# Explaining the Good Governance of Agricultural Surface Water Resources in the Gawshan Watershed Basin, Kermanshah, Iran

M. Tatar<sup>1</sup>, A. Papzan<sup>1,\*</sup>, and M. Ahmadvand<sup>2</sup>

### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to explain the current water governance at Gawshan Watershed Basin in Kermanshah Province and then provide an alternative conceptual framework for good governance of water resources at the basin scale. Participants were selected purposefully among the representatives of rival groups. Participatory workshop technique was used to collect data with the aid of interviews and focus group discussions. Analysis of the interviews led to classification of the challenges of water governance into several categories. These include the lack of participation, fairness, accountability, responsiveness, legitimacy, transparency and consensus-oriented processes. Then, water governance solutions were extracted based on the consensus among the participants. These include farmers' contribution to decision- making processes regarding agricultural water consumption, empowering the local people to negotiate and manage conflicts, establishing a participatory mechanism to manage water conflict, holding training courses and workshops for staff to get acquainted with the principles of good governance, creating transparent and accessible information system by agricultural water section, and holding education-extension courses to increase information and awareness of the stakeholders in line with responsibility. Finally, a framework for good governance of water resources in the watershed basin was drawn up after linking the concepts.

Keywords: Water conflicts, Water governance, Water management.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Local scale conflict over water access appears to be a growing threat (Gleick and Heberger, 2012), especially in water scarce regions. In Iran as well as other water stressed areas, there has been an increase in reported cases of water conflicts in recent years (Bijani and Hayati, 2011; 2015). Not addressing these conflicts may lead to adverse consequences such as loss of livelihoods, decrease in income, threat to stability, etc. (Kramer, 2004). However, the cause of these conflicts can be expressed in two ways. The first climatic dimension is marked by low precipitation and drought (Green, 2002; Heidelberg Institute, 2007), and the second dimension, which now appears to be the main cause of conflict in areas stricken by water shortages, is the type of governance and management of water resources (Perlman et al., 2017; Yazdanpanah et al., 2013b). By "Governance", we refer to the processes through which the public decisions are made (Bundschuh, 2008). Thus, there is a two-way relationship between water conflict and water governance. Good water governance will reduce water conflicts among rival groups and, consequently, will provide the optimal use of water resources. This means that poor water governance leads to conflict, while good governance dependent conflict is on

Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2025-02-10 ]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural Extension and Education, Agricultural College, Razi University, Kermanshah, Islamic Republic of Iran.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Rural Development Management, Faculty of Agriculture, Yasouj University, Yasouj, Islamic Republic of Iran.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author; e-mail: hamidpapzan@razi.ac.ir

management. Therefore, interest in natural resources governance has significantly increased (Turner *et al.*, 2014).

On this basis, the present study aimed to explain good water governance through the management of conflicts, as the first and necessary step in building constructive interaction for the good governance. The paper also includes an analysis of the data regarding good governance of surface water resources in the Gawshan Watershed Basin, Kermanshah, Iran.

### **Good Water Governance**

Recently, considerable research attention has been paid to governance of natural resources (Mahon et al., 2009). Some called governance as a new paradigm in water management (Meissner, 2016; Yazdanpanah et al., 2014) in global, national, institutional, and community contexts (Graham et al., 2003). Contemporary thinking recognizes governance as broader than management (UNESCO, 2011), although both are important in the context of water. In fact, water management focuses on executive activities while water governance is about joint decision-making (UNESCO, 2011). However, it seems that the easier nature of understanding governance at the national level was thought to be responsible for the little attention that has been given to the local governance (Graham et al., 2003). Although the history of local governance is as old as the humanity and civilization itself, only recently it contributes to the broad discourse in the academic and practice literature (Shah and Shah, 2007; Yazdanpanah et al., 2013a). Local governance refers to the institutions, processes, and systems through which relations and communicative mechanisms and a variety of cross-border collective services and actions are formulated, developed, and executed at the local level (Pandy, 2018). In fact, due to the complexity, diverse nature of the social and environmental systems and their impacts on the determination of the objectives of governance, it is necessary that water 'local governance' be formulated in each region based on the context (environmental conditions, social, values, beliefs and stakeholder's needs and interests) (OECD, 2015). One thing to note is

that governing activities at all levels are becoming diffused over various societal actors whose relationship with each other is constantly changing (Silima, 2016). Hence, it is right to highlight the fact that local governance is broader than local government (Yazdanpanah et al., 2013a), though these two appear to be overlapping concerning special issues (Hamedinger, 2004). The main difference between these two patterns lies in the issue that governance covers both formal and informal processes (Mahon et al., 2009). In other words, local governance is not just about rule-making, it also involves the interactions between all stakeholders, including government, civil society and the private sector as well (Kooiman et al., 2005). In fact, what determines the locality of governance is the extent to which local actors are effectively interacting in defining local aspirations and common efforts to fulfill these goals and demands (Dekker and Kempen, 2004). Obviously, where people and their concerns, views and perspectives were given appropriate weight and consideration in local decisions, higher levels of interests and outcome expectations are achieved (UNDP, 2003). It should be noted that local scale governance includes activities at a local level where the organizing body may not assume a legal form and where there may not be a formally constituted governing board, and the focus is on institutions or rules that are regulated locally (Graham et al., 2003). With this description, the concept of governance may be applied to any form of collective action (Graham et al., 2003). As noted earlier, water governance should be fitted to apply for current and future possible water challenges (OECD, 2015). Given the emergence and intensification of local conflicts and its adverse effects, water governance has faced a new challenge at the local level (Mirzaei et al., 2017). Water conflict behavior has emerged from water stakeholders claiming their share of water (Gleik 2014). Indeed, poor water governance has been blamed for current water conflict (UNESCO, 2011). In response to this challenge, good water governance provides a mechanism through which conflicting or diverse interests may be accommodated and cooperative action may be undertaken (UN-HABITAT, 2002). It also addresses some of the fundamental obstacles to agricultural sustainable development including the poor exclusion and social inequality. Therefore, in this research, water governance is regarded as the complex mechanisms, processes, and institutions through which rural citizens and groups articulate their interests, mediate their differences and exercise their legal rights and obligations (UNDP, 2000). It simply means that the government is not the only actor but it includes all the rival groups who strive to compete for water (Virtudes, 2016). However, since the number and diversity of stakeholder groups involved with diverse and sometimes conflicting interests and perhaps the need for their consensus at the local level clarifies the importance of explaining a good governance framework or model at the local level, there is not a one-size-fits-all solution to water challenges worldwide (OECD, 2015). Governance tailor-made responses should therefore be adapted to territorial specificities (OECD, 2015). However, wide varieties of frameworks informing the design of natural resource governance arrangements have been proposed (Turner et al., 2014). Sometimes these frameworks as universal normative principles have been presented by international institutions (Table 1) and provide a normative basis to guide the processes through which governance goals are developed and achieved (Turner et al., 2014). These principles seem to relate to a wide range of governance arrangements through which natural resources are managed (Graham et al., 2003). Some of these principles are as follows:

1. Participation: Participation (building on capacities to participate constructively) by citizens either directly or through legitimate intermediate institutions is the cornerstone of good governance (Silima, 2016; UNDP, 2000).

the belief that government intervention is the main source of fund that must be obtained in accordance to the public demand (Silima, 2016). Accordingly, good governance institutions and processes try to serve all stakeholders equally (UNDP, 2000).

3. Accountability: Decision-makers in government, the private sector and civil society organizations are accountable to the public, as well as to institutional stakeholders (UNDP, 2000).

4. Transparency: Transparency is built on the free flow of information. Processes, institutions, and information are directly accessible to those concerned with them, and enough information is provided to understand and monitor them (Graham *et al.*, 2003).

5. Rule of law: Legal frameworks as constitutive part of culture should be fair and enforced impartially, particularly the laws on human rights (Cernea, 1993).

6.Consensus-orientation: Consensus is an agreement in opinion of all group members (Hornby, 2005).Good governance requires that the government try to reach a broad consensus on the best interest and the way of achievement of it among the whole community members in society (Silima, 2016).

7. Equity: Equity is a situation where there is no unfair treatment of certain people based on various factors such as race, religion, tribe, point of view and others (Silima, 2016).

In this context, some empirical studies have been done that aimed to provide recommendations for structural characteristics of institutional arrangements (Turner *et al.*, 2014). As Perlman *et al.* (2017) discuss, improving cooperative frameworks can provide the opportunity for good governance. Sithirith

2. Responsiveness: Responsiveness is based on opportunity for good governance **Table 1.** Principles of good governance from the point of view of international institutions.

International			
international	Good governance indicators		
institutions	ç		
OECD, 2015	Efficiency, Effectiveness, Trust and Participation		
UNDP, 2003	Legitimacy, transparency, Responsiveness, Participation, Fairness and flexibility		
UN-Habitat, 2002	Participation, Effectiveness, Fairness and Equality, Responsiveness, Security, Accountability		
UNESCO, 2011	Participation, Accountability, Consensus orientation, Transparency, Rule of Law,		
	Responsiveness, Efficiency, Effectiveness, and Fairness		
UNDP, 2000	Accountability, Consensus orientation, Participation, Rule of Law, Fairness and		
	Equality, Transparency, Responsiveness		

Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2025-02-10 ]

(2017) undertook a study to understand water governance in Cambodia and identified opportunities to improve it. Her result showed that participation is weak, so they believe that it is not their responsibility to take part in managing water resources. Ghaemi et al. (2017) presented conceptual model of sustainable water resource governance in Iran. This model emphasized the key role of participation, training and capacity building for all stakeholders in the decision-making process. Silima (2016)conducted a research about promoting good governance and conflict resolution in Africa and concluded that all levels of government should be involved in the educational process in order to promote vivid understanding amongst the stakeholders in good governance and a suitable mode or modes of conflict management should be selected. Rola et al. (2015) analyzed the state of water governance in the Philippines at various governance levels. They recommend to study and implement more participatory models of water governance fitted to the Philippine context. Sternlieb and Laituri (2015) investigated agricultural water governance in the Colorado River Basin. They founded that limited information may pose challenges to water governance. Therefore, they emphasized the salience of the data on water governance (Sternlieb and Laituri, 2015). Menatizadeh et al.

(2015) studied farmers' responsibilities in Shiraz county. The results of this research have shown that farmers were responsible for local water resource management. Lienert et al. (2013) also showed how stakeholders' interaction in water decisions is important, hence resolving conflict between stakeholders is a key step in water Hadi (2005) addressed governance. the relationship between conflict management and local good governance in conflict affected regions in Indonesia. Their proposed framework encourages conflict sensitivity, building local participation in public decision-making process, empowering communities and local governments, while it is characterized by an inadequate articulation policy for decentralization and post conflict recovery. As the results of the literature review, it is concluded that there is no complete pattern of good governance that includes all principles of good governance. However, the principles can be

usefully applied to shape framework for good governance based on local challenges.

Given the above, this study aimed to understand the current water governance in Gawshan Dam Basin guided by two proposed frameworks illustrated above. Thus, the first step was to analyze the principles of good governance in the region and continue with the offering of options to move forward.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Given the nature of the problem, the present research selected the qualitative method and the naturalistic paradigm as the main research strategy. This study was conducted in the Gawshan Dam Basin of Kermanshah Province in western Iran. Participatory workshop methods with interviews and focused group discussion tools were used to collect information from participants. Collaborative decision making often takes place in the context of stakeholder workshops. They, sometimes called "actionplanning workshops", are used to bring stakeholders together to design development projects (World Bank, 1996). The purpose of such workshops is to begin and sustain stakeholders' collaboration. Some trained facilitators guide the stakeholders, who have diverse knowledge and interests, through a series of activities to build consensus upon (World Bank, 1996). For this purpose, a two-day workshop entitled consultation with beneficiaries group on local water governance was held in Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development of Razi University. Given that the challenge facing the local water main governance is the conflict management, participants at the workshop were selected among the representatives of the rival groups. Therefore, 16 representatives of the upstream and downstream farmers (rival groups) were invited to participate in the workshop. This process of selecting the samples is called *critical* case sampling. Moreover, since the state itself is one of the water conflict parties in the Gawshan Basin, seven staff members from the regional water company of Kermanshah Province were also selected as the state (government) representatives. That way, during the call to regional water company, they were asked to

introduce a group of experts as the state representatives to participate in the water governance consulting workshop. This sampling method is called *typical case sampling*. The participant groups included people with different interests, started discussions in the form of three centralized groups (upstream, downstream, and experts). The number of members in each group was between 8 and 10. Participants were asked to discuss the current water governance system in the region. Discussions began with general questions. The questions were related to issues

discussed in the literature and designed to stimulate verbal reflection on the issue of water governance in the region: How are agricultural water decisions taken in the region? Will farmers participate in the decision-making process for agricultural water management? In the next step, the goal was a consensus evaluation on the structure of good governance in the region. For this purpose, discussions began on how to gain strength in water governance in the region, in the context of the same meeting and reorganization of the centralized groups. So, the discussion continued with general questions: What solution

do you recommend to strengthen the current poor water governance? How can accountability, transparency and legitimacy be ensured through good governance?

After the process of data collection, the analysis began, in which coding and memowriting occurred. As discussed, good governance has universal principles that have been derived from the literature on the subject, but these are general principles and require more explanation. Therefore, these principles were only used to show the initial coding and the relationship between the codes. This process is called direct approach. In qualitative studies, usually terms such as scientific accuracy (Rigour) are used instead of validity (Golafshani, 2003). Four criteria for judging scientific accuracy in include: credibility. qualitative studies transferability, dependability, and conformability (Guba and Lincoln, 1985). In this study, in order to improve the credibility of data, selfmonitoring and member checks were also used to enhance transferability and provide sufficient detail of the context of the fieldwork (Bryman, 2001). To meet conformability, we kept raw data, all notes, documents and records for the next review (Andreas, 2003).

### **Study Sites**

The Gawshan water resource management plan is located in two western provinces of Iran (Kermanshah and Kurdistan) and in two different river basins (Karkhe and Sirvan). This plan consists of a dam, water transmission tunnel, and series of diversion dams, and irrigation and drainage networks. Irrigation and drainage networks includes the networks of Bilevar Plain (upstream) covering an area of 10,974 hectares, networks Miandarband and of Plain (downstream) covering 19,678 hectares (Mahab Consulting Engineers Company, 2000). Gawshan Dam Basin has experienced major water conflict since 1999 that has had a devastating impact on people in the affected regions and challenged successive regional governments. Previous research has shown that the main source of conflict in the region has been poor water governance, while climate factors such as low rainfall and drought have also contributed to these conflicts.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Content analysis of the data led to extraction of 27 concepts in open coding and then seven categories emerged from axial coding (Table 2). Frequency refers to how often a code has been applied. The frequency of each concept reflects its importance from the perspective of the participants. This process is called highlighting. On this basis, it can be concluded that from the perspective of the participants, the most important water governance principles in the region that need to be reformed include the participation, fairness, accountability, responsiveness, legitimacy, transparency, and consensus orientation. These will be discussed below.

### **Participation**

According to Table 2, the public participation in water governance is the most important

Table 2- Semantic units, concepts and classes extracted from interviews.

Key parse     Concept     Frequency     Class       Water distribution in the region is not fair Government sends water to Kermanshah for urhan domestic consumption     12     12       There is no agreement about the causes of the water crisis     1     Lack of consensus on the causes of the water crisis     8       We need to find collaborative solutions to resolve conflicts rather than top-down ones.     Disagreements over conflict solutions     4       Government and farmers have not agreed on the proposed farming pattern.     Disagreements over farming pattern     5       There is no agreement about the timely payment of water right.     Lack of consensus on the timely payment of water right     8       Direct access to regional water experts is impossible to inform timely about the water-release time.     Lack of attention to farmers' needs     2       Experts do not have time to address the problems of farmers ad are constantly expanding the network.     Failure to explain their own actions.     3       Farmers do not fare any responsibility towards agricultural water.     Lack of responsibility among farmers accountable     3       They did not talk with farmers about the water allocation for farmers.     Lack of information about water users'     2       Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.     Lack of information about water users'     2<		~		~
water distribution   12     Government sends water to Kermanshah for urban domestic consumption   12     There is no agreement about the causes of the water crisis.   12     We need to find collaborative solutions to resolve Government and farmers have not agreed on the its not possible to inform timely about the water-release time.   12   20     There is no agreement about the timely payment of water right.   12   20   20     Direct access to regional water experts is impossible.   12   20   20     They plan no matter what the farmers' needs are.   12   20   20     Explain heir own actions.   20   20   20     Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards agricultural water.   12   20   20     Government aba not implemented apy plans to increase times.   12   12   21   22     Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards agricultural water.   12   12   20   20     Government aba not implemented apy plans to increase profities.   12   12   12   22     Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards agricultural water.   12   12   22   22     Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.   12 <td>Key phrase</td> <td>Concept</td> <td>Frequency</td> <td>Class</td>	Key phrase	Concept	Frequency	Class
covernment senus water to kermansnan for urnan   inequalities in water allocation   3   and     There is no agreement about the causes of the water   Lack of consensus on the causes of the water crisis   8     We need to find collaborative solutions to resolve conflicts rather than top-down ones.   Disagreements over conflict solutions   4     Government and farmers have not agreed on the proposed farming pattern.   Disagreements over conflict solutions   4     There is no agreement about the timely payment of water right.   Disagreements over farming pattern   5     Direct access to regional water experts is impossible.   Lack of consensus on the timely apyment of water right.   3     Direct access to regional water experts is impossible.   Lack of timely notification   2     Experts do not have time to address the problems of farmers and are constantly expanding the network.   Failure to address the farmers' inceeds are.   2     Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards aframers' accountability.   Lack of information about dam 3   3     They did not talk with farmers about the water allocation for farmers.   2   2     Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.   Lack of information about water users' 2   2     Information about water records is not available to farmers.   Lack of information about water users	water distribution in the region is not fair	Inequalities in water distribution	12	air
Lack of consensus on the causes of the water crisis.     Lack of consensus on the causes of the water crisis     P       We need to find collaborative solutions to resolve conflicts rather than top-down ones.     Lack of consensus on the causes of the water crisis     8       We need to find collaborative solutions to resolve conflicts rather than top-down ones.     Disagreements over conflict solutions     4       Direct access to regional water experts is impossible.     Disagreement of water right     5       Direct access to regional water experts is impossible.     Impossibility of direct access to experts     3       Lack of attention to farmers' needs     2     2       Experts do not have time to address the problems of farmers and are constantly expanding the network.     Failure to hold participatory meetings with farmers to explain their own actions.     3     2       Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards arrivers' accountability.     Lack of information about dam priorities     3     2       Information on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.     Lack of information about water records     6       Farmers do not involved in the water allocation priorities     Lack of information about water records     6       Information about water records is not available to farmers.     Information about water records     6       Farmer	domestic consumption	Inequalities in water allocation	3	nes
Finder is no agreement about the clubes of the water crisis   Factor (consents) on the clubes of the water crisis   Inter is no agreement about the solutions to resolve   Disagreements over conflict solutions   4     We need to find collaborative solutions to resolve   Disagreements over conflict solutions   4     Government and farmers have not agreed on the ringht.   Disagreements over conflict solutions   4     There is no agreement about the timely payment of water right.   Disagreements over farming pattern   5     They plan no matter what the farmers' needs are.   Lack of consensus on the timely agreement solution to farmers' needs   2     Experts do not have time to water crisis.   Failure to address the problems of farmers and are constantly expanding the network.   Failure to explain their own actions.   3     Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards agricultural water.   Lack of responsibility among farmers to be accountability.   2     Government has not implemented any plans to increase to contracts are made is not available to farmers.   Lack of information about datam apriorities.   3     Information about water records is not available to farmers.   Lack of information about water records is not available to farmers.   Lack of information about water records for water users' cooperative.   6     Water resources cannot be managed only by the governament.   Lack of consultation about water users' coopera	There is no agreement about the causes of the water	Lack of consensus on the causes of the	8	
We need to find collaborative solutions to resolve conflicts rather than top-down ones. Government and farmers have not agreed on the proposed farming pattern. There is no agreement about the timely payment of water right. Direct access to regional water experts is impossible. It is not possible to inform timely about the water-release time. They plan no matter what the farmers' needs are. Experts do not have time to address the problems of farmers and are constantly expanding the network. Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards agricultural water. Government has not implemented any plans to increase for farmers. Government has not implemented any plans to increase for farmers. Farmers are not informed about the activities of farmers. Muter resources cannot be managed only by the government. Water resources cannot be managed only by the government. The number of water users' cooperatives is not available to farmers are not informed to decision-making. Harmers' accountability. The number of water users' cooperatives has not involved in the implementation farmers are not involved in decision-making. Harmers are not involved in decision-making. Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project. Farmers have not been involved in the implementation armers ware not been involved in the implementation. Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution. Farmers hav	crisis.	water crisis	0	Con
We need to find collaborative solutions to resolve   Disagreements over farming pattern   4     Government and farmers have not agreed on the imply payment of water right.   Disagreements over farming pattern   5     There is no agreement about the timely payment of water right.   Impossibility of direct access to experts   3     Direct access to regional water experts is impossible.   Impossibility of direct access to experts   3     Lack of consensus on the timely payment of water right.   Impossibility of direct access to experts   3     There is no agreement about the timely payment of water right.   Impossibility of direct access to experts   3     They plan no matter what the farmers' needs are.   Failure to address the farmers' issues   2     Farmers and are constantly expanding the network.   Failure to address the farmers issues   2     Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards arguicultural water.   Lack of encouragement of farmers to be accountable   2     Information on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.   Lack of information about dam farmers io priorities   3     Information about water records is not available for farmers.   Lack of information about water users' cooperative.   6     Information about water records is not available for farmers.   Imadecuate number of water users' cooperative is very low of water <td< td=""><td>We need to find collaborative solutions to receive</td><td>Discompanya over conflict colutions</td><td>4</td><td>sen</td></td<>	We need to find collaborative solutions to receive	Discompanya over conflict colutions	4	sen
Contrast and number of waterDisagreements over farming pattern5000000000000000000000000000000000	conflicts rather than ton-down ones	Disagreements over connict solutions	4	sus
bropposed familing pattern.   Source for the proposed familing p	Government and farmers have not agreed on the	Disagreements over farming pattern	5	or
There is no agreement about the timely payment of water right.Lack of consensus on the timely payment of water right880The not possible to inform timely about the water-release time.Impossibility of direct access to experts Lack of timely notification3They plan no matter what the farmers' needs are. Experts do not have time to address the problems of farmers and are constantly expanding the network.Lack of attention to farmers' needs Failure to hold participatory meetings with farmers to explain their own actions.Failure to explain their own actions3Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards agricultural water.Lack of encouragement of farmers to be accountable2The scoperativeThey did not talk with farmers about the water allocation for farmers.Lack of information about contracts2The scoperativeInformation on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.Lack of information about water records2The coperativeInformation about water records is not available farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6Water resources cannot be managed only by the relative to the land.Lack of partnerships with the farmers10Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dang project.Imadequate number of water users' cooperative6Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of adn project.The number of the implementation ineffectiveness of water users' cooperative1Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution. <td>proposed farming pattern.</td> <td>Disagreements over farming pattern</td> <td>5</td> <td>ient</td>	proposed farming pattern.	Disagreements over farming pattern	5	ient
rightpayment of water rightpayment of water rightpayment of water rightpayment of water rightDirect access to regional water experts is impossible. It is not possible to inform timely about the water-release time.Impossibility of direct access to experts Lack of timely notification3They plan no matter what the farmers' needs are. Experts do not have time to address the problems of farmers and are constantly expanding the network. Failure to hold participatory meetings with farmers to explain their own actions.Lack of informers' needs Failure to explain their own actions3Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards agricultural water.Lack of information about accountability.Lack of information about dam priorities.3Information on how contracts are made is not available farmers.Lack of information about water users' cooperative.2Information about water records is not available farmers.Lack of information about water users' cooperative.2Mater users' cooperative.Lack of participation in volved in decision-making.Lack of consulting at setting contracts cooperative6Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of consulting at setting contracts cooperative6Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Lack of consulting at setting contracts cooperative7Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.1Lack of consulting at setting contracts consulting at setting contracts cooperative7Farmers have not been involved in the implem	There is no agreement about the timely payment of water	Lack of consensus on the timely	8	latio
Direct access to regional water experts is impossible.   Impossibility of direct access to experts   3     It is not possible to inform timely about the water-release time.   Lack of timely notification   2     They plan no matter what the farmers' needs are.   Experts do not have time to address the problems of farmers and are constantly expanding the network.   Failure to hold participatory meetings with farmers to explain their own actions.   2     Failure to hold participatory meetings with farmers to explain their own actions.   5   Failure to address the problems of armers' issues   2     Government has not implemented any plans to increase farmers' accountability.   Lack of encouragement of farmers to be accountable   2   2     Information on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.   Lack of information about contracts   2   2     Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.   Lack of information about water records   6     Information about water records is not available to farmers: are not indormed at esting contracts.   Imadequate number of water users' cooperatives   6     Water resources cannot be managed only by the gavernment.   Lack of consulting at setting contracts   3   2     Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts.   Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of man project.   1   Lack of people p	right.	payment of water right		on
It is not possible to inform timely about the water-release   Lack of timely notification   2   Propulsion     They plan no matter what the farmers' needs are.   Experts do not have time to address the problems of farmers are constantly expanding the network.   Lack of attention to farmers' issues   2     Failure to hold participatory meetings with farmers to explain their own actions.   Failure to explain their own actions   3     Farmers ad are constantly expanding the network.   Failure to explain their own actions   3     Government has not implemented any plans to increase farmers' accountability.   Lack of encouragement of farmers to be accountable   2     Information on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.   Lack of information about dam priorities.   3     Information about water records is not available farmers.   Lack of information about water users' cooperative.   2     Information about water records is not available farmers.   Lack of information about water records   6     Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.   Lack of consulting at setting contracts   3     Farmers are not involved in decision-making.   Lack of consulting at setting contracts   3     Farmers are not involved in decision-making.   Lack of rousulting at setting contracts   3     Farmers are not involved in the implementation of dam pr	Direct access to regional water experts is impossible.	Impossibility of direct access to experts	3	
time. They plan no matter what the farmers' needs are. Experts do not have time to address the problems of farmers and are constantly expanding the network. Failure to hold participatory meetings with farmers to explain their own actions. Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards agricultural water. Government has not implemented any plans to increase agricultural water. Government has not implemented any plans to increase Information on how contracts are made is not available farmers' accountability. They did not talk with farmers about the water allocation priorities. Information about water records are made is not available farmers. Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative. Information about water records is not available to farmers. Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative. Information about water records is not available to farmers. Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative. Information about water records is not available to farmers. Farmers are not involved in decision-making. Water users' cooperative has a formal role. Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts farmers are reluctant to participate in water farmers are reluctant to participate in water farmers have not been involved in the implementation of ada project. Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of ada project. Farmers have not been involved in the implementation. Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution. Farmers have not been involved in the wa	It is not possible to inform timely about the water-release	Lack of timely notification	2	Re
They plan no matter what the farmers' needs are.   Lack of attention to farmers' issues   2     Experts do not have time to address the problems of farmers and are constantly expanding the network.   Failure to address the farmers' issues   2     Failure to hold participatory meetings with farmers to explain their own actions.   3   2     Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards agricultural water.   Lack of responsibility among farmers   3     Government has not implemented any plans to increase farmers' accountability.   Lack of information about dam priorities   2     Information on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.   Lack of information about water users' cooperative.   2   2     Information about water records is not available tarmers.   Lack of information about water users' cooperative.   2   2     Information about water records is not available tarmers.   Lack of information about water users' cooperative.   2   2     Water resources cannot be managed only by the advert weers' cooperative to the land.   Lack of orsulting at setting contracts   3   4     Farmers are not involved in decision-making.   Lack of consulting at setting contracts   3   3     Water users' cooperative has a formal role.   ineffectiveness of water users' cooperative Lack of consulting at setting contracts   3   4 <	time.			spc
Experts do not have time to address the problems of farmers and are constantly expanding the network. Failure to hold participatory meetings with farmers to explain their own actions2222Farmers and on to feel any responsibility towards agricultural water.Failure to explain their own actions33Government has not implemented any plans to increase farmers accountability.Lack of responsibility among farmers to be accountable22They did not talk with farmers about the water allocation priorities.Lack of information about dam priorities32Information on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.Lack of information about water users' cooperative22Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4ImageWater resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4ImageThe number of water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' cooperative6Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.33Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of ad mproject.Lack of role participation in project implementation Lack of consulting at setting contracts3Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution4<	They plan no matter what the farmers' needs are.	Lack of attention to farmers' needs	2	isuc
Tailure to hold participationEnd the totoxic3Failure to hold participatory meetings with farmers to explain their own actions.Failure to explain their own actions3Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards agricultural water.Lack of responsibility among farmers3Government has not implemented any plans to increase farmers' accountability.Lack of encouragement of farmers to be accountable2They did not talk with farmers about the water allocation priorities.Lack of information about dam priorities3Information on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.Lack of information about water users' cooperative.2Information about water records is not available to farmers.Lack of information about water records farmers.6Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of partnerships with the farmers cooperative10The number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Lack of consulting at setting contracts cooperative3Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Lack of consulting at setting contracts cooperative7Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Lack of consulting at setting contracts dialogues.7Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts diadogues.12Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of consulting at setting contracts ack of consultation about water Lack of consultati	Experts do not have time to address the problems of	Failure to address the farmers' issues	2	vei
Farmers to note to note to note participatory meetings with farmers to explain their own actions333333Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards agricultural water.Lack of responsibility among farmers3333Government has not implemented any plans to increase farmers' accountability.Lack of responsibility among farmers to be accountable32They did not talk with farmers about the water allocation priorities.Lack of information about dam priorities.337Information on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.Lack of information about contracts22Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.Lack of information about water users'22Information about water records is not available to farmers.Lack of information about water records64Water resources cannot be managed only by the latic to the land.Lack of partnerships with the farmers10Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative lack of consulting at setting contracts34Farmers are reluctant to participate in water management.Lack of consulting at setting contracts34Farmers will not be considered in water distribution.Lack of people participation in project implementation of dam project.14Farmers have not been involved in the implementationLack of farmers participation in water4The projecFarmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water is consulta	Failure to hold participatory meetings with formers to	Failure to explain their own actions	3	less
AppendiceAct of any responsibility towards agricultural water.Lack of responsibility among farmers3Act of responsibility among farmers3Government has not implemented any plans to increase farmers' accountability.Lack of encouragement of farmers to be accountable2Divide accountable2They did not talk with farmers about the water allocation priorities.Lack of information about dam priorities33Information on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.Lack of information about contracts22Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.Lack of information about water users' cooperative22Information about water records is not available to government.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4Certification cooperative2The number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land. Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6Water users' cooperative has a formal role.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative56Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of consulting at setting contracts ack of consulting at setting contracts37Farmers will not be considered in water distribution.Lack of consultation about water uack of consultation about water4Farmers will not be considered in water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in mater4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack o	explain their own actions	Failure to explain their own actions	3	
agricultural water.Composition of the second se	Farmers do not feel any responsibility towards	Lack of responsibility among farmers	3	A
Government has not implemented any plans to increase farmers' accountability.Lack of encouragement of farmers to be accountable22Government has not implemented any plans to increase farmers' accountability.Lack of encouragement of farmers to be accountable33They did not talk with farmers about the water allocation priorities.Lack of information about dam priorities33Information on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.Lack of information about contracts22Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.Lack of information about water users' cooperative22Information about water records is not available to farmers.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4Water resources cannot be managed only by the relative to the land. Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Lack of consulting at setting contracts dialogues.1010Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Participate in water management.22Participation in project implementation Lack of consultation about water tack of people participation in project implementation Lack of farmers participation in water distribution2Participation in water tack of farmers participation in water distribution2Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution22Farmers have	agricultural water.			cco
Government has not implemented any plans to increase farmers' accountability.Lack of encouragement of farmers to be accountable2DiffThey did not talk with farmers about the water allocation priorities.Lack of information about dam priorities33Information on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.Lack of information about contracts22Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.Lack of information about water users' cooperative22Information about water recordsis not available to farmers.Lack of information about water records6Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4Indequate number of water users' cooperativeThe number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land. Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6Water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' cooperative3Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of consulting at setting contracts cooperative3Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of consulting about water project.4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water tack of consultation about water project.4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water tack of consultation about water tack of consultation ab	-			unt
farmers' accountability.accountableImage: Countable of the second	Government has not implemented any plans to increase	Lack of encouragement of farmers to be	2	abi
They did not talk with farmers about the water allocation priorities.Lack of information about dam3Information on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.Lack of information about contracts2Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.Lack of information about water users' cooperative2Information about water records is not available to farmers.Lack of information about water users' cooperative2Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4Water users' cooperative is very low relative to the land. Farmers have not been consulted at setting contractsInadequate number of water users' cooperative6Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts of dam project.Intellementation project.Inack of consultation about water tack of consultation about water cooperative7Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water tack of consultation about water4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water tack of farmers participation in water7Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution5	farmers' accountability.	accountable		lity
priorities.prioritiesInformation on how contracts are made is not availableLack of information about contracts2Information about water users'2Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.Lack of information about water users'22Information about water records is not available to farmers.Lack of information about water records6Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land.Inadequate number of water users'6The number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land.Inadequate number of water users'6Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Lack of partnerships with the farmers10Water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users'5Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of poople participation of the management.7Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of pcople participation in project implementation.Lack of consultation about water 4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water 4Lack of consultation about water 4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water 4Lack of consultation about water 4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water 5Lack of consultation about water 4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack	They did not talk with farmers about the water allocation	Lack of information about dam	3	
Information on how contracts are made is not available for farmers.Lack of information about contracts2The performation about water users' cooperative2Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.Lack of information about water users' cooperative2Lack of information about water users' cooperative2Information about water records is not available to farmers.Lack of information about water records6Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4Image: Cooperative cooperativeThe number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land. Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6Water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' cooperative6Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues. Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project. Farmers have not been involved in the implementation Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of prome participation in project 4 implementation Lack of consultation about water 4 distribution.The consultation in water 4 distributionFarmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water 4 distribution5	priorities.	priorities		
for farmers.International control in the index is not a value of the international control in the index is not a value of the index is not available to farmers.Lack of information about water users' cooperative2Information about water records is not available to farmers.Lack of information about water records6Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water users' cooperative4The number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Lack of partnerships with the farmers10Water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' scooperative3Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of voluntary participation of the people7Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of partners participation in project implementation4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water3Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water4	Information on how contracts are made is not available	Lack of information about contracts	2	Н
Farmers are not informed about the activities of the water users' cooperative.Lack of information about water users' cooperative2Perform Lack of information about water users' cooperative2Information about water records is not available to farmers.Lack of information about water records6Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4Perform Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4The number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land. Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative Lack of partnerships with the farmers6Water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' cooperative5Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of voluntary participation of the people7Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of people participation in project and consultation about water4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water adstribution4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water adstribution4	for farmers.			ran
The number of water users' cooperative.Lack of information about water recordsLack of information in project informationLack of information information informationLack of information information informationLack of information informationLack of information informationLack of information informationLack of information informa	Farmers are not informed about the activities of the	Lack of information about water users'	2	spa
Information about value cooperativeLack of information about water recordsGInformation about water records is not available to farmers.Lack of information about water records6Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4Indequate sources1The number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land. Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative Lack of partnerships with the farmers6Water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' cooperative Lack of consulting at setting contracts dialogues.7Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of people participation of the people7Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of people participation in project umplementation4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water distribution4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water distribution4	water users' cooperative	cooperative	2	ren
Information about water records is not available to farmers.Lack of information about water records0Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4Image: Comparison of water users' cooperative4The number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land. Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6Water users' cooperative has a formal role.Inaffectiveness of water users' cooperative5Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues. Farmers are reluctant to participate in water management.Lack of people participation of the people7Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project. Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water Lack of farmers participation in water Lack of farmers participation in water tistibutionThe comparison of water users' to people7Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water tistibution4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution4	Information about water records is not available to	Leak of information about water records	6	сy
Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4Image: Comparison of the comparitiveThe number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Lack of partnerships with the farmers10Water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' cooperative5Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of consulting at setting contracts people3Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of people participation in project 4 implementation7Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water 4 distribution4	farmers	Lack of information about water records	0	
Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4Image Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4The number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land. Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6 cooperativeWater users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' cooperative5 cooperativeFarmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of consulting at setting contracts people3Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of people participation in project implementation7Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution4	la mers.			
Water resources cannot be managed only by the government.Lack of legitimacy in the administration of water4Image of governmentThe number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land. Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6Water users' cooperative has a formal role.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6Water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' cooperative5Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of consulting at setting contracts people3Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of people participation in project implementation Lack of consultation about water distribution.4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution5				Le
government.of waterImage of water<	Water resources cannot be managed only by the	Lack of legitimacy in the administration	Δ	giti
CThe number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land. Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6Water users' cooperative has a formal role.Lack of partnerships with the farmers10Water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' cooperative5Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of consulting at setting contracts people3Farmers are reluctant to participate in water management.Lack of voluntary participation of the people7Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of consultation about water distribution.4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution5Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution5	government.	of water	-	ma
The number of water users' cooperatives is very low relative to the land.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative6Farmers are not involved in decision-making.Lack of partnerships with the farmers10Water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' cooperative5Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Inadequate number of water users' cooperative5Farmers are reluctant to participate in water management.Lack of voluntary participation of the people7Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of consultation about water distribution.4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution5				cy
relative to the land.cooperativeFarmers are not involved in decision-making.Lack of partnerships with the farmers10Water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' 5 cooperative5Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of consulting at setting contracts3Farmers are reluctant to participate in water management.Lack of voluntary participation of the people7PriceFarmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of consultation about water distribution.410Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution5	The number of water users' cooperatives is very low	Inadequate number of water users'	6	
Partners are not involved in decision-making.Lack of partnerships with the farmers10Water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' 5 cooperative5Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of consulting at setting contracts3Farmers are reluctant to participate in water management.Lack of voluntary participation of the people7Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of consultation about water distribution.4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water distribution4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution5	relative to the land.	cooperative	10	
Water users' cooperative has a formal role.ineffectiveness of water users' 5 cooperative5Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of consulting at setting contracts3Farmers are reluctant to participate in water management.Lack of voluntary participation of the people7Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of people participation in project implementation4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water distribution4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution5	Farmers are not involved in decision-making.	Lack of partnerships with the farmers	10	
Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts dialogues.Lack of consulting at setting contracts3Farmers are reluctant to participate in water management.Lack of voluntary participation of the people7Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of people participation in project implementation4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water distribution4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of consultation about water distribution4	Water users' cooperative has a formal role.	ineffectiveness of water users'	5	
Farmers have not been consulted at setting contractsLack of consulting at setting contracts3dialogues.Farmers are reluctant to participate in water management.Lack of voluntary participation of the people7Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of people participation in project implementation4Farmers will not be considered in water distribution.Lack of consultation about water distribution4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution5		cooperative	2	
dialogues.Lack of voluntary participation of the people7Farmers are reluctant to participate in water management.Lack of voluntary participation of the people7Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of people participation in project implementation4Farmers will not be considered in water distribution.Lack of consultation about water distribution4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water distribution5	Farmers have not been consulted at setting contracts	Lack of consulting at setting contracts	3	Р
ranners are relactant to participate in waterLack of voluntary participation of the7management.peopleFarmers have not been involved in the implementationLack of people participation in project4of dam project.implementationFarmers will not be considered in water distribution.Lack of consultation about water4GenerationLack of consultation about water4GenerationLack of consultation about water4GenerationLack of farmers participation in water5GenerationLack of farmers participation in water5GenerationLack of farmers participation in water5	Galogues. Farmers are reluctant to participate in water	Lack of voluntary participation of the	7	arti
Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.Lack of people participation in project implementation4Farmers will not be considered in water distribution.Lack of consultation about water distribution4Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.Lack of farmers participation in water 	management.	people	,	cip
Farmers have not been involved in the implementation of dam project.   Lack of people participation in project   4   5     Farmers will not be considered in water distribution.   Lack of consultation about water   4   6     Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.   Lack of consultation about water   4   6     Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.   Lack of farmers participation in water   5     Gistribution   Lack of farmers participation in water   5			4	atic
Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution. Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.	ranners nave not been involved in the implementation	Lack of people participation in project	4	л
Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution. Lack of farmers participation in water 5 distribution	Farmers will not be considered in water distribution	Lack of consultation about water	4	
Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution. Lack of farmers participation in water 5 distribution	- anness with not be considered in water distribution.	distribution	т	
distribution	Farmers have not been involved in the water distribution.	Lack of farmers participation in water	5	
		distribution		

challenge in relation to the current state of water governance in Gawshan Dam Basin. Summarizing the concepts of this class shows that the governance of the Gawshan Dam Basin is more top-down in structure, with no role for the farmers. The only concern was the supply of water through the construction of the dam. One participant said:

"Farmers have not been taken into account in any endeavor, from dam construction to exploitation. No one looked at us, no one asked us how we distributed or divided the water".

In this regard, participants also pointed to the inadequate number of water users' cooperatives, the ineffectiveness of water users' cooperatives, the lack of partnerships with the farmers, the lack of voluntary participation of the people, and so on. Participation has therefore become a key challenge of water governance in the region. Mirzaei et al. (2017) also indicated that farmers' involvement had been a major challenge to the local governance system in their research area. Local involvement in fact can spell the difference between the success and failure of governance efforts (Rola et al., 2015). In fact, this problem is not limited to the area. Since the time of land reforms in the country, water has become a public rather than a private good, and all water related decisions are planned and implemented at the government scale (Yazdanpanah et al., 2013b). The most striking problem in this governance is the full control of the state and the abandonment of other stakeholders who can help improve the governance alongside the state government system.

### Fairness and Consensus Oriented Culture

Analysis of the interviews indicated that another challenge facing the decision-making system of agricultural water in the region is the inequality in water distribution and allocation. Participants believe that water distribution in the region is not fair, especially between upstream and downstream villages.

"Water distribution and allocation is not fair. Water is first shifted into upstream area, where the villages have plenty of water and villagers control discharge of water into downstream, when it is downstream turn"

In this regard, farmers also refer to the issue of water allocation for drinking use in Kermanshah City. They believe that the state allocates water to urban domestic consumption, while farmers in the region face water scarcity. The issue of inequality in the distribution and allocation of water, along with other factors such as lack of consensus among farmers in the timely payment of water right, the proposed cropping pattern, and the lack of consensus in the causes of the water crisis have led to conflicts and tensions in the region. For example, regarding the causes of water crisis, which is the source of conflict in the region, a group of upstream farmers perceived water crisis as a climatic phenomenon caused by low precipitation and drought. While their downstream rival counterparts perceived water crisis as a managerial phenomenon caused by mismanagement and poor governance. Disagreements over conflict management were the other concept that was frequently cited. One participant said:

"There is no mechanism for resolving local conflicts, while problems at the local level are more easily resolved than legal and judicial procedures that are time consuming and costly."

In fact, this problem is not limited to the research area but, in general, the government has reduced its control over water resources, while there is no legal and predictive mechanism for controlling water conflicts (Bijani and Hayati, 2011, 2015). This problem has also provided the ground for intensifying the agricultural water conflict in Iran.

### Accountability

Analysis of the findings showed that nonaccountability is one of the other weaknesses of the existing governance system. Participants pointed to the lack of responsibility among farmers in water governance and the lack of encouragement of the farmers by the government.

"Farmers don't consider themselves responsible for agricultural water instead, responsibility is typically assigned to someone else".

It is despite the findings of Menatizadeh *et al.* (2015) who showed that with increasing water stress, farmers are more responsible for

agricultural water resources. However, the lack of accountability leads to perceptions of corruption and injustice (Hadi, 2005).

### Responsiveness

Impossibility of direct access to regional water experts, failure to inform timely about the water release time, failure to hold participatory meetings with farmers to explain their own actions, failure to address the farmers' issues, and ignoring the needs of famers in water planning were among the concepts extracted from the respondents' statements. These concepts were classified as accountability. Accordingly, the system of governance in the present situation suffers from lack of accountability. In addition, what is argued from these statements is that much of the lack of accountability, especially among the experts, is caused by their lack of familiarity with the principles, tools and spirit of participation. However, in the good governance, all institutions and processes must work to serve stakeholders within a reasonable timeframe (UNDP, 2000).

#### Transparency

Another weakness of governance that has caused conflicts and tensions in the region is the issue of lack of transparency in water governance. Participants believe that the current governance is not sufficiently transparent, which has led to aggravated conflicts in the region. For example, one of the respondents referred to the lack of information and knowledge of rural communities' regarding dam priorities.

"They should first talk to us, about how the water was allocated. We would never sell our land for dam construction if we knew their priority is urban domestic water"

# Regarding the water conflict records, another participant stated:

"The exact number of conflicts and the process of handling them are not published. This will clear the problem. All cases must be presented in a transparent way so that practical decisions can be made'

In fact, the lack of transparency regarding water conflicts in cases where water resource management is governmental is common, which leads to an increase in the number of hidden conflicts in the region (Barli *et al.*, 2006). If these conflicts are not promptly addressed, they will become open conflicts and violence (Blackman, 2003).

### Legitimacy

Legitimacy is another principle extracted from participants' statements. At present, legitimate administration of affairs is one of the fundamental challenges in villages (Hesam *et al.*, 2014). Administration of water affairs is also not an exception. Legitimacy means that the state supports the majority of users according to the rules and regulations (Barker, 2000). This legitimacy will be realized when participants believe that their interests, concerns, views and perspectives were included and given appropriate weight and consideration (Bundschuh, 2008). If good governance can be achieved, one can hope to protect the stakeholders' rights.

### **Current Water Governance**

As noted, the current water governance has weaknesses that have led to conflicts and tensions in the region. Among the extracted factors, ignoring the principle of participation and then consensus are more important than any other factor. Therefore, current governance (Figure 1) should focus on strengthening the weaknesses and filling the gap between the current situation and the desired one.

### Local Solution for Reinforcing Water Governance

After discussing the current state of water governance in the region, participants were asked to show us what they would like the situation to be. Therefore, they discussed their



Figure1. Current governance compared to good governance

solutions for improving and reinforcing water governance in the region. Then, the output of this phase was formulated into solutions for the water governance challenge (Table 3).

As mentioned, the main challenge facing water governance in the region is the lack of farmers' involvement in water administration. The suggested solution in this field is to involve farmers in water management decisions. Local participation in the water administration has already been proposed to strengthen the governance (Hadi, 2005; Rola *et al.*, 2015; Ghaemi *et al.*, 2017). Such a solution, if properly implemented, will be the cornerstone of good water governance in the region (UNDP, 2000). Good governance determines whom they involve in the process and how they render account (Graham *et al.*, and the solution of the soluti

2003). The principle of participation in the Iranian water governance has a long history and, in terms of the structure and mechanism of participation, research has confirmed the existence of traditional cooperatives in the collective activities of the villages in ancient Iran (Balali et al., 2011). Therefore, participation in its true meaning in the Iranians water governance is not a new concept and has a long history. However, with the land reform program, the increase in the number of owners due to inheritance rules, and collapse of some Qanats, this participatory system has also ceased (Balali et al., 2011). After the land reform, attempts made to develop rural institutions, such as water users' cooperative, to create a platform for the stakeholder's participation in managing water which, of

Table 3. Local sol	utions suggested	l by participants
--------------------	------------------	-------------------

Ν	Solutions	Frequency
1	Farmers' contribution to agricultural water decision- making processes	8
2	Empowering the local farmers/people to negotiate and manage conflicts	
3	Establishing a participatory mechanism to manage water conflict	
4	Holding training courses and workshops for staff to get acquainted with	
	the principles of good governance	
5	Creating transparent and accessible information system by agricultural	4
	water section	
6	Holding education-extension courses to increase the stakeholders'	4
	knowledge and awareness in line with responsibility	

course, never achieved the success of the cooperatives) Boneh (traditional in the collective organization of activities (Yazdanpanah et al., 2013b). Therefore, with these considerations, the mechanisms of the water users' cooperatives should be such that to facilitate the process of stakeholder participation in water decision making. Facilitating the participation and strengthening of these institutions is, on the one hand, a function of the institutionalization of voluntary stakeholder participation and, on the other hand, is dependent on legal frameworks that provide the ground for stakeholders' participation (Balali et al., 2011). Creating grounds for participation or capacity building for participation is often linked to the empowerment of local communities (Peeters and Ateljevic, 2009). Therefore, in order to realize participation in the water governance, special attention should be given to the empowerment of local communities, especially the farmers, so that farmers can make practical decisions about water and how to govern it. As discussed in the current situation, the main challenge in farmers' involvement and in general, water governance, is the issue of conflict and its management. Hence, a major part of this empowerment is to increase farmers' ability to negotiate and conflict management. Such solution will also promote governance legitimacy, which allows users to be satisfied that their interests have been taken into account and that the process has been a fair one (Bundschuh, 2008). In this way, government as the owner and manager of the water will be able to restore its legitimacy in the field of water. Therefore, people will accept the authority of those in power and the existence of a sanctioned set of rules, processes and procedures (Bundschuh, 2008).

# Establishing a participatory mechanism to manage water conflict

Injustice in water governance and lack of consensus among key stakeholders have caused water related conflict at local level. In this regard, participants proposed a local mechanism for conflict management in the region. Such mechanisms can mediate competing claims for water access. Previous research also has introduced such mechanisms. including building institutional capacity. through signing agreements (treaties) and creating water users' organizations, as a successful strategy in resolving and preventing water conflicts (Perlman et al., 2017; Silima, 2016; Lienert et al., 2013; Hadi, 2005). In terms of structure in previous research, this task has often been undertaken by water users' cooperative (for example Perlman et al., 2017) but since the experiences of the water users' been disappointing cooperative has in negotiating and managing the conflict, it is suggested that this task be taken up by agricultural extension. This institution should have transparency in decision making as the basis of conflict management that may allow equitable distribution of benefits by having the precise mechanisms to manage conflicts in the region. In this case, not only will the conflicts be addressed in the form of a conceptual framework (Perlman et al., 2017) but also the likelihood of capacity building appears to be very high for establishing fairness and consensus which are the pivotal principles of good governance.

According to interviews with participants, lack of accountability among farmers was one of the challenges confronting water governance and water service delivery in the region. The proposed solution was holding education-extension courses to increase knowledge and awareness of the beneficiaries in line with responsibility. Water crisis in the region, its causes and effects, and water conservation behaviors should be addressed in these courses, which are held by agricultural extension. Probably, more knowledge of water crisis among beneficiaries will increase their accountability and get them ready for participation (Sundbland et al., 2009).

Water governance at local level is confronted with little responsiveness. Thus, holding training courses for the experts will familiarize the staff with the principles of good governance that is essential to improve responsiveness and strengthening good governance. Ghaemi *et al.* (2017) also have emphasized the role of education and capacity building in governance. For this purpose, agricultural extension could act as a broker and coordinator of the training courses. Moreover, to enhance the staff participation and promote their responsiveness, it is vital for them to become trained in and familiar with the participatory techniques.

Lack of information for all beneficiaries would make poor governance. The proposed solution for this problem is creating transparent and accessible information system for all beneficiaries to enable them to make informed decisions about agricultural water in the region. Such a mechanism has also been considered in previous research (for example, Sternlieb and Laituri, 2015). This structure should include detailed information about progress of the water networks, the number of submitted complaints and complaint handling processes, the number of water users' cooperatives and their activity reports, and the results of reports on the assessment of social, economic, and environmental impacts. Beneficiaries have the right of free access to these data. As people tend to put more trust in the views of the information sources, the suggested alternative is to delegate this task to agriculture extension agents.

### New Water Governance Framework at Local Level

Considering the aforementioned principles,

the framework for good water governance in the Gawshan Basin can be established. This framework is a conceptual structure to set the principles of good governance, and the arrangements for implementing these principles and key actors at local level. This framework (Figure 2) is developed with the participation of the main actors and based on the existing context (based on the conflict resolution).

### CONCLUSIONS

In recent years, many efforts have been taken to define the principle and dimensions of good water governance. Many authors have discussed national or international water governance but there is a lack of study on the local scale. It seems that, it's not possible to prescribe a general good governance framework for every region. It should be done in the context of the region and its challenges. This study was conducted in a conflict-affected rural area of Kermanshah Province in western Iran. The site has been blamed for poor governance challenges. Reviewing the structure of water governance in the region highlights the fact that the issue of participation is the main challenge in the current situation - along with factors such as fairness, consensus, responsiveness.



Figure 2. Good water governance framework at the local scale

accountability, transparency, and legitimacy. Obviously, such a governance structure is unable to resolve the conflicts in the region and contributes to maintaining them unchanged. Therefore, with the premise that the "one-size-fits-all" models of governance do not work (OECD, 2015), a good water governance framework was developed in line with its related principles. This framework is based on the regional context and includes seven fundamental principles consisting of participation, consensus building, fairness, legitimacy, responsiveness, transparency, and accountability. According to the proposed framework, there will be various actors and stakeholders in local water governance whose diverse and, sometimes, conflicting interests are rooted in water governance in the region. These conflicts must be considered from the outset in explaining the governance structure. In the meantime, the agricultural extension is of particular importance due to its well-known ability and crucial role in conflict management context. Among all the factors contributing to building good governance, reforming the water delivery mechanism to farmers will be the key to the success of the water governance, as perceived by the community members.

### REFERENCES

- Andreas, M. R. 2003.Validity and Reliability Tests in Case study Research: A Literature Review with Hands-on Applications for Each Research Phase. *Qualit. Market Res: An Int. J.*, 6(2): 75-86.
- Balali, M. R., Keulartz, F. W. J. and Korthals, M. 2011. Reflexive Land and Water Management in Iran: Linking Technology, Governance and Culture, Part 2: Stakeholders' Attitudes and the Key Elements of Reflexive Framework. J. Water Res. Agr., 24(2): 73-97.
- 3. Barker, D. 2000. Local Governance: A Model of Sustainable Rural Resource Management for the Third World. *Agr. Resour. Govern. Ecol.*, **1**(1): 43- 55.
- Barli, O., Baskent, E., Turker, M. and Gedik, T. 2006. Analytical Approach for Analyzing and Providing Solutions for the Conflicts among Forest Stakeholders across Turkey. *For. Policy Econ.*, 9(3): 219-236.

- Bijani, M. and Hayati, D. 2011. Water Conflict in Agricultural System in IRAN: A Human Eecological Analysis. *J. Ecol. Environ. Sci.*, 2: 27-40.
- Bijani, M. and Hayati, D. 2015. Farmers' Perceptions toward Agricultural Water Conflict: The Case of Doroodzan Dam Irrigation Network, Iran. J. Agr. Sci. Tech., 17(3): 561-575.
- Blackman, R. 2003. Peace-building Within Our Communities. Root Series (Root 4), Tearfund Publishing, UK.
- 8. Bryman, A. 2001. *Social Research Method*. Oxford University Press, London.
- Bundschuh, F. F. 2008. Good Governance: Characteristics, Methods and the Austrian Examples. *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences*, 4(24): 26-52.
- Cernea, M. M. 1993. Culture and Organization: The Social Sustainability of Induced Development. *Sustain. Dev.*, 1(2): 18-29.
- 11. Dekker, K. and van Kempen, R. 2004. Urban Governance within the Big Cities Policy: Ideals and Practice in Den Haag, the Netherlands. *Cities*, **21(2)**: 109-117.
- Ghaemi, A., Larijani, M., Shobeiri, M. and Sarmadi, M. 2017. A Conceptual Model for the Sustainable Governance of Integrated Management of National Water Resources with a Focus on Training and Capacity Building. J. Water Wastewater, 28(4): 112-117.
- Gleick, P. H. and Heberger, M. 2012. Water and Conflict. Events, Trends, and Analysis. *World Water.*, 8: 159-171.
- Gleik P. H. 2014. Water, Drought, Climate Change, and Conflict in Syria. *Am. Metric. Soc. J.*, 6:331-340.
- 15. Golafshani, N. 2003. Understanding Reliability and Validity in Qualitative Research. *Qualit. Rep.*, **8**(4): 597-607.
- Graham, J., Amos, B. and Plumptre, T. 2003. Governance Principles for Protected Areas in the 21st Century. Institute on Governance, Ottawa, Canada.
- Green, B. E. 2002. Sharing Water: A Human Ecological Analysis of the Causes of Conflict and Cooperation between Nations over Freshwater Resources. Ph. D. Dissertation, The Ohio State University.
- Guba, E. and Lincoln, Y. 1985. *Naturalistic Inquiry*. Beverly Hills, Sage, CA.
- 19. Hadi, S. 2005. Enhancing Local Governance through Decentralization Policy in Managing

Conflict-Affected Regions in Indonesia. *Paper* to *Be Presented at the International Conference on Engaging Communities; UNDESA/UNCRD Workshop on Decentralization: Poverty Reduction, Empowerment and Participation,* 14-17 August, 2005, Brisbane, Australia.

- 20. Hamedinger, A. 2004. The Changing Organization of Spatial Planning in Vienna: Learning Lessons from the Organization of Planning in the UK in the Context of the Shift from Government to Governance? Paper Presented at the EURA/UUA Conference 'City Futures', 8-10 July 2004, Chicago.
- Heidelberg Institute for International Conflict Research (Department of Political Science, University of Heidelberg). 2007. Conflict Barometer 2007: Crises–Wars–Coups d'Etat – Nagotiations–Mediations–Peace Settlements.
- 22. Hesam, M., Rezvani, M. and Ashur, H. 2014. Satisfaction of Villagers with Villagers Performance with Good Rural Validity Approach, Case Study: Southern Rural Villages - Gorgan County. *Geogr. Spatial. Plann.*, **4**(14): 99-128.
- 23. Hornby, A. S. 2005. Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary of Current English. Oxford University Press, New York.
- 24. Kooiman, J., Bavinck, M., Jentoft, S. and Pullin, R. 2005. Fish for Life: Interactive Governance for Fisheries. Amsterdam University Press, Amsterdam.
- 25. Kramer, A. 2004. *Water and Conflict. (Policy Briefing for USAID).* Adelphi Research, Center for International Forestry Research, Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, Berlin, Bogor, Washington, DC.
- Lienert, J., Schnetzer, F. and Ingold, K. 2013. Stakeholder Analysis Combined with Social Network Analysis Provides Fine-grained Insights into Water Infrastructure Planning Processes. J. Environ. Manage., 125: 134-148.
- Mahab Consulting Engineers Company. 2000. National Plan of Gavshan. Review Report the Temporary Diversion Systems and Flood Discharge of Gavshan Dam, PP. 1-39
- Mahon, R., Fanning, L. and McConney, P. 2009. A Governance Perspective on the Large Marine Ecosystem Approach. *Mar. Policy*, 33: 317–321,
- 29. Meissner, R. 2016. Paradigms and Theories in Water Governance: The Case of South Africa's National Water Resource Strategy. *Water SA*, **42(1)**: 1-10.

- Menatizadeh, M., Zamani, G. H. and Gholamrezae, S. 2015. The Analysis of Farmers' Environmental Moral Norms: The Case of Shiraz County Farmers. *Iranian Agr. Ext. Educ.*, J., **11(2):** 49-65.
- Mirzaei, A., Knierima, A., Fealy Nahavand, S. and Mahmoudi, H. 2017. Gap Analysis of Water Governance in Northern Iran: A Closer Look into the Water Reservoirs. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, **77**: 98-106.
- 32. OECD. 2015. *OECD Principles on Water Governance*. Welcomed by Ministers at the OECD Ministerial Council Meeting on 4 June 2015.
- Pandy. P. 2018. Making Innovations Work: Local Government–NGO Partnership and Collaborative Governance in Rural Bangladesh. *Dev. Practice*, 28 (1): 125-137.
- 34. Peeters, L. W. and Ateljevic, I. 2009. Women Empowerment Entrepreneurship Nexus in Tourism: Processes of Social. In: *"Tourism and Entrepreneurship: International Perspective"*, (Eds.): Ateljevic, J. and S. J. Page, S. J. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- 35. Perlman, J. D., Veilleux, J. C. and Wolf, A. T. 2017. International Water Conflict and Cooperation: Challenges and Opportunities. *Water Int.*, **42(2):** 105-120.
- Rola, A. C., Pulhin, J. M., Tabios, G. Q., Lizada, J. C. and Dayo, M. H. 2015. Challenges of Water Governance in the Philippines. *Philippine J. Sci.*, 144(2): 197-208.
- Shah, A., and Shah, F. 2007. Citizen-centered Local Governance: Strategies to Combat Democratic Deficits. *Dev.*, 50(1): 79.
- Silima, T. 2016. Good Governance and Conflict Resolution in Africa. J. of Public Admin. Dev. Alternative, 1(1): 1-14.
- Sithirith, M. 2017. Water Governance in Cambodia: From Centralized Water Governance to Farmer Water User Community. *Resour.*, 6(44):1-20.
- 40. Sternlieb, F. and Laituri, M. 2015. Specializing Agricultural Water Governance Data in Polycentric Regimes. *Water Alternative*, **8**(2): 36-56.
- Sundbland, E. L., Biel, A. and Gärling, T. 2009. Knowledge and Confidence in Knowledge about Climate Change Among Experts, Journalists, Politicians, and Laypersons. *Environ. Behav.*, 41(2): 281-302.
- 42. Turner, R. A., Fitzsimmons, C., Forster, J., Mahon, R., Peterson, A. and Stead, M. S. 2014. Measuring Good Governance for

Complex Ecosystems: Perceptions of Coral Reef-Dependent Communities in the Caribbean. *Glob. Environ. Change*, **29**: 105-117.

- UNDP. 2003. The Urban Governance Initiative (UNDP–TUGI). *Environ. Urban.*, 15(1): 159-170.
- UNDP. 2000. Characteristics of Good Governance the Urban Governance Initiative. UNDP Governance Policy Paper, No. 97. UNDP Press.
- 45. UNESCO. 2011. Principles of Good Governance at Different Water Governance Levels. *Papers Presented at a Workshop Held* on 22 March 2011 in Delft, The Netherlands.
- UN-HABITAT. 2002. The Global Campaign on Urban Governance: Concept Paper. 2nd Edition, Nairobi, PP. 3-18.
- 47. Virtudes, A. 2016. Goode Governance Principles in Spatial Planning at Local Scale. *J. Procedia Eng.*, **161:** 1710-1714.

- 48. World Bank. 1996. The World Bank Participation Sourcebook for Reconstruction and Development. Washington, DC 20433, USA.
- 49. Yazdanpanah, M., Thompson, M., Hayati, D. and Zamani, G. H. 2013a. A New Enemy at the Gate: Tackling Iran's Water Super-Crisis by Way of a Transition from Government to Governance. *Prog. Dev. Stud.*, **13**: 177–194.
- Yazdanpanah, M., Hayati, D., Zamani, G. H. and Karbalaee, F. 2013b. Water Management from Tradition to Second Modernity: An Analysis of the Water Crisis in Iran. *Environ. Dev. Sustain.*, **15** (6): 1605-1621.
- Yazdanpanah M., Hayati, D., Thompson M., Zamani G. H. and Monfared, N. 2014. Policy and Plural Responsiveness: Taking Constructive Account of the Ways in Which Iranian Farmers Think about and Behave in Relation to Water. J. Hydrol., 514(6): 347-357.

## تبیین حکمرانی خوب منابع آب سطحی کشاورزی در حوضه آبخیز گاوشان، استان کرمانشاد، ایران

م. تاتار، ع. پاپزن، و م. احمدوند

### چکیدہ

طی دهه ی گذشته، حکمرانی آب در ایران تضاد فزاینده آب کشاورزی را بهویژه در سطح محلی تجربه نموده است. به منظور مواجهه با چنین چالشی بازنگری و تقویت حکمرانی آب موجود و تبیین حکمرانی خوب ضروری به نظر می رسد. از این رو، این تحقیق به منظور درک نظام حکمرانی آب در حوضه آبخیز گاوشان در استان کرمانشاه و ارائه ی یک چارچوب مفهومی بدیل به منظور حکمرانی خوب آب در سطح محلی انجام شده است. مشارکت کنندگان در تحقیق به صورت هدفمند و از بین نمایندگان گروه های رقیب انتخاب شدند. به منظور جمع آوری داده ها از روش کارگاه مشارکتی همراه با بحث گروهی متمرکز و مصاحبه استفاده شده است. تجزیه و تحلیل داده ها منجربه استخراج چالش-با بحث گروه منظور یو مصاحبه استفاده شده است. تجزیه و تحلیل داده ها منجربه استخراج چالش-با یوبی آب در چند طبقه شد که عبارتند از: کمبود مشارکت، عدالت، پاسخگویی، مسؤلیت-پذیری، مشروعیت، شفافیت و اجماع محوری. در نهایت بر اساس اجماع شرکت کنندگان تعدادی راه چل در جهت دستیابی به حکمرانی خوب آب استخراج شد که عبارتند از: مشارکت کنندگان در و موارک در از در از فرایند تصمیم گیری در مورد آب کشاورزی، توانمند سازی مردم محلی در جهت مذاکره و مدیریت تضاد، ایجاد سازوکار مشارکتی به منظور مدیریت تضاد آب کشاورزی، برگزاری دوره ها و کارگاه های آموزشی بمنظور آشنایی کارمندان آب منطقه ای با اصول حکمرانی خوب، ایجاد نظام اطلاعاتی شفاف و در دسترس، برگزاری دوره های آموزشی، ترویجی به منظور افزایش دانش و آگاهی ذینفعان در راستای افزایش مسؤلیت پذیری. در نهایت پس از ایجاد ارتباط بین مفاهیم استخراج شده چارچوب حکمرانی خوب آب در منطقه طراحی شد.