

Evaluation of Heavy Metal Accumulation and Biomonitoring Properties in Some Brassicaceae Species in Amasya Province of Turkey

E. Ebru Tuna¹, D. Duygu Kilic¹, H. Guray Kutbay², B. Surmen^{3*}, and H. Mete Dogan⁴

ABSTRACT

In this study, heavy metals (Cr, Pb, Zn, Cu, and Cd) contents of five Brassicaceae species grown in four different locations in Turkey were determined, mapped, and interpreted. Spatial analyses of the collected data were conducted in GIS, and thematic maps for average heavy metal accumulations including root, stem, and leaf of each species was developed. The biomonitoring potentials of species was assessed based on the statistical analyses results of the different localities. The heavy metal deposition characteristics of the plants showed differences. The most accumulated heavy metal was Zn, while Cd was the least accumulated. *Capsella bursa-pastoris* had the highest Cr and Cu accumulation compared to other species. *Lepidium draba*, on the other hand, accumulated Pb and Zn at most. *Sisymbrium loeselii* had the highest Cd accumulation compared to the other species. The results of the present study have indicated that *Lepidium draba* (Zn and Pb), *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (Cr and Cu) and *Sisymbrium loeselii* (Cd) can be safely used for biomonitoring studies.

Keywords: Phytoremediation, Geographic Information Systems, Spatial Analysis, Traffic Density

INTRODUCTION

Heavy metals are found as oxide, sulfur, and carbonate compounds or bonded to silicate minerals. They are very hazardous for the environment and incorporate into food chains (Armitage *et al.*, 2007; Sakan *et al.*, 2009). Contamination with heavy metals usually results from industrial activities, such as mining and smelting of metalliferous ores, electroplating, gas exhaust, energy and fuel production, fertilizer and pesticide application, and generation of municipal waste, which causes release of considerable amount of heavy metals to the atmosphere

and soil (Karimi *et al.*, 2017; Ayoubi *et al.*, 2018a, 2018b, 2019; Souri *et al.*, 2018; Hatamian *et al.*, 2020) The primary sources of heavy metals are volcanic activity, weathering of minerals, erosion, mining, smelting, pesticide and fertilizer, sludge dumping, industrial discharge, atmospheric deposition, etc (Ataabadi *et al.*, 2010; Özyay and Mammadov, 2013).

The essential mechanisms of heavy metal emissions from vehicles include fuel and engine oil consumption, tire wear, brake wear, and road abrasion. Cd pollution mainly originates from engine oil consumption. Tire wear is an essential source for Zn pollution (Al-Khashman *et al.*,

¹ Amasya University, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Arts, Amasya, Turkey

² Ondokuz Mayıs University, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Arts, Samsun, Turkey

³ Karamanoglu Mehmetbey University, Kamil Ozdag Science Faculty, Department of Biology, Karaman, Turkey

⁴ Gaziosmanpasa University, Department of Geography, Faculty of Science and Arts, Tokat, Turkey

* Corresponding author; email address: burakurmen@gmail.com



2011; Karakoyun and Osma, 2015). Similarly, brake wear causes Cu and Pb pollution. The use of unleaded gasoline has been increased in recent years, and this caused a subsequent reduction in automobile emissions of Pb. However, it may still occur in the exhaust gas and come from worn metal alloys in the engine (Taghipour *et al.*, 2011; Zhang *et al.*, 2012; Bilge and Çimrin, 2013).

Accumulation of heavy metals in higher plants depends on the binding and solubility of the particles deposited on leaf surfaces and plant species characteristics, concentrations, and bioavailability of elements in the soil (Olowoyo *et al.*, 2010; Hatamian *et al.*, 2019). Biomonitoring is generally defined as "the systematic use of living plant parts or their responses to determine the condition or changes of the environment" (Gerhardt, 2000). Biomonitoring determines the use of responses of individual plants or plant associations at several levels to detect or predict the environment's health. When some plant species are sensitive to single pollutants or mixtures of contaminants, these species are used to monitor air pollutants' effects as bioindicator plants. Highway surfaces have served as temporary sinks for various types of heavy metals, and these heavy metals are washed off during rainfall to the surrounding environment (Pal, 2014).

A bioconcentration factor (BCF) indicates heavy metal uptake, provides an index of the plant's ability to accumulate a particular metal in relation to its concentration in the organic substrate. Heavy metals with a BCF value greater than 1 can be defined as the most assimilated. (Radulescu *et al.*, 2013). Olowoyo *et al.* (2010) and Zhang *et al.* (2017) reported that if BCF value is greater than 1, the plant species is bioaccumulator of a particular heavy metal. However, BCF values smaller than 1 indicate that a plant species absorbs a heavy metal in limited concentration, which means a low amount of translocation from the soil to plant leaves.

This study was carried out in the Amasya Province of Turkey, one of the most

important cities in the central Black Sea Region of Turkey, where mining facilities were prevalent in the past years, but it was ended after the earthquake in 1996. Amasya is also highly industrialized, and there are several coal and sugar factories. Additionally, the textile industry has been developed in recent years (Agency, 2017). Among the main air pollution factors in Amasya City Center are fuels used in heating, exhaust gas emissions from motor vehicles, and emissions from the industry (Highways, 2015). The present study aimed to determine heavy metal accumulation in some Brassicaceae members in Amasya City and suburban areas to find a potential candidate for phytoremediation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area's climate shows 'Semi-arid Upper Mediterranean Bioclimate' characteristics with cold winters (Akman, 1999). The topographic layout of the area shows mountainous characters and elevation changes between 148 and 2080 m. The study area is located between 35°38'18"- 36°07'21" N and 40°32'25"- 40°48'42" E within the boundaries of the province (Figure 1). The study area's length is 42 km east-west and 29 km in a north-south direction. The geology of the region has a wide range of rock assemblages, from durable metamorphic rocks belonging to the Paleozoic Era to the existing weak units formed in basins and stream beds. The boundaries between these rock assemblages are mostly under the control of structural lines due to ancient tectonism, and existing sediments are interrupted by active faults (Anonym, 2018).

This study was conducted in 4 locations including (1) near the highway, (2) city center, (3) suburban areas, and (4) control (Figure 1). Five sampling points were chosen for each location. Selected locations had different traffic densities. The impact of traffic density on heavy metal contents was observed in June 2015. The control group




Figure 1. Geographic location of study area and topographic features.

was rural areas without traffic density and settlements. Mean daily traffic density was reported in the 16201 number of vehicles/hour in Amasya City Center and 11291 vehicles/hour in Amasya-Suluova highway for 2015 by the Turkish Ministry of Transport Maritime Affairs and Communication General Directory of Highways (Highways, 2015). Sampling localities and mean traffic density values are given in Table 1.

Five Brassicaceae species were selected for the study including *Calepina irregularis* L., *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (L.) Medik., *Lepidium draba* L., *Sisymbrium loeselii* L., and *Sisymbrium altissimum* L. The root, stem, and leaf samples of the species were used. Plant samples were collected by sterile plastic gloves to prevent contamination in the sampling locations, and survey was performed in June 2015.

In the laboratory, plant samples were grouped as root, stem, and leaf. All plant materials were dried to a constant weight at 70 °C using a microwave oven, then, 0.5 g of the dried leaf samples were powdered and extracted with 10 mL aqua regia, which was made by mixing hydrochloric acid (HCl)

and nitric acid (HNO₃) in a ratio of 3:1. The digest was filtered through a Whatman filter paper No 42. The amounts of heavy metal accumulated in soil and plant organs were determined by three replicates (ICP-OES), and the obtained data were evaluated.

After obtaining the laboratory analysis results, average amounts of each heavy metal were calculated based on their total accumulations including root, stem, and leaf in each plant species. Employing geographic references, a point (XYZ) database was established as a Microsoft Excel file to create interpolated surface maps. Then, spatial analyses of the XYZ data were conducted in GIS, and a series of thematic maps were developed. Throughout the mapping process, ArcGIS software was utilized, and Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) interpolation method was adopted (McCoy, 2004). As a result, 25 average heavy metal maps were produced for five plant species and five heavy metals (Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Zn). Finally, these thematic maps were evaluated, and their geographic distributions were interpreted.

General Linear Models ANOVA, MANOVA, and Tukey's Honestly

**Table 1.** Sampling points and traffic densities.

| Locality | Locality | Coordinates | The number of Automobiles, medium-loaded commercial vehicle, bus, truck and trailers per hour |
|----------|-------------|-------------------------|---|
| 1 | Roadside | AmasyaSuluova highway | |
| 2 | Roadside | AmasyaSuluova highway | |
| 3 | Roadside | AmasyaSuluova highway | (8515+441+310+887+1138=11291) |
| 4 | Roadside | AmasyaSuluova highway | |
| 5 | Roadside | AmasyaSuluova highway | |
| 6 | City Center | Amasya | |
| 7 | City Center | Amasya | (12203+1461+161+1763+613=16201) |
| 8 | City Center | Amasya | |
| 9 | City Center | Amasya | |
| 10 | City Center | Amasya | |
| 11 | Suburban | Amasyaİpekköy | |
| 12 | Suburban | Amasyaİpekköy | |
| 13 | Suburban | Amasya Forest ties | 3-5 vehicles per minute |
| 14 | Suburban | AmasyaHelvacı | |
| 15 | Suburban | AmasyaKoza Neighborhood | |
| 16 | Control | AmasyaAydınca | |
| 17 | Control | AmasyaYenice | |
| 18 | Control | AmasyaYenice | No traffic |
| 19 | Control | AmasyaZiyaret | |
| 20 | Control | AmasyaZiyaret | |

Significant Difference (HSD) tests were carried out by using SPSS 22.0 version. Data were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (Corp, 2013) before conducting ANOVA and MANOVA.

BCF values of plant species were calculated according to the following formula (Ling *et al.*, 2017).

$$BCF = \frac{\text{metal concentration in shoot}}{\text{metal concentration in substrate}} \quad (1)$$

Many researchers have used the geoaccumulation index to determine anthropogenic pollution in soils and sediments. (Loska *et al.*, 2003; Lokeshwari and Chandrappa, 2006). Geoaccumulation index values were computed using the following formula (Müller, 1979).

$$I_{geo} = \log_2 \left[\frac{C_n}{1.5B_n} \right] \quad (2)$$

Where, C_n is the measured concentration of the element in the environment and B_n is the geochemical background value in soil.

The constant 1.5 allows us to analyze natural fluctuations in the content of a given substance in the environment and to detect minimal anthropogenic influences (Elbagermi and Edwards, 2013).

RESULTS

Spatial distribution of heavy metal based on their total accumulations, including root, stem, and leaf in each plant species is shown in Figure 2. Produced raster maps showed similarities and differences in terms of plant species and heavy metal properties. For instance, *L. draba* heavy metal accumulation characteristics have been perceived similar for Cr, Cu, Zn, and Pb. However, *L. draba* showed different heavy metal accumulation characteristics for Cd. Likewise, the heavy metal deposition properties of the *S. loeselii* were similar for all heavy metals, except Cd. In the other raster maps, high heavy metal




Figure 2. Spatial distribution of heavy metals concentrations in different plant parts.

amounts were observed in City Center and near the highway locations, and low heavy metal amounts in the control and suburban areas. Consequently, all these maps showed almost the same characteristics.

On the other hand, the plants' heavy metal deposition characteristics also showed differences from each other. For example, in all plants, the most accumulated heavy metal was Zn, while Cd was least accumulated. However, their concentrations varied according to the species. *C. bursa-pastoris* had the highest Cr (114.59 ppm) and Cu (95.58 ppm) accumulation compared to other species. *L. draba*, on the other hand, accumulated Pb (69.68 ppm) and Zn (452.69 ppm) at most. *S. loeselii* had the highest Cd (2.21 ppm) accumulation compared to other species.

According to the MANOVA results, heavy metal contents varied significantly ($p < 0.01$)

among plant species, plant parts, and localities. All of the interactions among these parameters were also found to be significant (Table 2). The highest heavy metal concentrations were found in the City Centre, where the highest traffic density occurred. The highest heavy metal concentrations were found in leaves, and Zn concentrations were the highest in all plant parts (Table 3). The highest Cd concentrations were found in *S. loeselii*. The highest Cr and Cu concentrations were found in *C. bursa-pastoris*, while the highest Zn and Pb concentrations were in *L. draba* (Table 4). The highest heavy metal concentrations were found in City Centre samples, while the lowest heavy metal concentrations were found in the control and suburban samples (Table 5).

According to the geoaccumulation index (I_{geo}) values, all localities were moderately

**Table 2.** MANOVA results of heavy metals concentrations in species, plant parts, and localities (**p<0.01,* p<0.05).

| Source | Dependent Variable | Type III Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----|-------------|---------|--------|
| Species | Cd | 60.596 | 4 | 15.149 | 50.972 | 0.00** |
| | Cr | 137511.947 | 4 | 34377.987 | 22.537 | 0.00** |
| | Cu | 17942,809 | 4 | 4485.702 | 11.723 | 0.00** |
| | Zn | 1523099.469 | 4 | 380774.87 | 56.546 | 0.00** |
| | Pb | 31674.729 | 4 | 7918.682 | 23.705 | 0.00** |
| Plant part | Cd | 57.893 | 2 | 28.947 | 97.396 | 0.00** |
| | Cr | 268392.056 | 2 | 134196.03 | 87.975 | 0.00** |
| | Cu | 87884.299 | 2 | 43942.15 | 114.843 | 0.00** |
| | Zn | 1593001.524 | 2 | 796500.76 | 118.282 | 0.00** |
| | Pb | 16514.698 | 2 | 8257.349 | 24.719 | 0.00** |
| Locality | Cd | 54.125 | 3 | 18.042 | 60.704 | 0.00** |
| | Cr | 607808.621 | 3 | 202602.87 | 132.82 | 0.00** |
| | Cu | 363090.561 | 3 | 121030.19 | 316.314 | 0.00** |
| | Zn | 5144752.755 | 3 | 1714917.6 | 254.669 | 0.00** |
| | Pb | 211191.269 | 3 | 70397.09 | 210.737 | 0.00** |
| Species * Plant part | Cd | 62.038 | 8 | 7.755 | 26.092 | 0.00** |
| | Cr | 135317.31 | 8 | 16914.664 | 11.089 | 0.00** |
| | Cu | 65854.255 | 8 | 8231.782 | 21.514 | 0.00** |
| | Zn | 1771851.227 | 8 | 221481.4 | 32.89 | 0.00** |
| | Pb | 10211.673 | 8 | 1276,459 | 3.821 | 0.00** |
| Species * locality | Cd | 91.084 | 12 | 7.59 | 25.539 | 0.00** |
| | Cr | 155835.085 | 12 | 12986.257 | 8.513 | 0.00** |
| | Cu | 83167.424 | 12 | 6930.619 | 18.113 | 0.00** |
| | Zn | 1456280.689 | 12 | 121356.72 | 18.022 | 0.00** |
| | Pb | 40793.872 | 12 | 3399.489 | 10.177 | 0.00** |
| Plant part * Locality | Cd | 20.681 | 6 | 3.447 | 11.598 | 0.00** |
| | Cr | 47795.51 | 6 | 7965.918 | 5.222 | 0.00** |
| | Cu | 45652395 | 6 | 7608.732 | 19.886 | 0.00** |
| | Zn | 961007.079 | 6 | 160167.85 | 23.785 | 0.00** |
| | Pb | 9570.026 | 6 | 1595.004 | 4.775 | 0.00** |
| Species * Plant Part * Locality | Cd | 69.917 | 24 | 2.913 | 9.802 | 0.00** |
| | Cr | 213301.887 | 24 | 8887.579 | 5.826 | 0.00** |
| | Cu | 79701.428 | 24 | 3320.893 | 8.679 | 0.00** |
| | Zn | 911184.023 | 24 | 37966.001 | 5.638 | 0.00** |
| | Pb | 26380.719 | 24 | 1099197 | 3.29 | 0.00** |

Table 3. Mean heavy metal concentrations (mg/kg) of plant parts by Tukey's HSD test (Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level).

| Plant Parts | N | Cd | Cr | Cu | Zn | Pb |
|-------------|-----|--------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| Stem | 302 | 0.672b | 22.336c | 21.710c | 131.271c | 20.581b |
| Root | 289 | 0.712b | 48.100b | 39.704b | 176.870b | 21.867b |
| Leaf | 294 | 1.226a | 65.144a | 45.711a | 242.548a | 29.758a |

Table 4. Mean heavy metal concentrations (mg/kg) of studied species by Tukey's HSD test (Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level).

| Species | N | Cd | Cr | Cu | Zn | Pb |
|--------------------------|-----|---------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|
| <i>S. altissimum</i> | 192 | 0.533c | 48.692b | 34.006bc | 161.688cd | 25.151b |
| <i>L. draba</i> | 180 | 0.597c | 56.226ab | 32.473bc | 253.681a | 32.640a |
| <i>C. bursa-pastoris</i> | 165 | 1.013b | 61.458a | 45.814a | 198.727b | 18.515c |
| <i>C. irregularis</i> | 168 | 1.055ab | 32.813c | 37.341b | 163.040c | 27.202b |
| <i>S. loeselii</i> | 180 | 1.196a | 25.979c | 29.240c | 138.069d | 16.416c |

Table 5. Mean heavy metal concentrations (mg/kg) of the studied localities by Tukey's HSD test (Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level).

| Locality | N | Cd | Cr | Cu | Zn | Pb |
|------------------|-----|--------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| Near the highway | 225 | 0.784b | 52.850b | 44.965b | 207.846b | 32.486b |
| City center | 225 | 1.105a | 83.606a | 64.417a | 297.090a | 45.155a |
| Suburban | 213 | 1.105a | 26.732c | 17.994c | 130.314c | 11.023c |
| Control | 222 | 0.490c | 15.327d | 13.631c | 91.768d | 6.607c |

contaminated by Cd, Cr, Cu, and Pb. City Centre and suburban areas were moderately contaminated by Zn, while the near-highway location was heavily contaminated by Zn (Table 6.)

BCF >1 indicated that Cd was the mostly accumulated heavy metal by *C. irregularis* in the city centre (Figure 3). Similarly, Cr and Cu were the mostly absorbed heavy metals by *C. bursa-pastoris* in the City Centre. Zn was detected as the most accumulated heavy metal by *L. draba* in the City Centre.

The studied Brassicaceae species were found as bioaccumulators for Cr, Cu and Zn. However, heavy metal concentrations in *C. bursa-pastoris*, *L. draba*, *S. loeselii*, and *S. altissimum* collected from suburban sites were <1. The lowest BCF values were found for Pb (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

Cu, Zn, and Cr concentrations were usually high and at toxic levels, in the present study. Toxic levels of Cu causes

reduction in lateral root formation, formation of dark spots, growth suppression in the roots and thickening in the root cuticle (Kartal *et al.*, 2004; Yaşar, 2009). In Zn toxicity, root and shoot growth of plants decreases, roots become thinner, chlorophyll synthesis decreases, and chlorosis is observed in leaves (Rout and Das, 2009). Cr toxicity causes plant root injuries, degradation in photosynthetic pigments, oxidative stress in plants, and damage to biological membranes (Pak, 2011; Kılıç and Ipek, 2019).

Cd concentrations were below toxic levels, while Pb concentrations were at the limit of toxic levels. Cd's normal boundaries in plants are between 0.2 and 0.8 mg/kg. Cu contents in organs range from 4 to 15 ppm, and above 20 ppm are considered as toxic (Souri *et al.*, 2018, 2019). Pb concentrations range from 0.1 to 10 ppm, while above 30 ppm is considered as toxic. The average Zn concentrations range from 20 to 100 ppm, and above these values, Zn concentrations are considered toxic levels. Cr's toxic levels range from 1 to 10 ppm (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 2001; Ozturk *et al.*, 2017).

Table 6. Geoaccumulation index of the studied localities.

| Locality | Cd | Cr | Cu | Zn | Pb |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Igeo/ City Center | 0.331 | 0.668 | 0.438 | 0.808 | 0.672 |
| Igeo/ Near the highway | 0.517 | 0.289 | 0.690 | 1.540 | 0.922 |
| Igeo/ Suburban | 0.370 | 0.048 | 0.512 | 0.410 | 0.070 |




Figure 3. Bioconcentration factor (BCF) in studied plant species in City Center, suburban, near the highway, and control samples.

The mean heavy metal concentrations in plant tissues decreased as roadside>urban>suburban>rural site. The highest heavy metal concentrations were found in City Centre samples. In contrast, the lowest heavy metal concentrations were found in the control and suburban samples, except for Cd concentrations in *S. loeselii* and *L. draba*. This indicates that plants' heavy metal concentrations may change depending on the distance from the road and traffic density (Hamurcu *et al.*, 2010). Turkyilmaz *et al.* (2018) reported that dust condensation and particles occur ten times more in urban than rural areas, and eventually, atmospheric deposition is denser in urban areas. In *S. loeselii* and *L. draba* the highest Cd concentrations were found in suburban samples. Cd mostly comes from agricultural (fertilizer application) and domestic (sewage sludge) activities (Maas *et al.*, 2010; Hatamian *et al.*, 2020). Zhang *et al.* (2012) stated that the complex local terrain and environments, such as rain runoff and drainage, and wind direction and speed, might change the heavy metal contaminants distribution patterns. Zn concentrations were highest among the studied heavy metals. Pb concentrations were seven times higher in the City Centre than (control) rural areas, although the use of leaded petrol was forbade in Turkey in 2004 (Osma *et al.*, 2014). Pb concentrations around roadside declined with distance from the main roads. It has been hypothesized that Pb concentrations vary from time to time depending on the traffic density and even the season (Elbagermi and Edwards, 2013; Hatamian *et al.*, 2020).

The highest heavy metal concentrations were found in leaves, while the lowest heavy metal concentrations were found in stems. The foliar concentration of heavy metals increases directly with heavy metal concentrations in the environment (Aksoy *et al.*, 1999). Leaves exhibited the highest heavy metal concentrations compared to the roots and stems (Olowu *et al.*, 2015). It has been reported that heavy metals in the root zone were transported weakly to the stem,

but more strongly mobilized to leaves when available in the stems (Sulaiman and Hamzah, 2018).

BCF refers to the most critical plant feature in phytoremediation: the uptake of metals, their mobilization into plant tissues, and storage in the aerial plant biomass (Ndeda and Manohar, 2014; Fattahi *et al.*, 2019). Olowoyo *et al.* (2010) and Zhang *et al.* (2017) stated that if $BCF > 1$ the plant species are bioaccumulator of a particular heavy metal. $BCF < 1$ indicates that the plant species accumulate a limited amount of heavy metal and a low amount of heavy metal is transported from the soil to the plant leaves. (Olowoyo *et al.*, 2010). BCF values of Cd for *C. irregularis* and *C. bursa-pastoris* are greater than 1 except for city centre. For other species, the BCF values of Cd are less than 1. Similarly $Cr < 1$ in *C. irregularis* and *C. bursa-pastoris*, except for City Centre. In all of the studied plant species, the lowest BCF values were found for Pb, while the highest BCF was found for Zn and Cu. This follows several studies (Günthardt-Goerg and Vollenweider, 2007; Xu *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2017). Günthardt-Goerg and Vollenweider (2007) emphasized that Pb has relatively low mobility in plant tissues and low-mobility heavy metals such as Pb directly injure the roots. The phytotoxicity of heavy metals is caused by their interaction with proteins, displacement of essential ions from binding sites and stimulation of reactive oxygen species generation. Cu and Zn are micronutrients and essential for plant growth, and only under specific conditions and high-bioavailable concentrations in plant cells they can exert a toxicity effect (Souri and Hatamian, 2019). However, Pb is classified as a non-essential micronutrient, and root uptake of Pb was somewhat limited as to their diminished transfer capacities from roots to the other plant parts (Xu *et al.*, 2015).

Geoaccumulation index (I_{geo}) for heavy metals were classified as uncontaminated ($I_{geo} \leq 0$); uncontaminated to moderately contaminated ($0 < I_{geo} \leq 1$); moderately



contaminated ($1 < I_{geo} \leq 2$); moderately to heavily contaminated ($2 < I_{geo} \leq 3$); heavily contaminated ($3 < I_{geo} \leq 4$); heavily to extremely contaminated ($4 < I_{geo} \leq 5$); and extremely contaminated ($I_{geo} \geq 5$). The ranges of I_{geo} values for heavy metals in all areas ranged from (0.048) to (1.540). According to these threshold values, soils near the highways were moderately contamination for Zn. Shi *et al.* (2010) found that, in a metropolitan region of China, the geoaccumulation index of Zn was 2.44 (moderately to heavily contamination). In another study investigating traffic and dust related pollution, the soil was found to be contaminated according to Pb and Zn I_{geo} indexes (Ji *et al.*, 2008; Charzyński *et al.*, 2017). In this study, I_{geo} of Zn and Pb for the suburban area shows similar results with previous studies (Izah *et al.*, 2017; Łyszczarz *et al.*, 2020). As a result, roadside samples were mostly polluted, and all localities were moderately contaminated (Elbagermi and Edwards, 2013). The road sides are at ecological risk in terms of Zn (1.540) pollution.

Capsella bursa-pastoris (L.) Medik. was found to have the highest Cr (114.59 ppm) and Cu (95.58 ppm) accumulation compared to other species. *Lepidium draba* L., on the other hand, accumulated Pb (69.68 ppm) and Zn (452.69 ppm) at most. *Sisymbrium loeselii* L. had the highest Cd (2.21 ppm) accumulation compared to other species. The present study results indicated that *L. draba*, *C. bursa-pastoris* and *S. loeselii* can be safely used for biomonitoring studies. These species' high heavy metal contents could also make them a potential candidate for phytoremediation purposes (Osma *et al.*, 2014; Karimi and Souri, 2015).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Amasya University BAP unit (FMB-BAP 16-0167) for funding the current research. The authors also thank the reviewers for their valuable contribution.

REFERENCES

1. Agency, M.B.S.D. 2017. Available at: <https://www.oka.org.tr/yayinlar-ve-dokumanlar/yatirim-tanitim/middle-black-sea-development-agency>
2. Akman, Y. 1999. Climate and Bioclimate. The Methods of Bioclimate and Climate Types of Turkey. Kariyer Matbaacılık. Ankara 350 PP.
3. Aksoy, A., Hale, W. H. G. and Dixon, J.M. 1999. *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (L.) Medic. as a Biomonitor of Heavy Metals. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **226(2-3)**:177–186.
4. Al-Khashman, O.A., Al-Muhtaseb, A.H. and Ibrahim, K.A. 2011. Date Palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) Leaves as Biomonitor of Atmospheric Metal Pollution in Arid and Semi-Arid Environments. *Environ. Pollut.*, **159(6)**:1635-1640.
5. Anonym 2018. Available at: https://webdosya.csb.gov.tr/db/ced/icerikler/masya_cdr2018-20190903163856.pdf.
6. Armitage, P.D., Bowes, M.J. and Vincent, H. M. 2007. Long-term Changes in Macroinvertebrate Communities of a Heavy Metal Polluted Stream: The River Nent (Cumbria, UK) after 28 Years. *River Res. Appl.*, **23(9)**:997–1015.
7. Ataabadi, M., Hoodaji, M. and Afi, A. 2010. Heavy Metals Biomonitoring by Plants Grown in an Industrial Area of Isfahan' Mobarakeh Steel Company. *Journal of Environmental Studies*, **35(52)**:25-27.
8. Ayoubi, S., Jabbari, M. and Khademi, H. 2018a. Multiple Linear Modeling between Soil Properties, Magnetic Susceptibility and Heavy Metals in Various Land Uses. *Model. Earth Syst. Environ.*, **4(2)**:579–589.
9. Ayoubi, S., Soltani, Z. and Khademi, H. 2018b. Particle Size Distribution of Heavy Metals and Magnetic Susceptibility in an Industrial Site. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, **100(5)**:708–714.
10. Ayoubi, S., Adman, V. and Yousefifard, M. 2019. Use of Magnetic Susceptibility to Assess Metals Concentration in Soils Developed on a Range of Parent Materials. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, **168**:138–145.
11. Bilge, U., and Çimrin, K. M. 2013. Viranşehir-Kızıltepe karayolu kenarındaki topraklarda motorlu taşıtlardan kaynaklanan ağır metal kirliliği. *J. Agric. Sci.*, **19**:323–329.

12. Charzyński, P., Plak, A., and Hanaka, A. 2017. Influence of the soil sealing on the geoaccumulation index of heavy metals and various pollution factors. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, **24(5)**:4801-4811.
13. Corp, I. 2013. IBM SPSS statistics for windows.
14. Elbagermi, M., and Edwards, H. 2013. Monitoring of heavy metals content in soil collected from city centre and industrial areas of Misurata, Libya. *Int. J. Anal. Chem.*, (Article ID 312581): 1-5.
15. Fattahi, B., Arzani, K., Souri, M.K., and Barzegar, M. 2019. Effects of cadmium and lead on seed germination, morphological traits, and essential oil composition of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.). *Ind. Crops Prod.*, **138**:111584.
16. Gerhardt, A. 2000. Biomonitoring of polluted water, Trans Tech Publications Ltd., 320 PP.
17. Günthardt-Goerg, M.S., and Vollenweider, P. 2007. Linking stress with macroscopic and microscopic leaf response in trees: New diagnostic perspectives. *Environ. Pollut.*, **147(3)**:467-488.
18. Hamurcu, M., Özcan, M.M., Dursun, N., and Gezgin, S. 2010. Mineral and heavy metal levels of some fruits grown at the roadsides. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, **48(6)**:1767-1770.
19. Hatamian, M., Rezaei Nejad, A., Kafi, M., Souri, M.K., and Shahbazi, K. 2020. Interaction of lead and cadmium on growth and leaf morphophysiological characteristics of European hackberry (*Celtis australis*) seedlings. *Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric.*, **7(1)**:9.
20. Hatamian, M., Rezaie Nejad, A., Kafi, M., Souri, M.K., Shahbazi, K. 2019. Growth characteristics of ornamental Judas tree (*Cercis siliquastrum* L.) seedling under different concentrations of lead and cadmium in irrigation water. *Acta Sci. Pol-Hortoru.*, **18(2)**:87-96.
21. Highways 2015. Available at: <https://www.kgm.gov.tr/Sayfalar/KGM/SiteEng/Root/Statistics.aspx>.
22. Izah, S.C., Basse, S.E., and Ohimain, E.I. 2017. Geo-accumulation Index, Enrichment Factor and Quantification of Contamination of Heavy Metals in Soil Receiving Cassava Mill Effluents in A Rural Community in the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria. *Mol. Soil Biol.*, **8(2)**:7-20.
23. Ji, Y., Feng, Y., Wu, J., Zhu, T., Bai, Z., and Duan, C. 2008. Using geoaccumulation index to study source profiles of soil dust in China. *J. Environ. Sci.*, **20(5)**:571-578.
24. Kabata-Pendias, A., and Pendias, H. 2001. Trace Elements Soils and Plants. Boca Raton, CRC Press, 336 PP.
25. Karakoyun, G., and Osma, E. 2015. Erzincan'da Hava Kirliliğine Bağlı Olarak Sarı Çamlarda (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) Ağır Metal Birikimi. *Gümüşhane Üniversitesi Fen Bilim. Enstitüsü Derg.*, **5(2)**:67.
26. Karimi, A., Haghnia, G.H., Ayoubi, S., and Safari, T. 2017. Impacts of geology and land use on magnetic susceptibility and selected heavy metals in surface soils of Mashhad plain, northeastern Iran. *J. Appl. Geophys.*, **138**:127-134.
27. Karimi, N., and Souri, Z. 2015. Effect of Phosphorus on Arsenic Accumulation and Detoxification in Arsenic Hyperaccumulator, *Isatis cappadocica*. *J. Plant Growth Regul.*, **34(1)**:88-95.
28. Kartal, G., Güven, A., Kahvecioğlu, Ö., and Timur, S. 2004. Metallerin Çevresel Etkileri-II. *Met. Derg.*, **137**:1-12.
29. Kılıç, D.D., and İPEK, A. 2019. Removal of Lead Pollution from Treatment Sludge by Chelate Supported Phytoremediation Method Using Some Agricultural Plants. *J. Inst. Sci. Technol.*, **9(1)**:458-467.
30. Klavinš, M., Briede, A., Rodinov, V., Kokorite, I., Parele, E., and Klavina, I. 2000. Heavy metals in rivers of Latvia. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **262(1-2)**:175-183.
31. Ling, T., Gao, Q., Du, H., Zhao, Q., and Ren, J. 2017. Growing, physiological responses and Cd uptake of Corn (*Zea mays* L.) under different Cd supply. *Chem. Speciat. Bioavailab.*, **29(1)**:216-221.
32. Lokeshwari, H., and Chandrappa, G.T. 2006. Impact of heavy metal contamination of Bellandur Lake on soil and cultivated vegetation. *Curr. Sci.*, **91**:622-627.
33. Loska, K., Wiechula, D., Barska, B., Cebula, E., and Chojnecka, A. 2003. Assessment of arsenic enrichment of cultivated soils in Southern Poland. *Polish J. Environ. Stud.*, **12(2)**:187-192.
34. Łyszczarz, S., Błońska, E., and Lasota, J. 2020. The application of the geo-accumulation index and geostatistical methods to the assessment of forest soil contamination with heavy metals in the Babia Góra National Park (Poland). *Arch. Environ. Prot.*, **46(3)**:69-79.



35. Maas, S., Scheifler, R., Benslama, M., Crini, N., Lucot, E., Brahmia, Z., Benyacoub, S., and Giraudoux, P. 2010. Spatial distribution of heavy metal concentrations in urban, suburban and agricultural soils in a Mediterranean city of Algeria. *Environ. Pollut.*, **158(6)**:2294–2301.
36. McCoy, J. 2004. ArcGIS 9: Geoprocessing in ArcGIS, ESRI Press, Redlands.
37. Müller, G. 1979. Schwermetalle in Den Sedimenten Des Rheins - Veränderungen Seit 1971. *Umsch. Wissensch. Techn.*, **79**:778–783.
38. Ndeda, L.A., and Manohar, S. 2014. Bio Concentration Factor and Translocation Ability of Heavy Metals within Different Habitats of Hydrophytes in Nairobi Dam, Kenya. *IOSR Journal of Environmental Science*, **8(5)**:42–45.
39. Olowoyo, J.O., Heerden, E. van, Fischer, J.L., and Baker, C. 2010. Trace metals in soil and leaves of Jacaranda mimosifolia in Tshwane area, South Africa. *Atmos. Environ.*, **44(14)**:1826–1830.
40. Olowu, R.A., Adewuyi, G.O., Onipede, O.J., Lawal, O.A., and Sunday, O.M. 2015. Concentration of heavy metals in root, stem and leaves of Acalypha indica and Panicum maximum jacq from three major dumpsites in Ibadan Metropolis. *Am. J. Chem.*, **5(1)**:40–48.
41. Osma, E., Ozyigit, I., Demir, G., and Bull, U.Y. 2014. Assessment of some heavy metals in wild type and cultivated purslane (*Portulaca oleracea* L.) and soils in Istanbul, Turkey. *Fresenius Environ. Bull.*, **23(9)**:2181–2189.
42. Özay, C., and Mammadov, R. 2013. Ağır Metaller ve Süs Bitkilerinin Fitoremediasyonda Kullanılabilirliği. *Balıkesir Üniversitesi Fen Bilim. Enstitüsü Derg.*, **15(1)**:68–77.
43. Ozturk, A., Yarci, C., and Ozyigit, I.I. 2017. Assessment of heavy metal pollution in Istanbul using plant (*Celtis australis* L.) and soil assays. *Biotechnol. Biotechnol. Equip.*, **31(5)**:948–954.
44. Pak, O. 2011. Kırklareli Sınırları İçerisindeki Otoban Kenarlarında Bulunan Tarım Arazilerinde Bazı Ağır Metal Kirliliğinin Araştırılması. M.Sc. Thesis in Namık Kemal Üniversitesi, Turkey (in Turkish).
45. Pal, P. 2014. Biomonitoring with special reference to visible damages in different plant species due to air pollution-A review. *Int. Lett. Nat. Sci.*, **11(1)**:32–37.
46. Radulescu, C., Stihi, C., Popescu, I. V., Dulama, I.D., Chelarescu, E.D., and Chilian, A. 2013. Heavy Metal Accumulation and Translocation in Different Parts of *Brassica oleracea* L. *Rom. J. Phys.*, **8(9-10)**:1337–1354.
47. Rout, G.R., and Das, P. 2009. Effect of Metal Toxicity on Plant Growth and Metabolism: I. Zinc In: Sustainable Agriculture. Dordrecht, Springer Netherlands, 873–884 PP.
48. Sakan, S.M., Dordević, D.S., Manojlović, D.D., and Predrag, P.S. 2009. Assessment of heavy metal pollutants accumulation in the Tisza river sediments. *J. Environ. Manage.*, **90(11)**:3382–3390.
49. Shi, G., Chen, Z., Bi, C., Li, Y., Teng, J., Wang, L., and Xu, S. 2010. Comprehensive assessment of toxic metals in urban and suburban street deposited sediments (SDSs) in the biggest metropolitan area of China. *Environ. Pollut.*, **158(3)**:694–703.
50. Souri, M.K., Alipanahi, N., Hatamian, M., Ahmadi, M., and Tesfamariam, T. 2018. Elemental Profile of Heavy Metals in Garden cress, Coriander, Lettuce and Spinach, Commonly Cultivated in Kahrizak, South of Tehran- Iran. *Open Agric.*, **3(1)**:32–37.
51. Souri, M.K., and Hatamian, M. 2019. Aminocheletes in plant nutrition: a review. *J. Plant Nutr.*, **42(1)**:67–78.
52. Souri, M.K., Hatamian, M., and Tesfamariam, T. 2019. Plant growth stage influences heavy metal accumulation in leafy vegetables of garden cress and sweet basil. *Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric.*, **6(1)**:25.
53. Sulaiman, F.R., and Hamzah, H.A. 2018. Heavy metals accumulation in suburban roadside plants of a tropical area (Jengka, Malaysia). *Ecol. Process.*, **7(1)**:28.
54. Szefer, P., Ikuta, K., Kushiyama, S., Szefer, K., Frelek, K., and Geldon, J. 1997. Distribution and association of trace metals in soft tissue and byssus of *Mytilus edulis* from the east coast of Kyushu Island, Japan. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, **32(2)**:184–190.
55. Taghipour, M., Ayoubi, S. and Khademi, H. 2011. Contribution of Lithologic and Anthropogenic Factors to Surface Soil Heavy Metals in Western Iran Using Multivariate Geostatistical Analyses. *Soil Sediment Contam.*, **20(8)**:921–937.
56. Turkyilmaz, A., Sevik, H., Cetin, M., and Saleh, E.A.A. 2018. Changes in Heavy Metal Accumulation Depending on Traffic Density

- in Some Landscape Plants. *Polish J. Environ. Stud.*, **27(5)**:2277–2284.
57. Xu, C., Xulei, S., Pengjie, H.U., Cheng, Y., Luo, Y., Longhua, W.U., and Christie, P. 2015. Concentrations of Heavy Metals in Suburban Horticultural Soils and Their Uptake by *Artemisia selengensis*. *Pedosphere*, **25(6)**:878-887.
58. Yaşar, Ü. 2009. *Cercis siliquastrum* L. subsp. *siliquastrum* (Fabaceae) Un Ağır Metal Kirliliğinde Biomonitor Olarak Kullanımı. PhD Thesis in Marmara University, Turkey (in Turkish).
59. Zhang, F., Yan, X., Zeng, C., Zhang, M., Shrestha, S., Devkota, L.P., and Yao, T. 2012. Influence of Traffic Activity on Heavy Metal Concentrations of Roadside Farmland Soil in Mountainous Areas. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, **9**:1715–1731.
60. Zhang, T., Bai, Y., Hong, X., Sun, L., and Liu, Y. 2017. Particulate matter and heavy metal deposition on the leaves of *Euonymus japonicus* during the East Asian monsoon in Beijing, China. *PLoS One*, **12(6)**:e0179840.

ارزیابی انباشت فلزات سنگین و خواص پایش‌زیستی در بعضی گونه‌های تیره شب‌بو در استان آماسیا در ترکیه

۱. ابرو تونا، د. دویگو کیلیش، ه. گورای کوتبای، ب. سومن، و ه. مت دوگان

چکیده

در این پژوهش، محتوای فلزات سنگین (Cd و Cr, Pb, Cu) در پنج گونه از شب‌بویان که در چهار ناحیه مختلف ترکیه یافت می‌شود تعیین شد و سپس نقشه نگاری و تفسیر گردید. تحلیل پراکنش داده‌های جمع‌آوری شده در محیط جی.آی.اس انجام شد و نقشه‌های موضوعی برای میانگین انباشت فلزات سنگین در ریشه، ساقه و برگ هر یک از گونه‌ها تهیه شد. پتانسیل پایش‌زیستی گونه‌ها بر مبنای نتایج تحلیل آماری نواحی مختلف ارزیابی شد. ویژگی‌های رسوب فلزات سنگین این گونه‌ها اختلافاتی را نشان داد. در میان فلزات سنگین، Zn بیشترین انباشت را نشان داد در حالیکه انباشت Cd از همه کمتر بود. در مقایسه با گونه‌های دیگر، *Capsella bursa-pastoris* بیشترین انباشت Cr و Cu را داشت. از سوی دیگر، *Lepidium draba* بیشترین انباشت Pb و Zn را نشان داد. نیز، *Sisymbrium loeselii* بیشترین انباشت Cd را در مقایسه با دیگر فلزات نشان داد. نتایج پژوهش حاضر حکایت از آن دارد که گونه *Lepidium draba* را در مورد (Pb و Zn)، گونه *Capsella bursa-pastoris* در مورد (Cu و Cr) و گونه *Sisymbrium loeselii* را در مورد (Cd) می‌توان با اطمینان برای مطالعات پایش‌زیستی به کار برد.