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ABSTRACT

Discovering the effective factors in agricultural students’ academic success was the aim of this study. The statistical population consisted of all 194 junior agriculture students at the University of Tehran. The study is of a correlation type with the information being gathered through questionnaires as well as through a study of students’ academic files. There was a positive significant correlation observed (P= 0.01) between academic success, on the one hand, and high school grade point average (GPA), study hours, planning for study, motivation, and emotional atmosphere of educational environment, on the other hand. The level of confidence between academic success, on one hand, and the family’s attitude towards studies and years of experience in teaching, on the other, being also significant (p= 0.05). Female students were found to be more successful than males. There was no significant difference observed between the academic success of students in residences and those who lived with their parents. Students with agronomy majors were shown to have a higher academic success as compared to students majoring in other eleven majors within the agricultural faculty. The results of regression analysis also demonstrated that 63.5 percent of the variations in the dependent variable academic success were expressed through high school grade point average (GPA), motivation, method of learning, father’s level of education, and the emotional atmosphere of the educational environment.
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INTRODUCTION

Many studies (e.g. Spatz, 2002; Dickenson and O’Connell, 1990; Dlamini, 1995; Arrington and Cheek, 1995; Noxel and Cheek, 1988; Hedjazi, 2002) have used pre-college performance, social origins, and student characteristics (e.g., high school grades, entrance exams, achievement motivation, amount and quality of study time, family background, student interest in agriculture, social and parental support, gender, age and number of years of agricultural courses) to predict performance in college work, (expressed as, college grade and grade point averages).

UNESCO (1992) has offered a conceptual framework of the relationships and causal effects of various factors on students’ achievement in Mathematics and Languages, and the utilization of research findings for policy formulation, strategies, and programs to improve a student’s achievement. Figure 1 represents the conceptual framework of this study.

Variables related to academic performance can be classified as:

1. School-related variables (time spent studying, sharing of room, time spent in the library, interest in the program being pursued, involvement in student organizational activities, distance of home from campus, number of times a student visits home in a
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month); (2) Home background-related variables (educational level of father, mother family income, access to land by family); and (3) Individual student-related variables (age, work experience, drinking habits, number of years living away from parents). (Dlamini, 1995).

Previous researches (Brozozowski, 1988; Chapman, 1988; Johnson, 1991) have indicated that several student characteristics are associated with achievement in agricultural mechanics. These characteristics included: gender, number of mathematics courses, average grade in agriculture courses, and farmwork experience. Some of these studies indicated that boys scored higher than girls in mathematics, and girls did better in reading comprehension.

Dlamini (1995) revealed that out of the eleven predictor variables from home and school variables only two (students' overall grade and science grade) were significant on agriculture students’ achievement. The rest of the home variables indicated negligible to low
association with performance of secondary school students in agriculture.

Jeynes (2002) has identified the effects of various influences on children’s emotional and educational wellbeing, including divorce and remarriage, single parent families, nontraditional family structures, race, socioeconomic status, and mobility.

Several studies of students have revealed that performance in reading and mathematics is largely influenced by the socio-economic background of their parents, where they live, and whether or not they aspire to go to university. Spatz (2004) examined psychological predictors of academic achievement for students enrolled in self-placed mathematics courses. This study indicated that those students with high levels of achievement motivation, low mathematics anxiety and procrastination scores were most likely to complete the class with a passing grade.

Johnson (1991) indicated that average grade in agriculture courses, years of mathematics completion, and farmwork experience were all positively related to achievement in the agricultural mechanics contest. Randl, Arrington, and Cheek (1993) concluded that, among the variables, student interest in agriculture, grade point average, FFA (Future Farmers of America) involvement, and socioeconomic status, only grade point average was positively related to student achievement in practical skills in agricultural sciences.

Each of these could, in a way, have its effect on a student’s academic success. In the present research, the effects of five main factors have been investigated: (1) Students’ individual characteristics; (2) Students’ family characteristics; (3) Staff members’ characteristics; (4) Nature and content of courses; and (5) Educational environment peculiarities on agriculture student’s academic success.

Theoretical Framework

In Iranian universities, one is confronted with students of different educational and family backgrounds as well as different personal characteristics. As a result, educational factors such as the instructor, as the fundamental basis of university education, educational environment, and curriculum content can have different effects on students. Agriculture students have their required laboratory courses on farms, in greenhouses, on dairy farms, and in villages.

Purpose and Objectives

The principal aim of the research was to study the factors and conditions affecting the academic success of agriculture students at the University of Tehran. The objectives of the study were:

- To determine the individual and family characteristics of students being studied.
- To determine the relationship between a student’s academic success and his or her individual and family characteristics, academic staff profiles, nature and content of courses, and the educational environment’s peculiarities.
- To compare student demographics including gender, academic major, and residence in relation to achievement in their studies.
- To compare successful and unsuccessful students as related to selected independent variables.

METHODOLOGY

The study used a survey design for data collection in the University of Tehran during 2005. This university was deliberately chosen because it was the first university in Iran in which an Agricultural Faculty has been established.

Statistical population

The statistical population included a total of 200 undergraduate junior students. The required information concerning 194 of
them was collected through completion of questionnaires. Incomplete questionnaires were omitted.

**Variables**

Student’s academic success denotes the dependent variable. The average grade point of students involved in the study (15.3) was denoted as an indicator for academic success. Students with an average grade point above 15.3 (out of 20) were taken as successful and those with averages below 15.3 as unsuccessful. The independent variables were:

1. Student individual characteristics, including gender, high school grade point average (GPA), study hours, method of learning, study planning, motivation, and interest.
2. Student family characteristics, namely parents’ educational level, parents’ job, number of family members, family members’ attitude towards study and learning.
3. Staff members’ characteristics, including scientific standing, years of experience in teaching, skill in teaching.
4. Nature and content of courses, including theoretical courses, practical ones, field work, and training.
5. Educational environments’ peculiarities, including emotional atmosphere of the educational environment, educational facilities, welfare provisions, whether resident student or living with the family.

**Measurement**

To collect information, a questionnaire with 71 questions was designed. To investigate some indicators, Ordinal scale and Likert scale data were used, and for studying some other indicators, an interval scale was used. Cronbach’s Alpha for individual characteristics, family characteristics, staff members’ characteristics, course content, and environmental characteristics were measured as 0.84, 78, 0.87, 0.83 and 0.85, respectively. In this research, the students’ method of learning was measured through a standardized questionnaire designed by Shimota (2004). This questionnaire consisted of five questions about reading class notes, memorizing definitions, copying the section over, memorizing key words, and repeating the material over and over.

Information on parents’ job, parents’ education, grade point average (GPA), and students’ Bachelor of Science mean score was obtained through university records. Other information was obtained through seeking opinions from 194 students. To collect information, questionnaires were given to students to be filled out.

**Data Analysis**

After gathering and encoding information from the questionnaires, data was obtained for analysis. Demographic information of the students was obtained through mean score and percentage. To find out if a likely relationship existed between students’ achievement and selected variables, the correlation coefficient and regression analysis statistics were used. To compare students in terms of their gender and home place, the T-test was used, and to compare students of different majors, the F-test and Duncan test were employed. The T-test and Mann–Whitney test were applied according to variables’ measurement scale to compare successful and unsuccessful students.

**Findings**

Findings pertaining to each of the four objectives are presented below:

**First Objective: Study Population Demography**

Statistical results indicate that 72.2 percent of the population were female students and the remaining 27.8% were males. Their high school grade point average stood at 18.18%.
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Daily average hours of study was 2.05. Data indicated 60 percent of the students to be highly motivated for studying in the field of agriculture. It was found that 65.8% lived with their families and 34.2 percent were living in student residences. Concerning the father’s education, 12.9% had a level below high school diploma, 24.2% held a high school diploma, 41.8% were university graduates, and 21.1% held degrees above Bsc. Mothers education is stated to be below high school diploma for 21.2%, 37.6% held diplomas, 34% were Bachelor of Science graduates, and 7.2% held degrees above a bachelor’s degree. The attitude of 54.2% of family members was to a very large extent in agreement with being educated. The average number of family members was five.

Second Objective: The Relationship between Academic Achievement and Selected Variables

The Pearson correlation was used to test the selected variables such as grade point average, study hours, number of family members, and years of experience in teaching. For other variables as summarized in Table 1, the Spearman correlation was used. The results revealed that only seven of the 18 factors tested were significant in determining whether the respondents were academically successful or not. These were: high school grade point average; number of study hours; study planning; motivation; years of experience in teaching; family members’ attitude towards studies; and educational environment’s emotional atmosphere.

To determine the variables that were effective in agriculture students’ academic success, a step-by-step regression analysis was employed. As observed in Table 2, from among 18 selected variables, the five variables of grade point average, motivation, method of learning, father’s education, and emotional atmosphere of educational environment as indicated by the Beta, T-test, and significance have positively and

Table 1. The relationship between academic success and selected independent variables for agricultural students in Tehran University, Iran, 2005, n=194.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade point average (GPA)</td>
<td>0.500**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of learning</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study planning</td>
<td>0.239**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation</td>
<td>0.369**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study hours</td>
<td>0.258**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father’s job</td>
<td>-0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s job</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father’s education</td>
<td>-0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s education</td>
<td>-0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family members’ attitude towards learning</td>
<td>0.146*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of family members</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Standing</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching skills</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of experience in teaching</td>
<td>0.163*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational facilities</td>
<td>-0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfare provisions</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional atmosphere of education environment</td>
<td>0.270**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical and practical courses training</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P< 0.05 and ** and P< 0.01.
significantly affected success in studies. These have entered the regression in five successive steps. The R indicates the dependability among dependent and independent variables to the extent of 79.7 percent. The $R^2$ indicates that 63.5 percent of a variation’s independent variables are expressed by the five variables introduce into the model. Results indicated percentages of 38 for high school grade point average, 12.2 for motivation, 8.6 for method of learning, 2.7 for father’s education level, and 2 for emotional atmosphere of educational environment. Altogether, these variables accounted for 63.5 percent of all academic success variables.

### Third Objective: Students’ Achievements Comparison, Interms of Gender, Place of Dwelling, and Majors of Study

A T-test was carried out with respect to gender and place of dwelling. It revealed that female students were more successful than male students ($P= 0.002^{**}$). So far, as the place of dwelling (living with family or being a hostel student) was concerned, there was no significant difference exhibited.

A comparison was made employing the F-test among students of different majors of study regarding their academic success. There was a significant difference observed in the academic success of students of different majors of study ($P= 0.000^{**}$). The Duncan test was employed to determine the difference among study majors as related to academic success, the results of which appear in Table 3.

As indicated in Table 3, students majoring in irrigation had the lowest average of 13.92.

| Table 2. Regression coefficient of selected variables on agricultural students’ academic success in Tehran University. |
|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Variable              | V. No. | R    | $R^2$ | B     | Beta | T   | Sig   |
| Grade point average (GPA) | $X_1$   | 0.617 | 0.380 | 0.570 | 0.583 | 7.645 | 0.000 |
| Motivation             | $X_2$   | 0.708 | 0.502 | 0.206 | 0.357 | 4.726 | 0.000 |
| Method of learning     | $X_3$   | 0.767 | 0.588 | 0.141 | 0.321 | 4.346 | 0.000 |
| Father’s education     | $X_4$   | 0.784 | 0.615 | 0.278 | 0.184 | 2.572 | 0.012 |
| Emotional atmosphere of educational environment | $X_5$ | 0.797 | 0.635 | 0.121 | 0.159 | 2.025 | 0.041 |

The number of steps: 5, Dependent variable: Academic success.

$$y= 4.136+0.570x_1+0.206x_2+0.141x_3-0.278x_4+0.121x_5$$
Students majoring in plant protection, food sciences and technology, agricultural education and extension, landscape design, horticulture, and agronomy and plant breeding with respective averages of 15.5, 15.61, 15.66, 15.84, 15.87, 16.16 have the highest record on average.

Objective 4: Comparison of Variables between Successful and Unsuccessful Students

Results of a comparison between successful and unsuccessful students as it related to four groups of variables- (1) Individual characteristics, (2) Family characteristics, (3) Staff members’ profiles, and (4) Course nature and content-appear in Table 4. According to the variables’ measurement scale, statistical analysis was used. For the interval scale the T-test and, for the Ordinal scale, the Mann-Whitney test were employed. As can be observed from the table, there existed a significant difference between grade point average (GPA) , method of learning, parents’ job, study planning, motivation, number of family members, educational facilities, and father’s level of education of successful students when compared to those of less successful students.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The research findings indicated a positive significant relationship between a student’s academic success and his/her family members’ attitude towards education and learning. This is in agreement with results obtained by Jeynes (2002) and Gonzales and Blanco (1991). This indicates parents’ awareness of the importance of agriculture as well as possibly indicating the fact that the parents have realistically guided their sons and/or daughters towards choosing their study major.

There was a significant difference observed between a male and a female student’s success as indicated by T-test. Female students were more successful, and this is consistent with results obtained by

Table 4. Mann-Whitney test and T-test used to compare successful and unsuccessful agricultural students in University of Tehran.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade point average (GPA)</td>
<td>5.643</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study hours</td>
<td>1.304</td>
<td>0.099ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study planning</td>
<td>3721</td>
<td>0.012**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of learning</td>
<td>4369.5</td>
<td>0.693**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents’ job</td>
<td>-2.607</td>
<td>0.049*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation</td>
<td>3179.5</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of family members</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father’s education</td>
<td>3765</td>
<td>0.022**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s education</td>
<td>4236</td>
<td>0.318ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family members’ attitude towards learning</td>
<td>4508.5</td>
<td>0.701ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific standing</td>
<td>3705.5</td>
<td>0.20ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching skills</td>
<td>3943.5</td>
<td>0.105**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional atmosphere of educational environment</td>
<td>4635.5</td>
<td>0.964ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational facilities</td>
<td>3795</td>
<td>0.035*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfare provisions</td>
<td>2581</td>
<td>0.326ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical and practical courses training</td>
<td>3916</td>
<td>0.143ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P< 0.05 and ** and P< 0.01.
ns: non-significant.
Johnson (1991). The reason for this could possibly be less incentive for study in males as compared with female students. Therefore, it is advised that provision be made in educational environments to arouse more interest towards studies in male students. Through the presentation of prompt services during studies as well as after graduation, particularly provision of future job opportunities, the incentive for male students to study in this major could be promoted.

Regression analysis indicated that a correlation existed between educational achievement and the five variables of high school grade point average, motivation, method of learning, father’s education, and emotional atmosphere of the education environment. This is in agreement with results obtained by Wong (2002) and Jeynes (2002).

Wong (2002) observed that a student’s success to be owed to and dependent upon the three variables of cognitive entry behavior, motivation, and quality of education.


With due attention and regard to the importance of practical teaching skills in an agriculture student’s learning and because agriculture is an applied science in which acquisition of skills is of paramount importance, it is essential that lecturers be quite familiar with practical skills, and follow especially a pragmatic policy of presentation of theory mixed with actual field practice.

The results in this study also indicate that an inverse relationship existed between the father’s level of studies and the student’s academic success. This contradicts the results obtained by Ardila (2001), Keeves (1992), and Hedjazi (2002). The possible reason is that students whose fathers benefited from a lower level of studies more strongly felt the need to compensate for this deficiency of education through their families.

The emotional aspect of the study environment was one other important factor influencing a student’s academic success that was taken up in this research. Anderson (1998) described a class’s emotional atmosphere potentially as a social group that affects what students learn. Anderson estimated the effect of a class’s emotional atmosphere on students’ learning to be as much as of 30%. It is therefore suggested that instructors and lecturers make a class’s atmosphere more “intimate” and, by providing the students with more opportunities to meet with them during their office hours, establish a more humorous, and a stronger emotional relationship with them.

The positive effect of high school grade-point average on a student’s academic achievement was quite emphatic. This is in agreement with the results obtained by Johnson (1991), Randle et al. (1993), Dlamini (1995), and Hedjazi (2002). This means that high school studies constitute the “backbone” of a students’ intellectual foundation; that is important and indispensable to a student’s later academic learning. That is why it is emphatically recommended to use high school grade-point average as a determining indicator in student selection for entry into higher education.

CONCLUSIONS

In brief, we can draw the following conclusions:
- Being a hostel student or living with the family was not observed to affect an agriculture student’s academic success at the University of Tehran.
- The emotional atmosphere of the educational environment, high school grade-point average, motivation, method of learning, and father’s education were effective in student’s academic success.
- Female student’s academic success was more pronounced than males’.
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- Scientific standing, nature and content of courses, parents’ job, welfare provision, and educational facilities were not among the factors affecting an agriculture student’s academic success at the University of Tehran.

REFERENCES

9. Hedjazi, Y. 2002. The Role of Personality Traits, Selection Criterion and Social Origin in Achievement of Agricultural Students in Tehran University. Ph.D. Thesis, College of Department Agriculture of Agricultural Extension and Education, University of Tehran,
نتیجه گردی کنش عوامل مؤثر در موفقیت تحصیلی دانشجویان کشاورزی دانشگاه تهران

چکیده

هدف از انجام این مطالعه، شناسایی عوامل مؤثر در موفقیت تحصیلی دانشجویان کشاورزی است. جامعه آماری، کلیه دانشجویان سال آخر رشته کشاورزی دانشگاه تهران شامل 194 نفر بود. یک تحقیق از نوع همبستگی بوده و اطلاعات مورد نیاز از طریق پرسشنامه و بررسی پروندهای تحصیلی دانشجویان جمع آوری شده است. نتایج حاصل از همبستگی متغیرها، ارتباط مثبت و معناداری بین موفقیت تحصیلی با متغیرهای جون معدل دیپلم، سابقه مطالعه، برنامه ریزی تحصیلی، ا زگرد و محیط عاطفی آموزش در سطح 1 درصد و در رابطه با هدف، تغییرات میانگین حاصل به ثبت در سطح 0/05 درصد نشان داد. مقایسه موفقیت تحصیلی بین دانشجویان دختر و پسر نشان داد که دانشجویان دختر در مقایسه با دانشجویان پسر از موفقیت تحصیلی بالاتری برخوردارند. ولی بین موفقیت تحصیلی کسانی که در خواهش سکوت دارند و کسانی که با خواهش زندگی می‌کنند، تفاوت معناداری وجود ندارد. مقایسه موفقیت تحصیلی دانشجویان در رابطه با رنگ پوستی و رنگ چشم‌های دانشجویان نشان داد که دانشجویان رنگ پوستی کارکرده، نشان داد که 63/0 درصد از تغییرات متغیر وابسته موفقیت تحصیلی را پیم نماید. نتایج این مطالعه نشان می‌دهد که مهارت‌های آموزشی تحقیقات بیماری و محیط عاطفی آموزش تیپین می‌کند.