in improving the uptake of phosphorus, nitrogen, and trace elements in date palm. In all cases, increase in shoot length and shoot and root dry weight was significant due to the inoculation of G. mosseae followed by G. intraradices and their combinations. It may possibly be due to the host preference of AM species as reported by many workers (Earanna, 2001; Gracy and Bagyaraj, 2005).

It has been reported that species of AM fungi differ significantly in their ability to improve plant growth and other aspects of plant performance (Liu and Luo, 1988; Liu, 1989). The results indicated that application of G. mosseae, G. intraradices, and combination of the two species caused increased chlorophyll content compared with the control, a result in congruence with the other studies (Colla et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2008; Doley and Jite, 2012). F. solani f. sp. pisi significantly reduced chlorophyll; root and shoot dry weight, and shoot and plant height over the uninoculated plants. This could be due to the production of pathogen toxins and their effects on physiological function of plant and inhibit chlorophyll biosynthesis (Achor et al., 1993). Inoculation of both AM species increased growth parameters in pathogenic fungus inoculated plants compared to the F. solani f. sp. pisi inoculated plants. G. mosseae caused a greater increase in root and shoot dry weight and plant height compared to infected plants. Often the degree of control achieved with AM fungi varies between AM species which may be the result of host or disease specificity (Gange et al., 2003). These results are in agreement with that of Tsipouridis et al. (2005) on Phytophthora spp. in peach, Akhtar and Siddiqui (2010) on Macrophomina phaseolina in chickpea, and Doley and Jite (2012) on M. phaseolina in groundnut. AM fungi influenced fungal diseases caused by root pathogens (Matsubara et al., 1995; Trotta et al., 1996; Karagiannidis et al., 2002). Several studies concluded that diseases caused by root pathogens could be reduced by root colonization of AM fungi via several mechanisms (Vannette and Hunter, 2009; López-Ráez et al., 2010; Khan et al., 2010; Martínez-Medina et al., 2011). Sampo et al. (2012) showed that damage of Chrysanthemum carinatum plants by Chrysanthemum Yellows Phytoplasma (CY) was reduced by prior root colonization by G. mosseae and G. intraradices. Colonization of root of chickpea plants by AM fungi varied between treatments. In G. mosseae inoculated plants, the percentage of colonization was 78.75% while the lowest colonization rates had triple combination of G. mosseae plus G. intraradices plus F. solani f. sp. pisi (33.50%). Based on the results, mycorrhizal colonization reduced the percentage of Fusarium colonization in infected chickpea plants, but just Gm+Fus treatment led to significant increase in level of Fusarium colonization compared with +Fus treatment. These results are in agreement with that of St-Arnaud et al. (1994) on Pythium ultimum, Caron et al. (1986) in tomato, and Giovannetti et al. (1991) in tobacco. Caron et al. (1986) showed that tomato plants inoculated with G. intraradices and Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. radicis-lycopersici had lower pathogen population levels than plants inoculated with the pathogen alone. Johansson et al. (2003) and Thygesen et al. (2004) showed that root colonization by AM fungi can decrease the development of fungal root pathogens in their host plants. Kjøller and Rosendahl (1996) and Slezack et al.
(1999) reported that pea plants treated with the mycorrhizal fungus *G. intraradices* and *G. mosseae* were more tolerant to *Aphanomyces euteiches* infections. Direct (via interference competition, including chemical interactions) and indirect (via exploitation competition) interactions have been suggested as mechanisms by which AM fungi can reduce the abundance of pathogenic fungi in roots. These have generally been proposed in response to observations of negative correlations in the abundance of AM fungal structures and pathogenic microorganisms in roots (Filion et al., 2003). Recently, Manila and Nelson (2014) showed that mineral nutrient concentration, chlorophyll, protein, amino acids, starch, sugars and phenolic content significantly increased in tomato plants inoculated with *Glomus fasciculatum* and *Acaulospora laevis*.

In the present study, it was observed that *F. solani* f. sp. *pis i* negatively affected colonization of chickpea roots by AM fungi. These results are in agreement with that of Bååth and Hayman (1983), who found a reduction in AM fungal colonization when tomato plants were inoculated with an AM fungus before the pathogen *Verticillium albo-atrum*. A reduction in the development of mycorrhizal colonization by *M. phaseolina* in groundnut plants has also been reported by Doley and Jite (2012), indicating the possible occurrence of competitive interactions. Our results showed that the inoculation of chickpea plants with *Glomus* species reduced the root disease severity. Similar results were obtained by Jaiti et al. (2007), who found a positive effect of *Glomus* species on decreasing of Bayoud disease severity and incidence caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *albedinis* on date palm. Ciccarese et al. (2005) also reported that wilt disease severity of artichoke caused by *Verticillium* sp. was significantly reduced in plants colonized by *Glomus viscosum*. Recently, Arabi et al. (2013) showed that the inoculation of barley plants with *Glomus intraradice*, *G. constrictum* and *G. claroideum* significantly reduced the percentage of disease severity of barley common root rot caused by *Cochliobolus sativus*. Our study determined that single biological control agent inoculations were more effective than dual inoculations (*G. intraradices* and *G. mosseae*), and a combination of the two AM fungi did not result in synergism. Linderman (2000) reported that some antagonistic interactions might occur among the microorganisms inhibiting the same pathogen. In conclusion, the present study clearly demonstrated that *G. mosseae* can be used as biological control agent in order to protect chickpea plants from *F. solani* f. sp. *pis i* under greenhouse conditions.
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تاثیر فرآیند آرbuscular میکوریزاسیون بر رشد و بهبود یوسیدگی ریشه نخود ایرانی توسط
کاشت در شرایط گلخانه ای

م. سهایی، ح. محمدی و آ. ح. محمدی

چکیده

در مطالعه حاضر تاثیر دو گونه G. intraradices و Glomus mosseae از فرآیند آرbuscular میکوریزاسیون G. intraradices و Glomus mosseae بهبوبی و تکریمی بر خصوصیات رشد، میزان کلروفیل و یوسیدگی ریشه نخود ایرانی (Cicer

f. sp. pisi) در اثر Fusarium solani f. sp. pisi (arientinum L. گرفته. برای این کار به‌طور محسوس در گلخانه‌های محدود ۱۰۰ گرم از مایه فرآیند حاصل G. mosseae (میکوریز) یک کاردینال بر یک گیاه (G. intraradices + G. mosseae) استفاده کرد. فاز چهار پست و بعد از گذشته چهار فاصله فاز چهار گیاه‌های نخود با سوپرسیون F. solani f. sp. pisi (ارینتینوم L) با توجه به شکست گیاهی و تر ریشه، طول ساقه و میزان کانی‌بندی ریشه توسط فوزیوم و فرآیند میکوریز اندوزه گیری گردید. نتایج نشان داد که مایه زنی گیاهان با G. mosseae f. sp. pisi بهبوبی و تیمار تکریمی این دو G. intraradices بهبود یافته G. mosseae با تاثیر بیشتری در ویژگی‌های مورد بررسی دارد. مایه زنی گیاهان با به طور معنی‌داری باعث کاهش طول ساقه، وزن خشک ساقه و G. intraradices و Glomus mosseae بدون حضور فرآیند حاصل G. intraradices و Glomus mosseae بهبود یافته piri
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و تیمار تکریمی دو قرار دارد. 1929