Nitrogen Source and Deficit Irrigation Influence on Yield and Nitrogen Translocation of Triticale in an Arid Mediterranean Agroecosystem

V. Barati^{1*}, E. Bijanzadeh¹, and Z. Zinati¹

ABSTRACT

To identify the important features of triticale that contribute to improving grain and biomass Water Use Efficiency (WUEg and WUEb, respectively), grain yield, and Nitrogen (N) remobilization, a 2-year side-by-side experiment was carried out on triticale with different nitrogen sources and water regimes, in a typical Mediterranean environment of Iran. There were two levels of water regimes: Normal Irrigation (IR_N) and irrigation cut off after anthesis stage (IR_{MD}). Rain-fed treatment (IR_{θ}) was included in the second year. Four N sources including Azospirillum brasilense (Bio), Azospirillum brasilense+75 kg N ha⁻¹ as urea (Bio+N₇₅), 150 kg N ha⁻¹ as urea (N_{150}), and control unfertilized (N_0) plots were used. This study showed that the highest grain yield (6,258 kg ha⁻¹) was achieved by chemical N fertilizer application (N_{150}) under IR_N. In contrast, the application of Bio+N₇₅ resulted in the highest grain yield as compared with the other N sources under IR_{MD} (4,409 kg ha⁻¹) and IR₀ (2,960 kg ha⁻¹) conditions. Water stress significantly increased WUE_b at all N sources. However, WUE_g slightly increased by IR_{MD} and then sharply decreased by IR_0 in all N sources, except N_{150} plots, where WUEg drastically decreased by water stress imposed by IRMD and IR0. The Bio+N75 treatment had the highest N remobilization. Although N remobilization was not affected by IR_{MD} in dryer year, it increased by IR_{MD} (8.4%) in the relatively wet year. Totally, for a more sustainable farming system in arid Mediterranean conditions, integration of biofertilizer and chemical N fertilizer could be successfully used for increasing grain yield, WUE, and N remobilization of triticale, especially under deficit irrigation regimes.

Keywords: Grain yield, Nitrogen remobilization, Water Use Efficiency, X. Triticosecale Wittmack.

INTRODUCTION

Drought is a serious problem for agriculture and reduces crop productivity, particularly in arid and semi-arid areas of the world (Arseniuk, 2015). Triticale (*X. Triticosecale Wittmack*) usually does better than wheat under normal and a variety of drought stress conditions, although considerable variability is observed among the crop genotypes (Roohi *et al.*, 2013; Fayaz and Arzani, 2011; Lonbani and Arzani, 2011). For sustainable water use, considering the drought resistance of triticale crop, substantial efforts have been made to promote triticale cultivation as an alternative cereal in southern Iran, with a typical Mediterranean climate.

Generally, water deficit from double ridge to anthesis and around anthesis of cereals causes yield losses due to reductions in potential grain number per spike (Fischer, 1985; Cossani *et al.*, 2009), while water stress and high temperatures during grain filling period, as it particularly occurs in Mediterranean regions, reduce mean kernel weight (Oweis *et al.*, 2000).

The main concern in arid and semi-arid environments is water availability and its efficient use (Tavakkoli and Oweis, 2004). Exposing crops to a certain level of drought

¹ Department of Agro-ecology, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources of Darab, Shiraz University, Iran.

^{*}Corresponding author; email address: v.barati@shirazu.ac.ir

stress by withholding irrigation or reducing the amount of irrigation water either during a particular period or throughout the growing season, i.e. deficit irrigation regime, has been employed to maximize water use efficiency and achieve higher yields per unit of irrigation water in different crops (Afshar *et al.*, 2014; Jahanzad *et al.*, 2013). Zhang *et al.* (2006) reported that appropriate degree of regulated deficit irrigation could result in 33–40% higher WUE as compared to the full irrigation condition in spring wheat in an arid environment.

The N deficiency is one of the major constraints in actual farming of arid Mediterranean environment (Campbell et al., 1993; Barati and Ghadiri, 2017). Therefore, supplemental chemical N fertilizers are most widely used; however, questions have been raised about the long-term sustainability of such systems because rate of release of N in soils often does not match crop demand with fertilizer applications. Moreover, highly concentrated inorganic N inputs can have detrimental environmental impacts (Campbell et al., 1995). In the recent years, application of natural and biological fertilizers has drawn researchers' attention due to their successful performance in crop production and their less ecological footprint compared to chemical fertilizers (Dadrasan et al., 2015). A large group of soil inhabiting microorganisms known as Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) is able to fix atmospheric N₂ to a usable form for plants (Vessey, 2003). Azospirillum, a well-known freeliving aerobic bacterium, which can be found in a wide range of habitats associated with various types of plants, is a member of PGPRs that can efficiently convert atmospheric N₂ to usable forms for plants (De Freitas, 2000; Kizilkaya, 2008). Apart from fixing atmospheric N₂ (Creus et al., 2010), Azospirillum can provide hormone like substances, including auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins (Creus et al., 2010; Vande Broek et al., 1999) and, consequently, stimulate both rates of root elongation and appearance of crown roots (Fallik et al., 1994). Higher root performance might increase nutrient uptake from soils, thus reducing the need for fertilizers and preventing water contamination with nitrate in agricultural areas. Furthermore, in some studies, it has been shown that the application of PGPR as seed inoculants alleviated the deleterious

effects of drought stress on plant growth (Creus *et al.*, 2004; Arshad *et al.*, 2008).

Matching N fertilization with crop water availability is essential for achieving acceptable grain yield (Latiri-Souki et al., 1998). It is largely demonstrated that the early developmental processes in cereal life cycle, such as tiller proliferation occurs during early growth sages, depend on the availability of water and N (Simane et al., 1993) (Garcia del Moral et al., 1991). Furthermore, combined water and N restrictions around anthesis stage are known to induce floret abortion resulting in a reduced kernel number per unit land area (Jeuffroy and Bouchard, 1999; Acevedo et al., 2002). Therefore, understanding of water availability×N interaction effects, especially when slow release N sources such as bio-fertilizers are applied, is of crucial importance for stabilizing cereal production in the Mediterranean regions. Indeed, Finding the water and N fertilizing management options to fit crop requirements in areas with low water availability are necessary for sustainable use of water and N.

deficiency Mild water enhances the remobilization of pre-stored carbon and N reserves to grain and partially compensates the reduced current assimilation (Plaut et al., 2004; Barati and Ghadiri, 2017). It seems that stimulation of dry matter and N for transfer to grain by mild water stress at the later grain-filling stage, mainly related to promote whole-plant senescence (Yang et al., 2000). Heavy use of chemical N fertilizers and irrigation water leads to delayed senescence and causes crops to stay green when the grains mature, thus, it shows a low HI and NHI with much Non-Structural Carbohydrate (NSC) and N left in the straw (Bijanzadeh and Emam, 2012; Barati and Ghadiri, 2017). With respect to the negative effects of heavy use of chemical N fertilizers on pre-stored carbon and N remobilization and, consequently, on HI and NHI, studying of biofertilizers such as Azospirillum bacteria as a slow release N fertilizer instead of chemical N fertilizers may be an approach for increasing HI and NHI. Furthermore, as discussed above, Azospirillum bacteria may alleviate the deleterious effects of severe drought stress on plant growth and stimulate the contribution of pre anthesis reserved carbon and N to grain.

Although many studies concerning water and chemical N on cereals have been performed, triticale grown under various water and N sources including bio fertilizer have not been fully investigated. The main objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of deficit irrigation and N sources (chemical and bio fertilizer) on WUE, grain yield, N remobilization and NHI (Nitrogen Harvest Index).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description

The experiments were carried out at the experimental farm of Darab Agriculture and Natural Resources College of Shiraz University located in southern Iran (29° 46' N, 52° 43' E, and altitude 1,603 m) during two consecutive winter triticale growing seasons in 2015-2016 and 2016-2017, referred hereafter as 2016 and 2017, respectively. Darab Area with typically arid Mediterranean climate is characterized by long-term mean annual rainfall of 257.5 mm mostly concentrated in fall and winter seasons. Furthermore, its maximum summer air temperature is 46.5°C. Climatic data were from an agro-meteorological station near the experimental site, as given in Figure 1. The soil was loam and contained 1.86% total organic matter, 0.11% total N, 20 mg kg⁻¹ available Phosphorus (P), and 340 mg kg^{-1} available potassium (K).

Experimental Design

JAST

The experiments were laid out in a split plot pattern based on a randomized complete block design with two levels of irrigation in 2016 and three levels of irrigation in 2017 and three types N fertilizer management of with three replications. Main plots were allocated to irrigation regimes comprised of Normal Irrigation (IR_N) and irrigation cut off after anthesis stage as a Mild Deficit Irrigation (IR_{MD}) in 2016. In 2017, rainfed treatment (IR₀) was included due to more precipitation forecasting. Sub plots were assigned to N diversity nutrition comprised of control [no N fertilizer, (N₀)], sole chemical N fertilizer [150 kg N ha-1 was used as fertilizer, recommended Ν $(N_{150})],$ sole biological fertilizer [seed inoculated with Azospirillum brasilense, (Bio)], and combined fertilizer [50% chemical N fertilizer (75 kg N ha-¹)+seed inoculated by Azospirillum brasilense, (Bio+N₇₅)].

Agronomy

In both growing seasons, a winter triticale cultivar (Sanabad) was chosen based on the several years of experience and its well adaptation to Mediterranean climate in southern Iran. Uniform triticale seeds were hand sown at a soil depth of approximately 2 cm in rows 20 cm apart giving 250 plants m⁻² (Bijanzadeh *et al.*, 2019) in plots of 3×5 m in both growing seasons. Adjacent plots were 1 m apart in each replication and a border of 5 m was established between the



Figure 1. Monthly rainfall, pan Evaporation (E), sunshine duration, mean minimum and maximum air Temperatures (T_{min} and T_{max} , respectively) and Relative Humidity (RH) during the two growing seasons.

replicates for minimizing water and N lateral movement. Dikes were established around the plots for surface furrow irrigation.

Azospirillum brasilense was provided by the Soil and Water Research Institute, Tehran, Iran. Seeds of triticale were surface sterilized in 3% NaOCl for 2 minutes and washed twice with sterile distilled water. Seeds were inoculated as previously described by Creus *et al.* (1996) and air dried in a laminar flow cabinet to 14% humidity, and stored for 2 day at 15°C in the dark until sown. Nitrogen fertilizer was applied as urea (46% N) to each plot at three splits; i.e., one- third applied at tillering stage (ZGS21) (Zadocks *et al.*, 1974), again one-third at the beginning of stem elongation stage (ZGS31) and the rest at the ear emergence stage (ZGS57) in all irrigation treatments and rainfed as well.

Irrigation

In both growing seasons, the plots with IR_N irrigation regimes were irrigated normally until plants reached the physiological maturity stage (ZGS95) (Zadocks *et al.*, 1974). In contrast, the plots with IR_{MD} regime were irrigated normally only until plants reached full anthesis stage (ZGS69) (Zadocks *et al.*, 1974).

Before each irrigation event, the soil profile was sampled to the 90 cm depth in 30 cm increments using a post-hole auger from four spots at the center of each plot in three replicates. The volumetric water content of the soil layers was measured using the gravimetric method and the depth of irrigation was calculated using Equation (1):

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\theta_{\rm fci} - \theta_i) \, \Delta Z_i \tag{1}$$

Where, D is the irrigation water Depth (mm), *i* is equal to one layer, n is the number of soil layer, \sum is summation of amount of irrigation water depth (mm) in n number of layers, θ_{fci} is volumetric water content at field capacity (cm³ cm⁻³) in the *i*th soil layer, θ_i is volumetric water content (cm³ cm⁻³) in the *i*th soil layer and ΔZi is the soil layer thickness (mm) in the *i*th soil layer.

Water was applied when the mean soil moisture of the plots dropped to less than 50% of the available moisture. The amount of water applied was calculated in terms of the water

needed to refill 0-90 cm depth to field capacity and was measured by time-volume technique (Barati and Ghadiri, 2017).

Measurements

At the physiological maturity stage, onemeter long sample were randomly harvested from the center of each plot and the number of fertile tillers was counted. The ears were threshed in a threshing machine and the number of grains per spike was determined by counting the grains using a seed counter. Mean grain weight was calculated from the weight of five sets of 1,000 grains each from the sampling area. Furthermore, plants in the area of 1 m² from center rows in each plots were hand harvested on 3 June 2016 and 10 June 2017. After harvesting, above-ground biomass and grain yield was measured. Harvest Index (HI) (%) was calculated as the ratio of the grain weight per above-ground biomass.

Oven-dried of whole plant parts samples at anthesis and grain and straw samples at maturity stage were taken from five plants randomly chosen in each plot and N concentration of each plant part was determined by the Kjeldahl method (Dordas and Sioulas, 2009). Then, the various parameters such as N uptake (kg N ha⁻¹), N accumulation and remobilization (kg N ha⁻¹), N remobilization efficiency (%) and Nitrogen Harvest Index (NHI) (%) within the triticale crop were calculated according to Equation (2) to [6] (Barati and Ghadiri, 2017):

N uptake (kg N ha⁻¹) =

nitrogen concentration (%) ×

- dry matter (kg ha⁻¹)
- Post anthesis N accumulation(kg ha⁻¹)
- = N uptake of whole plant at anthesis (kg ha^{-1})
- N uptake of whole plant at physiological maturity (kg ha⁻¹)

(2)

N remobilization (kg ha⁻¹) = N uptake of whole plant at anthesis (kg ha⁻¹) -N uptake of vegetative plant parts [(leaf + culm) + chaff)] at maturity (kg ha⁻¹) (4) N remobilizatione fficiency(%) =

(N remobilization (kg ha⁻¹)/

Nuptake of whole plant at anthesis (kg ha⁻¹)) ×

100 (5) Contribution of N remobilization to grain N content (%) = (N remobilization(kg ha⁻¹)/

grain N uptake at maturity (kg ha⁻¹)) × 100 (6) Nitrogen harvest index (NHI)(%) =

(grain N uptake (kg ha⁻¹)/

total above ground N uptake at maturity (kg ha⁻¹)) \times 100 (7)

Grain and aboveground biomass Water Use Efficiency (WUE_g and WUE_b, respectively) were calculated by using the Equations (7) and (8), respectively (Barati *et al.*, 2015). Total water use included rains in both growing seasons.

WUEg (kg ha⁻¹mm⁻¹)

WUEb (kg ha⁻¹mm⁻¹)

= $[above - ground biomass (kg ha^{-1})/total water use (mm)] \times 100$ (9)

Statistical Analysis

Separate analyses of variances were carried out due to the different irrigation regimes in 2016 and 2017 growing seasons. Statistical analyses were performed through the GLM procedure of SAS by using the correct error term to evaluate each factor and interaction. The correlation coefficients were calculated by SAS software, as well. The Least Significant Difference (LSD) at 0.05 probability level was used as mean separation test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Grain Yield, Yield Components, and Harvest Index

Averaged across the Normal and Deficit Irrigation (IR_N and IR_{MD}, respectively) treatments, grain yield of triticale crop was greater in 2017 (4,548 kg ha⁻¹) than 2016 (3,671 kg ha⁻¹), which may be attributed to the higher rainfall in the vegetative stage of the second year (572 mm) as compared with the first year (86.4 mm) (Figure 1). Average grain yield in both years was in the range of triticale grain yield reported by the other authors for different level of irrigation treatments (Irandoust, 2015).

In this study, deficit irrigation significantly decreased the fertile tiller and grain number per

spike in both years (Table 1). Similar results were found by Acevedo et al. (1991). Regardless of the source, N fertilizer improved the fertile tiller and grain number per spike of the triticale crop at all irrigation treatments (Table 1). It has been largely proven that the early development stages, such as tiller proliferation, depend on the availability of N (Jeuffroy and Bouchard, 1999; Garcia del Moral et al., 1991). Also, in line with our results, Okon (1984) showed that the inoculation of cereal plants with Azosprillum spp. increased the number of tillers. In our study, among the biological, chemical, and integrated fertilizers, grain number per spike of triticale best responded to Bio+N₇₅ at all irrigation conditions. Similar results were reported by Millet and Feldman (1984) and Ozturk et al. (2003).

Averaged over all irrigation conditions, the correlation coefficient between grain yield and grain weight was significant and higher than that between grain yield and the other yield components for all N treatments (r=0.96, r=0.80and r = 0.87 for N_{150} , Bio and Bio+N₇₅, respectively). Across the 2-year period, higher decrement in grain weight was observed in N_{150} than the other N treatments with increasing water stress (significant Ν fertilizer×irrigation interaction) (Table 2). Drought stress and high temperatures during grain filling period, as it often occurs in Mediterranean conditions (such as our study environment), reduce mean grain weight (Oweis et al., 2000; and Acevedo et al., 2002). Gibson and Paulsen (1999) reported that the late water stress shortens the grain-filling period because it leads to premature desiccation of the endosperm and limits the embryo size. Therefore, reduction in yield is mainly due to a reduction in the weight of the produced grains.

The higher reduction of grain weight in N_{150} as compared with the Bio and Bio+ N_{75} as a consequence of water deficit in grain filling period in the first year, and especially the second year (Table 2), may be attributed to "haying-off" effect. The conditions that most commonly lead to "haying-off" are rapid vegetative growth in response to adequate soil water and N, followed by terminal water deficit that expose cereal crops to severe water stress during grain filling period (Van Herwaarden *et al.*, 1998). Also, Frederick and Camberato (1995) concluded that the soil water must be available for applied N treatments to have a positive effect on the effective filling

[DOR: 20.1001.1.16807073.2020.22.5.13.7]

	ı
	ĺ
- 10	ĺ
	l
ö	l
<u> </u>	ĺ
10	ĺ
3	l
he	l
- 12	I
. Di	I
	I
E	I
-12	I
	I
to .	I
	I
par	I
=	I
-	I
E	I
- 8	l
- 259	I
E .	I
=	I
÷	I
100	I
- 82	I
	I
E	I
12	Í
3	l
-	Í
18	l
5	l
2	l
- M -	ĺ
	ĺ
lin fi	Í
100	ĺ
2	l
-	l
clers a	l
5	I
11	I
10	l
1	I
- 12	l
parame	l
- Busher	I
I yield	I
10	l
· 52.	I
-	I
	I
5	l
	l
10	l
	l
20	l
-	I
E	l
E	
grain	
I), grain	
÷.	
÷.	
ex (HI), 1	
dex (HI), 1	
dex (HI), 1	
t Index (HI), 1	
t Index (HI), 1	
dex (HI), 1	
vest Index (HI), j	
), Harvest Index (HI), J	
), Harvest Index (HI), J	
ass), Harvest Index (HI), ₁	
i-biomass), Harvest Index (HI), j	
(G-biomass), Harvest Index (HI),	
i-biomass), Harvest Index (HI), j	
(AG-biomass), Harvest Index (HI), J	
(AG-biomass), Harvest Index (HI), J	
(G-biomass), Harvest Index (HI),	
(AG-biomass), Harvest Index (HI), J	

3

Source of variation	56 80	kg ha ⁻¹		%	kg	kg ha ⁻¹	No	No m ⁻²	Per	Per spike	E I	mg
Irrigation regime (Jr)*	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
R.	11093	12428	40.5	42.3	4536	5296	382.4	398.2	33.6	35.9	36.04	37.50
IRam	9761	10491	28.7	36.2	2806	3799	297.5	367.2	29.6	31.6	31.58	32.54
Ž ₍₁	a.	9410	,	22.1		2058		340.7	1	25.4	1	23.59
LSD mass	765	1086	3.9	2.7	69	216	54.8	28.4	2.3	1.4	2.59	0.61
significance level N Fertilizer source (F) ⁸	*	:	:		:	:	·	•	•	:	•	:
	5657	6264	33.2	32.7	1885	2088	233.9	266.0	24.5	25.5	33.66	31.08
	13281	12845	32.5	30.0	4419	4032	404.7	417.5	33.6	30.6	32.08	29.28
00	10080	11230	35.1	34.6	3560	3955	331.7	391.7	32.3	32.0	34.26	31.58
3i0+N ₁₃	12689	12765	37.7	36.8	4821	4797	389.5	400.0	36.0	35.6	35.24	32.90
LSD _(0.06)	767	666	2.4	1.7	312	336	34.5	33.8	3.9	2.9	1.47	1,44
Significance level Interaction Ir×F	:	:	:	:	*	:	*	*	*	:	:	*
Significance level	SN	SN	٠	:	;	***	NS	SN	NS	NS	:	*

Significant at P< 0.001, ** Significant at P< 0.01, * Significant at P< 0.05 and NS; Not Significant.

8	
d be	
cuci	
n fu	
as i	
eld	
N. II	
grai	
dex (HI) and grain yiels	
Ē	
200	
Inde	
8	
farv	
1	
veig	
in v	
vitrogen Hurvest Index (NHI), grain weigh, Harvest Index (HI) a	
É	
S	
odex	
st li	
ILVC	
H	
ogel	
1. E	
sis,	
the	
E al	r'
on after anti	20
non	pue
nular	016
uno	13
N BC	8
ce, N	eraction in 2016 and 2017.
uptake	inte
-	22
(IR)	106
C. 4	lizer
ptak	Ē
Table 2. Grain N uptake, total 1	ž
il.	ine
Gro	neg
20	tion
lds.	nga
F	-#C

		g z	irain N uptake	Total N uptake	N accumulation after anthesis	IHN	=	98	Grain veight	<u>5</u> 2	Ŧ	9.4	Grain yield
Source of variation	intion"	kg	ha ⁻¹	kg ha ⁻¹	kg ha ^{-t}			E	90	0.	9%	kg	ha.
migation	N Fertilizer	2016	2017	2017	2016	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
egime	aonos												
	No	24.1	30.9	48.1	3.7	63.4	64.0	35.41	37.01	38.3	40.5	2254	2807
В.	NIST	81.7	79.8	134.6	43.8	59.0	59.3	36.63	38.21	40.7	43.8	5913	6602
6121	Bio	57.5	63.4	109.5	29.0	62.1	57.9	35.72	37.62	40.5	41.0	4249	5253
	Bio+N ₇₅	86.7	85.2	143.6	47.0	62.0	59.4	36.39	37.14	42.6	43.9	5730	6524
	N.	20.3	27.0	43.1	33	61.3	62.5	16/1E	32.13	28.1	35.6	1516	2204
0.	N150	54.1	603	112.5	25.1	49.9	53.6	27.52	31.49	24.3	33.0	2924	4031
(INVI)	Bio	52.0	55.4	97.6	22.4	61.9	56.8	32.80	32.09	29.7	36.7	2871	4055
	Bio+N ₇₅	76.2	80.9	133.8	35.2	62.4	60.4	34.08	34.44	32.8	39.3	3912	4906
	N ₀	Ę	22.0	37.8	0	į	58.3	Ļ	24.09	Ŭ,	22.0	Ê	1252
Ro	Nis	ł	30.6	80.9	-11	ł	37.8	ł	18.14	ſ	13.0	9	1463
ŧ!	Bio	ġ	46.6	83.9	ţ,	ţ	55.5	Ļ	25.02	Ĺ	26.1	Ê	2558
	Bio+N ₂₄	1	72.2	116.9	51	ł	61.7	ł	27.11	1	27.1	1	2960
SDman		0.6	4.00	12.5	0.98	3.0	2.5	2.08	2.49	5.6	3.0	144	583

" Symbols for irrigation and N treatments are the same as under Table 1 and the main text.

period and, consequently, on individual kernel weight. In contrast, the high performance of kernel weight of Bio or Bio+N75 treatments as compared to N₁₅₀ under water stress conditions may be attributed to positive effects of Azospirillum on water absorption of roots in grain filling period. Indeed, Azospirillum can provide hormone like substances, including auxins, gibberellins, and cytokinins (Creus et al., 2010; Vande Broek et al., 1999) and consequently stimulate both rates of root elongation and appearance of crown roots (Fallik et al., 1994). Wu et al. (2013) demonstrated that the adequate P uptake in biological fertilizer can enhance crop drought tolerance through different mechanisms, including enhancing root growth and development, which extends the volume of soil that can be explored for water. Furthermore, increasing root hydraulic conductance in biofertilizer treatments was reported by Singh and Sale (2000).

In both growing seasons, under IR_N, the highest grain yield (5,913 and 6,602 kg ha⁻¹ in 1st and 2nd years, respectively) was achieved by chemical N fertilizer application (N₁₅₀), followed by combined N fertilizer (Bio+N₇₅) (Table 2). When the mild water stress occurred by Deficit Irrigation (IR_{MD}), grain yield decreased with increasing water shortage. However, the decrease was more in N150 (44.7% averaged over two years) as compared with Bio and Bio + N₇₅ (27.6% and 28.3% averaged over two years, respectively). Similar trend was shown when the severe deficit irrigation (IR_0) was imposed in the second year (63.7, 36.9, and 39.7% reduction for N₁₅₀, Bio and Bio+N₇₅, respectively). As a consequence, the application of Bio+N75 and Bio resulted in higher grain yield (2960 and 2558 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) as compared with N_{150} (1,463 kg ha⁻¹) under IR₀ condition (Table 2).

More grain yield reduction by high amount of the chemical N fertilizer as compared with the other N treatments when triticale crop suffered water stress (IR_{MD} and IR₀) was in line the finding of Garabet *et al.* (1998), Pandey *et al.* (2001a) and Ercoli *et al.* (2008). Also, Frederick and Camberato (1995) concluded that under nonwater-limiting conditions, the quantity of chemical N fertilization is often the yielddetermining factor, but under drought stress conditions, high chemical N increases severity of water stress and, consequently, reduces growth and yield of wheat crops. In contrast, as some authors (Dadrasan *et al.*, 2015; Creus *et al.*, 2004) reported, using sole biological and integrated chemical and biological N fertilizer could lead to reducing deleterious effects of severe water stress on crop growth by some mechanisms as discussed above and consequently increases grain yield. Therefore, optimal N fertilizer source depends on irrigation regimes and precise understanding of crop responses to water and N interaction.

The above ground biomass of triticale crop significantly varied in relation to N application (the average increments by N150, Bio and Bio+N₇₅ as compared with the control unfertilized crops were 119.6, 78.7 and 114.1%, respectively) and the amount of water availability (the average decrements in IR_{MD} and IR_0 as compared with IR_N condition were 13.8 and 24.3%, respectively,) (Table 1), as reported in previous studies on other crops (Van Herwaarden et al., 1998; Barati and Ghadiri, 2017; Dadrasan et al., 2015). When crops face water restriction, they tend to minimize water loss through closing their stomata, which in turn limits CO₂ availability for photosynthesis and dry matter production (Sun et al., 2013). With respect to N sources, the highest above ground biomass was achieved in N150 (13,281 and 12,845 kg ha-1, in 1st and 2nd years, respectively) while it did not have significant difference with Bio+N75.

Harvest index increased with each N source application in IR_N condition in both years. Also, The Bio+N₇₅ treatment had the highest HI (42.6 and 43.9% in the 1st and 2nd years, respectively) under IR_N, while it was not significantly differed N₁₅₀ (Table 2). from Significant N fertilizer×irrigation interaction for HI (Table 2) in both years showed that the water stress (IR_{MD} and IR₀) decreased HI in all N treatments, however, it had the highest negative effect under N_{150} (32.5 and 60.6% for IR_{MD} and IR_0 respectively) as compared with the other N fertilizing systems. Therefore, the HI had the lowest value in N_{150} treatment under IR_{MD} (24.3 and 33% in the 1st and 2nd years, respectively) and rainfed (13%) conditions than the other N sources.

With respect to the highest correlation coefficient between grain yield and mean kernel weight as compared to the other grain yield components under IR_{MD} and IR_0 (r= 0.657*** and

 $r=0.943^{***}$, respectively), the decrease in HI was mainly due to the lower individual grain weight than the other yield components under water stress. Our results further confirmed the finding of Gonzalez et al. (2007). Water stress from anthesis to maturity, which occurs under deficit irrigation or rainfed conditions of Mediterranean regions (such as our study environment), closes stomata photosynthesis and, consequently, limits (Bijanzadeh and Naderi, 2014), hastens leaf senescence, reduces the duration and rate of grain filling, decreases the time for translocation of carbohydrate reserves to the grain (Oweis et al., 2000) and, consequently, reduces mean kernel weight (Acevedo et al., 2002) and HI.

The relatively higher decrease in grain yield and HI observed in N150 in comparison with Bio and Bio+N75 in IRMD and rainfed conditions could be attributed to the "having off" effect, which can occur when chemical N is applied excessively high in water stress conditions. This encourages the crop to produce excessive biomass and use extra water, reducing water availability during the grain filling process (Van Herwaarden et al., 1998). In this case, the number of tillers and ears per square meter increases, however, the additional ears, derived from higher shoot categories, yield less than the main stem, causing a reduction in the grain yield to total above-ground biomass ratio or HI (Sieling et al., 1998). In contrast, the higher plant's ability to tolerate drought in the Bio or Bio+N₇₅ treatment may be attributed to producing a deeper and expanded root system, facilitating water uptake from the soil (Dadrasan et al., 2015), especially under drought environments. Therefore, "having off" effect declined and HI was not limited by terminal water stress.

Water Use Efficiency

The WUE_g and WUE_b varied between 2.19 and 8.19 kg_{grain} ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹, and between 7.47 and 24.98 kg_{biomass} ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹, respectively, over the two growing seasons (Table 3 and Figure 2). The WUE_b significantly increased with IR_{MD} (24.5% for average of two growing seasons), and with increasing water stress by the rain fed condition (IR₀) in the second year, it slightly increased (6.5%) as compared with IR_{MD} (Table 3). Other studies have shown that water stress can either decrease WUE (Johnson et al., 1984) or increase WUE (Singh and Kumar, 1981). Barati et al. (2015) demonstrated that moderate water stress (25% water reduction from normal irrigation) in the reproductive period of barley could improve WUE_g and WUE_b, however, the values decreased with more diminishing water supply (50% water reduction from normal irrigation or rain fed). Ritchie (1983) reported that the effects of water deficit on transpiration loss are greater than the effects on the photosynthesis, such that WUE may improve under dry conditions compared to wet conditions, although total crop production is severely restricted. Additionally in another study on wheat, Zhang et al. (1998) reported that although the grain yield was restricted by 15% in the severe drought stress conditions as compared to the well watered conditions, WUE for total water consumption was improved by 24%.

Irrespective of the irrigation treatment and growing seasons, WUE_b positively responded to N fertilization (Table 3). Among the biological, chemical, and integrated fertilizers, the highest WUE_b was achieved by the integrated fertilizers (Bio+N₇₅) and N₁₅₀ (Table 3). With respect to WUEg, there was a significant N fertilizing system×irrigation interaction in either season (Figure 2). The IR_{MD} slightly increased WUE_g in the integrated fertilizer, sole biofertilizer, and the control, however, it significantly deceased WUE₉ (21.1 and 16.2% in first and second years, respectively) in N₁₅₀. Further water stress (IR₀) in the second year, drastically decreased WUE_g in all N treatments, however, this decrement was the greatest in N_{150} (56.9%) (Figure 2).

These results further confirmed findings of Van Hervaarden et al. (1998) who showed WUEg of rain-fed wheat crop decreased with applied 150 kg N ha⁻¹ as chemical fertilizer at a location exposed to water deficit at the time of anthesis because the pre anthesis evapotranspiration was stimulated in the crops that received high N The other authors (Pandey et al., 2001a; Barati et al., 2015) also reported that the WUEg of high N crops was lowered when exposed to water stress. In our study, it seems that the biological and the integrated fertilizers provided N demand slowly during the triticale life cycle. Therefore, the growth of vegetative parts was induced slowly and, consequently, rate of soil water extraction was

tha ¹ mm ⁻¹ kg ha ⁻¹ rank rank		(U.M.	E,	Grain N	Stain N uptake	Straw N	l uptake	N uptake	N uptake of whole nlant at anthesis	Total N	otal N uptake	~	IH
2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2017 2016 2016 2017 2016 2016 2017 2016 2016 2016 2016 2016 2017 2016 <th< th=""><th>Source of variation^a</th><th>kg ha⁻¹</th><th>uum⁻¹</th><th>kgł</th><th>ha⁻¹</th><th>kg</th><th>ha⁻¹</th><th>kg</th><th>ha⁻¹</th><th>kg</th><th>ha-1</th><th></th><th>36</th></th<>	Source of variation ^a	kg ha ⁻¹	uum ⁻¹	kgł	ha ⁻¹	kg	ha ⁻¹	kg	ha ⁻¹	kg	ha-1		36
15.32 13.36 62.5 64.8 39.6 44.1 71.2 20.44 15.45 50.7 55.9 36.2 40.8 65.4 - 16.46 - 42.8 - 37.0 - 4.51 1.18 2.4 2.7 2.6 1.8 9.7 * ** ** ** ** NS (F) 9.47 8.82 22.2 26.6 13.4 16.4 32.1	Irrigation (Ir)	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
20.44 15.45 50.7 55.9 36.2 40.8 65.4 - 16.46 - 42.8 - 37.0 - 4.51 1.18 2.4 2.7 2.6 1.8 9.7 * ** ** ** *** * ** NS (F) 9.47 882 22.2 26.6 13.4 16.4 32.1	IR _N	15.32	13.36	62.5	64,8	39.6	44.1	71.2	81.2	102.1	109.0	61.7	60.1
- 16.46 - 42.8 - 37.0 - 4.51 1.18 2.4 2.7 2.6 1.8 9.7 * ** ** *** *** NS 9.47 8.82 22.2 26.6 13.4 16.4 32.1	IR _{MD}	20.44	15.45	50.7	55.9	36.2	40.8	65.4	81.7	86.9	7.96	58.9	58.3
4.51 1.18 2.4 2.7 2.6 1.8 9.7 * ** ** ** NS (F) 9.47 882 22.2 26.6 13.4 16.4 32.1	IR ₀	33	16.46	1	42.8	ł	37.0	1	70.3	Ĩ	79.9	9	53.3
* ** ** ** ** ** NS (F) 9.47 8.82 22.2 26.6 13.4 16.4 32.1	$LSD_{(0.05)}$	4.51	1.18	2.4	2.7	2.6	1.8	9.7	11.6	4.7	4 0	2.0	0.8
9.47 8.82 22.2 26.6 13.4 16.4 32.1	Significance level	*	#	:	***	•	:	NS	SN	*	***	*	***
8.82 22.2 26.6 13.4 16.4 32.1	N Fertilizer source (F)												
	No	9.47	8,82	22.2	26.6	13.4	16.4	32.1	40.7	35.6	43.0	62.3	61.6

Table 3. Aboveground biomass Water Use Efficiency (WUE_b), grain N uptake, straw N uptake, N uptake of whole plant at anthesis, total N uptake and Nitrogen Harvest Index (NHI) as influenced by irrigation regime and N fertilizer source in 2016 and 2017,

" Symbols for irrigation and N treatments are the same as under Table 1 and the main text. *** Significant at $P \le 0.001$, ** Significant at $P \le 0.01$, ** Significant at $P \le 0.01$, ** Significant at $P \le 0.05$ and NS: Not Significant at $P \le 0.01$, ** Significant at $P \le 0.01$, ** Significant at $P \le 0.05$ and NS: Not Significant at $P \le 0.01$, ** Significant at $P \le 0.05$ and NS: Not Significant at $P \le 0.01$, ** Significant at $P \le 0.05$ and NS: Not Significant at $P \le 0.01$, ** Significant at $P \le 0.05$ and NS: Not Significant at $P \le 0.01$, ** Significant at $P \le 0.05$ and NS: Not Significant at $P \le 0.05$ and NS:

50.2 56.7 60.5

> 62.0 62.4

> > 131.4

91.4 75.7 103.1

52.4 41.9 52.0

54.4

0.09.3 97.0

123.4 88.4 130.6 10.4 ***

89.0 62.7 89.5

55.5 33.6 49.1

56.9 55.1 79.4

67.9 54.8 81.4

17.96 15.76 17.83

22.64 17.37 21.79

> Bio+N₇₅ LSD_(0.05)

11

2.1

7.2

14.6

14.1

2.8

4.3

4.8

6.3

1.23

1.32

Significance level

Interaction

Ir×F'

*

**

SZ

SN

SN

SZ

SZ

**

SZ

SZ

Significance level

N₍₅₀ Bio



Figure 2. Grain Water Use Efficiency (WUE_g) as affected by interactive effect of irrigation regime and N fertilizer source in 2016 and 2017. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean difference.

lower compared with the sole chemical N fertilizer (N_{150}). In this situation, the grain filling period did not encounter severe water stress in IR_{MD} conditions and WUE_g slightly increased in the biological and the integrated fertilizer treatments (Figure 2). With increasing water stress in rain-fed (IR₀) condition, crops experienced severe water stress, especially in high N treatment (N_{150}) . Therefore, the photosynthesis rate and dry matter deposition in grain was severely restricted (Ercoli et al., 2008; Bijanzadeh and Naderi, 2014; Barati and Ghadiri, 2017) and consequently grain yield and WUEg were diminished in all N treatments, especially in sole chemical N (N_{150}).

Nitrogen Uptake and Remobilization

The grain N content responses to the different treatments and experimental years ranged from 20.3 to 86.7 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2). Generally, N uptakes were higher in 2017 than 2016; this may be attributed to higher rainfall at triticale vegetative growth stages in the second year. Indeed, crop response to N fertilization is heavily reliant to water availability and rainfall distribution (Pala et al., 1996; Tilling et al., 2007) and it is diminished during growing seasons with low rainfall (Rasmussen and Rohde, 1991). In our study, disregarding the growing season, N source, and irrigation regime, grain yield was positively related to total N uptake (Figure 3). The positive relationship that was observed between grain yield and total N uptake coincides with the limits reported for

other cereals (barley, durum and bread wheat) in Mediterranean areas (Cossani *et al.*, 2012).

In both years, grain, straw, and total N uptake decreased with successive water stress treatments that imposed by deficit irrigation, and increased with increasing N fertilizer application (Tables 2 and 3). In agreement with this result, Pandey et al. (2001b) and Garabet et al. (1998) reported that the N uptake by wheat crops was reduced under dry environment, even when mineral N was present in the soil. In our study, the integrated N fertilizer had the highest total N uptake, followed by the sole chemical N fertilizer in 2016 averaged over all irrigation treatments (Table 3). Concerning the grain N uptake (in both years) and total N uptake (in 2017), there were significant N fertilizer × irrigation interactions for these traits (Table 2). The highest total N uptake and grain N uptake was achieved by Bio+N₇₅ application, followed by N₁₅₀ under



Figure 3. Relationship between grain yield and total N uptake for different irrigation regimes during 2016 and 2017.

IR_N condition. When crops were exposed to water stress, a higher decrement in grain and total N uptake was observed in N₁₅₀ than the other N treatments. Therefore, among the N sources in IR₀, the lowest value of total N uptake (80.9 kg ha⁻¹) and grain N uptake (30.6 kg ha⁻¹) was achieved by N₁₅₀ (Table 2).

Regardless of the N source, N remobilization during the grain filling was affected positively by the N fertilizer (in both years) and Mild Deficit Irrigation (IR_{MD}) (in 2017) and negatively by severe water stress (IR₀) (in 2017) (Table 4). The Bio+N₇₅ had the highest N remobilization (40.0 kg ha⁻¹ and 51.1 kg ha⁻¹, in the 1st and 2nd years, respectively) and significantly differed from Bio (in 2016 and 2017) and N_{150} (in 2017). As a consequence, the Bio+N75 remobilized about 113.9 and 110.3% more N than the unfertilized crop in the first and second years, respectively. Also, the highest level of water stress in 2016 (IR_{MD}) and 2017 (IR₀) decreased remobilized N by 8.8% and 10.3% as compared to IR_N, respectively. Ercoli et al. (2008) and Giuliani et (2011) also reported increasing Ν al. remobilization due to N fertilization in durum wheat. Several researchers (Seligman and Sinclair, 1995; Xu et al., 2006; Ercoli et al., 2008) demonstrated the reduction of N remobilization amount as affected by severe water stress and its dependence on climatic conditions (Giuliani et al., 2011). Furthermore, Yang and Zhang (2006) concluded that when severe water stress was improved, the pattern of dry matter and nitrogen deposition in grain was substantially modified, since the severe water stress may seriously disrupt phloem function.

The water stress treatments from IR_N to IR_{MD} slightly decreased N translocation efficiency (1.1%) in dry year (2016), however, IR_{MD} and IR₀ increased N remobilization efficiency by 8.4% and 1.5%, respectively, in wet year (2017) (Table 4). With respect to the increase in N remobilization efficiency and N remobilization (as mentioned in the above paragraph) by mild deficit irrigation, several studies have shown the modest water stress (such as the mild deficit irrigation in wet year of our study) is considered likely to enhance N remobilization from leaf to grain (Palta et al., 1994; Sinclair et al., 2000; Barati and Ghadiri, 2017). Furthermore, Xu et al. (2006) showed that the water deficit increased the N translocation ratio in various vegetative

organs to grains, confirming the enhancement of N transfer from the vegetative organs to grains in our study. Generally, N application decreased the N remobilization efficiency in both growing seasons as compared to unfertilized plots. The highest decrement of N remobilization efficiency belonged to N_{150} (35.7 and 29.2% in the first and second year, respectively) and the lowest was achieved by sole biofertilizer in 2016 (21.9%) and integrated N fertilizer in 2017 (17.1%) as compared with the unfertilized control (Table 4).

The contribution of N remobilized to N content of grain ranged from 50.1 to 92.7% across the different irrigation and N fertilizing systems (Table 4), indicating the importance of pre-anthesis storage of N for attaining high grain N. The contribution of N remobilized to N content of grain decreased by the N fertilizer application and increased with improving water stress by the consecutive deficit irrigation treatments. Although it decreased by N application, there was no significant difference among the N sources (Table 4). This result further confirmed the finding of Barati and Ghadiri (2017) on barley. The results from Xu et al. (2006) showed that the water deficit remarkably increased the contributions of N in various organs to grain N. They suggested that the water deficit would weaken the availability of N fertilizer [bearing in mind that the N uptake was decreased since the water deficit occurred in our study (Tables 2 and 3)], however, enhance the remobilization of pre-stored N to grain and, consequently, increase contribution of N remobilized to N content of grain.

In 2017, N accumulation after anthesis in triticale during grain filling was affected positively by each form of N fertilizer and negatively by water stress (Table 4). In line with our results, Ercoli et al. (2008) found similar results about effects of water stress and chemical N fertilizer on the N accumulation. The highest N accumulation was achieved in Bio+N₇₅ (28.3 kg ha⁻¹), followed by Bio (21.3 kg ha⁻¹) (Table 4). In 2016, similar to the second year, drought stress after anthesis reduced N accumulation, however, the reduction trend by water stress was not consistent in different forms of N fertilizer (nitrogen×irrigation interaction, $P \le 0.0734$) (Table 2). The highest reduction was achieved by N_{150} (42.7%) as compared with the other N sources [N₀ (10.8%), Bio (22.8%) and Bio+N₇₅

	remob	N vilization	remobil	N lization ciency	remobil	tion of N ized to N of grain	N accu after ar	nulation
Source of variation ^a	kg	g ha⁻¹		%	(%	kg	g ha ⁻¹
	201	201	201	201	2016	2017	201	201
Irrigation (Ir)								
IR _N	32.0	37.0	47.2	47.4	56.5	60.9	30.9	27.8
IR _{MD}	29.2	40.9	46.7	51.4	62.7	76.6	21.5	15.0
IR ₀	_	33.2	_	48.1	_	82.3	_	9.6
LSD(0.05)	6.9	4.4	6.4	1.0	17.9	2.5	10.9	3.3
Significance level	NS	**	NS	***	NS	***	NS	***
N Fertilizer source (F)								
N ₀	18.7	24.3	58.9	59.7	85.4	92.7	3.5	2.3
N150	33.5	39.0	37.9	42.3	50.1	73.5	34.5	17.9
Bio Bio+N ₇₅	29.1 40.4	33.8 51.1	46.0 44.9	44.4 49.5	53.3 49.6	62.2 64.5	25.7 41.1	21.3 28.3
LSD(0.05)	7.2	9.2	5.8	4.6	11.7	11.4	6.9	9.3
Significance level	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Interaction Ir×F								
Significance level	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 4. Nitrogen remobilization, N remobilization efficiency, Contribution of N remobilized to N content of grain and N accumulation after anthesis as influenced by irrigation regime and N fertilizer source in 2016 and 2017.

^{*a*} Symbols for irrigation and N treatments are the same as under Table 1 and the main text.*** Significant at $P \le 0.001$, ** Significant at $P \le 0.01$, * Significant at $P \le 0.05$ and NS: Not Significant.

(25.1%)] when IR_{MD} treatment was imposed. Low N accumulation in N₁₅₀ under post-anthesis drought stress could be attributed to drastically increasing shoot/root ratio (data not shown) before anthesis stage as compared with the other N sources, therefore, higher transpiration surface coupled to a lower root surface area decreased N and water absorption ability of root. In contrast, biofertilizers enhances plants ability to tolerate water stress through helping plants to produce expanded and deeper root system, which facilitates N and water uptake from the soil profile (Sharma, 2002).

Nitrogen Harvest Index

The NHI has significance for maximizing the grain protein content for a given amount of plant N (Dawson *et al.*, 2008) and it is a measure of N translocation efficiency. In our study, The NHI increased linearly and positively with increases in the N remobilization efficiency in all irrigation regimes (Figure 4). However, the magnitude of response to the N remobilization efficiency differed for each irrigation regime. On the other hand, increasing N remobilization efficiency at IR_N , IR_{MD} , and IR_0 treatments increased NHI by 0.15, 0.39, and 0.78%, respectively (Figure 4).

Furthermore, the N remobilization efficiency explained approximately 30, 50, and 60% of the variability observed in NHI, respectively (R^2 = 0.26, R^2 = 0.49 and 0.62).

The NHI had constant values or decreasing trend when N sources were applied (Table 2). In line with our result, several authors (Delogu *et al.*, 1998; Muurinen *et al.*, 2007; Giuliani *et al.*, 2011) observed that NHI significantly declined as N fertilizer increased, mainly because of a more proportional increase in straw N uptake than grain N uptake. In our study, the nitrogen×irrigation interaction for NHI (Table 2) showed that the



Figure 4. Relationship between nitrogen harvest index and nitrogen remobilization efficiency for different irrigation regimes during 2016 and 2017.

Deficit Irrigation (IR_{MD} and IR₀) significantly decreased NHI, however, the decrease was higher in N150 plants (12.5% for IRMD averaged over two years and 36.3% for IR₀) than N₀, Bio, and Bio+N₇₅. Therefore, the lowest NHI was achieved by N_{150} under IR_{MD} (49.9 and 53.6% in 1st and 2nd years, respectively) and IR_0 (37.8%). The decrease in NHI as affected by water stress could be attributed to the negative effects of drought stress on N remobilization from straw to grain at grain filling period, especially in the crops that received high N (Table 3). In line with our results, Ercoli et al. (2008) and Giuliani et al. (2011) demonstrated that the severe post-anthesis water stress (such as IR₀ in our study) greatly reduced the N accumulation, remobilization, and NHI of durum wheat genotypes.

CONCLUSIONS

Cutting off irrigation after anthesis (IR_{MD}) decreased grain yield by 33%. However, IR_{MD} increased WUEgrain (in sole bio-fertilizer and integrated fertilizer), WUE_{biomass} and N remobilization efficiency as compared with the normal irrigation. Therefore, applying IR_{MD} in relatively wet years (such as the second year of our study with 572 mm precipitation) may be acceptable. This approach will provide water to a larger number of the farmers in arid Mediterranean areas. Regardless of the irrigation regime, integrated fertilizer (50% of chemical N fertilizer+seed inoculation with Azospirillum brasilense) outperformed sole biofertilizer and sole chemical N fertilizer with respect to grain yield, WUEg, WUEb, N uptake, N remobilization efficiency, and N harvest index. The current study can provide useful information for farmers in order to decrease irrigation water and N chemical fertilizer consumption up to 50% in water-limited regions, which will improve the sustainability of the agro-ecosystems in Mediterranean arid area ultimately.

REFERENCES

1. Acevedo, E., Craufurd, P. Q., Austin, R. B. and Perez-Marco P. 1991. Traits Associated with High Yield in Barley in Low-Rainfall Environments. *J. Agric. Sci.*, **116**: 23-36. Acevedo, E., Silva, P. and Silva, H. 2002. Wheat Growth and Physiology. In: "Bread Wheat, Improvement and Production", (Eds): Curtis, B. C., Rajaram S. and Go'mez Macpherson, H. Plant Production and Protection Series, FAO.

JAST

- Albrizio, R., Todorovic, M., Matic, T. and Stellacci, A. M. 2010. Comparing the Interactive Effects of Water and Nitrogen on Durum Wheat and Barley Grown in a Mediterranean Environment. *Field Crops Res.*, 115: 179-190.
- 4. Afshar, R. K., Jovini, M. A., Chaichi, M. R. and Hashemi, M. 2014. Grain Sorghum Response to Arbuscular Mycorrhiza and Phosphorus Fertilizer under Deficit Irrigation. *Agron. J.*, **106(4)**: 1212-1218.
- Arshad, M., Shaharoona, B. and Mahmood, T. 2008. Inoculation with Pseudomonas Spp. Containing ACC-Deaminase Partially Eliminates the Effects of Drought Stress on Growth, Yield and Ripening of Pea (*P. Sativum* L.). *Pedosphere*, **18**(**5**): 611-620.
- Arseniuk, A. 2015. Chapter 4: Triticale Abiotic Stresses: An Overview. In: "*Triticale*", (Eds.): Eudes, E. Springer International Publishing, Switzerland.
- Barati, V., Ghadiri, H., Zand-Parsa, Sh. and Karimian, N. 2015. Nitrogen and Water Use Efficiencies and Yield Response of Barley Cultivars under Different Irrigation and Nitrogen Regimes in a Semi-Arid Mediterranean Climate. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.*, 61: 15-32.
- Barati, V. and Ghadiri, H. 2017. Assimilate and Nitrogen Remobilization of Six-Rowed and Two-Rowed Winter Barley under Drought Stress at Different Nitrogen Fertilization. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.*, 63: 841-855.
- Bijanzadeh, E., Barati, V., Emam, Y. and Pessarakli, M. 2019. Sowing Date Effects on Dry Matter Remobilization and Yield of Triticale (*Triticosecale wittmack*) under Late Season Drought Stress. J. Plant Nutr., 42 (7): 681-695.
- Bijanzadeh, E. and Emam, Y. 2012. Evaluation of Assimilate Remobilization and Yield of Wheat Cultivars under Different Irrigation Regimes in an Arid Climate. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.*, 58: 1243-1259.
- Bijanzadeh, E. and Naderi, R. 2015. Remobilization Efficiency and Photosynthetic Characteristics of Five Barley (*Hordeum* vulgare L.) Cultivars under Terminal Drought Stress. Arch. Agron. Soil Sci., 61: 1199-1210.

- 12. Barber, J. S. and Jessop, R. S. 1987. Factors Affecting Yield and Quality in Irrigated Wheat. J. Agric. Sci., **109**: 19-26.
- Bertrand, H., Plassard, C., Pinochet, X., Touraine, B., Normand, P. and Cleyet-Marel, J. C. 2000. Stimulation of the Ionic Transport System in *Brassica napus* by a Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacterium (*Achromobacter* Sp.). *Can. J. Microbil.*, **46**: 229-236.
- Bulman, P. and Smith, D. L. 1994. Postheading Nitrogen Uptake, Re-Translocation and Partitioning in Spring barley. *Crop Sci.*, 34: 977-984.
- Campbell, C. A., Myers, R. J. K. and Curtin, D. 1995. Managing Nitrogen for Sustainable Crop Production. *Fertilizer Res.*, 42: 277-296.
- Campbell, C. A., Selles, F., Zentner, R. P. and Mc Conkey, B. G. 1993. Available Water and Nitrogen Effects on Yield Components and Grain Nitrogen of Zero-Till Spring Wheat. *Agron. J.*, 85: 114-120.
- Cossani, C. M., Slafer, G. A. and Savin, R. 2012. Nitrogen and Water Use Efficiencies of Wheat and Barley under a Mediterranean Environment in Catalonia. *Field Crops Res.*, 128: 109-118.
- Cossani, C. M., Slafer, G. A. and Savin, R. 2009. Yield and Biomass in Wheat and Barley under a Range of Conditions in a Mediterranean Site. *Field Crops Res.*, 112: 205-213.
- Creus, C. M., Pereyra, M. A., Casanovas, E. M., Sueldo, R. J. and Barassi, C. A. 2010. Plant Growth-Promoting Effects of Rhizobacteria on Abiotic Stressed Plants. *Azospirillum*-Grasses Model. Am. J. Plant Sci. Biotech., 4(1): 49-59.
- Creus, C. M., Sueldo, R. J. and Barassi, C. A. 2004. Water Relations and Yield in *Azospirillum*-Inoculated Wheat Exposed to Drought in the Field. *Can. J. Bot.*, 82: 273-281.
- Dadrasan, M., Chaichi, M. R., Pourbabaee, A. A., Yazdani, D. and Keshavarz-Afshar, R. 2015. Deficit Irrigation and Biological Fertilizer Influence on Yield and Trigonelline Production of Fenugreek. *Ind. Crops Prod.*, **77**: 156-162.
- Dawson, J. C., Huggins, D. R. and Jones, S. S. 2008. Characterizing Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Natural and Agricultural Ecosystems to Improve The performance of Cereal Crops in Low-Input and Organic Agricultural Systems. *Field Crops Res.*, **107:** 89-101.
- 23. Delogu, G., Cattivelli, L., Pecchioni, N., De Falcis, D., Maggiore, T. and Stanca, A. M.

1998. Uptake and Agronomic Efficiency of Nitrogen in Winter Barley and Winter Wheat. *Eur. J. Agron.*, **9**: 11-20.

- De Freitas, J. R. 2000. Yield and N Assimilation of Winter Wheat (T. aestivum L., Var. Norstar) Inoculated with Rhizobacteria. *PEDOBIOLOGIA*, 44: 97-104.
- 25. Dobbelaere, S., Croonenborghs, A., Thys, A., Vande Broek, A. and Vanderleyden, J. 1999. Phytostimulatory Effect of *Azospirillum brasilense* Wild Type and Mutant Strains Altered in IAA Production in Wheat. *Plant Soil*, **212**: 155-164.
- Dordas, C. A. and Sioulas, C. 2009. Dry Matter and Nitrogen Accumulation, Partitioning and Retranslocation in Sunflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) as Affected by Nitrogen Fertilization. *Field Crops Res.*, 110: 35–43.
- 27. Ercoli, L., Lulli, L., Mariotti, M., Masoni, A. and Arduini, I. 2008. Post-Anthesis Dry Matter and Nitrogen Dynamics in Durum Wheat as Affected by Nitrogen Supply and Soil Water Availability. *Eur. J. Agron.*, **28**: 138-147.
- Fallik, E., Sarig, S. and Okon, J. 1994. Morphology and Physiology of Plants Roots Associated with *Azospirillum*. In: "Azospirillum Plant Associations", (Eds.): Okon, J. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla.
- 29. Fayaz, N. and Arzani, A. 2011. Moisture Stress Tolerance in Reproductive Growth Stages in Triticale (X Triticosecale Wittmack) Cultivars under Field Conditions. *C. B. J.*, **1(1)**: 1-12.
- Fischer, R. A. 1985. Number of Kernels in Wheat Crops and the Influence of Solar Radiation and Temperature. *J. Agric. Sci.*, **105**: 447-461.
- Frederick, J. R. and Camberato, J. J. 1995. Water and Nitrogen Effects on Winter Wheat in the Southeastern Coastal Plain: I. Grain Yield and Kernel Traits. *Agron. J.*, 87: 521-526.
- 32. Garabet, S., Wood, M. and Ryan, J. 1998. Nitrogen and Water Effects on Wheat Yield in a Mediterranean Type Climate. I. Growth, Water-Use and Nitrogen Accumulation. *Field Crops Res.*, 57: 309-318.
- Garcia del Moral, L.F., Ramos, J.M., Garcia del Moral, M. B. and Jimenez-Tejada, P. 1991. Ontogenic Approach to Grain Production in Spring Barley Based on Path-Coefficient Analysis. *Crop Sci.*, **31**: 1179-1185.
- 34. Gibson, L.R. and Paulsen, G.M. 1999. Yield Components of Wheat Grown under High

Temperature Stress During Reproductive Growth. *Crop Sci.*, **39**: 1841-1846.

- Gonzalez, A., Martin, I. and Ayerbe, L. 2007. Response of Barley Genotypes to Terminal Soil Moisture Stress: Phenology, Growth and Yield. *Aust. J. Agri. Res.*, 58: 29-37.
- 36. Giuliani, M. M., Giuzio, L., De Caro, A. and Flagella Z. 2011. Relationships between Nitrogen Utilization and Grain Technological Quality in Durum Wheat: I. Nitrogen Translocation and Nitrogen Use Efficiency for Protein. Agron. J., 103: 1487-1494.
- Goverin, C., Snyders, F., Muller, N., Botes, W., Foxa, G. and Manleya. M. 2011. A Review of Triticale Uses and the Effect of Growth Environment on Grain Quality. J. Sci. Food Agric., 91: 1155-1165.
- Irandoust, T. 2015. Effects of Terminal Drought Stress on Yield and Canopy Temperature of Triticale Genotypes. Master's Thesis. Shiraz. University of Shiraz.
- Jahanzad, E., Jorat, M., Moghadam, H., Sadeghpour, A., Chaichi, M. R. and Dashtaki, M. 2013. Responses of a New and a Commonly Grown Forage Sorghum Cultivar to Limited Irrigation and Plant Density. *Agric. Water Manage.*, **117**: 62-69.
- 40. Jeuffroy, M. H. and Bouchard, C. 1999. Intensity and Duration of Nitrogen Deficiency on Wheat Grain Number. *Crop Sci.*, **39**: 1385-1393.
- 41. Johnson, R. C., Nguyen, H. T. and Croy, L. I. 1984. Osmotic Adjustment and Solute Accumulation in Two Wheat Genotypes Differing in Drought Resistance. *Crop Sci.*, **24**: 957-962.
- 42. Keshavarz Afshar, R., Chaichi, M. R., Moghadam, H. and Ehteshami, S. M. 2012. Irrigation, Phosphorus Fertilizer and Phosphorus Solublizing Microorganism Effects on Yield and Forage Quality of Turnip (*Brasica rapa* L.) in an Arid Region of Iran. *Agric. Res.*, **1**: 9.
- Kizilkaya, R. 2008. Yield Response and Nitrogen Concentrations of Spring Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Inoculated with *Azotobacter chroococcum* Strains. *Ecol. Eng.*, 33(2): 150-156.
- Latiri-Souki, K., Nortcliff, S. and Lawlor, D. W. 1998. Nitrogen Fertilizer can Increase Dry Matter, Grain Production and Radiation and Water Use Efficiencies for Durum Wheat under Semi-Arid Conditions. *Eur. J. Agron.*, 9: 21-34.

45. Lonbani, M. and Arzani, A. 2011. Morpho-Physiological Traits Associated with Terminal Drought-Stress Tolerance in Triticale and Wheat. *Agron. Res.*, **9(1-2)**: 315-329.

JAST

- 46. Mantelin, S. and Touraine, B. 2004. Plant Growth-Promoting Bacteria and Nitrate Availability: Impact on Root Development and Nitrate Uptake. *J. Exp. Bot.*, **55**: 27-34.
- 47. Millet, E. and Feldman, M. 1984. Yield Response of a Common Spring Wheat Cultivar to Inoculation with *Azospirillum brasilense* at Various Levels of Nitrogen Fertilization. *Plant Soil*, **80**: 255-259.
- Muurinen, S., Kleemola, J. and Peltonen-Sainio, P. 2007. Accumulation and Translocation of Nitrogen in Spring Cereal Cultivars Differing in Nitrogen Use Efficiency. *Agron. J.*, **99**: 441-449.
- Nicolas, M. E., Simpson, R. J. and Lambers H. 1985. Effects of Drought on Partitioning of Nitrogen in Two Wheat Varieties Differing in Drought-Tolerance. *Ann. Bot.*, 55: 743-754.
- 50. Okon, Y. 1984. Response of Cereal and Forage Grasses to Inoculation with N₂-Fixing Bacteria. In: "Advances in Nitrogen Fixation Research" (Eds): Veeger, C. and Newton, W. E., Martinus Nijhoff The Hugue, Netherlands.
- 51. Oweis, T., Zhang, H. and Pala, M. 2000. Water Use Efficiency of Rainfed and Irrigated Bread Wheat in a Mediterranean Environment. *Agron. J.*, **92**: 231-238.
- 52. Ozturk, A., Caglar, O. and Sahin, F. 2003. Yield Response of Wheat and Barley to Inoculation of Plant Growth Promotion Rhizobacteria at Various Levels of Nitrogen Fertilization. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, **166**: 262-266.
- 53. Pala, M., Matar, A. and Mazid, A. 1996. Assessment of the Effects of Environmental Factors on the Response of Wheat to Fertilizer in On-Farm Trails in a Mediterranean Type Environment. *Exp. Agric.*, **32**: 339-349.
- Palta, J. A., Kobata, T., Turner, N. C. and Fillery, I. R. 1994. Remobilization of Carbon and Nitrogen in Wheat as Influenced by Post-Anthesis Water Deficit. *Crop Sci.*, 34: 118-124.
- 55. Pandey, R. K, Maranville, J. W. and Admou, A. 2001a. Tropical Wheat Response to Irrigation and Nitrogen in a Sahelian Environment. I. Grain Yield, Yield Components and Water Use Efficiency. *Eur. J. Agron.*, **15**: 93-105.
- Pandey, R. K., Maranville, J. W. and Chetima, M. M. 2001b. Tropical Wheat Response to

Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2025-07-15

Irrigation and Nitrogen in a Sahelian Environment. II. Biomass Accumulation, Nitrogen Uptake and Water Extraction. *Eur. J. Agron.*, **15**: 107-118.

- 57. Panozzo, J. F. and Eagles, H. A. 1999. Rate and Duration of Grain Filling and Grain Nitrogen Accumulation of Wheat Cultivars Grown in Different Environments. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, **50**: 1007-1015.
- 58. Pereyra, M. A., Ballesteros, F. M., Creus, C. M., Sueldo, R. J. and Barassi, C. A. 2009. Seedlings Growth Promotion by *Azospirillum brasilense* under Normal and Drought Conditions Remains Unaltered in Tebuconazole-Treated Wheat Seeds. *Eur. J. soil Biol.*, **45**: 20-27.
- Plaut, Z., Butow, B. J., Blumenthal, C. S. and Wrigley, C. W. 2004. Transport of Dry Matter into Developing Wheat Kernels and its Contribution to Grain Yield under Post-Anthesis Water Deficit and Elevated Temperature. *Field Crops Res.*, 86: 185-198.
- Rasmussen, P. E. and Rohde, C. R. 1991. Tillage, Soil Depth and Precipitation Effects on Wheat Response to Nitrogen. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 55: 121-124.
- Ritchie, J. T. 1983. Efficient Water Use in Crop Production: Discussion on the Generality of Relations between Biomass Production and Evapotranspiration. In: "Limitations to Efficient Water Use in Crop Production" (Eds): Taylor, H. M., Jordan, W. R. and Sinclair, T. R., ASA Spec. Publ., ASA, CSSSA, and SSSA, Madison (WI).
- Roohi, E., Tahmasebi-Sarvestani, Z., Modarres-Sanavy, S. A. M. and Siosemardeh, A. 2013. Comparative Study on the Effect of Soil Water Stress on Photosynthetic Function of Triticale, Bread Wheat, and Barley. J. Agr. Sci. Tech., 15: 215-228
- 63. Saubidet, M. I., Fatta, N. and Barneix, A. J. 2002. The Effect of Inoculation with *Azospirillum brasilense* on Growth and Nitrogen Utilization by Wheat Plants. *Plant Soil*, **245**: 215-222.
- Seligman, N. G. and Sinclair, T. R. 1995. Global Environment Change and Simulated Forage Quality of Wheat. II. Water and Nitrogen Stress. *Field Crops Res.*, 40: 29-37.
- Sharma, A. K. 2002. Bio fertilizers for Substainable Agriculture. Agrobios India Publications.
- Sieling, K., Schroder, H., Finck, M. and Hanus, H. 1998. Yield, N Uptake and Apparent N Use Efficiency of Winter Wheat

and Winter Barley Grown in Different Cropping Systems. J. Agric. Sci., **131**: 375-387.

- Simane, B., Struik, P. C., Nachit, M. M. and Peacock, J. M. 1993. Ontogenic Analysis of Field Components and Yield Stability of Durum Wheat in Water-Limited Environments. *Euphytica*, **71**: 211-219.
- 68. Sinclair, T. R., Pinter, P. J., Kimball, B. A., Adamsen, F. J., LaMorte, R. L., Wall, G. W., Hunsaker, D. J., Adam, N., Brook, T. J., Garcia, R. L., Thompson, T., Leavitt, S. and Mattias, A. 2000. Leaf Nitrogen Concentration of Wheat Subjected to Evaluated [CO₂] and either Water or N Deficits. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, **79**: 53-60.
- Singh, D. K. and Sale, P. W. G. 2000. Growth and Potential Conductivity of White Clover Roots in Dry Soil with Increasing Phosphorus Supply and Defoliation Frequency. *Agron. J.*, 92: 868-874.
- Singh, K. P. and Kumar, V. 1981. Water Use and Water-Use Efficiency of Wheat and Barley in Relation to Seeding Dates, Levels of Irrigation and Nitrogen Fertilization. *Agr. Water Manag.*, 3: 305-316.
- Sun, X. P., Yan, H. I., Kang, X. Y. and Ma, F. W. 2013. Growth, Gas Exchange, and Water-Use Efficiency Response of Two Young Apple Cultivars to Drought Stress in Two Scion-One Rootstock Grafting System. *Photosynthetica*, 51(3): 404-410.
- Tavakkoli, A. R. and Oweis, T. Y. 2004. The Role of Supplemental Irrigation and Nitrogen in Producing Bread Wheat in the Highlands of Iran. *Agr. Water Manag.*, 65: 225-236.
- 73. Tilling, A. K., O'Leary, G. J., Ferwerda, J. G., Jones, S. D., Fitzgerald, G. J., Rodriguez, D. and Belford, R. 2007. Remote Sensing of Nitrogen and Water Stress in Wheat. *Field Crops Res.*, **104**: 77-85.
- 74. Vande Broek, A., Lambrecht, M., Eggermont, K. and Vanderleyden, J. 1999. Auxins Upregulate Expression of Indol-3-Pyruvate Decarboxylase Gene in *Azospirillum brasilense. J. Bacteriol.*, **181**: 1338-1342.
- 75. Van Herwaarden, A. F., Farquhar, G. D., Angus, J. F., Richards, R. A. and Howe, G. N. 1998. 'Haying Off', the Negative Graine Yield Response of Dryland Wheat to Nitrogen Fertilizer I. Biomass, Grain Yield, and Water Use. Aust. J. Agric. Res., 49: 1067-1081.
- Vessey, J. K. 2003. Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria as Biofertilizers. *Plant soil.*, 255(2): 571-586.

JAST

- Wu, Q. S., Srivastava, A. K. and Zou, Y. N. 2013. AMF-Induced Tolerance to Drought Stress in Citrus. *Sci. Hortic.*, 164: 77-87.
- Xu, Z., Yu, Z. and Wang, D. 2006. Nitrogen Translocation in Wheat Plants under Soil Water Deficit. *Plant Soil.*, 280: 291–303.
- Yang, J., Zhang, J., Huang, Z., Zhu, Q. and Wang, L. 2000. Remobilization of Carbon Reserves is Improved by Controlled Soil-Drying During Grain Filling of Wheat. *Crop Sci.*, 40:1645–1655.
- Yang, J. and Zhang, J. 2006. Grain Filling of Cereals under Soil Drying. *New Phytol.*, 169: 223-236.

- Zadocks, J. C., Chang, T. T. and Konzak, C. F. 1974. A Decimal Code for the Growth Stages of Cereals of Cereals. *Weed Res.*, 14: 11-16.
- Zhang, B., Li, F. M., Huang, G., Cheng, Z. Y. and Zhang, Y. 2006. Yield Performance of Spring Wheat Improved by Regulated Deficit Irrigation in an Arid Area. *Agr. Water Manag.*, **79**: 28-42.
- Zhang, J., Sui, X., Li, B., Su, B., Li, J. and Zhou, D. 1998. An Improved Water-Use Efficiency for Winter Wheat Grown under Reduced Irrigation. *Field Crop Res.*, **59**: 91-98.

تاثیر منبع نیتروژن و کم آبیاری بر عملکرد و انتقال نیتروژن در گیاه تریتیکاله در یک سیستم زراعی با شرایط آب و هوایی مدیترانهای خشک

و. براتی، ا. بیژن زاده، و ز. زینتی

چکیدہ

به منظور بررسی مهمترین ویژگی های گیاه تریتیکاله که در افزایش عملکرد دانه، کارایی استفاده از آب (بر اساس دانه و زیست توده) و انتقال نیتروژن درون گیاه نقش دارند، آزمایشی دو ساله تحت شرایط استفاده از منابع مختلف نیتروژن و رژیمهای متفاوت آبیاری در منطقهای با شرایط آب و هوایی مدیترانهای از ایران انجام شد. رژیم آبیاری شامل دو سطح در سال اول: ۱- آبیاری مطلوب و ۲- قطع آبیاری پس از مرحلهی گلدهی بود. در سال دوم، شرایط دیم نیز به عنوان تیمار سوم اضافه شد. منبع نيتروژن شامل چهار سطح: ١– تيمار زيستي: باكترى آزوسپيريلوم ٢– تيمار تلفيقي: استفاده از باكترى آزوسييريلوم + ٧٥ كيلوگرم نيتروژن بر هكتار به صورت اوره ٣- كود نيتروژن: ١٥٠ كيلوگرم نيتروژن بر هكتار به صورت اوره ۴- شاهد: صفر کیلو گرم نیتروژن بر هکتار بود. نتایج نشان داد که بیشترین عملکرد دانه (۶۲۵۸ کیلو گرم بر هکتار) در شرايط استفاده از كود نيتروژن و آيباري مطلوب بدست آمد. در مقابل، كود تلفيقي بيشترين عملكرد دانه را در شرايط قطع آبباری پس از گلدهی (۴۴۰۹ کیلو گرم بر هکتار) و دیم (۲۹۶۰ کیلو گرم بر هکتار) داشت. تنش خشکی به طور معنی داری کارایی استفاده از آب (بر اساس زیست توده) را افزایش داد. اما، کارایی استفاده از آب (بر اساس دانه) بواسطه تنش خشکی پس از گلدهی به طور جزئی افزایش و سپس توسط تنش شدید ناشی از شرایط دیم به شدت در همهی منابع نیتروژن بجز تیمارکود نیتروژن کاهش یافت. در تیمار کود نیتروژن، کارایی استفاده از آب (بر اساس دانه) توسط هر دو نوع تنش به شدت كاهش یافت. تیمار تلفیقی بالاترین مقدار انتقال نیتروژن را داشت. اگرچه انتقال نیتروژن متاثر از تیمار قطع آبیاری در سال با شرایط آب و هوایی خشک تر (سال اول) نبود، اما به مقدار ۸/۴ درصد بواسطهی تیمار قطع آبیاری در سال نسبتا مرطوبتر (سال دوم) افزایش یافت. بطور کلی، کود تلفیقی می تواند به طور موفقیت آمیزی برای افزایش عملکرد دانه، کارایم، استفاده از آب و انتقال نیتروژن به دانه در گیاه تریتیکاله بویژه در شرایط رژیمهای کم آبیاری در جهت پایداری بیشتر سیستمهای زراعی در شرایط آب و هوایی مدیترانهای خشک استفاده شود.