Production of Functional Sausage Using Pomegranate Peel and Pistachio Green Hull Extracts as Natural Preservatives
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ABSTRACT

This study investigated partial replacement of nitrite by Pomegranate Peel (PPE) and Pistachio Green Hull Extracts (PGHE) in cooked sausages and their effects on oxidative, microbial, and physicochemical properties of the samples. To this end, 250, 500, 750, 1,000, and 1,250 ppm of the two extracts and 100, 80, 60, 40, and 0 ppm of nitrite were added to the sausages and the peroxide and TBARS values, microbial tests, sensory evaluation, and color factors were measured during 30 days storage at 4°C. Antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of both treatments were as well as the control, or sometimes better than it. PGHE treatments had better color factors compared to PPE treatments. Sensory scores of PPE3 and PGHE3 (containing 60 ppm nitrite and 750 ppm of extracts) were not significantly different compared to the control. Thus, reduction of nitrite up to 50% and replacement of it by PPE or PGHE do not cause great changes in quality parameters of sausage and improve its functional properties.
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INTRODUCTION

Several synthetic antioxidants are often added to prevent oxidative and microbial spoilage of meat products (Shah et al., 2014). Sodium or potassium nitrite can be used as effective antioxidants in cured meat products. These additives have four major roles: color improvement, antimicrobial activity, antioxidative activity, and taste improvement. Despite advantages of these additives, formation of nitrosamines is one of the potentially carcinogenic and mutagenic effects (Zarringhalami et al., 2009). Hence, many researchers have evaluated reduction of nitrite by natural counterparts (Pegg and Shahidi, 2000). Also, consumers have a tendency toward natural meat products that contain lower amounts of nitrite and higher amounts of natural functional ingredients. Plant extracts are rich sources of phenolic compounds and can be substituted for synthetic preservatives such as nitrite (Shah et al., 2014). In previous studies, partial replacement of nitrite by natural materials such as peppermint essential oil, annatto pigments, and red grape extract has been investigated in different meat products (Moarefian et al., 2012; Zarringhalami et al., 2009; Riazi et al., 2015).

It should be noted that agricultural waste products are rich in polyphenolic compounds, cheap and economical sources, and can be used instated of essential oils and medicinal plants, which are more expensive.

Pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) is one of the oldest fruits that contain the highest amount of total phenolic compounds in comparison with other fruits. Pomegranate peels and seeds are the main waste fractions of industrial processing of pomegranate fruit, which are produced in a large scale (Goula and Lazarides, 2015). Pomegranate peel has been widely studied by many researchers because it contains natural antioxidants such as phenolic acids and flavonoids that possess anti-mutagenic, anti-cancer, anti-inflammatory and anti-cardiovascular diseases (Li et al., 2006; Negi et al., 2003). Regarding the antimicrobial activity of PPE, Al-Zoreky (2009) noted that...
80% methanolic extract of pomegranate peel inhibited the growth of *L. monocytogenes*, *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *Y. enterocolitica*. Kanatt *et al.* (2010) indicated that PPE had stronger antioxidant activity than BHT in chicken meat products. After harvesting and gathering the pistachio, the soft outer hull of pistachio is immediately separated from the hard woody shell; the hull is the largest portion of waste product of pistachio (Barreca *et al.*, 2016). Because of high levels of phenolic compounds, the green hull of pistachio can potentially be used as an antioxidant and natural preservative. Rajaei *et al.* (2010) investigated the antimicrobial effect of pistachio green hull extract on the gram positive and negative bacteria and concluded that it was effective against gram-positive ones such as *B. cereus* and *S. aureus*. Also, its antioxidant effect was studied by Goli *et al.* (2005), showing good antioxidative effect in soybean oil up to 0.06%.

Although there are a few studies on reduction of nitrite in meat products formulation, no information is available about replacement of PGHE and PPE with reduction of nitrite in sausage formulation. Therefore, we aimed to study the effects of pomegranate peel and pistachio green hull extracts as partial replacers of nitrite on oxidative, microbial, and physicochemical characteristics of cooked beef sausage.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Chemicals

TriChloroacetic Acid (TCA), sodium chloride, sodium thiosulphate, gallic acid, Folin-Ciocalteu reagent, sodium carbonate, thiobarbituric acid, starch, methanol, and culture media were purchased from Merck Co. (Darmstadt, Germany). DPPH (1, 1-Diphenyl-2-PicrylHydrazyl) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, Missouri, USA). Chloroform and acetic acid were purchased from Mojallali Laboratory (Tehran, Iran). All other reagents and chemicals used in the study were of analytical grade.

#### Preparation of Pomegranate Peel and Pistachio Green Hull Extracts

The pomegranate peels (*Malase torshe saveh* variety) and pistachio green hulls (*Ahmad aghaei* variety) were dried, powdered in a grinder (Moulinex, France) to reach particles sizes of 0.5 to 2 mm for pistachio green hull and 0.2 mm for pomegranate peel and then packed and stored at -20°C until extraction. Dried powders were extracted according to the methods reported by Yasoubi *et al.* (2010) and Goli *et al.* (2005). The concentrated extracts were freeze-dried. The dried extracts were stored in black bags in the freezer (-20°C) until the day of experiments.

#### Preparation of Low-Nitrite Sausages

Sausage samples were manufactured according to the traditional formulation. The formulations of both treatments had constant ingredients including beef meat (55%), ice (22.4%), soybean oil (10%), starch (4%), gluten (3%), soy protein isolate (3%), salt (1.5%), *Na*₅*P*₃*O*₁₀ (0.3%), red and black pepper (0.5%) and nutmeg (0.3%). The variant ingredients of sausage formulations are shown in Table 1. The components of each formulation were mixed separately in a cutter (Seydelmann, Aalen, Germany) and were filled in polyamide casings and labelled, then cooked at 75°C for 1 hour. After cooking, the sausages were cooled with cold water and chilled at 2°C for 8 hours. Finally, the sausages were stored in a refrigerator (at 4±1°C) for 29 days.

#### Total Phenolic Contents and Radical Scavenging Activity of Extracts

The amounts of Total Phenolic Contents (TPC) of the extracts were measured according to the Folin-Ciocalteu method (Yasoubi *et al.*, 2010), and the results were expressed as Gallic Acid Equivalents per gram dry weight of samples (mg GAE g⁻¹ dw). Radical scavenging activity was determined according to Rajaei *et al.* (2010) method with some modifications. A sample of 0.3 mL of
Table 1. Abbreviations used for different treatments in this study.\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Variant</th>
<th>Nitrite (ppm)</th>
<th>PPE (ppm)</th>
<th>PGHE (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE\textsubscript{5}</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} C: Control; PPE: Pomegranate Peel Extract, PGHE: Pistachio Green Hull Extract.

different concentrations of extracts was mixed with 2.7 mL of methanolic solution of DPPH radicals (0.1 mM). After shaking, the reaction mixture was incubated for 30 mins in dark place at room temperature and absorbance was read at 517 nm. Radical scavenging activity was calculated as follows:

\[
\% \text{ Radical Scavenging Activity} (\% \text{RSA}) = \left( \frac{A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

Where, A stands for Absorbance. Thirty microliter methanol plus 2.7 mL of DPPH solution was applied as a control. IC\textsubscript{50} value, which is the inhibitory concentration of extract at which 50% of DPPH radicals of the solution are scavenged by the extract, was also measured.

**Effect of Thermal Processing on Radical Scavenging Activities of Extracts**

Both extracts were heated (at 75 and 100°C for 15, 30, and 45 minutes) and then, radical scavenging activities of the extracts were measured by DPPH method.

**Peroxide Value**

Peroxide Values (PV) of the sausages were measured on days 1, 8, 15, 22, and 29 of storage. For PV measurement, sausage’s oil was extracted according to Fölch \textit{et al.} (1957) method with some modifications. Then, peroxide value was measured according to the AOAC (1999) method.

**Thiobarbituric Acid Reactive Substances (TBARS) Value**

On days 1, 8, 15, 22, and 29 of storage, TBARS values were measured according to Pfalzgraf \textit{et al.} (1995) method. TBARS content was calculated from a calibration curve using MalonDialdehyde (MDA) as a standard (0.05-0.5 µM).

**Microbiological Evaluation**

Serial dilutions of the samples were prepared in the tubes containing peptone water (0.1%) and the selective medium of each bacterium was used for its identification and counting (Viuda-Martos \textit{et al.}, 2010). Microbial tests including total viable count, coliforms (Viuda-Martos \textit{et al.}, 2010), molds and yeasts (Anonymous, 2008) and \textit{C. perfringens} determination (Riazi \textit{et al.}, 2015) were performed after 1, 8, 15, 22, and 29 days of storage. The results were reported as log\textsubscript{10} cfu g\textsuperscript{-1} sample.

**Color Evaluation**

Color of samples were measured on the first and last days of storage at 4°C using the
Hunter Lab Colourflex Colorimeter (Hunter Associated Lab, Inc., Reston, VA, USA) against a white standard tile. The CIE L* (Lightness), a* (redness), and b* (yellowness) values were measured. Also, the Hue angle and Chroma were calculated with the following formula:

\[
\text{Chroma} = \sqrt{a^*^2 + b^*^2} \\
\text{Hue angle} = \tan^{-1} \frac{b^*}{a^*}
\]

pH Measurement and Chemical Analyses

For pH measurement, 10 g of minced sample was homogenized with 100 mL water in a homogenizer (IKA-T18, Staufen, Germany). Then, the pH value of the resulting slurry was measured with the pH meter (Metrohm, pH Lab 827, Herisau, Switzerland) (Viuda-Martos et al., 2010). Chemical analyses including moisture, protein, fat and ash content were carried out according to AOAC (1999) methods.

Sensory Evaluation

On day 8 of storage, sensory analysis of the samples was performed to evaluate the color, odor and taste of each sausage sample by 30 semi-trained panelists (12 males and 18 females) with an age range of 22–45 years, who were selected for sensory evaluation of the samples. The assessors gave scores from 0 to 100 (100: Excellent, 75: Good, 50: Fair, 25: Poor and 0: Terrible) for each parameter of sausages of different formulations (Moarefian et al., 2012).

Statistical Analysis

All experiments were carried out in triplicate and mean values with standard deviation were reported. Analysis Of Variance (ANOVA) was conducted and differences between variables were tested for significance by one-way ANOVA with Least Significant Difference (LSD) test. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software (9.4). A statistical difference at \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to be significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Total Phenolic Contents of Extracts

Phenolic compounds, which are produced by many plants, cause antioxidative properties of many vegetables and fruits (Kanatt et al., 2010). TPC of pomegranate peel and pistachio green hull dried extracts were 451.7± 5.3 and 180.1±9.3 mg GAE g\(^{-1}\) dw, respectively. Basiri et al. (2015) found the TPC of the Pomegranate Peel Extract (PPE) to be 420.58 ± 9.1 mg tannic acid equivalent (TAE) g\(^{-1}\). The most dominant compounds responsible for the antioxidative potential of the pomegranate peel are gallic acid, ellagic tannins and ellagic acid (Negi et al., 2003). Similar to PGHE's result, Grace et al. (2016) declared that the TPC of the PGHE was 140.11 mg GAE g\(^{-1}\) dw. The most numerous phenolic compounds of PGHE are gallic acid, 4-hydroxybenzoic acid, protocatechuic acid, eriodictyol-7-O-glucoside, isorhamnetin-7-O-glucoside, isorhamnetin-3-O-glucoside, 3-O-rutinoside, quercetin, naringin, and catechin (Barreca et al., 2016).

Radical Scavenging Activity of Extracts

The Radical Scavenging Activity (RSA) of PPE and PGHE are shown in Figure 1. PPE showed an excellent RSA with an IC\(_{50}\) of 0.12 mg dry extract mL\(^{-1}\). RSA of PPE had a significant gradual to sharp increasing trend between 20 and 200 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (\( P < 0.05 \)). However, no additional increase in RSA was observed after 200 mg kg\(^{-1}\) concentration of extract (\( P < 0.05 \)). Also, Basiri et al. (2015) observed a gradual to sharp increase in RSA of PPE between 50 and 500 ppm and there was no additional increase in RSA between 500 and 1,000 ppm. Rajan et al. (2011) reported the IC\(_{50}\) value of aqueous extract of pomegranate rind to be 0.13 mg mL\(^{-1}\) that is inconsistent with our result. PGHE also showed a good RSA with an IC\(_{50}\) of 0.35 mg dry extract mL\(^{-1}\). Similar to PPE, RSA of PGHE increased up to 500 ppm, after which it was constant. As expected, PPE with higher
TPC had stronger RSA and lower IC\textsubscript{50} value compared to PGHE.

For industrial application of extracts in sausages formulation, the effect of thermal processing on the RSA of extracts were performed (Figure 2). As can be seen in Figure 2, thermal processing increased RSA of both extracts and antioxidant activities of the extracts were increased by increasing heating temperature and time. Similar to our finding, Kang 	extit{et al.} (2007) observed that free radical scavenging activity and total phenolic contents of American ginseng were significantly increased by thermal processing. These increases of RSA and TPC can be related to the release of the phenolic acids bound with glucosides or amine functionalities. It should be noted that the rate of the increase in RSA of PGHE was higher than PPE.

** Peroxide Value **

Table 2 shows changes in PV of the control (containing 120 ppm nitrite), PPE and PGHE-formulated sausages. The amounts of hydroperoxides as rudimentary oxidation products that are produced by attack of oxygen on the double bond of fatty acids at the initial stage of oxidation are measured by PV test (Qin 	extit{et al.}, 2013). Peroxide values ranged over 0.50 to 1.83 meq O\textsubscript{2} kg\textsuperscript{-1} oil for all treatments. The PV values increased in the treatments up to the middle of the storage time. Then, PV values decreased to the end of storage period. The increase of PV may be due to the
production of new hydroperoxides that takes place faster than their decomposition (Qin et al., 2013). The decrease of PV may support this hypothesis that, as hydroperoxides are so reactive, they decompose and give rise to secondary oxidation products that are responsible for the increase of TBARS value (Berasategi et al., 2011). Also, after induction period, a period at which oxidative changes are minimal, hydroperoxides’ decomposition rate is faster than their formation rate that yield to a wide variety of decomposition products, including aldehydes, ketone, acid, etc. (Chaijan et al., 2006). Georquintelis et al. (2007) reported the same decrease in PV of beef burger. As can be seen in Table 2, PV values of all treatments (except PPE) on the 8th day and PGHE 3 on the 29th day, which have significantly (P< 0.05) more PV values compared to the control) are significantly lower than the control or do not have significant difference compared to the control throughout storage period. This indicates that PPE and PGHE could reduce the formation of hydroperoxides as well as, or even better than, nitrite. Qin et al. (2013) also reported that utilization of pomegranate rind powder extract could reduce PV value of raw ground pork meat the same as BHT and as well as, or better than, pomegranate juice and pomegranate seed powder extract. Moreover, samples with higher PPE and PGHE levels showed lower PV and more inhibitory effect throughout storage period, which is due to the higher amounts of phenolic compounds. This suggests that PPE and PGHE retard lipid oxidation in a dose dependent manner. Hence, PPE 4 and PGHE 4, 5 were the best samples from the viewpoint of this parameter. This is agreement with the research done by Juntachote et al. (2006), who noticed that higher concentrations of dried galangal powder and its ethanolic extract reduced PV value in cooked ground pork meat greater than lower concentrations, and it followed concentration dependant manner. In contrast to our result, Moarefian et al. (2012) stated that higher concentrations of peppermint essential oil added to sausage caused prooxidative effect and increased PV value. PV values of PGHE treatments were lower than PPE treatments up to 8th day of storage. But, on the 15th day of storage, there were no significant differences among treatments, except PGHE 5 which had significantly lower PV (0.65 meq O2 kg-1 oil) compared to others, and on the 22nd and 29th days of storage, there were no significant differences among treatments, except PPE, which had the lowest PV (0.53 meq O2 kg-1 oil) among others.

Thiobarbituric Acid Reactive Substances Values

Table 3 shows changes of TBARS values of

Table 2. Peroxide values of sausages (meq O2 kg-1 oil) produced by different amounts of nitrite, PGHE and PPE during 29 days of storage at 4°C.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 8</th>
<th>Day 15</th>
<th>Day 22</th>
<th>Day 29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.15 ab</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.05 bça</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.11 a</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.17 a</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.05 abcdb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE 1</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.05 bc</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.11 ab</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.11 abca</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.05 abca</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.17 bcbB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE 2</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.11 bca</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.15 a</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.05 bcbB</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.17 bB</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.05 deC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE 3</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.15 abc</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.20 a</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.05 bB</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.05 cC</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.10 cC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE 4</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.10 abAB</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.11 deAB</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.15 daA</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.05 BC</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.05 eC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE 5</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.05 deC</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.10 dbB</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.05 abca</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.05 deC</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.05 eC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE 1</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.10 c</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.15 eAB</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.15 a</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.11 aA</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.25 bcB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE 2</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.12 db</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.15 eB</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.05 abca</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.17 aA</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.11 aA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE 3</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.05 eD</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.15 c</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.13 ab</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.05 cC</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.11 bB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE 4</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.05 deC</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.12 db</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.10 daB</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.11 cA</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.11 ecdCDAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE 5</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.10 b</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.05 b</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.15 cB</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.11 aA</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.05 deA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Values are means±Standard Deviation (SD) of three replicates. Different lowercase letters within the same column are different by LSD test (P< 0.05). Different uppercase letters within the same row are different by LSD test (P< 0.05). All abbreviations are defined under Table 1.
Table 3. TBARS values of sausages (µmole MDA kg⁻¹ sample) produced by different amounts of nitrite, PGHE and PPE during 29 days of storage at 4°C.¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Days</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.01±0.08bcd</td>
<td>3.33±0.11a</td>
<td>3.40±0.23ab</td>
<td>2.88±0.06b</td>
<td>2.79±0.05b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE₁</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.74±0.03C</td>
<td>2.92±0.11bcdB</td>
<td>2.96±0.01cdB</td>
<td>3.61±0.04A</td>
<td>2.96±0.03d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.90±0.05CdC</td>
<td>2.66±0.10CdC</td>
<td>2.93±0.17acC</td>
<td>3.63±0.10Aa</td>
<td>3.17±0.06bcdB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.92±0.04dC</td>
<td>2.94±0.09bcdC</td>
<td>2.83±0.16ScC</td>
<td>3.68±0.09Aa</td>
<td>3.24±0.12abB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE₄</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.89±0.05dC</td>
<td>2.84±0.38cdeC</td>
<td>2.94±0.12dBC</td>
<td>3.51±0.10Aa</td>
<td>3.28±0.09AB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE₅</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.88±0.05dC</td>
<td>3.00±0.05bcdC</td>
<td>3.11±0.19hB</td>
<td>3.56±0.15Aa</td>
<td>3.44±0.08AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE₁</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.07±0.05abcAB</td>
<td>2.78±0.29dBC</td>
<td>3.31±0.26hA</td>
<td>2.62±0.16Sc</td>
<td>2.69±0.12C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.05±0.10bcdB</td>
<td>3.41±0.19Aa</td>
<td>3.24±0.19hAb</td>
<td>3.12±0.14Ab</td>
<td>2.95±0.28dbB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.21±0.11hAb</td>
<td>2.86±0.24cdB</td>
<td>3.30±0.34hA</td>
<td>3.01±0.01bcAb</td>
<td>3.03±0.18deAb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE₄</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.13±0.07bcB</td>
<td>3.13±0.15abcB</td>
<td>3.42±0.17hA</td>
<td>3.19±0.12bcB</td>
<td>3.14±0.02bcB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE₅</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.38±0.24cdB</td>
<td>3.22±0.08hAb</td>
<td>3.52±0.10hA</td>
<td>3.14±0.27cbB</td>
<td>3.06±0.12dcbB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Values are means ± Standard Deviation (SD) of three replicates. Different lowercase letters within the same column are different by LSD test (P<0.05). Different uppercase letters within the same row are different by LSD test (P<0.05). All abbreviations are defined under Table 1.

the control (containing 120 ppm nitrite), PPE and PGHE-formulated sausages. TBARS value measures the amount of secondary oxidation products, especially MalonDiAldehyde (MDA), which may cause off-flavor in fatty foods (Yasoubi et al., 2010). TBARS values ranged over 2.62 to 3.61 µmol MDA kg⁻¹ sample for all treatments. Since, PV values of PPE samples decreased on 22nd day of storage, TBARS values increased rapidly in that time. This increase shows that the hydroperoxides have partially decomposed, giving rise to secondary oxidation products, that is in agreement with the results reported by Berasategi et al. (2011), about the use of aqueous extract of Melissa officinali in bologna sausages. TBARS values of PGHE samples increased up to day 15 of storage. Thereafter, TBARS values of samples decreased at the last day of storage for PPE and from day 15 up to the end of storage for PGHE treatments, which agrees with previously published results (da Silveira et al., 2014). Rubio et al. (2008) pointed out that decrease in TBARS value during storage could be related to MDA reaction with amino acids, sugars and nitrite in the sample. Also, another reason for this decrease may be due to the fact that MDA and other carbonylic compounds derived from lipid oxidation are not permanently stable and, after some time, decomposes to organic acids and alcohols that are not determined by this method, yet. Another possibility may be the utilization of MDA by Enterobacteria and Pseudomonas, which have the ability to metabolize carbonylic compounds (da Silveira et al., 2014). At the beginning of storage time, no significant differences (P<0.05) were observed among most treatments compared to the control. All PPE samples had lower TBARS values than the control on the 8th and 15th days of storage and there were no significant differences among treatments.

Reduction in the TBARS values of PPE treatments can be related to the presence of PPE, which might have neutralized oxidation products. On the 22nd day of storage, the extent of secondary oxidation of all PPE treatments was higher than the control, and on the 29th day of storage, secondary oxidation in the control and PPE₁ were less than other samples. In the case of PGHE samples, TBARS values fluctuated among treatments. No significant differences were observed among most treatments and the control up to day 15 of storage, after which, TBARS values of treatments were significantly higher than the control, compared to which some treatments did not have significant differences. This fact indicates that the antioxidative activity of both extracts decreased in the last week of storage due to their decomposition. Wang et al. (2014) utilized Green Tea Polyphenols (GTP) and
Grape Seed Extract (GSE) as antioxidants in dry-cured bacon and observed that only GTP treated bacons had lower TBARS values compared to the control, compared to which the TBARS values of GSE treated samples did not have significant difference. According to Greene and Cumuze (1982), the acceptability limit of TBARS value for meat products is about 12 µmole MDA kg⁻¹ sample. In our study, all samples had lower TBARS values than this limit throughout storage period and, therefore, they were acceptable. Besides, PPE treatments had significantly lower TBARS values in comparison to PGHE treatments up to 15th day of storage.

**Microbial Evaluation**

In general, the standard Total Viable Count (TVC) increased throughout storage in both formulations and it ranged from 2.15 to 3.54 log cfu/g (Table 4). Other researchers (Qin et al., 2013; Kanatt et al., 2010) observed similar increase in the total viable count of raw ground pork meat and chicken products, respectively. There were no significant differences (P<0.05) in TVC among the control, PPE, and PGHE treatments, but there were exceptions for PPE treatments, which, on the 1st and 15th days of storage, had significantly (P<0.05) lower TVC compared to the control. This is in agreement with other studies about pomegranate peel extract incorporating in meat products (Kanatt et al., 2010; Qin et al., 2013). Results of microbial analysis show that PPE and PGHE have been able to control microbial growth in the product or sometimes better than nitrite, because nitrite dosage gradually decreases in the treatments and plant extract dosage increases, showing the potential of extract to act as well as nitrite. No growth of *C. perfringens*, yeasts and molds and coliforms were observed in all the samples during storage. This might be due to destruction of these bacteria during cooking of sausage at 75°C that is above their death point (57°C). Other factors could be hygienic factors such as high-quality raw materials, good handling during the processing and packaging, enough heat treatment of the product and good storage conditions. Additionally, different ingredients used in the formulation of the product such as nitrite, phosphates, condiments and natural extracts that are proved to possess antibacterial and/or bacteriostatic effects, might have inhibited their growth (Gadekar et al., 2014). The antimicrobial activity of PPE has been studied by many researchers. Al-Zoreky (2009) found the inhibitory effect of pomegranate peel extract against the growth of *L. monocytogenes*, *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *Y. enterocolitica*. Hayrapetyan et al. (2012)

### Table 4. Total viable count of sausages (log cfu g⁻¹) produced by different amounts of nitrite, PGHE and PPE during 30 days of storage at 4°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Days</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.47±0.07ab</td>
<td>2.84 ±0.05abc</td>
<td>3.08 ±0.03b</td>
<td>3.15 ±0.14ab</td>
<td>3.35 ±0.10bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.31±0.07bc</td>
<td>2.74 ±0.05abc</td>
<td>2.79 ±0.04c</td>
<td>2.95 ±0.10ab</td>
<td>3.32 ±0.08bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.29±0.09b</td>
<td>2.70 ±0.14c</td>
<td>2.80 ±0.04bc</td>
<td>2.96 ±0.06ab</td>
<td>3.32 ±0.13bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.30±0.07c</td>
<td>2.80 ±0.05bc</td>
<td>2.86 ±0.23bc</td>
<td>3.08 ±0.17ab</td>
<td>3.35 ±0.12bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.31±0.12bc</td>
<td>2.79 ±0.09bc</td>
<td>2.87 ±0.17bc</td>
<td>3.02 ±0.12ab</td>
<td>3.24 ±0.05A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE5</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.15±0.09c</td>
<td>2.83 ±0.10b</td>
<td>2.90 ±0.09b</td>
<td>2.99 ±0.14ab</td>
<td>3.40 ±0.15bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.52±0.02a</td>
<td>2.74 ±0.05bc</td>
<td>2.93 ±0.05ab</td>
<td>2.93 ±0.09ab</td>
<td>3.36 ±0.10bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.48±0.11b</td>
<td>2.73 ±0.05bc</td>
<td>2.95 ±0.06bc</td>
<td>2.94 ±0.07ab</td>
<td>3.45 ±0.07bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.43±0.04b</td>
<td>2.71 ±0.07b</td>
<td>2.93 ±0.04bc</td>
<td>3.10 ±0.05ab</td>
<td>3.42 ±0.05bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.45±0.06b</td>
<td>2.73 ±0.07bc</td>
<td>2.97 ±0.03ab</td>
<td>3.14 ±0.05ab</td>
<td>3.46 ±0.07bA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE5</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.43±0.04b</td>
<td>2.85 ±0.08e</td>
<td>2.97 ±0.06bc</td>
<td>3.16 ±0.03ab</td>
<td>3.54 ±0.02A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values are mean±Standard Deviation (SD) of three replicates. Different lowercase letters within the same column are different by LSD test (P<0.05). Different uppercase letters within the same row are different by LSD test (P<0.05). All abbreviations are defined under Table 2.
found that pomegranate peel extract could effectively inhibit L. monocytogenes’ growth in meat pâté. Rajaei et al. (2010) concluded that mostly gram-positive bacteria (B. cereus and S. aureus) were inhibited by both crude and purified PGHE and they were more sensitive in comparison to gram- negative bacteria. Phenolic compounds have the ability to denature enzymes. They can also inhibit the growth of microorganisms by binding to substrates such as minerals, vitamins and carbohydrates, and making them inaccessible for microorganisms. However, the most probable mechanism is that phenolics can be adsorbed to the cell wall of microorganisms and cause disruption of the cell membrane (Basiri et al., 2015).

Color Evaluation

The L*, a*, b*, Hue angle and chroma values of the control (containing 120 ppm nitrite), PPE and PGHE-formulated sausages during storage period are presented in Table 5. As there were no significant differences (P< 0.05) among color parameters of samples throughout storage, the color parameters of the 29th day of storage are reported. The L* value significantly decreased (P< 0.05) with the addition of PPE in all the PPE samples compared to the control and the product became slightly darker. This was in agreement with other researches in this field such as Qin et al. (2013) and El-Gharably and Ashoush (2011) who utilized pomegranate peel powder and powder extract in pork meat and beef sausage, respectively. There were no significant differences between treatments, except PPE3 that had no nitrite and lower L* value compared to other treatments. In PGHE samples, the L* value of PGHE1 was significantly (P< 0.05) lower than the control. PGHE2, 3, and 4 did not have significant differences with the control and PGHE3 had the highest L* among all treatments. The a* value also, significantly decreased in all the PPE and PGHE samples compared to the control except PGHE1, which did not have significant difference compared to the control. No-nitrite samples (PPE3 and PGHE3) had the same and the lowest a* value amongst the treatments. This is in agreement with findings of El-Gharably and Ashoush (2011). Nitrite causes production of nitrosomyoglobin and after cooking it changes to nitrosohemochrome as a denatured protein (Parthasarathy and Bryan, 2012). Thus, in low-nitrite products, a* decreases. The b* value of PPE samples were significantly lower than the control, compared to which the PGHE samples did not have significant differences, except PPE3 and PGHE3 that had the highest b* value among the treatments and control. In a general view, it was noticeable that PGHE treatments had higher L*, a* and b* values than PPE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Lightness (L*)</th>
<th>Redness (a*)</th>
<th>Yellowness (b*)</th>
<th>Hue angle</th>
<th>Chroma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>59.54±0.17b</td>
<td>12.37 ± 0.14a</td>
<td>17.13 ± 0.10a</td>
<td>54.13 ± 0.30d</td>
<td>21.13 ± 0.14a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE1</td>
<td>56.22±0.71d</td>
<td>10.05 ± 0.64de</td>
<td>16.23 ± 0.11de</td>
<td>58.21 ± 1.61bc</td>
<td>19.09 ± 0.35d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE2</td>
<td>55.81±0.41de</td>
<td>10.25 ± 0.58d</td>
<td>15.44 ± 0.33f</td>
<td>56.35 ± 0.98bd</td>
<td>18.53 ± 0.59e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE3</td>
<td>55.07±0.24c</td>
<td>9.45 ± 0.73c</td>
<td>15.61 ± 1.06ef</td>
<td>58.65 ± 3.71b</td>
<td>18.27 ± 0.54c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE4</td>
<td>55.12±0.70c</td>
<td>9.96 ± 0.53bc</td>
<td>16.18 ± 0.52def</td>
<td>58.26 ± 1.61bc</td>
<td>19.01 ± 0.52d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE5</td>
<td>54.05±0.44c</td>
<td>6.61 ± 0.26c</td>
<td>17.90 ± 0.14b</td>
<td>69.70 ± 0.59a</td>
<td>19.08 ± 0.21d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE1</td>
<td>58.64±0.53c</td>
<td>12.26 ± 0.32ab</td>
<td>16.85 ± 0.08ad</td>
<td>53.86 ± 0.60d</td>
<td>20.84 ± 0.24ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE2</td>
<td>59.08±0.42bc</td>
<td>11.56 ± 0.17bc</td>
<td>16.75 ± 0.30ad</td>
<td>55.27 ± 0.21d</td>
<td>20.35 ± 0.34bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE3</td>
<td>59.16±0.44bc</td>
<td>11.31 ± 0.38bc</td>
<td>17.06 ± 0.45c</td>
<td>56.32 ± 1.59bd</td>
<td>20.47 ± 0.17bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE4</td>
<td>58.97±0.23bc</td>
<td>11.23 ± 0.27bc</td>
<td>16.74 ± 0.32ad</td>
<td>56.05 ± 0.92bd</td>
<td>20.16 ± 0.26c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE5</td>
<td>60.85±0.69a</td>
<td>7.23 ± 0.27f</td>
<td>19.30 ± 0.43a</td>
<td>69.40 ± 1.05a</td>
<td>20.61 ± 0.35abc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are mean±Standard Deviation (SD) of three replicates. Different lowercase letters within the same column are different by LSD test (P< 0.05). All abbreviations are defined under Table 2.*
treatments. Addition of PPE made all color parameters of sausages lower than the control. However, addition of PGHE did not change color parameters, except ɑ* value. Van Ba et al. (2016) observed that sausages containing 0.01 % nitrite had higher ɑ* values compared to sausages containing shiitake by-product extract; also, addition of that extract resulted in higher b* values that is agreement with this study’s results. Salejda et al. (2016) utilized walnut green husk in cooked sausage as a preservative and observed that ɑ* and L* values decreased and b* increased compared to the control, in agreement with our findings. PPE4 and PGHE4 samples had lower nitrite content in comparison with other treatments and did not have significant differences with each other’s and could be chosen as the best samples in terms of color attribute. It can be concluded that reduction of nitrite to 40 ppm to gain the appropriate color was possible in this study mainly in PGHE treatments. Hue angle of sausage samples with reduced nitrite or without any nitrite increases during storage period (Moarefian et al., 2012). In our study, with the increase of PPE and PGHE concentrations and reduction of nitrite and ɑ* value, hue angle increased and the highest degrees were observed in PPE5 and PGHE5. This is agreement with the results obtained by El-Gharably and Ashoush (2011) and Moarefian et al. (2012). Chroma results indicated that PPE and PGHE treatments had lower values than the control, except PGHE4(1, 5), which did not have significant differences with the control. Also, chroma values of PGHE treatments were significantly higher than PPE treatments. Red beet powder incorporated in beef sausage also caused reduction of chroma value compared to the control (El-Gharably and Ashoush, 2011).

pH Changes and Chemical Analysis of Sausages

The pH changes ranged over 6.00-6.41 among treatments and there was not significant effect during storage time (data not shown). The moisture, fat, protein and ash contents of the control and all treatments ranged from 61.83-62.93, 15.16-15.70, 14.99-15.15, and 2.40-2.94%, respectively. Addition of the different concentrations of nitrite, PPE and PGHE did not have any significant effect (P< 0.05) on these contents (data not shown). Salejda et al. (2016) also reported that addition of walnut green husk to cooked sausages did not affect the chemical composition of those products.

Sensory Evaluation

The results of sensory evaluation of the control (containing 120 ppm nitrite), PPE and PGHE-formulated sausages are shown in Table 6. PPE(1, 2, 3) and PGHE(1, 2, 3) samples had the highest scores for taste and odor, which did not have significant differences with the control (P< 0.05). Odor and taste of treatments 4 and 5 of both PPE and PGHE had significantly lower scores compared to the control (P< 0.05), probably because the darker color of these treatments affected the panelists’ mentality to give lower scores, while different concentrations of extracts did not seem to have any considerable effect on taste and odor of the products. Color of PGHE1, PGHE2 and PGHE3 treatments did not have significant differences compared to control, but all PPE treatments had significantly lower color scores compared to the control (P< 0.05). PPE5 and PGHE5 gained the lowest scores of color among the treatments and the control because of lack of nitrite in them. Qin et al. (2013) found that although pomegranate rind powder extract caused changes in the color of raw ground pork meat, the overall acceptability of the product did not have significant differences compared to the meat including synthetic antioxidant. As a result, nitrite dosage can be reduced up to 60 ppm, which is a harmless concentration from the view of nitrosamine formation and can be replaced by 750 ppm of PPE or PGHE without any great change in the acceptability of the sample, and resulting in healthier product.

CONCLUSIONS

PPE and PGHE are inexpensive, abundant, and economic sources of phenolics in comparison with essential oils or synthetic antioxidants.
Table 6. Sensory scores of sausages produced by different amounts of nitrite, PGHE and PPE on the 8th day of storage at 4°C.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Odor</th>
<th>Taste</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>69.16±20.43a</td>
<td>68.33 ± 14.58a</td>
<td>68.33 ± 14.58a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE1</td>
<td>56.66±22.67b</td>
<td>61.66 ± 19.40abcd</td>
<td>65.83 ± 19.12ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE2</td>
<td>57.50±14.89b</td>
<td>63.33 ± 14.28abc</td>
<td>67.50 ± 14.89a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE3</td>
<td>55.83±18.19b</td>
<td>61.66 ± 14.28abcd</td>
<td>63.33 ± 17.03abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE4</td>
<td>44.16±14.20c</td>
<td>59.16 ± 13.90bcd</td>
<td>56.66 ± 18.49cde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE5</td>
<td>20.83±17.47c</td>
<td>57.50 ± 21.92bcd</td>
<td>57.50 ± 16.28bcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE1</td>
<td>74.16±16.71a</td>
<td>65.83 ± 19.12ab</td>
<td>68.33 ± 19.62a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE2</td>
<td>75.00±17.37a</td>
<td>62.50 ± 20.50abcd</td>
<td>70.00 ± 21.17a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE3</td>
<td>68.33±21.70a</td>
<td>64.16 ± 16.97abc</td>
<td>65.83 ± 21.25ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE4</td>
<td>56.66±18.49b</td>
<td>56.66 ± 17.28cde</td>
<td>55.83 ± 15.65cde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGHE5</td>
<td>31.66±19.62d</td>
<td>54.16 ± 20.84d</td>
<td>54.16 ± 19.78d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Values are mean±Standard Deviation (SD) of three replicates. Different lowercase letters within the same column are different by LSD test (P< 0.05). All abbreviations are defined under Table 2.

They showed high TPC and great antioxidant activities. PV and TBARS values of treatments including reduced amount of nitrite and increased amount of plant extracts were the same or sometimes better than the control sample and lower than reported standard for sausages. Microbial evaluation showed the efficacy of nitrite and both extracts having complementary effects to hold total viable count and growth of pathogenic bacteria below the threshold limit. Color of the PGHE samples were better than PPE samples and hunter-lab results showed that color factors of PGHE samples were nearer to the control, which contained 120 ppm nitrite. Reduction of nitrite by 50% was not detectable by sensory evaluators. Finally, these extracts can be applied with reduced amounts of nitrite up to 50% in cooked sausages to enhance the functional properties of the product and lower the formation of carcinogenic nitrosamines in sausage.
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تولید سوسیس فراسودمند با استفاده از عصاره های پوست انار و پوست سبز پسته به عنوان دو نگهدارنده طبیعی

پ. علی یاری، ف. بخشی کزج، م. برزگر، و ح. احمدی گاولیقی

چکیده

در این تحقیق تاثیر جایگزینی بخشی از نیتریت در سوسیس پخته شده با عصاره های پوست انار (PPE) و پوست سبز پسته (PGHE) بر روی پراکسید و تأثیر آن به روش اکسایش الکترونی، میکروژ و فرآیند بیولوژیکی نمونه ها، با استفاده از روش های تحلیل، در سه حالت تزریق 0، 0.2 و 0.4 نیتریت در سوسیس مطالعه شد.

1. 0.2 نیتریت تولید کننده نیتریت، در سوسیس به صورت دردسرسایی قابل قبولی و اکسایش در آن کاهش داشت.
2. 0.4 نیتریت تولید کننده نیتریت، در سوسیس به صورت دردسرسایی قابل قبولی و اکسایش در آن کاهش داشت.
3. 0 نیتریت تولید کننده نیتریت در سوسیس به صورت دردسرسایی قابل قبولی و اکسایش در آن کاهش داشت.

نتایج نشان داد که در سوزنده کننده نیتریت، در سوسیس به صورت دردسرسایی قابل قبولی و اکسایش در آن کاهش داشت.
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میکروبی، ارزیابی حسی و فاکتورهای رنگ در طی ۳۰ روز نگهداری در دمای ۴۰°C اندازه‌گیری شدند. خواص ضد‌اکسایشی و ضد میکروبی هر دو تیمار عصاره همانند کنترل و در برخی موارد بهتر از آن بود. تیمارهای PGHE از نظر عامل رنگ بهتر از تیمارهای PPE بودند. نتایج آزمون حسی و PGHE از عصاره‌ها در مقایسه با کنترل اختلاف معنی‌داری نداشتند. بنابراین، کاهش نیتریت تا ۵۰ درصد و جایگزینی آن با تیمار PPE یا PGHE باعث تغییرات زیادی در پارامترهای کیفی سوسیس نشده و خواص فراسودمند آن را بهبود می‌بخشد.