

## Individual and Combined Biological Effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Multicapsid Nucleopolyhedrovirus* on the Biological Stages of Egyptian Cotton Leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (B.) (Lep.: Noctuidae)

Z. Magholi Fard<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Hesami<sup>2</sup>, R. Marzban<sup>3\*</sup>, and G. Salehi Jouzani<sup>4</sup>

### ABSTRACT

The Egyptian cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisduval), is known as an important and highly polyphagous pest species worldwide. The objective of the present study was to evaluate synergistic effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* and *Nucleopolyhedrovirus* (SpliMNPV) on the 5-day-old larvae (2<sup>nd</sup> instars) of *S. littoralis* under laboratory conditions. To do this, the larvae of *S. littoralis* were fed on the treated artificial diet containing only one or combination of Bt ( $8.31 \times 10^5$ ,  $2.78 \times 10^7$ ,  $9.69 \times 10^8$  spore mL<sup>-1</sup>) and SpliMNPV ( $5.26 \times 10$ ,  $7.03 \times 10^2$ ,  $9.39 \times 10^3$  OB mL<sup>-1</sup>). According to the results, the mortality rate for most of the Bt-SpliMNPV combinations (different concentrations) was higher than that in the treatments containing only one of the studied biocontrol agents. The Bt-SpliMNPV combinations showed different types of interactions, including synergistic, additive, or antagonistic effects. The treatment containing  $8.31 \times 10^5$  spore mL<sup>-1</sup> of Bt and  $5.26 \times 10$  OB mL<sup>-1</sup> of the SpliMNPV was interpreted as synergism effect, as the real mortality ( $72.41 \pm 12.43\%$ ) was significantly more than the expected ( $48.28\%$ ). In addition, application of the Bt-SpliMNPV combinations could significantly increase larval and pupal periods, and reduce pupation, pupal weight and the adult emergence rate compared to the control and treatments containing only one of Bt or SpliMNPV. Finally, it could be concluded that co-application of Bt and SpliMNPV can enhance economic and efficient control of *S. littoralis*.

**Keywords:** Biocontrol agents, Insect virus, Microbial control, Synergistic effects.

### INTRODUCTION

The Egyptian cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisduval) (Lep.: Noctuidae), is known as a highly polyphagous pest in the world (Alfazairy *et al.*, 2013). Considerable damages are recorded on 44 different plant families, including grasses, legumes, crucifers, deciduous fruit trees and some

ornamental crops, many of which are of highly economic importance (Robinson *et al.*, 2010; Hatem *et al.*, 2011).

Common control strategies for *S. littoralis* rely on chemical insecticides. These chemicals have potentially harmful effects on humans, other mammals and non-target species, especially on predators and parasitoids of important pests (Mosallanejad

<sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Fars Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Fars, Islamic Republic of Iran.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Shiraz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Islamic Republic of Iran.

<sup>3</sup> Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection (IRIPP), Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran.

\*Corresponding author; e-mail: r.marzban@areeo.ac.ir

<sup>4</sup> Department of Microbial Biotechnology and Biosafety, Agricultural Biotechnology Research Institute of Iran (ABRII), Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Karaj, Islamic Republic of Iran.



and Smagghe, 2009). Intensive uses of chemical insecticides cause resistance in several leafworms populations (Hatem *et al.*, 2011; Khedr *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, development of environment-friendly microbial pesticides to fight natural disease-causing microorganisms such as viruses, bacteria, nematodes, protozoa and fungi, is of importance (Lacey *et al.*, 2001). *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) is the most widely used microorganism for control of insect pests of crops and forests (Lacey *et al.*, 2015; Salehi Jouzani *et al.*, 2017). Bt is an aerobic and Gram-positive bacterium that produces crystalline proteins (Cry proteins or  $\delta$ -endotoxins that are encoded by *cry* genes) during sporulation, which are highly toxic to a wide-range of pest insects especially on Lepidoptera, Diptera and Coleoptera (Mansour *et al.*, 2012; Marzban *et al.*, 2016; Salehi Jouzani *et al.*, 2017). Insect resistance to Bt-formulations is develops slower than resistance to chemical insecticides. As for the development of insect resistance against Bt-toxins, several important mutations are required (Ullah *et al.*, 2014; Qayyum *et al.*, 2015). However, there is still a need to find new efficient biocontrol agents with less possibility of insect resistance to them.

In addition to Bt, Baculoviridae is a large family of entomopathogenic viruses, which have been widely used as biocontrol agents of crop pests. These viruses are safe and selective bio-insecticides, restricted to many invertebrates, particularly Lepidoptera species (Theilmann *et al.*, 2005). Virions are protected by the polyhedral occlusion bodies as they can retain infectivity for several years. Previous studies have confirmed that *S. littoralis* Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus (SpliNPV) causes high mortality in larval populations of this pest (Toprak *et al.*, 2007). However, the narrow host range, slow speed of kill that allows larvae to continue thier damage to crop, large dose requirement, and resistance development are some of the limiting factors in use of *baculoviruses* (Magholi *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, improvement of *baculovirus* based formulations with enhanced efficiency is of

importance. The aims of the present study were: (1) To quantify the lethal activity of SpliMNPV and Iranian Bt. *kurstaki* strain on 2<sup>nd</sup> instars (5-day old) of *S. littoralis*, (2) To investigate LC<sub>25</sub>, LC<sub>50</sub>, and LC<sub>75</sub> effects of combinations of SpliMNPV-Bt and their individual treatment on larval period, pupal period, pupation rate, pupal weight, emergence rate, (3) To find the most effective combination of SpliMNPV and Bt that has the highest mortality and the adverse effects on the biological stages of this pest.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Insect Rearing

The *S. littoralis* larvae were collected from cotton fields in Dezful region, Iran, in September 2015. The collected larvae were individually placed in plastic cups (3 cm height, 6 cm diameter) with artificial diet (Novan, 1985) until larvae were pupated. The adults were placed in a plastic cylindrical container (25 cm in height, 17 cm in diameter), and a paper towel strip was hung in it for the egg deposition. Then, eggs were transferred into a clean plastic container for hatching. Adults were fed with a 10% honey solution. Insect culture was maintained at 27°C and 65% relative humidity under a 16: 8 hour (L:D) photoperiod in a growth chamber. The insects were reared for several generations under these standard rearing conditions, then used for bioassays.

### Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus Preparation

The SpliMNPV was obtained at the concentration  $6.67 \times 10^9$  OB mL<sup>-1</sup> from Professor David Grzywacz, University of Greenwich, England. The viral suspensions ranging from 10<sup>2</sup> to 10<sup>6</sup> OB mL<sup>-1</sup> were prepared by diluting with Tris HCl 50 mM (pH 7.2) (Mahmoud *et al.*, 2012; Magholli *et al.*, 2013).

### Bt Preparation

A native Iranian Bt subsp. *kurstaki* strain (GON-9) was provided by the Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection (Marzban, 2002; Marzban and Salehi, 2006). The strain was kept at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  in Freezer (Cryo Freezer Conqueror). The strain was grown on nutrient agar at  $27^{\circ}\text{C}$ . After four days, 3-4 loop of pre-culture were solved to 1 mL of sterile distilled water and added to 99 mL of R<sub>2</sub>NB (3 g beef extract, 5 g peptone and 50 g water extract of rice per litre) medium in a 250 mL conical flask, and maintained at  $27^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 48 hours with (100 rpm). Afterwards, it was placed for 1 hour at  $-4^{\circ}\text{C}$  for more separation of crystals and spores. The medium at an initial pH of 7.2 was sterilized at  $120^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 20 minutes (Alfazairy *et al.*, 2013). After final growth, the bacterial suspensions with concentrations ranging from  $10^5$  to  $10^9$  spore  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  were prepared by diluting with Tween 80 (0.4%) (Kalantari *et al.*, 2013).

### Bioassay

Two bioassay procedures were adopted for the experiment. To perform bioassays, the 5-day-old larvae were placed individually in the white plastic cups (3 cm height, 6 cm in diameter), containing artificial diet (1  $\text{cm}^3$  each). Three replicates, each of 15 larvae, were assayed against each procedure. All cups were maintained under laboratory conditions of  $27^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 65% relative humidity, and 16: 8 hours (L:D) photoperiod. All the tested larvae were starved for a period of 2-4 hours before feeding to ensure their feeding on treated artificial diets (Burgess and Thompson, 1971). Each artificial diet (1 $\text{cm}^3$ ) was treated by means of a micropipette with 0.5 mL per  $\text{cm}^3$  of concentration. The surviving larvae were transferred to a clean cup and supplied daily with fresh and untreated artificial diets after 48 hours. The bioassays were continued until the larvae had either died or pupated and adults were emerged.

### Procedure 1

At the procedure 1, the  $\text{LC}_{25}$ ,  $\text{LC}_{50}$ ,  $\text{LC}_{75}$  values for the Bt strain and SpliMNPV were calculated separately. At the first, a bacterial primary bioassay was carried out to obtain a minimum ( $> 25\%$  mortality) and maximum ( $< 75\%$  mortality) concentrations required for experiments. Then, seven different concentrations ( $1.9 \times 10^6$ ,  $6.3 \times 10^6$ ,  $1.9 \times 10^7$ ,  $6.3 \times 10^7$ ,  $1.9 \times 10^8$ ,  $6.3 \times 10^8$  and  $1.9 \times 10^9$  spore  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) were prepared based upon previous tests in a logarithmic fashion using 0.4% Tween 80. Forty eight hours post-treatment, larval mortality was recorded daily for 6 days. The bioassay of virus was performed by the same procedure, except using 50 mM Tris HCl for concentrations preparation ( $10^2$ ,  $2.8 \times 10^2$ ,  $8.1 \times 10^2$ ,  $2.3 \times 10^3$ ,  $6.6 \times 10^3$ ,  $1.8 \times 10^4$  and  $5.2 \times 10^4$  OB  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ). Furthermore, larval mortality was recorded from day 4 to day 10 after treatments. In both assays, the larvae that were unable to move or feed were confirmed dead. The control larvae were fed on the artificial diets treated with sterile distilled water plus Tween 80 for the Bt strain and Tris HCl buffer for SpliMNPV.

### Procedure 2

The bioassay procedure included sixteen treatments, the combination of the  $\text{LC}_{25}$ ,  $\text{LC}_{50}$ ,  $\text{LC}_{75}$  values of Bt and SpliMNPV ( $8.31 \times 10^5$ ,  $2.78 \times 10^7$ ,  $9.69 \times 10^8$  spore  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) and ( $5.26 \times 10^2$ ,  $7.03 \times 10^2$ ,  $9.39 \times 10^3$  OB  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ), respectively, were assayed. In addition, the treatments containing individual Bt and SpliMNPV at the same concentrations and a control (with sterile distilled water plus 0.4% Tween 80 and 50 mM Tris Hcl were studied. The larval were individually placed in plastic cups (3 cm height, 6 cm diameter) with the treated artificial diets. After 48 hours, the survived larvae were transferred to clean cups with untreated diets and observed daily to determine larval period, pupal period, rate of pupal formation, pupal weight and emergence rate.



## Statistical Analysis

One-way ANOVA was performed using SPSS software (1998). Percentage of pupation, larval period, pupal period, and pupal weight were calculated on the basis of the initial number of larvae used in each treatment. The mortality was corrected by the following equation:

$$M [\%] = [(t-c)/(100-c)] \times 100$$

Where, M is corrected Mortality,  $c$  is the mortality in controls and  $t$  is mortality in treatments (Abbott, 1925; Duffield and Jordan, 2000). The normalization of the data was done in SPSS. Then, mean corrected mortality, pupation rate, larval period, and pupal weight were compared using Duncans test at  $P < 0.05$ . The equation  $CTF = (O_c - O_e) / O_e \times 100$  was used to determine the type of interactions between different concentrations, where  $CTF$  is the Co-Toxicity Factor,  $O_c$  is the Observed mortality in the combination and  $O_e$ , the expected mortality, is the sum of mortalities caused by each pathogen used in combination. This factor was used to differentiate the results into three categories. A positive factor of 20 or more indicated synergism, while a negative factor of 20 or more stood for antagonism, and any intermediate value (*i.e.* between -20 and +20) was considered as an additive (Mansour *et al.*, 1966).  $LC_{25}$ ,  $LC_{50}$ ,  $LC_{75}$  values were determined by SAS (1999) statistical software.

## RESULTS

### Bioassay

#### Procedure 1

Values of  $LC_{25}$ ,  $LC_{50}$  and  $LC_{75}$  for the native Bt strain were  $8.31 \times 10^5$ ,  $2.78 \times 10^7$  and  $9.69 \times 10^8$  spore  $mL^{-1}$ , whereas those of the SpliMNPV were  $5.26 \times 10$ ,  $7.03 \times 10^2$  and  $9.39 \times 10^3$  OB  $mL^{-1}$ , respectively (Tables 1 and 2).

**Table 1.** The results of bioassay of the *Bacillus thuringiensis* strain on the 5-day-old larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis*.

Isolate	$LC_{25}$	$LC_{50}$	$LC_{75}$	Slope	Intercept	$\chi^2$	df	Pr > Chi-sq
Bt. <i>kurstaki</i>	$8.31 \times 10^5$ ( $8.1 \times 10^4 - 2.9 \times 10^6$ )	$2.78 \times 10^7$ ( $1.1 \times 10^7 - 6 \times 10^7$ )	$9.69 \times 10^8$ ( $3.6 \times 10^8 - 5.2 \times 10^9$ )	0.44	-3.28	1.42	5	0.9222

**Table 2.** The results of bioassay of the SpliMNPV isolate on 5-day-old larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis*.

Isolate	$LC_{25}$	$LC_{50}$	$LC_{75}$	Slope	Intercept	$\chi^2$	df	Pr > Chi-sq
SpliMNPV	$5.26 \times 10$ ( $1.3 \times 10 - 1.2 \times 10^2$ )	$7.03 \times 10^2$ ( $3.6 \times 10^2 - 1.2 \times 10^3$ )	$9.39 \times 10^3$ ( $4.8 \times 10^3 - 2.4 \times 10^4$ )	0.59	-1.71	1.55	5	0.9069

## Procedure 2

The 5-day-old larvae of *S. littoralis* that were fed on the treated artificial diets containing different combinations of Bt-SpliMNPV strains, showed significant variation in terms of their mortality ( $F_{14,30}=7.87$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), larval period (Larval period:  $F_{14,30}=25.58$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), pupal period ( $F_{14,30}=3.36$ ,  $P < 0.003$ ), pupation rate ( $F_{15,32}=9.03$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), pupal weight ( $F_{14,30}=2.29$ ,  $P < 0.028$ ), and adult emergence ( $F_{15,32}=12.12$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ).

The mortality rate for most of the Bt-SpliMNPV combinations (different concentrations) was higher than treatments containing only one of the studied biocontrol agents (Table 3). The treatment containing combination of the Bt strain (with concentration  $8.31 \times 10^5$  spore  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) and the SpliMNPV strain ( $5.26 \times 10^1$  OB  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) was interpreted as synergism effect, as the observed mortality was significantly more

than the expected. Other Bt-SpliMNPV combinations showed additive effects, however, exceptions were observed for Bt ( $2.78 \times 10^7$  spore  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ )-SpliMNPV ( $9.39 \times 10^3$  OB  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) and Bt ( $9.69 \times 10^8$  spore  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ )-SpliMNPV ( $7.03 \times 10^2$  OB  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ), which showed antagonism effects (Table 3).

The larval and pupal periods increased significantly in combinations and single treatments of Bt and SpliMNPV compared to the control (Table 4). The longest duration of larval period (24.67 day) was observed in the treatments containing Bt-SpliMNPV combinations at concentrations  $8.31 \times 10^5$  spore  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  and  $5.26 \times 10$  OB  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  and  $8.31 \times 10^5$  spore  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  and  $7.03 \times 10^2$  OB  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ , respectively. The longest pupal period was recorded in larvae treated by Bt-SpliMNPV combination ( $2.78 \times 10^7$  spore  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  and  $9.39 \times 10^3$  OB  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ). In addition, the pupal rate and pupal weight were significantly decreased in the treatments containing combinations and single forms of

**Table 3.** Mortality of 5-day-old larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis* exposed to the single or combined *Bacillus thuringiensis* and SpliMNPV. <sup>a</sup>

Bt (Spore $\text{mL}^{-1}$ )	SpliMNPV (OB $\text{mL}^{-1}$ )	Actual mortality (%) <sup>b, c</sup>	Expected mortality <sup>c</sup>	Co-toxicity factor	Type of interaction <sup>d</sup>
$8.31 \times 10^5$	$5.26 \times 10$	$72.41 \pm 12.43^{abcd}$	48.28	49.97	Syn.
	$7.03 \times 10^2$	$62.06 \pm 3.45^{cde}$	75.86	-18.19	Add.
	$9.39 \times 10^3$	$86.21 \pm 3.44^{abc}$	100	-13.79	Add.
$2.78 \times 10^7$	$5.26 \times 10$	$65.52 \pm 6.89^{bcde}$	65.51	0.01	Add.
	$7.03 \times 10^2$	$79.31 \pm 15.80^{abc}$	93.09	-14.8	Add.
	$9.39 \times 10^3$	$93.10 \pm 6.89^{ab}$	117.23	-20.58	Ant.
$9.69 \times 10^8$	$5.26 \times 10$	$82.75 \pm 6.89^{abc}$	93.1	-11.11	Add.
	$7.03 \times 10^2$	$86.21 \pm 3.44^{abc}$	120.68	-28.56	Ant.
	$9.39 \times 10^3$	100 <sup>a</sup>			
$8.31 \times 10^5$	0	$24.14 \pm 12.43^f$			
$2.78 \times 10^7$	0	$41.37 \pm 3.44^{ef}$			
$9.69 \times 10^8$	0	$68.96 \pm 11.49^{abcd}$			
0	$5.26 \times 10$	$24.14 \pm 6.89^f$			
0	$7.03 \times 10^2$	$51.72 \pm 3.45^{de}$			
0	$9.39 \times 10^3$	$75.86 \pm 9.12^{abcd}$			
0	0	-			
DF		14			
F		7.88			
P		0.001			

<sup>a</sup> The 5-day-old larvae of *S. littoralis* were fed on artificial diets with *B. thuringiensis* and SpliMNPV suspensions. <sup>b</sup> The data in the table are means ( $\pm$ SE). Means within the same column followed by different letters are significantly different at  $P < 0.05$ , Duncan test. <sup>c</sup> Mortality rates were corrected by Abbott's formula. <sup>d</sup> Abbreviation: Add= Additive, Syn= Synergism, Ant= Antagonism.

**Table 4.** The debilitating effects of single or combination forms of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and SpliMNPV on *Spodoptera littoralis*. <sup>a, b</sup>

Bt (spore mL <sup>-1</sup> )	SpliMNPV (OB mL <sup>-1</sup> )	Larval period (Day)	Pupal period (Day)	Pupal rate (%)	Pupal weight (mg)	Emergence rate (%)
8.31×10 <sup>5</sup>	5.26×10	24.67±0.67 <sup>a</sup>	11.76±0.46 <sup>de</sup>	36.67±6.67 <sup>def</sup>	26.67±1.76 <sup>bcd</sup>	26.67±12.01 <sup>defg</sup>
	7.03×10 <sup>2</sup>	24.67±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	11.87±0.32 <sup>de</sup>	46.67±12.01 <sup>cde</sup>	24±1 <sup>d</sup>	36.67±3.33 <sup>cde</sup>
	9.39×10 <sup>3</sup>	20.33±0.33 <sup>def</sup>	12.18±0.42 <sup>cde</sup>	30±5.8 <sup>def</sup>	28.33±2.73 <sup>abcd</sup>	13.33±3.33 <sup>efg</sup>
2.78×10 <sup>7</sup>	5.26×10	22.67±0.89 <sup>bc</sup>	12.08±0.83 <sup>cde</sup>	36.67±3.33 <sup>def</sup>	27.67±0.88 <sup>bcd</sup>	33.33±6.67 <sup>cdef</sup>
	7.03×10 <sup>2</sup>	23±0.01 <sup>ab</sup>	12.43±0.43 <sup>bcd</sup>	26.67±17.64 <sup>defg</sup>	28.33±0.33 <sup>abcd</sup>	20±15.27 <sup>defg</sup>
	9.39×10 <sup>3</sup>	19.67±0.67 <sup>efg</sup>	13.83±0.17 <sup>ab</sup>	6.67±6.67 <sup>fg</sup>	30 <sup>ab</sup>	6.67±6.67 <sup>fg</sup>
9.69×10 <sup>8</sup>	5.26×10	22.67±1.2 <sup>bc</sup>	12.33±0.67 <sup>cde</sup>	20±10.01 <sup>efg</sup>	26.67±0.88 <sup>bcd</sup>	16.67±6.67 <sup>efg</sup>
	7.03×10 <sup>2</sup>	21.67±0.33 <sup>bcd</sup>	14±0.29 <sup>a</sup>	13.33±3.33 <sup>fg</sup>	26.67±0.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	13.33±3.33 <sup>efg</sup>
	9.39×10 <sup>3</sup>	-	-	0 <sup>g</sup>	-	0 <sup>g</sup>
8.31×10 <sup>5</sup>	0	16.67±0.58 <sup>ij</sup>	12.72±0.68 <sup>abcde</sup>	73.33±12.01 <sup>abc</sup>	26±2.88 <sup>bcd</sup>	73.33±12.02 <sup>ab</sup>
2.78×10 <sup>7</sup>	0	17±0.01 <sup>ij</sup>	12.93±0.07 <sup>abcd</sup>	56.67±3.33 <sup>bcd</sup>	27.67±1.33 <sup>bcd</sup>	56.67±3.33 <sup>bc</sup>
9.69×10 <sup>8</sup>	0	17.67±0.67 <sup>hi</sup>	13.52±0.13 <sup>abc</sup>	30±11.55 <sup>def</sup>	29 <sup>abc</sup>	30±11.55 <sup>cdef</sup>
0	5.26×10	18±0.01 <sup>ghi</sup>	12.52±0.88 <sup>cde</sup>	76.67±8.82 <sup>ab</sup>	24.67±2.03 <sup>cd</sup>	73.33±6.67 <sup>ab</sup>
0	7.03×10 <sup>2</sup>	19±0.01 <sup>fgh</sup>	13.43±0.57 <sup>abc</sup>	56.67±3.33 <sup>bcd</sup>	27.33±0.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	46.67±3.33 <sup>cd</sup>
0	9.39×10 <sup>3</sup>	21±1.73 <sup>cde</sup>	13.36±0.25 <sup>abc</sup>	33.33±14.53 <sup>def</sup>	27±0.58 <sup>bcd</sup>	23.33±8.82 <sup>defg</sup>
0	0	15.33±0.58 <sup>j</sup>	11.33±0.33 <sup>e</sup>	100 <sup>a</sup>	32.67±0.58 <sup>a</sup>	96.67±3.33 <sup>a</sup>
DF		14	14	15	14	15
F		25.58	3.36	9.03	2.29	12.12
P		0.001	0.003	0.001	0.028	0.001

<sup>a</sup> The 5-day-old larvae of *S.littoralis* were fed on artificial diets with *B. thuringiensis* and SpliMNPV suspensions. <sup>b</sup> The data in the table are means (±SE). Means within the same column followed by different letters are significantly different at P< 0.05, Duncan test.

Bt and SpliMNPV compared to the control. The highest rate of pupation (76.67%) was observed in the treatment containing the lowest concentration of the Bt strain alone. The lowest pupal weight (24 mg) belonged to the combination of Bt-SpliMNPV with concentration 8.31×10<sup>5</sup> spore mL<sup>-1</sup> and 7.03×10<sup>2</sup> OB mL<sup>-1</sup>. The adult emergence in all Bt-SpliMNPV combinations and their single treatments were significantly decreased compared to the control. Adult emergence was found inversely related to the pathogenicity of Bt and SpliMNPV. The lowest adult emergence was recorded in the Bt-SpliMNPV combination forms (0%), whereas the highest levels were observed in the control (96.67%) and Bt (8.31×10<sup>5</sup> spore mL<sup>-1</sup>) and SpliMNPV (5.26×10 OB mL<sup>-1</sup>) in single forms (73.33%), respectively. In addition, in the treatments containing Bt-SpliMNPV combination at concentration 8.3×10<sup>5</sup> spore mL<sup>-1</sup> and 5.3×10 OB mL<sup>-1</sup> and

Bt in alone (2.78×10<sup>7</sup> spore mL<sup>-1</sup>) and SpliMNPV in alone (5.26×10, 7.03×10<sup>2</sup>, 9.39×10<sup>3</sup> OB mL<sup>-1</sup>) showed considerable adverse effects on survivors. These effects included deformities in both pupae and moths.

## DISCUSSION

The present study was performed to develop a sustainable management strategy for control of *S. littoralis* larvae by using different Bt and SpliMNPV combinations. The results showed that the 50% Lethal Concentration (LC<sub>50</sub>) of the studied Iranian Bt strain on the *S. littoralis* larvae was about 2.78×10<sup>7</sup> spore mL<sup>-1</sup>, which was significantly less than that previously reported by other researchers. For instance, Alfazairy *et al.* (2013) showed that the LC<sub>50</sub> of Btm27 and Btk66 on *S.littoralis* larvae

were equal to  $0.31 \times 10^8$  and  $0.89 \times 10^8$  spore  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ .  $\text{LC}_{50}$  value of Btm27 was similar to  $\text{LC}_{50}$  of GON-9, but  $\text{LC}_{50}$  for Btk66 was different. This difference could be due to the presence of more specific *cry* or *vip* genes in the Iranian native Bt strain against the studied pest.

The  $\text{LC}_{50}$  value of SpliMNPV against *S. littoralis* larvae was about  $7.03 \times 10^2$  OB  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  which was significantly less than that previously reported. Shaurub *et al.* (2014) reported that the  $\text{LC}_{50}$  value of SpliMNPV on fourth instar of *S. littoralis* was  $8.43 \times 10^8$  OB  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ . This difference may be due to difference of the assayed instar stage. In another study, Seufi (2008) showed that the  $\text{LC}_{50}$  value for SpliNPV against 2<sup>nd</sup> instar of *S. littoralis* was  $1.2 \times 10^3$  OB  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ , which was approximately 2 times more than  $\text{LC}_{50}$  of the SpliMNPV in the present study. These results confirmed that the Bt and SpliMNPV strains used in the present study were more efficient against the studied pest.

Larvae fed on treated diets with Bt started to die from the third day after infection, and most larvae were dead on the fourth or fifth day. The *S. littoralis* larvae treated with combination of Bt-SpliMNPV were dead at the same time as that for the dead larvae treated with Bt alone, but it was 2-3 days earlier than SpliMNPV. Lepidopteran caterpillars were treated by Bt-NPV, after 6 hours, the action of NPV was intensified and, in association with Bt, caused intense vacuolization of the cytoplasm of larval midgut, causing cellular disorganization (Knaak and Fiuza, 2005). Duraimurugan *et al.* (2009) confirmed that combined treatment of NPV and Bt results in suppression of detoxification enzymes in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) and Nouriganbalani *et al.* (2016) showed combination of Bt-Azadirachtin (AZA) on *Plodia interpunctella* (Hübner), reduced the level of digestive enzymes found in midgut. The hypothesis needs to be investigated for *S. littoralis* larvae as well. Although larvae consumed fresh artificial diets during the infection period in this study, larvae treated by Bt and SpliMNPV, alone or in

combination, ate 1/3 or more of their food according to the concentrations, compared to the control larvae that consumed all of their food.

The results showed that combination or single form of Bt and SpliMNPV could extend developmental period and reduce the weight of pupa compared to the control. These results are in consistence with results attained for SpliMNPV on *S. littoralis* by Shaurub *et al.* (2014) and Bt and HaNPV on *H. armigera* by Kalantari *et al.* (2013).

In the present study, the combinations of the lowest concentration of Bt ( $8.31 \times 10^5$  spore  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) and SpliMNPV ( $5.26 \times 10$  or  $7.03 \times 10^2$  OB  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) caused longer period than other Bt-SpliMNPV combinations and the control. Therefore, it could be concluded that the non-lethal concentration of the Bt strain can increase larval period in the surviving larvae. Both Bt and SpliMNPV adversely affected the growth and development rates of *S. littoralis*. Prolonged developmental time at any stage would mean greater exposure to natural enemies and environmental stresses, which could reduce the rate of pest population build-up (Sedaratian *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, a longer generation time could result in fewer generations per season. Pupation and adult emergence rate in *S. littoralis* was observed after combinations and single forms of Bt and SpliMNPV in a concentration dependent manner. These results agreed with those of Marzban *et al.* (2009), Kalantari *et al.* (2013), and Qayyum *et al.* (2015) when assayed toxicity against *H. armigera*, and those Magholli *et al.* (2013) when assayed on *Plutella xylostella* (L.).

The data showed that the mortality increased at the lowest concentration of Bt-SpliNPV mixture compared to the mortality due to SpliNPV and Bt alone, and showed a synergistic effect on *S. littoralis* larvae with positive co-toxicity factor of 49.97. Shaurub *et al.* (2014) reported a co-toxicity factor of 58.40 when they studied co-application of SpliMNPV and AZA against *S. littoralis* larvae. Previously, the synergistic effects of different biocontrol agents, such as Bt and



NPV on *H. armigera* (Matter and Zohdy, 1981), S1NPV-Btk on *Culex pipiens* (L.) (Mahmoud et al., 2012) and Bt-HaNPV against *P. xylostella* (Magholi et al., 2013) were reported. The lowest concentration of Bt might cause delay in the larvae development and damage the cells of the targeted insect gut, and thus enhanced efficacy of SpliNPV (Salama et al., 1993) and overall collapse of fitness (Nouri-Ganbalani et al., 2016). In the presence of Bt, the number of insects that are able to escape NPV infection is reduced (Hesketh and Hails, 2008). The combination of Bt-SpliMNPV at low concentration significantly caused higher larval mortality and reduced killing time of the pest, which was in accordance with the results of Cook et al. (1996). Commonly, at low concentrations of Bt, toxins bind to specific receptors of the targeted insect midgut epithelium, causing the cells to lyse and facilitate the entry of the virus. At high concentrations of Bt, the mode of action of Cry toxins is to damage the cells of the targeted insect gut, and this may inhibit passage of SpliMNPV into the midgut cell. Therefore, Bt-SpliMNPV interactions showed antagonistic effect in some treatments in the present study. Xiaoxia et al. (2006) reported antagonistic effect on *H. armigera* at the most combinations of Cry1Ac and HaNPV. Hatem et al. (2012a) found that Cry1Ac with SpliNPV show antagonistic effect on *S. littoralis*. Hatem et al. (2012b) showed antagonistic effect on *S. littoralis* in the combination of SpliNPV and SpliGV. Kalantari et al. (2013) showed antagonistic effect when *H. armigera* larvae were simultaneously infected by HaNPV and Bt at higher concentrations. In the most Bt-SpliNPV combinations, an additive effect occurred. Hatem et al. (2012a) reported that additive effect on *S. littoralis* was found in the interaction between Cry1Ac and SpliGV at the low doses. This result was in accordance with the findings of Salama et al. (1993), Liu et al. (2006) and Marzban et al. (2009).

In the present study, when the Bt and SpliMNPV strains were applied alone, to achieve the maximum toxicity and larval mortality of *S. littoralis* larvae, use of higher concentrations of the SpliMNPV and Bt was necessary. The mortality levels of the low concentrations of the Bt-NPV combinations were greater than that of the Bt and NPV strains when they were used in single form. Thus, it could be concluded that reducing the dosage of the biocontrol agents and reduction of killing time will be economically more effective, and can delay the resistance process to the toxic effects of Bt in the target insect populations. Combination of the pathogens may increase their virulence compared with either alone (Marzban et al., 2009; Marzban, 2012). Chang et al. (2003) have developed an improved *baculovirus* insecticide producing occlusion bodies containing Bt toxin. Therefore, SpliMNPV can be a candidate in combination with Bt for integrated biological control of *S. littoralis*, but it is necessary for further studies to explore new interactions and to carry out the experiments under field conditions.

## REFERENCES

1. Abbott, W. S. 1925. A Method for Computing the Effectiveness of an Insecticide. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, **18**: 265-267.
2. Alfazairy, A. A., El-Ahwany, A. M. D., Mohamed, E. A., Zaghoul, H. A. H. and El-Helow, E. R. 2013. Microbial Control of the Cotton Leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) by Egyptian *Bacillus thuringiensis* Isolates. *Folia Microbiol.*, **58**: 155-162.
3. Burges, H. D. and Thompson, E. M. 1971. Standardization and Assay of Microbial Insecticides. In: "*Microbial Control of Insects and Mites*", (Eds.): Burges, H. D. and Hussey, N. W. Academic., New York, 709 PP.
4. Chang, J. H., Choi, J. Y., Jin, B. R., Roh, J. K., Olszewski, J. A., Seo, S. J., O'Reilly, D. R. and Je, Y. H. 2003. An Improved *Baculovirus* Insecticide Producing Occluding Bodies that Contain *Bacillus*



- thuringiensis* Insect Toxin. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.*, **84**: 30-37.
5. Cook, S. P., Webb, R. E. and Thorpe, K. W. 1996. Potential Enhancement of the Gypsy Moth (Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae) *Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus* with Triterpene Azadirachtin. *Biol. Control.*, **25(5)**: 1209-1214.
  6. Duffield, S. and Jordan, S. L. 2000. Evaluation of Insecticides for Control of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) and *Helicoverpa punctigera* (Wallengren) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on Soybean, and the Implications for Field Adoption. *J. Aust. Entomol. Soci.*, **39**: 322-327.
  7. Duncan, D. B. 1955. Multiple Range and Multiple F Tests. *Biometrics*, **11**: 1-42.
  8. Duraimurugan, P., Regupathy, A. and Shanmugam, P. 2009. Effect of *Nucleopolyhedrovirus* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* Infection on the Activity of Insecticide Detoxification Enzyme in Cotton Bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hbn. *Acta Phytopathol. Entomol. Ungarica*, **44**: 345-352.
  9. Hatem, A. E., Aldebis, H. K. and Osuna, E. V. 2011. Effects of *Spodoptera littoralis granulovirus* on the Development and Reproduction of Cotton Leafworm, *S. littoralis*. *Biol. Control.*, **59**: 192-199.
  10. Hatem, A. E., Reda, A., Amer, M. and Vargas-Osuna, E. 2012a. Combination Effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Ac Toxin and *Nucleopolyhedrovirus* or *Granulovirus* of *Spodoptera littoralis* on Cotton Leafworm. *Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control.*, **22(2)**: 115-120.
  11. Hatem, A. E., Faragalla, F. H. and Vargas-Osuna, E. 2012b. Combination Effects of *Spodoptera littoralis Nuclear Polyhedrosis* and *Granulovirus* against Larvae of the Cotton Leafworm. *World Rur. Observ.*, **4(4)**: 10-16.
  12. Hesketh, H. and Hails, R. 2008. The Influence of *Bacillus thuringiensis* on Baculovirus Transmission Dynamics in the Cabbage Moth, *Mamestra brassicae*. [Speech]. In: "Forty oneth Annual General Meeting of the Society for Invertebrate Pathology and 9th International Conference on *Bacillus thuringiensis* (incorporating COST862 Action Bacterial Toxins for Insect Control)", Warwick, UK, Soc. Invertebr. Pathol., **44**.
  13. Kalantari, M., Marzban, R., Imani, S. and Askari, H. 2013. Effect of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Isolate and Single *Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus* in combination and alone on *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Arch. Phytopathology. Plant Prot.*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03235408.2013.802460>.
  14. Khedr, M. A., Al-Shannaf, H. M., Mead, H. M. and Abd El-Aziz Shaker, Sh. 2015. Comparative Study to Determine Food Consumption of Cotton Leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis*, on Some Cotton Genotypes. *J. Plant Prot. Res.*, **55(3)**: 312-321.
  15. Knaak, N. and Fiuza, L. M. 2005. Histopathology of *Anticarsia gemmatilis* Hübner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) Treated with *Nucleopolyhedrovirus* and *Bacillus thuringiensis sorovar kurstaki*. *Braz. J. Microbiol.*, **36**: 196-200.
  16. Lacey, L. A., Frutos, R., Kaya, H. K. and Vail, P. 2001. Insect Pathogens as Biological Control Agents: Do They Have a Future? *Biol. Control.*, **21**: 230-248.
  17. Lacey, L. A., Grzywacz, D., Shapiro-Ilan, D. I., Frutos, R., Brownbridge, M. and Goettel, M. S. 2015. Insect Pathogens as Biological Control Agents: Back to the Future. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.*, **132**: 1-41.
  18. Liu, X. X., Zhang, Q. W., Xu, B. and Li, J. 2006. Effects of Cry1Ac Toxin of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus* of *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on Larval Mortality and Pupation. *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **62**: 729-737.
  19. Magholli, Z., Marzban, R., Abbasipour, H., Shikhi, A. and Karimi, J. 2013. Interaction Effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Subsp. *kurstaki* and Single *Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus* on *Plutella xylostella*. *J. Plant Dis. Protec.*, **120(4)**: 173-178.
  20. Magholi, Z., Abbasipour, H. and Marzban, R. 2014. Effect of *Helicoverpa armigera Nucleopolyhedrosis Virus* (HaNPV) on the Larvae of the Diamondback Moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *Plant Prot. Sci.*, **4**: 184-189.
  21. Mahmoud, D. M., Abd El-Bar, M. M. and Abdul Aziz Radi, M. H. 2012. Combined Effect Local Isolate *Spodoptera littoralis Nucleopolyhedrosis Virus* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* on *Culex pipiens* L. Larvae (Culicidae: Diptera). *J. Basic Appl. Zool.*, **65**: 74-78.



22. Mansour, N. A., Eldefrawi, M. E., Topozada, A. and Zeid, M. 1966. Toxicological Studies on the Egyptian Cotton Leafworm, *Prodenia litura* V1 Potentiation and Antagonism of Carbamate Insecticide. *J. Econ. Entomol.*, **59**: 307-311.
23. Mansour, S. A., Foda, M. S. and Aly, A. R. 2012. Mosquitocidal Activity of Two *Bacillus* Bacterial Endotoxins Combined with Plant Oils and Conventional Insecticides. *Ind. Crops. Prod.*, **35**: 44-52.
24. Marzban, R. 2002. Comparison Bioassay of Several Native Strains of Bt and *kurstaki* Serotype on *Plodia interpunctella*. *J. Pest. Plant Dis.*, **70**: 29-36.
25. Marzban, R. and Salehi Jouzani, G. 2006. Isolation of Native *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berliner Isolates from the Agricultural Soils of Iran. *J. New Agri. Sci.*, **1**(2): 47-54.
26. Marzban, R., He, Q., Liu, X. and Zhang, Q. 2009. Effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Toxin Cry1AC and *Cytoplasmic Polyhedrosis Virus* of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (HaCPV) on Cotton Bollworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J. Invertebr. Pathol.*, **101**: 71-76.
27. Marzban, R. 2012. Midgut pH Profile and Energy Differences in Lipid, Protein and Glycogen Metabolism of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry 1Ac and *Cypovirus*-Infected *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J. Entomol. Res. Soc.*, **14**: 45-53.
28. Marzban R., Saberi F. and Shirazi M. M. 2016. Microfiltration and Ultrafiltration of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Fermentation Broth: Membrane Performance and Spore-Crystal Recovery Approaches. *Braz. J. Chem. Eng.*, **33**(4): 783-791.
29. Matter, M. M. and Zohy, N. M. 1981. Biotic efficiency of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Berl. and a *Nuclear-Polyhedrosis Virus* on Larvae of the American Bollworm, *Heliothis armigera* Hbn. (Lep: Noctuidae). *J. Appl. Entomol.*, **92**: 336-343.
30. Mosallanejad, H. and Smagghe, G. 2009. Biochemical Mechanisms of Methoxyfenozide Resistance in the Cotton Leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis*. *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **65**: 732-736.
31. Nouri-Ganbalani, G., Borzoui, E., Abdolmaleki, A., Abedi, Z. and Kamita, Sh. G. 2016. Individual and Combined Effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and Azadirachtin on *Plodia interpunctella* Hübner (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *J. Insect Sci.*, **16**(1): 95; 1-8.
32. Novan, A. 1985. *Spodoptera littoralis*. In: "Handbook of Insect Rearing", (Eds.): Singh, P. and Moore, R. F. Elsevier, Amsterdam, PP. 469-475.
33. Qayyum, M. A., Wakil, W., Arif, M. J. and Sahi, Sh. T. 2015. *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus* for the Enhanced Bio-Control of *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, **17**(5): 1043-1048.
34. Robinson, G. S., Ackery., P. R., Kitching, I. J., Beccaloni, G. W. and Hernández, L. M. 2010. *Hosts a Database of the World Lepidopteran Host Plants*. Natural History Museum, London. <http://www.nhm.ac.uk/hosts> [Accessed on 14 January 2012].
35. Salama, H. S., Sharaby, A. and Eldin, M. M. 1993. Mode of Action of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus* in the Larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd). *Insect Sci. Appl.*, **14**: 483-488.
36. Salehi Jouzani, G., Valijanlian, E. and Sharafi, R. 2017. *Bacillus thuringiensis*: A Successful Insecticide with New Environmental Features and Tidings. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, **101**(7): 2691-2711.
37. SAS. 1999. *SAS Online Doc<sup>®</sup>, Version 8*. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
38. Sedaratian, A., Fathipour Y., Talaei-Hassanloui, R. and Jurat-Fuentes, J. L. 2013. Fitness Costs of Sublethal Exposure to *Bacillus thuringiensis* in *Helicoverpa armigera*: A Carryover Study on Offspring. *J. Appl. Entomol.*, **137**: 540-549.
39. Seufi, A. M. 2008. Characterization of an Egyptian *Spodoptera littoralis* *Nucleopolyhedrovirus* and a Possible Use of a Highly Conserved Region from *Polyhedrin* Gene for *Nucleopolyhedrovirus* Detection. *J. Virol.*, **5**:13-24.
40. Shaurub, E. H., El-Meguid, A. A. and El-Aziz, N. M. 2014. Effect of Individual and Combined Treatment with Azadirachtin and *Spodoptera littoralis* Multicapsid *Nucleopolyhedrovirus* (SpliMNPV, Baculoviridae) on the Egyptian Cotton Leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisduval) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Ecol. Balk.*, **6**(2): 93-100.
41. SPSS. 1998. *SPSS User's Guide*. SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL.

42. Theilmann, D.A., Blissard, G.W., Bonning, B., Jehle, J.A, O'Reilly, D.R., Rohrmann, G.F., Thiem, S. and Valk, J. 2005. Family baculoviridae. In: Fauquet, C.M., Mayo, M.A., Maniloff, M., Desselberger, U., Ball, L.A. (Eds.), Virus Taxonomy, 8<sup>th</sup> Report of the International Committee on Virus Taxonomy. Elsevier Press, San Diego, pp. 177-185.
43. Toprak, U., Gurkan, M. O. and Bayram, S. 2007. Impact of a Turkish Isolate and a Plaque-Purified Variant of SpliNPV-B on Larval Stage Development of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lep: Noctuidae) Boisd. *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **63**: 564-568.
44. Ullah, L., Asif, M., Arslan, M. and Ashfaq, M. 2014. Temporal Expression of Cry1Ab/c Protein in Bt-Cotton Varieties, Their Efficacy against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and Population Dynamics of Suckingarthropods on Them. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, **16**: 879-885.
45. Xiaoxia, L., Qingwen, Z., Baoliang, X. and Jiancheng, L. 2006. Effects of Cry1Ac Toxin of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae) on Larval Mortality and Pupation. *Pest Manag. Sci.*, **62**: 729-737.

اثرات بیولوژیکی جداگانه و ترکیب باکتری *Bacillus thuringiensis* و ویروس *Muiticapsid Nucleopolyhedrovirus* روی مراحل زیستی پروانه برگخوار مصری *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lep.:Noctuidae) پنجه

ز. معقولی فرد، ش. حسامی، ر. مرزبان و غ. صالحی جوزانی

چکیده

پروانه برگخوار مصری پنجه، *Spodoptera littoralis*، به عنوان یکی از گونه های آفات مهم و بسیار پلی فاژ در دنیا شناخته شده است. هدف از مطالعه کنونی، بررسی اثر سینرژیستی باکتری *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* و ویروس *nucleopolyhedrovirus* (SpliMNPV) روی لاروهای پنج روزه آفت در شرایط آزمایشگاهی است. برای انجام این، لاروهای پروانه برگخوار مصری پنجه از غذاهای مصنوعی آلوده حاوی باکتری Bt ( $8/31 \times 10^5$ ) و  $2/78 \times 10^7$  و  $9/69 \times 10^8$  اسپور در میلی لیتر) و ویروس SpliMNPV ( $5/26 \times 10^5$ ) و  $7/03 \times 10^2$  و  $9/39 \times 10^3$  OB در میلی لیتر) به صورت جداگانه و ترکیب تغذیه کردند. در نتایج تیمارها، میزان مرگ و میر لاروی در اکثر ترکیبات Bt-SpliMNPV (غلظت های مختلف) بیشتر از کاربرد جداگانه هر کدام از عوامل کنترل بیولوژیک مورد مطالعه بود. ترکیبات Bt-SpliMNPV انواع مختلفی از برهم کنشها، شامل اثرات سینرژیستی، افزایشی و آنتاگونیستی را نشان دادند. تیمار حاوی  $8/31 \times 10^5$  اسپور در میلی لیتر باکتری و  $5/26 \times 10^5$  OB در میلی لیتر ویروس به عنوان اثر سینرژیستی تفسیر شد که مرگ و میر واقعی ( $72/41 \pm 12/43$ ٪) به طور قابل توجهی از مرگ و میر مورد انتظار ( $48/28$ ٪) بیشتر بود. علاوه بر این، کاربرد ترکیبات Bt-SpliMNPV باعث افزایش طول دوره لاروی و شفیرگی و کاهش درصد شفیره شدن، وزن شفیره ها و درصد خروج حشرات کامل به طور معنی دار نسبت به تیمار شاهد



و تیمارهای حاوی باکتری Bt و ویروس SpliMNPV بصورت جداگانه، شدند. در نهایت، می توان نتیجه گرفت که کاربرد توأم باکتری Bt و ویروس SpliMNPV می تواند کنترل اقتصادی و کارآمد پروانه برگخوار مصری پنبه را افزایش دهد.