

Effect of *Brevibacillus laterosporus* Probiotic on Hematology, Internal Organs, Meat Peroxidation and Ileal Microflora in Japanese Quails Fed Aflatoxin B₁

F. Bagherzadeh Kasmani¹, M. A. Karimi Torshizi^{2*}, and M. Mehri¹

ABSTRACT

In order to investigate the Aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) removal ability of *Brevibacillus laterosporus* (Bl), 125 male Japanese quails aged 21-day-old were divided into 5 experimental groups with 5 replicates of 5 birds each. The experimental groups were control (basal diet), Bl (basal diet+10⁸ cfu Bl mL⁻¹), AFB₁ (basal diet+2.5 mg AFB₁ kg⁻¹), AFB₁+Bl (basal diet+2.5 mg AFB₁ kg⁻¹ and 10⁸ cfu Bl mL⁻¹), and AFB₁+Improved Milbond-TX[®] (basal diet+2.5 mg AFB₁ and 2.5 g Improved Milbond-TX[®] kg⁻¹). The AFB₁ decreased hematocrit (P= 0.003), red blood cells (P< 0.001), and white blood cells (P= 0.012) compared to the control while Bl probiotic improved those parameters. The highest relative liver and heart weight and lowest foam production and relative weight of bursa of Fabricius were observed in AFB₁ group (P< 0.05). However, the relative weight of bursa of Fabricius and cloacal gland of birds fed Bl were similar to those in the control group. The low oxidation stability of meat samples resulting from the use of AFB₁ improved due to use of Bl probiotic (P< 0.001). The ileal population of *Escherichia coli* increased in AFB₁ group while the lactic acid bacteria decreased. This condition was reversed due to administration of Bl probiotic (P< 0.001). This study clearly showed that indigenous Bl probiotic could be effectively used to lessen the negative effects of AFB₁ on meat quality and microbial ecosystem of growing quail chicks.

Keywords: Aflatoxicosis, Gut flora, Meat quality, Quail Spore former probiotics.

INTRODUCTION

Mycotoxins are the most dangerous contaminants of poultry feeds around the world that result in economical losses (Wu, 2004). Many of disorders in human or animal species may be attributed to the feed-born mycotoxins, which interfere with nervous, cardiovascular, pulmonary, endocrine systems, and alimentary tract. Moreover, some mycotoxins are known as carcinogenic, mutagenic, teratogenic and immunosuppressive agents. Among aflatoxins, Aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) is the most potent poison

that is primarily produced by *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus* fungi (Diekman and Green, 1992). Common signs of aflatoxicosis in poultry are retarded growth, liver and kidney damage, impaired tissue integrity, delayed blood clotting and anemia, susceptibility to bruising and bacterial infections, resulting in disturbance of cellular and humoral immune systems (Pier, 1981). Likewise, feeding AFB₁ to Japanese quail decreased body weight gain and slaughter and carcass weights. Moreover, AFB₁ decreased serum albumin, total protein, and glucose and cholesterol levels, but increased serum uric

¹ Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture, University of Zabol, Zabol, Islamic Republic of Iran.

² Department of Poultry Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran.

* Corresponding author; e-mail: karimitm@modares.ac.ir



acid, urea and creatinine (Bagherzadeh Kasmani et al., 2012).

Several methods have been developed to reduce aflatoxin contamination of animal feed. Unfortunately, many of them are not of practical routine value (Diaz et al., 2008), but, the biological methods are gaining attention due to their easy usage and inexpensive application (Teniola et al., 2005). Probiotics administration as a biological way to remove aflatoxin (El-Nezami et al., 1998; Peltonen et al., 2000; Peltonen et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2003; Shahin, 2007; Hernandez-Mendoza et al., 2009a; Hernandez-Mendoza et al., 2009b) has been recently used in poultry nutrition (Bagherzadeh Kasmani et al., 2012).

This research aimed to study the aflatoxin removal potential of an indigenous isolate of *Bacillus* probiotics, *Brevibacillus laterosporus* (Bl), in male Japanese quails and evaluate its effects on hematology, internal organs, meat peroxidation and intestinal microflora.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Probiotic Isolation

Isolation and selection of bacilli is completely described in our previous study (Bagherzadeh Kasmani et al., 2012). Identification of the selected isolate was performed using standard taxonomic descriptions from Sneath (1986) with commercially available strips (API 50CHB, API Laboratory Products Ltd.; Biomerieux-France). The results were analyzed using the API Web database (<https://apiweb.biomerieux.com>) for species-level identification. The selected isolate was identified as *Brevibacillus laterosporus* (Bl) with 92% identification (ID) which is interpreted as 'good identification'.

Birds and Experimental Diets

In total, 300 Japanese quails (*Coturnix japonica*), aged 21 days, were sexed and male ones were selected. The female of the

Japanese quail has brown speckled chest on a cream or pale brown base. The male has a plain chest with reddish brown tan. For the experiment, 125 male quails were randomly assigned to one of 5 treatment groups with 5 replicates of 5 birds each. The trial lasted for 28 days. The experimental groups were control (without any feed additive or AFB₁), Bl (containing 10⁸ cfu Bl mL⁻¹), AFB₁ (containing 2.5 mg AFB₁ kg⁻¹ of diet), AFB₁+Bl (containing 2.5 mg AFB₁ kg⁻¹ of diet+ 10⁸ cfu Bl mL⁻¹ of drinking water), and AFB₁+Improved Milbond-TX[®] (containing 2.5 mg AFB₁ kg⁻¹ of diet+2.5 g Improved Milbond-TX[®] kg⁻¹ of diet), (Improved Milbond-TX[®] (IMTX) is an aluminosilicate with over 80% montmorillonite, specially manufactured in the United States to protect against the deleterious effects of aflatoxins and other mycotoxins in the animal industry (Milwhite, Inc.5487 Padre Island HighwayBrownsville, TX 78521). The composition of basal diet is shown in Table 1.

Aflatoxin Production

The AFB₁ was produced from *Aspergillus parasiticus* PTCC-526 culture grown on sterile polished rice according to the method of Shotwell et al. (1966). The sterile rice was placed in Erlenmeyer flasks and inoculated with 2 mL of the mold suspension, which were allowed to grow for 7 days at 25°C. On day 7, the moldy rice was autoclaved to kill the fungus, dried at 56°C in a forced-air oven for 48 hours, and then ground to a fine powder. The contaminated rice powder was analyzed using an ELISA method (Ridascreen Aflatoxin B₁ Art. No. 1211, R-Biopharm, Darmstadt, Germany) to determine toxin concentration and, based on the analyzed value, the appropriate amount of rice was incorporated into the basal diet to provide the desired amount of 2.5 mg AFB₁ kg⁻¹ of feed. Before contamination of feed with AFB₁, all feed ingredients were checked for any contamination with mycotoxins.

Table 1. Composition of the basal diet fed to Japanese quails.

Item	Alignment for Grower (21 to 49 days)
Ingredient (%)	
Yellow corn	42.32
Soybean meal (44% CP)	40.20
Vegetable oil	7.48
Fish meal (65% CP)	7.30
CaCO ₃	1.21
Di-calcium phosphate	0.01
Sodium chloride	0.28
Mineral and vitamin premix ^a	0.50
DL-Methionine	0.03
Washed fine sand	0.67
Total	100.00
Calculated analysis (%) ^b	
ME (kcal kg ⁻¹)	3130
CP	25.90
Lys	1.40
Met	0.50
Arg	1.35
Thr	1.06
Met + Cys	0.81
Calcium	0.86
Available phosphorus	0.32

^a Supplied the following per kilogram of diet: Retinyl acetate, 9,000 IU; cholecalciferol, 2,000 IU; DL- α -tocopheryl acetate, 12.5 IU; menadione sodium bisulfite, 1.76 mg; biotin, 0.12 mg; thiamine, 1.2 mg; riboflavin, 3.2 mg; calcium D-pantothenate, 6.4 mg; pyridoxine, 1.97 mg; nicotinic acid, 28 mg; cyanocobalamin, 0.01 mg; choline chloride, 320 mg; folic acid, 0.38 mg; MnSO₄.H₂O, 60 mg; FeSO₄.7H₂O, 80 mg; ZnO, 51.74 mg; CuSO₄.5H₂O, 8 mg; Iodized NaCl, 0.8 mg; Na₂SeO₃, 0.2 mg. ^b Calculated from NRC (1994).

Hematology

At 45 days of age, 2 birds of each replicate were randomly selected and 0.5 mL of blood was taken from the brachial vein using a syringe containing EDTA. Red Blood Cell (RBC) count was performed using a hemacytometer slide and light microscope on whole blood samples containing EDTA after 100-fold dilution with Natt and Herrick diluent (Natt and Herrick, 1952). Packed Cell Volume (PCV) was measured using micro-hematocrit tubes on whole blood samples by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 5 minutes (Alexander and Griffiths, 1993a). Hemoglobin (Hb) was determined using

cyanomethemoglobin method (Alexander and Griffiths, 1993b).

Internal Organ Weights and Reproductive Organs

At 49 days of age, two birds of each replicate were weighed and then slaughtered for sampling the internal organs. Liver, spleen, bursa, heart, and testes were removed and immediately weighed. The length, width, and height of cloacal gland were measured to calculate the cloacal gland volume before sacrifice, and after squeezing the gland, foam production was measured.



Assessment of Lipid Peroxidation

At the end of experiment (49 days of age), two birds of each replicate were euthanized and deboned meats of breast and thigh portions were grounded with a blender and used for determination of oxidation stability on days 0 and 3 of refrigerated storage (at +4°C) and 30 days of storing in freezer (-20°C). In order to evaluate the extent of lipid peroxidation in meat samples, third-order derivative spectrophotometric method developed by Botsoglou *et al.* (1994) was adopted with minor modifications. In brief, one gram of grounded meat sample was weighed and homogenized (Polytron homogenizer, PCU, Switzerland) with 4 mL of 5% aqueous TriChloroacetic Acid (TCA) and 2.5 mL of 0.8% Butylated HydroxyToluene (BHT) in hexan, and then centrifuged at 3,000×g for 3 minutes. The top hexane layer was discarded and the bottom layer was filtered and made to 5 mL volume with 5% TCA, then placed into a screw-capped tube containing 3 mL of 0.8% aqueous 2-thiobarbituric acid. Finally, tubes were heated in 70°C water bath for 30 minutes and immediately cooled under tap water and submitted to spectrophotometry (UNIKON 933, Kontron Co. Ltd., Milan, Italy). The height of the third-order derivative peak that appeared at 521.5 nm was used for calculation of the MalonDiAldehyde (MDA) concentration, as secondary oxidation product. Tetraethoxypropane (1,1,3,3- Tetraethoxy propane, T9889, 97%, Sigma, USA.) was used as a MDA precursor in the standard curve. The concentration of MDA was expressed as mg/kg of meat samples (Botsoglou *et al.*, 1994).

Bacterial Populations of Ileal Content

The ileal contents of two birds in each replicate were individually collected into the sterile tubes for serial dilution. In brief, 1 g of ileal digesta was added into the test tube containing 9 mL of sterilized Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS). Microbial

populations were determined by serial dilution (10^{-4} to 10^{-6}) of ileal samples before inoculation onto Petri dishes. Plates for *Lactobacillus* bacteria (grown in deMan, Rogosa and Sharpe, MRS agar) and *Escherichia coli* bacteria (grown in Mac Conkey agar) were incubated at 37°C in anaerobic and aerobic media, respectively. Plate count agar was used for total count of bacteria. Finally, plates were counted between 24 and 48 hours after inoculation. All agar media were obtained from the Merck Company, Germany. Colony forming units were defined as distinct colonies measuring at least 1 mm in diameter (Rahimi *et al.*, 2011).

Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed by GLM procedure for completely randomized experimental design with 5 treatments and 5 replicates by using the SAS software (SAS, 2002), and the means were compared by Tukey test at $P < 0.05$. Relative numbers were compared using Kruskal–Wallis test at $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

The birds fed dietary AFB₁ showed a significant reduction in hematocrit ($P = 0.003$), red blood cells ($P < 0.001$), and white blood cells ($P = 0.012$) compared to the control and *Bl* groups (Table 2). However, the use of *Bl* in the contaminated diets with AFB₁ resulted in increase in the aforementioned parameters. The concentration of hemoglobin decreased with the use of AFB₁ in the diet and feed additives could not increase the hemoglobin concentration of the birds that received AFB₁. The birds fed *Bl* alone had the highest levels of these blood parameters when compared with those of AFB₁ and AFB₁+IMTX groups.

As shown in Table 3, dietary AFB₁ increased the relative weight of liver, gall

Table 2. Effect of Aflatoxin B₁ and feed additives on hematology of growing Japanese quail.

Groups	Aflatoxin B ₁ (mg kg ⁻¹)	Additive ^e	Hematocrit (%)	Red blood cells (Number×10 ⁶ μl ⁻¹)	White blood cells (Number×10 ⁶ μl ⁻¹)	hemoglobin (g dl ⁻¹)
Control	0	-	45.6 ^a	47.6 ^b	4.8 ^{ab}	14.92 ^{ab}
Bl ^a	0	Bl	46.0 ^a	56.2 ^a	5.2 ^a	15.86 ^a
AFB ₁ ^b	2.5	-	40.8 ^b	33.8 ^c	3.2 ^c	13.80 ^b
AFB ₁ +Bl	2.5	Bl	45.2 ^a	44.6 ^b	4.6 ^{ab}	14.22 ^b
AFB ₁ +IMTX ^c	2.5	IMTX ^f	43.2 ^{ab}	43.6 ^b	3.6 ^{bc}	14.02 ^b
SEM ^d			0.538	1.649	0.227	0.219
<i>P</i> -value			0.003	<0.001	0.012	0.008

^{a-c} Different letters in each column shows significant differences ($P < 0.05$). ^a *Brevibacillus laterosporus*; ^b Aflatoxin B₁; ^c Commercial toxin binder (Milwhite Inc.; Brownsville, Texas, USA); ^d Standard Error of Mean, ^e Bl (10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹ of drinking water); ^f (2.5 g kg⁻¹ of feed).

Table 3. Effect of Aflatoxin B₁ and feed additives on relative internal organs weight of growing Japanese quail (g/100 g body weight).

Groups	Aflatoxin B ₁ (mg kg ⁻¹)	Additive	Bursa of Fabricius	Liver	Gall bladder	Spleen	Heart
Control	0	-	0.041 ^{ab}	1.39 ^b	0.030 ^e	0.044	0.79 ^c
Bl ^a	0	Bl	0.049 ^a	1.41 ^b	0.047 ^c	0.044	0.88 ^{bc}
AFB ₁	2.5	-	0.014 ^c	1.82 ^a	0.064 ^a	0.059	1.20 ^a
AFB ₁ +Bl	2.5	Bl	0.041 ^{ab}	1.53 ^b	0.036 ^d	0.050	0.94 ^b
AFB ₁ +IMTX	2.5	IMTX	0.039 ^b	1.87 ^a	0.056 ^b	0.050	1.12 ^a
SEM			0.002	0.049	0.002	0.002	0.033
<i>P</i> -value			< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.192	< 0.001

^{a-c} Different letters in each column shows significant differences ($P < 0.05$). ^a As defined under Table 2.

bladder, and heart when compared to the control and Bl groups ($P < 0.001$). However, the negative effects of AFB₁ on these internal organs were disappeared by the use of Bl in the diet. IMTX additive was not effective to alleviate the negative effect of AFB₁ on the above mentioned tissues. The highest relative weight of bursa was observed in birds fed Bl alone, while dietary AFB₁ significantly decreased the size of bursa ($P < 0.001$). Both additives were sufficiently effective to remove the negative effects of AFB₁ on bursa, in which the relative weight of this immunity organ was increased up to the control level.

As shown in Table 4, dietary AFB₁ significantly decreased the size of testes ($P < 0.001$), cloacal gland ($P = 0.003$), and foam production ($P < 0.001$) in male Japanese quails. In all cases, the highest values were observed in birds fed the control and Bl

diets. Surprisingly, the use of Bl significantly improved the relative weight of testes and cloacal gland volume resulting in higher foam production than AFB₁ group. Although significant improvement in testes size was observed in birds fed AFB₁+IMTX, the IMTX additive was not as effective as Bl in improving the sexual parameters in male birds that received AFB₁.

The use of AFB₁ in the diet significantly increased the MDA concentration in stored meat samples ($P < 0.001$) for 3 or 30 days (Table 5). In fresh samples, the effect of Bl on reduction of MDA concentration in birds that received toxin diet was more profound than that of IMTX in which the use of Bl decreased MDA levels up to the control levels. The Bl was the most effective additive in stored meat samples regarding MDA index, while refrigerating or freezing the meat samples resulted in disclosure of

**Table 4.** Effect of Aflatoxin B₁ and feed additives on reproductive organs of male Japanese quail.

Groups	Aflatoxin B ₁ (mg kg ⁻¹)	Additive	Testes (g)	Cloacal gland volume (cm ³)	Foam production (mg)
Control	0	-	7.28 ^a	5.54 ^a	44.04 ^a
<i>B1</i>	0	<i>B1</i>	7.27 ^a	4.96 ^a	46.50 ^a
AFB ₁	2.5	-	5.02 ^c	2.92 ^b	15.34 ^b
AFB ₁ + <i>B1</i>	2.5	<i>B1</i>	7.22 ^a	4.77 ^a	40.04 ^a
AFB ₁ +IMTX	2.5	IMTX	6.11 ^b	3.32 ^b	20.08 ^b
SEM			0.221	0.279	2.853
<i>P-value</i>			<0.001	0.003	<0.001

^{a-c} Different letters in each column shows significant differences ($P < 0.05$). ^a As defined under Table 2.

Table 5. Effect of Aflatoxin B₁ and feed additives on MalonDiAldehyde (MDA) concentration in growing quails' meat on days 0 and 3 of refrigerated storage (at +4°C) and 30 days of storing in freezer (at -18°C).

Groups	Aflatoxin B ₁ (mg kg ⁻¹)	Additive	MDA (mg/kg of meat)		
			Days of meat storage		
			0	3	30
Control	0	-	0.107 ^b	0.148 ^c	0.204 ^c
<i>B1</i> ^a	0	<i>B1</i>	0.081 ^c	0.137 ^c	0.203 ^c
AFB ₁ ^a	2.5	-	0.121 ^{ab}	0.416 ^a	0.654 ^a
AFB ₁ + <i>B1</i>	2.5	<i>B1</i>	0.092 ^c	0.142 ^c	0.224 ^c
AFB ₁ +IMTX	2.5	IMTX	0.130 ^a	0.348 ^b	0.446 ^b
SEM			0.005	0.032	0.048
<i>P-value</i>			<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

^{a-c} Different letters in each column shows significant differences ($P < 0.05$). ^a As defined under Table 2.

beneficial effects of IMTX. However, the positive effects of IMTX on MDA concentration of stored meat samples were not comparable with that of *B1* additive.

In comparison with *B1* group (Table 6), the use of dietary AFB₁ significantly decreased the total microbial counts, lactic acid bacteria, and spore-forming bacteria in the ileum of birds ($P < 0.001$). However, dietary AFB₁ increased the CFU of *Escherichia coli* and feed additives exerted the opposite effects. In term of *Escherichia coli* bacteria, the IMTX was more effective than *B1* and resulted in sharp reduction in *Escherichia coli*. In contrast, the *B1* probiotic was more effective than IMTX in increasing the lactic acid bacteria, and the lowest total microbial count was observed in AFB₁+IMTX group.

DISCUSSION

As shown in this research, blood variables such as hematocrit, red blood cells, and hemoglobin concentration decreased under aflatoxicosis. This finding was in line with Kececi *et al.* (1998), and Oguz and Kurtoglu (2000) who reported that the reduction in blood parameters might be related to the lower protein synthesis and higher hemolysis in affected birds than those in the control group. Indeed, increment in blood cells due to administration of *B1* probiotic may offer an evidence to augment cell proliferation resulting in increasing hematocrit.

Table 6. Effect of Aflatoxin B₁ and feed additives on the ileal microbial populations of growing Japanese quail (Log CFU g⁻¹).

Groups	Aflatoxin B ₁ (mg kg ⁻¹)	Additive	Total microbial counts	Lactic acid bacteria	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	Spore forming bacteria
Control	0	-	8.31 ^b	7.24 ^c	8.24 ^c	6.80 ^c
<i>B1</i>	0	<i>B1</i>	9.34 ^a	8.85 ^a	7.89 ^d	7.96 ^a
AFB ₁	2.5	-	8.41 ^b	7.18 ^c	8.71 ^a	6.74 ^{cd}
AFB ₁ + <i>B1</i>	2.5	<i>B1</i>	9.24 ^a	8.07 ^b	8.59 ^b	7.62 ^b
AFB ₁ +IMTX	2.5	IMTX	7.71 ^c	6.41 ^d	0.00 ^e	6.63 ^d
SEM			0.127	0.172	0.685	0.111
<i>P-value</i>			<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

^{a-c} Different letters in each column shows significant differences ($P < 0.05$). As defined under Table 2.

The relative weights of splanchnic tissues increased in birds that received dietary AFB₁. Liver is the target tissue of aflatoxins in birds and increment in relative weight and fat content of liver are the most common signs of toxication. In addition, the relative weight of kidney, pancreas, and spleen of birds also increased under aflatoxicosis (Shi *et al.*, 2006). Denli and Okan (2002) showed that 80 µg kg⁻¹ AFB₁ in the diet increased the relative weight of liver in broiler chickens but the addition of 2.5 g kg⁻¹ *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* improved the health status of liver. Toxin binders covalently bind the aflatoxins in the gut decreasing toxin availability. It could be hypothesized that *B1* probiotic may successfully bind AFB₁ in the alimentary tract of quail chicks and help the excretion of dietary toxin and, subsequently, improve the relative weight of splanchnic tissues.

In contrast to viscera tissues, testes size and foam production decreased in male birds fed AFB₁ and the use of *B1* probiotic in the diet increased the relative weight of testes and foam production in male quails. Changes in genital organs and reduced reproductive performance due to mycotoxin consumption have been already reported (Clarke *et al.*, 1987). However, it has been postulated that negative effects of aflatoxins on reproduction might be indirect through other physiological systems (Diekman and Green, 1992).

The regular increase in MDA concentration in meat samples has been observed at high doses of AFs (Dalvi, 1986). In the present study, the highest concentration of MDA was observed in the birds that received AFB₁ without any additive, but the level of MDA in AFB₁+*B1* group decreased up to the control level for stored samples (e.g. 3 and 30 days). Kabir (2009) reported that addition of probiotics to diet of broilers might improve the meat quality before and after freezing process. Mahajan *et al.* (2000) indicated that sensory specifications of meat such as appearance, texture, water-holding capacity, and overall desirability were significantly higher in birds that received probiotics than those in the control group.

In this study, lower MDA concentrations were observed in meat samples of birds fed probiotics when compared with those of AFB₁ group. This improvement in meat quality could be associated with higher hematocrit and hemoglobin concentration in birds fed probiotics because more oxygen and nutrients could be supplied to breast and thigh muscles (Hadad *et al.*, 2014). An inhibitory effect of aflatoxins on protein synthesis may decrease the synthesis rate of seruloplasmin and transferrin in the liver, which may lead to increase in free copper and iron, respectively. The higher levels of these cations may impair the defense system against lipid peroxidation. Iron plays a particularly important role in the Fenton



reaction, which is one of the most important phases in lipid peroxidation (Gutteridge and Halliwell, 1990; Agil *et al.*, 1995; Kohen and Nyska, 2002). In addition, a decrease in vitamin absorption like vitamin A due to dietary AFB₁ may more weaken the antioxidant defense mechanism. It has been shown that vitamin A in the liver plays a major role in inhibition of lipid peroxidation and could be affected by aflatoxins (Decoudu *et al.*, 1992).

The use of *Bl* probiotic in the diet significantly decreased the *Escherichia coli* in the ileum. This bacterium could adhere to the mucosal surface of intestine and restrict *E-coli* colonization through the competitive exclusion. Dietary probiotics supplements could be used to manipulate the composition of gut microbiota. Theoretically, the mechanisms involved may include: (1) Reduction of lumen pH by lactic acid producing bacteria, (2) Direct antagonistic effects on pathogens, (3) Competition for binding and receptor sites that pathogens may occupy by probiotics, (4) Improved immune function and stimulation of appropriate immunomodulatory cells, and (5) Competition for available nutrients and other growth factors (Collins and Gibson, 1999). It is believed that transient balance between beneficial and harmful microbes may exist in the gut of non-stressed birds. If the microbial balance is prevalent, the birds may exhibit the best efficiency in performance, but under stress conditions the beneficial bacteria tend to be decreased and harmful microbes may increase resulting in either clinical (e.g. diarrhea) or subclinical (e.g. impaired performance and feed efficiency) disorders. Protective microbial population is naturally stable in the gut but it could be affected by some environmental and dietary factors (Kabir, 2009) and the use of probiotics in these conditions may help to re-settle the beneficial protective bacteria (Fuller, 1991).

This study clearly showed that *Bl* as a beneficial probiotic could be used to improve the health status of the birds and intestinal microbial ecosystem. Moreover,

this probiotic has profound effects on meat quality and reproductive efficiency when birds have fed on contaminated diets with AFB₁.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the Department of Poultry Science in Tarbiat Modares University for providing experimental facilities and financial support.

REFERENCES

1. Agil, A., Fuller, C. J. and Jialal, I. 1995. Susceptibility of Plasma to Ferrous Iron/Hydrogen Peroxide-Mediated Oxidation: Demonstration of a Possible Fenton Reaction. *Clin. Chem.*, **41**: 220-225.
2. Alexander, R. R. and Griffiths, J. M. 1993a. *Haematocrit. Basic Biochemical Methods*. 2nd Edition, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, PP. 186-189.
3. Alexander, R. R. and Griffiths, J. M. 1993b. Haemoglobin Determination by the Cyanomethaemoglobin Method. *Basic Biochem. Method.*, **2**: 188-189.
4. Bagherzadeh Kasmani, F., Karimi Torshizi, M. A., Allameh, A. and Shariatmadari, F. 2012. A Novel Aflatoxin-Binding Bacillus Probiotic: Performance, Serum Biochemistry, and Immunological Parameters in Japanese Quail. *Poult. Sci.*, **91**: 1846-1853.
5. Botsoglou, N. A., Fletouris, D. J., Papageorgiou, G. E., Vassilopoulos, V. N., Mantis, A. J. and Trakatellis, A. G. 1994. Rapid, Sensitive, and Specific Thiobarbituric Acid Method for Measuring Lipid Peroxidation in Animal Tissue, Food, and Feedstuff Samples. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **42**: 1931-1937.
6. Clarke, R. N., Doerr, J. A. and Ottinger, M. A. 1987. Age-Related Changes in Testicular Development and Reproductive Endocrinology Associated with Aflatoxicosis in the Male Chicken. *Biol. Rep.*, **36**: 117-124.
7. Collins, M. D. and Gibson, G. R. 1999. Probiotics, Prebiotics, and Synbiotics: Approaches for Modulating the Microbial Ecology of the Gut. *Ame. J. Clin. Nutr.*, **69**: 1052-1057.

8. Dalvi, R. R. 1986. An Overview of Aflatoxicosis of Poultry: Its Characteristics, Prevention and Reduction. *Vet. Res. Commun.*, **10**: 429-443.
9. Decoudu, S., Cassand, P., Daubèze, M., Frayssinet, C. and Narbonne, J. F. 1992. Effect of Vitamin a Dietary Intake on *In Vitro* and *In Vivo* Activation of Aflatoxin B₁. *Mutation Res. Fund. Molec. Mech. Mutag.*, **269**: 269-278.
10. Denli, M. and Okan, F. 2002. The Effect of *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae* Addition into Broiler Feed on the Elimination of Chronic Dosages of T-2 Toxin and Fattening Performance. *J. Anim. Prod.*, **43**:1-9.
11. Diaz, G., Calabrese, E. and Blain, R. 2008. Aflatoxicosis in Chickens (*Gallus gallus*): An Example of Hormesis? *Poult. Sci.*, **87**: 727-732.
12. Diekman, M. A. and Green, M. L. 1992. Mycotoxins and Reproduction in Domestic Livestock. *J. Anim. Sci.*, **70**: 1615-1627.
13. El-Nezami, H., Kankaanpaa, P., Salminen, S. and Ahokas, J. 1998. Physicochemical Alterations Enhance the Ability of Dairy Strains of Lactic Acid Bacteria to Remove Aflatoxin from Contaminated Media. *J. Food Protec.*, **61**: 466-468.
14. Fuller, R. 1991. Probiotics in Human Medicine. *Gut*, **32**: 439-442.
15. Gutteridge, J. and Halliwell, B. 1990. The Measurement and Mechanism of Lipid Peroxidation in Biological Systems. *Trend. Biochem. Sci.*, **15**: 129-135.
16. Hadad, Y., Halevy, O. and Cahaner, A. 2014. Featherless and Feathered Broilers under Control Versus Hot Conditions. 1. Breast Meat Yield and Quality. *Poult. Sci.*, **93**: 1067-1075.
17. Hernandez-Mendoza, A., Garcia, H. S. and Steele, J. L. 2009a. Screening of *Lactobacillus casei* Strains for Their Ability to Bind Aflatoxin B₁. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, **47**: 1064-1068.
18. Hernandez-Mendoza, A., Guzman-de-Peña, D. and Garcia, H. S. 2009b. Key Role of Teichoic Acids on Aflatoxin B Binding by Probiotic Bacteria. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, **107**: 395-403.
19. Kabir, S. M. 2009. The Role of Probiotics in the Poultry Industry. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, **10**: 3531-3546.
20. Kececi, T., Oguz, H. Kurtoglu, V. and Demet, O. 1998. Effects of Polyvinylpyrrolidone, Synthetic Zeolite and Bentonite on Serum Biochemical and Haematological Characters of Broiler Chickens During Aflatoxicosis. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, **39**: 452-458.
21. Kohen, R. and Nyska, A. 2002. Invited Review: Oxidation of Biological Systems: Oxidative Stress Phenomena, Antioxidants, Redox Reactions, and Methods for Their Quantification. *Toxico. Pathol.*, **30**: 620-650.
22. Lee, Y. K., El-Nezami, H., Haskard, C. A., Gratz, S., Puong, K. Y., Salminen, S. and Mykkanen, H. 2003. Kinetics of Adsorption and Desorption of Aflatoxin B₁ by Viable and Nonviable Bacteria. *J. Food Protec.*, **66**: 426-430.
23. Mahajan, P., Sahoo, J. and Panda, P. 2000. Effect of Probiotic (Lacto-Sacc) Feeding and Season on Poultry Meat Quality. *Ind. J. Poult. Sci.*, **35**: 297-301.
24. Natt, M. P. and Herrick, C. A. 1952. A New Blood Diluent for Counting the Erythrocytes and Leucocytes of the Chicken. *Poult. Sci.*, **31**: 735-738.
25. Oguz, H. and Kurtoglu, V. 2000. Effect of Clinoptilolite on Performance of Broiler Chickens During Experimental Aflatoxicosis. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, **41**: 512-517.
26. Peltonen, K., El-Nezami, H., Haskard, C., Ahokas, J. and Salminen, S. 2001. Aflatoxin B₁ Binding by Dairy Strains of Lactic Acid Bacteria and Bifidobacteria. *J. Dairy Sci.*, **84**: 2152-2156.
27. Peltonen, K. D., El-Nezami, H. S., Salminen, S. J. and Ahokas, J. T. 2000. Binding of Aflatoxin B₁ by Probiotic Bacteria. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, **80**: 1942-1945.
28. Pier, A. C. 1981. Mycotoxins and Animal Health. In: "Advances in Veterinary Science and Comparative Medicine", (Ed.): Cornelius, C. E. Academic Press, Inc., New York, PP. 185-243.
29. Rahimi, S., Teymori Zadeh, Z., Karimi Torshizi, M. A. Omidbaigi, R. and Rokni, H. 2011. Effect of the Three Herbal Extracts on Growth Performance, Immune System, Blood Factors and Intestinal Selected Bacterial Population in Broiler Chickens. *J. Agr. Sci. Tech.*, **13**: 527-539.
30. SAS, 2002. *SAS/STAT 9.1 User's Guide*. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.
31. Shotwell, O.L., Hesseltine, C.V., Stubblefield, R.D. and Sorenson, W.G. 1966. Production of Aflatoxin on Rice. *Appl. Microbiol.*, **14**: 425 - 428
32. Sneath, P. H. A. 1986. Endospore-Forming Gram-positive Rods and Cocci. In: "Bergey's



- Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*", (Ed.): Holt, J. G. Williams and Wilkins Co, Baltimore, MD, USA, PP. 1104-1139
33. Shahin, A. A. M. 2007. Removal of Aflatoxin B₁ from Contaminated Liquid Media by Dairy Lactic Acid Bacteria. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, **9**: 71-75.
34. Shi, Y. H., Xu, Z. R., Feng, J. L. and Wang, C. Z. 2006. Efficacy of Modified Montmorillonite Nanocomposite to Reduce the Toxicity of Aflatoxin in Broiler Chicks. *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.*, **129**: 138-148.
35. Teniola, O. D., Addo, P. A., Brost, I. M., Färber, P., Jany, K. D., Alberts, J. F., Van Zyl, W. H., Steyn, P. S. and Holzappel, W. H. 2005. Degradation of Aflatoxin B₁ by Cell-free Extracts of *Rhodococcus erythropolis* and *Mycobacterium fluoranthenorans* sp. nov. *DSM44556 T. Int. J. Food Microbio.*, **105**: 111-117.
36. Wu, F. 2004. Mycotoxin Risk Assessment for the Purpose of Setting International Regulatory Standards. *Envir. Sci. Tech.*, **38**: 4049-4055.

اثر پروبیوتیک بروباسیلوس تروسپوروس بر هماتولوژی، اندام‌های داخلی، پراکسیداسیون گوشت و جمعیت میکروبی روده بلدرچین ژاپنی تغذیه شده با آفلاتوکسین

ف. باقرزاده کاسمانی، م. ا. کریمی ترشیزی و م. مهری

چکیده

به منظور بررسی توان یک پروبیوتیک بومی از جنس باسیلوس (بروباسیلوس تروسپوروس) در حذف آفلاتوکسین B₁ آزمایشی با استفاده از 125 قطعه بلدرچین ژاپنی 21 روزه از جنس نر در 5 تیمار با پنج تکرار و 5 پرنده در هر تکرار انجام شد. تیمارها شامل شاهد (جیره پایه)، پروبیوتیک (جیره پایه + 10⁸ واحد تشکیل دهنده کلنی در میلی‌لیتر بروباسیلوس تروسپوروس)، آفلاتوکسین B₁ (جیره پایه + 2/5 میلی‌گرم آفلاتوکسین B₁ در کیلوگرم)، آفلاتوکسین B₁ + پروبیوتیک (جیره پایه + 2/5 میلی‌گرم آفلاتوکسین B₁ در کیلوگرم و 10⁸ واحد تشکیل دهنده کلنی در میلی‌لیتر بروباسیلوس تروسپوروس) و آفلاتوکسین B₁ + میلونند TX (جیره پایه + 2/5 میلی‌گرم آفلاتوکسین B₁ در کیلوگرم و 2/5 گرم میلونند TX در کیلوگرم). تیمار آفلاتوکسین B₁ در مقایسه با شاهد، هماتوکریت (P = 0/003)، گلبول‌های قرمز خون (P < 0/001) و گلبول‌های سفید خون (P = 0/012) را کاهش داد. بیشترین وزن نسبی کبد و قلب و کمترین مقدار تولید کف و کمترین وزن نسبی بورس فابریسیوس در تیمار آفلاتوکسین B₁ مشاهده شد (P < 0/05). اما، وزن نسبی بورس فابریسیوس و غده کلوآکی در پرندگان تغذیه شده با پروبیوتیک مشابه با شاهد بود. پایداری کم لیپید در اثر مصرف آفلاتوکسین، با تغذیه پروبیوتیک بهبود یافت (P < 0/001). جمعیت اشریشیاکلی در تیمار آفلاتوکسین افزایش یافت در حالیکه جمعیت باکتری‌های اسید لاکتیک کاهش یافت. عکس این نتیجه در اثر مصرف پروبیوتیک مشاهده شد (P < 0/001). این مطالعه نشان داد که پروبیوتیک بومی بروباسیلوس تروسپوروس می‌تواند به‌طور کارآمدی برای حذف اثرات منفی آفلاتوکسین B₁ بر کیفیت گوشت و اکوسیستم میکروبی بلدرچین ژاپنی به کار رود.