Experiments on Variable-mass Threshing of Rice in the Tangential-longitudinal-flow Combine Harvester
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**ABSTRACT**

The existing studies of threshing process of combine harvesters adopt the assumption of constant mass, which is contradictory to the phenomenon of separation of grains and short stalks in actual threshing process. Therefore, the characteristics of threshing and separation are not accurately described. Aiming at this problem, this study established the tangential-longitudinal threshing and separation test-bed with tangential-flow device, auxiliary feed beater, and longitudinal-flow device of tangential-longitudinal-flow combine harvester and conducted experiments and analysis of rice threshing with feed rates of 5, 6, and 7 kg s\textsuperscript{1}. The results showed that the changes in rates of material flow along the arc-length of concave in tangential-flow device and longitudinal-flow device were equal to the changes in rates of material density with time. In the process of variable-mass and constant-mass rice threshing, when the feeding rates were 5, 6, and 7 kg s\textsuperscript{1} in the test-bed, the flow rates from the tangential-flow device were 4.07, 5.01, and 5.95 kg s\textsuperscript{1}, respectively. The average power consumption of the tangential-flow drum in variable mass threshing process was higher than that in the constant mass threshing process by 2.16, 2.73, and 3.09 kW, respectively. The flow rate at the outlet of the longitudinal-flow device was 3.34, 4.04, and 4.72 kg s\textsuperscript{1}, respectively. The average power consumption rate of the longitudinal-flow drum in variable mass threshing process was lower than that in the constant mass threshing process by 7.32, 10.44, and 12.17 kW, respectively. The results of material flow rate and power consumption would offer the basis for the design of longitudinal-tangential flow threshing and separation device.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The threshing and separation unit is the core device of combine harvester, which also determines the working performance of the whole machine (Saeid \textit{et al.}, 2006; Su, \textit{et al.}, 2012). Studying material threshing performance in the combine harvester is the key to improvement of harvesting performance (Wacker and Kutzbach, 2003; Rahimi, \textit{et al.}, 2010). Previous studies assumed that the material mass remained the same from the entrance to the outlet of the threshing space, for example, when studying the working process of the threshing and separation device of combine harvester. Scientists of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Mechanization Sciences, adopted constant mass threshing and separation theory to establish equation of threshing rate and separation rate of the threshing and separation device (Yang and Yan, 2008; Li \textit{et al.}, 2006). Huynh and Powell (1982) and Anil \textit{et al.} (1998) believed that the velocity of straw in the threshing space was equal to the circumferential velocity of the drum and adopted constant mass threshing and separation theory to develop threshing rate
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and separation rate model. However, during the threshing process, grains and short stalks of most threshing material were separated through the concave, with great effect on the characteristics of dynamics and kinematics of the system. If the mass change is ignored when we study the threshing and separation performance, the result of the study would be quite different.

To analyze the effect of the variable mass on the threshing and separation performance, Zhang and Sang (2000), from the University of Science and Technology of China, conducted the non-linear mathematical model of the material movement during tangential-flow threshing process as well as set thorough power consumption model of tangential-flow threshing drum based on the fundamentals of variable mass system. Scholars from the Hohenheim University in Germany established the longitudinal-flow threshing equation by modeling and analyzing the longitudinal-flow drum based on the variable mass theory during the threshing process (Miu and Kutzbach, 2007; Miu, 2008). Most scientists have developed the tangential-flow threshing model or longitudinal-flow threshing model according to the variable mass theory (Kumara et al., 2002; Sudajana et al., 2002; Mostofi and Minaei, 2009), while few have analyzed the rate of flow of the material in the threshing and separation device and the effect of the variable mass on the power consumption during the threshing process (Szymanek, 2008; Godwin et al., 1999; Hennens et al., 2003; Maertens and Baerdemaeker, 2003).

In order to discuss the assumption of constant mass which is contradictory to the phenomenon of separation of grains and short stalks in actual threshing process, tangential-longitudinal threshing and separation test-bed was established to conduct experiments and analyze rice threshed with feed rates of, 5, 6 and 7 kg s\(^{-1}\). The change in rates of the material flow of tangential-flow device and longitudinal-flow device was studied to obtain the normal operation requirement. The relationship between experimental and theoretical material flow rate was studied to obtain the characteristic of threshing and separation. The average power consumptions of the tangential-flow drum, auxiliary feed beater, and longitudinal-flow drum during the threshing process in the variable mass and constant mass were recorded, respectively, through the torque sensor to measure the threshing torque and the speed of tangential-longitudinal threshing drum.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was divided into three main sections:
1) Material flow studies in the threshing and separation unit of tangential-longitudinal flow combine harvester.
2) Variable mass test of material flow rate of the tangential-longitudinal threshing and separation test-bed during the threshing process.
3) Comparison of power consumption to evaluate the difference of the variable mass and constant mass during the threshing process.

**Test of Rice Material and Torque Sensors**

The rice tested was WU’2645’ (a type of rice that grows in Jiangsu province of China), with the average height of 1,050 mm and the average spike length of 166 mm. The average one-thousand kernel weight was 28.5 g and the average grain output was 10,275 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Average grain to MOG (material other than grain) ratio was 2.86:1 and the average moisture content of the stalks and the kernels was, respectively, 66.43 and 25.02%.

The tangential-flow drum, auxiliary feed beater, and the longitudinal-flow drum were driven by the frequency conversion motors and, during the threshing process, three HAD-CYB-803S torque sensors (produced by Beijing Heng Odd Instrument Co., Ltd.)
were used to measure the torque value and speed (the measurement accuracy was 0.25% FS and the frequency response time was 100 µs).

**Threshing and Separation Test-bed**

Tangential-longitudinal-flow combine harvester consisted of cutter, inclined conveyor, tangential-flow drum, auxiliary feed beater, longitudinal-flow drum, fans, vibrating sieve, handle system, chassis, etc. A test-bed of the key part of the threshing and separation unit of the longitudinal-tangential-flow combine harvester was set in the laboratory in order to analyze the effect that the variable mass had on the performance of threshing and separation process. The test-bed was made of tangential-flow drum, auxiliary feed beater, longitudinal-flow drum, torque sensors, motors, transmission system, and tilted conveyor, etc. The main structure of the test-bed is shown in Figure 1. The reception boxes were fixed under the grid concave of the longitudinal-flow drum and tangential-flow drum to receive the grains and short stalks separated through the grid concave, of which 13 reception boxes were fixed along the axial direction of the longitudinal-flow drum.

The diameter of the tangential-flow drum was 490 mm and the length was 1,125 mm. The arrangement of knife tooth threshing components was four head spiral with the 55 mm insert spacing. The height of the knife tooth was 70 mm with a thickness of 4 mm. There were knife tooth in the corresponding concave grid with concave wrap of 80° (Igathinathane et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2011), and the concave clearance at the entrance and output was, respectively, 40 and 30 mm. The speed of the tangential-flow drum was 10.26 m s⁻¹ (Vejasit and Salokhe, 2005). The assembled relation of the concave and the tangential-flow drum and structure of knife tooth are shown in Figure 2.

The diameter of the longitudinal-flow drum was 500 mm, with the total length of 3350 mm. There were helical blades with a length of 170 mm in front of the longitudinal-flow drum, trapezoidal tooth within the central 2,700 mm and the discharge plate at the rear of the longitudinal-flow drum. Cylinder concave clearance was 30 mm and the angle of the wrap of concave was 180°. Four lines threshing components were mounted at equal distance of 150 mm along axial direction on the circumference of longitudinal-flow drum. The longitudinal-flow drum speed was 11.12 m s⁻¹ (Harrison, 1991; Rasouli, et al., 2009). Side view of the longitudinal-flow drum and trapezoidal tooth are shown in Figure 3.

**Rice Threshing Process and Flow Direction**

During the threshing process, the rice with constant mass just cut from the field was...
evenly put on the conveyor belt at the liner speed of 1 m s\(^{-1}\). The rice was transported to the feeding auger by the conveyor belt and, then, the feeding auger put the rice into the spout. The rice went to the tangential-flow drum from the spout, then, the rice was primarily threshed by the threshing component of the tangential-flow drum. After that, under the action of auxiliary feeding beater the rice fell to the longitudinal-flow drum and was further threshed. At last, the straw was let out from the grass discharge at the rear part. The mixtures ran into the tangential-flow reception boxes or longitudinal-flow reception boxes through concave grid. Then, the performance index (power consumption, grain flow rate, stalk flow rate, and grain or stalk flow rate proportion) of threshing of the tangential-flow drum and the longitudinal-flow drum was determined manually.

The flow direction and path of the rice in the tangential-longitudinal flow device are shown in Figure 4.

The direction of arrow shows the material flow direction, while the “a~a” and “b~b” cross sections depict, respectively, the input and output part of the tangential-flow device. The “c~e” and “d~d” cross sections show the input and output part of the auxiliary feed device, respectively. The “e~e” and “f~f” cross sections represent the input and output part of the longitudinal-flow device, respectively.

Different symbols are used to show the mass flow process through this device. Thus, 
\[ dt = \text{Time interval that the material flows from "a~a" cross section to "b~b"}, \]
\[ q_s = \text{The feed rate of the material at the "a~a" cross section with the flow density of } k_s, \]
\[ q_s - dq_s = \text{The feed rate at the "b~b" cross section, where } dq_s \text{ stands for the variation of the material flow}, \]
Figure 4. The rice flow direction in the tangential-longitudinal flow device.

\[ k_a - dk_a = \text{Flow density, where, } dk_a \text{ stands for the variation of density,} \]
\[ ds = \text{Arc length of the concave grid,} \]
\[ v_{bo} = \text{Average speed of the material at the output part of the tangential-flow device, with a density of } k_b \text{ and a feeding rate of } q_b; \]
\[ v_c = \text{The speed of the material at “c~c”, with a density of } k_c \text{ and a material flow rate of } q_c. \]
\[ v_d = \text{Average speed of the material at “d~d”, with a density of } k_d \text{ and a material flow rate of } q_d. \]
\[ dt = \text{The time interval for material flow from the “e~e” to “f~f” cross section, with the feeding rate at the “e~e” cross section as } q_e \text{ and density of } k_e. \]

Thus, the section from the “c~c” to “d~d” cross section is considered as the transitional part and the speed of the material at the “c~c” and “d~d” cross sections is denoted as \( v_{cd} \), with density of \( k_{cd} \) and material flow rate of \( q_{cd} \).

**Variable Mass Power Consumption**

Burcev (1963), from the Institute for Theoretical Physics at the J. E. Purkyně University, deduced the motion equation of the variable mass of Meshchersky equation. Meshchersky's equations was used to describe the variable mass in the threshing process, with the variable mass equation during the longitudinal-flow threshing process as follows:

\[ m \frac{dv}{dt} - \frac{dm}{dt} (u_0 - v_0) = F \tag{1} \]

Where, \( m \) is the mass of the longitudinal-flow drum plus the feed material, \( dm \) is the mass of the grains separated, \( v_0 \) the absolute speed of the longitudinal-flow drum, \( u_0 \) the absolute speed of the grains separated, \( F \) as the resultant force that the longitudinal-flow drum was subjected to, and \( dm/dt \) was the change in the rate of the grain mass with time. Because the grains were being separated into the boxes, the value of the \( dm/dt \) was below zero.

The grains were separated continuously during the threshing process, then, the speed gap between the grain speed and the stalk speed was \( v_r \). That is \( v_r = u_0 - v_0 \). Thus,

\[ \frac{dm}{dt} v_r = F_r \tag{2} \]

Where, \( F_r \) represents the force that grains exert on the longitudinal-flow drum. From the Equation (1) and (2) we could get

\[ m \frac{dv}{dt} = F + F_r \tag{3} \]

Thus, the torque value of the longitudinal-flow drum \( T \) is:

\[ T = (F + F_r)R \tag{4} \]

In which, \( R \) is the torture length of longitudinal-flow drum and, according to the Equation (4) we could get the longitudinal-flow drum power consumption \( P \):

\[ P = \frac{Tn}{9550} \tag{5} \]
Where, \( n \) is the speed of the longitudinal-flow drum during the threshing process.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Variable Material Flow in Threshing and Separation Unit**

According to the law of conservation of mass, difference of the feeding mass at the “a–a” and at the “b–b” cross sections equals the mass of the grains separated from the tangential-flow device, therefore:

\[
[q_a - (q_a - dq_a)] dt_a = [k_a - (k_a - dk_a)] ds_a
\]  

(6)

From Equation (6), we could derive the following:

\[
dq_a dt_a = dk_a ds_a,
\]

which can be changed to Equation (7)

\[
\frac{dq_a}{ds_a} = \frac{dk_a}{dt_a}
\]  

(7)

From Equation (7), the change in rate of the material mobility, \( q_a \), along the arc length \( s_a \) equals the change in the rate of the density, \( k_a \), with the time \( t_a \).

When the material gets into the longitudinal-flow device from the auxiliary feeding device, the flow mass of material in the time \( t_e \) is \( Q_{cd} \) and

\[
Q_{cd} = (v_b - v_{cd}) k_b t_e.
\]  

(8)

And the Equation (9) could be deduced as follow:

\[
(v_b - v_{cd}) k_b = (v_e - v_{cd}) k_e
\]

(9)

We could derive the following:

\[
v_{cd} = \frac{v_b k_b - v_e k_e}{k_b - k_e}
\]  

(10)

Since the material flow rate at the “b–b” cross section of the tangential-flow device is \( q_b \), and the material flow rate at the “e–e” cross section of the longitudinal-flow device is \( q_e \), we could write the following by the definition:

\[
\begin{align*}
q_b &= k_b v_b \\
q_e &= k_e v_e
\end{align*}
\]  

(11)

By putting these parameters in Equation (10), we could get Equation (12):

\[
v_{cd} = \frac{q_b - q_e}{k_b - k_e}
\]  

(12)

Because the material quantity \( q_{cd} \) at the “b–b” cross section of the tangential-flow device in unit time equals the material quantity \( q_{cd} \) of the feeding device and it is also equal to the feeding quantity \( q_{et} \) at the “e–e” cross section, i.e. \( q_{et} = q_{cd} \), then, when \( q_b > q_e \) and \( k_e < k_b \), \( v_{cd} \) becomes negative, which means that the feeding device would be blocked up. On the other hand, when \( q_b < q_e \) and \( k_e > k_b \), \( v_{cd} \) is positive, which means that the feeding device is in good operation.

According to the law of conservation of mass, the difference between feeding quantity at the “e–e” and at the “f–f” cross sections are equal to the quantity of the grains of the concave grid, then:

\[
[q_e - (q_e - dq_e)] dt_e = [k_e - (k_e - dk_e)] ds_e
\]  

(13)

From which, we could obtain \( dq_e dt_e = dk_e ds_e \), and Equation (14):

\[
\frac{dq_e}{ds_e} = \frac{dk_e}{dt_e}
\]  

(14)

According to the Equation (14), the change in material flow rate, \( q_e \), with the axial length of the concave grid \( s_e \) equals the change of the material density \( k_e \) within the time interval \( t_e \).

Therefore, the change in the rate of the material flow rate \( q_a \) of the tangential-flow device along the arc length \( s_e \) of the grid concave equals the change in the rate of the material density \( k_e \) in the time interval \( t_e \); when \( q_b > q_e \) and \( k_e < k_b \), \( v_{cd} \) is negative, which means that the feeding device would be blocked up; when \( q_b < q_e \) and \( k_e > k_b \), \( v_{cd} \) is positive, which indicates that the feeding device is in good operation. The change in the rate of the material flow rate \( q_e \) along the axial length \( s_e \) of the grid concave equals the change in the rate of the material flow density \( k_e \) in the time interval \( t_e \).
Variable Mass Test of Material Flow Rate

The tested rice of 60, 72, and 84 kg just cut from the field were put on the conveyer belt with the type of 12000×1000 mm and the liner speed of the conveyer was 1 m s⁻¹ (feeding rate was 5, 6, and 7 kg s⁻¹), and the feeding time was 12 seconds. The grains and the mixtures were weighed manually (the electronic scale was JY60001, Shanghai Fangrui Instrument Co., Ltd., the measurement accuracy of the electronic scale was 0.1 g, and the maximum range was 6 kg) and the results are shown in Table 1.

Since the material was fed continuously and with even flow rate feeding within 12 seconds, we could calculate the grain mass and the mixture mass per second (flow rate of grains and flow rate of mixtures). The values of the material experimental flow rate, grains flow rate, and stalk flow rate of each box were calculated and are shown in Table 2.

Based on the results in Table 2, Figure 5 shows the percentage that the experimental material flow rate accounted for the theoretical feeding rates of 5, 6 and 7 kg s⁻¹, respectively. The tangential-flow device corresponded to the point 0 of coordinate axis and the longitudinal-flow device corresponded to the points 1 to 13.

From Figure 5, we could see that when the feeding rate was 5, 6, and 7 kg s⁻¹, the percentage was, respectively, 81.470, 83.536, and 85.001% at the tangential-flow device, and the percentage of the first reception box of the longitudinal-flow device was, respectively, 80.006, 81.699, and 83.073%, while the corresponding percentages of the thirteenth reception box were only 67.324, 67.339, and 67.442%. Thus, we could know that the experimental material flow rate was less than the theoretical feed rate within the tangential-flow device and the longitudinal-flow device.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test item</th>
<th>Tangential reception box</th>
<th>Longitudinal reception box</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test item</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grains weight at 5 kg s⁻¹</td>
<td>9.152</td>
<td>1.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixture weight at 5 kg s⁻¹</td>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>2.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grains weight at 6 kg s⁻¹</td>
<td>2.164</td>
<td>0.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixture weight at 6 kg s⁻¹</td>
<td>10.259</td>
<td>2.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test item</td>
<td>Tangential-reception box</td>
<td>Reception box number along axial direction of longitudinal-flow drum under grid concave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding rate at 5 kg s⁻¹</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain flow rate (kg s⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate proportion (%)</td>
<td>81.470</td>
<td>80.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding rate at 6 kg s⁻¹</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain flow rate (kg s⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate proportion (%)</td>
<td>83.536</td>
<td>81.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding rate at 7 kg s⁻¹</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental flow rate (kg s⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.950</td>
<td>5.815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain flow rate (kg s⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>0.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate proportion (%)</td>
<td>85.001</td>
<td>83.073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The percentage that the experimental material flow rate accounted for the theoretical feeding rates at the speed of 5, 6, and 7 kg s\(^{-1}\).

Based on the results shown in Table 2, we used data fitting software DPS (Data Processing System) to determine regression correlation between the experimental material flow rate \(q_a\) and the feed rate \(q_{a0}\) at the feed rate of 5 kg s\(^{-1}\), and the result is shown below in Equation (15), with the correlation coefficient of 0.9983.

\[
q_a = [67.263 + 17.0223e^{-0.301942x}] q_{a0} \quad (15)
\]

Similarly, we got the percentage relations between the experimental material flow rate \(q_b\) and the feed rate \(q_{b0}\) for the feed rate of 6 kg s\(^{-1}\) and the result is shown below in Equation (16), with the correlation coefficient of 0.9996.

\[
q_b = [66.9507 + 19.5478e^{-0.285101x}] q_{b0} \quad (16)
\]

The percentage relations between the experimental material flow rate \(q_c\) and the feed rate \(q_{c0}\) at the feed rate of 7 kg s\(^{-1}\) and the result was shown as below in Equation (17) and the related coefficient was 0.9994.

\[
q_c = [67.0964 + 21.3847e^{-0.291322x}] q_{c0} \quad (17)
\]

Thus, the experimental flow rate was less than the theoretical feed rate in the tangential-flow device and longitudinal-flow device at the feed rate of 5, 6, and 7 kg s\(^{-1}\). The experimental flow rate had a nearly exponential relationship with the feed rate in the longitudinal-flow device.

In order to analyze the grain flow rate during the threshing process in tangential-flow device and longitudinal-flow device, based on Table 2, the relation between the grain experimental flow rate and stalk flow rate at the speed of 5, 6, and 7 kg s\(^{-1}\) are depicted in Figures 6-8.

According to Figure 6, when the feed rate was 5 kg s\(^{-1}\), the experimental material flow rate of the tangential-flow device was 4.074 kg s\(^{-1}\), the grains flow rate was 0.533 kg s\(^{-1}\), and the stalk flow rate was 3.540 kg s\(^{-1}\), while the grains threshed and separated by the tangential-flow device accounted for 58.862% of total grains. The grain flow rate decreased from 0.477 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of reception box 1 (RB1) to 0.043 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB8, with the change of grain flow rate of 0.434 kg s\(^{-1}\). The grain flow rate decreased from 0.031 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB10 to 0.004 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB13, at the rate of 0.027 kg s\(^{-1}\). Thus, about 37.834% of grains were separated from the first to the eighth RB, and 2.961% of grains were separated from the ninth to the thirteenth RB and the grain loss proportion was 0.343%. The stalk flow rate changed from 3.524 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB1 to 3.394 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB 9, at a rate of 0.13 kg s\(^{-1}\). The stalk flow rate changed from 3.385 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of
Figure 6. The experimental grains and stalk flow rate at the feed rate of 5 kg s$^{-1}$.

Figure 7. The experimental flow rate of the grains and the stalk at the feed rate of 6 kg s$^{-1}$.

Figure 8. The experimental flow rate of the grains and the stalk at the feed rate of 7 kg s$^{-1}$.

RB10 to 3.362 kg s$^{-1}$ at the position of RB13, at the rate of 0.023 kg s$^{-1}$. Thus, about 3.965% of stalk mixture was separated from the first to the ninth RB while 0.861% stalk was separated from the tenth to the thirteenth RB. According to Figure 7, the experimental material flow rate was 5.012 kg s$^{-1}$ at the feed rate of 6 kg s$^{-1}$, the grain flow rate was 0.738 kg s$^{-1}$ and the stalk flow rate was 4.274 kg s$^{-1}$, and grains threshed and separated by the tangential-flow device accounted for 52.242% of total grains. The
grain flow rate changed from 0.649 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB1 to 0.052 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB8, at a rate of 0.597 kg s\(^{-1}\). The grain flow rate decreased from 0.036 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB10 to 0.008 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB13, at a rate of 0.028 kg s\(^{-1}\). Thus, we could see that about 44.377% of grains were separated from the first to the eighth RB while 2.833% stalk was separated from the ninth to the thirteenth RB and the grain loss proportion was 0.548%. The stalk flow rate changed from 4.253 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB1 to 4.075 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB9 with a change of 0.178 kg s\(^{-1}\), and the stalk flow rate decreased from 4.062 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB10 to 4.032 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB13 at a rate of 0.03 kg s\(^{-1}\). Thus, about 4.467% of stalk mixture was separated from the first to the ninth RB and 0.968% of the stalk was separated from the tenth to thirteenth RB.

According to Figure 8, the material flow rate was 5.950 kg s\(^{-1}\) while the flow rate of grain and the stalk was, respectively, 0.961 and 4.989 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the feed rate of 7 kg s\(^{-1}\). Grains threshed and separated by the tangential-flow device accounted for 47.082% of total grains. The grain flow rate changed from 0.851 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB1 to 0.078 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB8, at the rate of 0.773 kg s\(^{-1}\). The grain flow rate decreased from 0.057 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB10 to 0.014 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB13 at the rate of 0.043 kg s\(^{-1}\). To sum up, about 48.638% of grains were separated from the first to the eighth RB while 3.484% of stalk was separated from the ninth to the thirteenth RB and the grain loss proportion was 0.794%. The stalk flow rate changed from 4.965 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB1 to 4.757 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB9 with a change of 0.208 kg s\(^{-1}\). The stalk flow rate decreased from 4.742 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB10 to 4.706 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the position of RB13 at a rate of 0.036 kg s\(^{-1}\), from which we could see that 4.482% of the stalk mixture was separated from the first to the ninth RB and about 0.971% of stalk were separated from the tenth to thirteenth RB.

**Power Consumption of Variable Mass and Constant Mass**

The test was conducted at the feed rate of 7 kg s\(^{-1}\), and the power consumption of the tangential-flow drum, auxiliary feed beater, and the longitudinal-flow drum were obtained during the threshing process under the variable mass and constant mass conditions. HAD-CYB-803S torque sensor was used to measure torque value and speed of tangential-flow drum, auxiliary feed beater, and longitudinal-flow drum under variable and constant mass during the threshing process. The power consumption was calculated based on the measured torque value and speed. Curves of power consumption versus time of the tangential-flow drum, auxiliary feed beater and the longitudinal-flow drum are shown in Figures 9-11.

According to Figure 9, when the tangential-flow drum was in the constant mass threshing process, the curve fluctuated and the maximum value was 17.34kW and the average power consumption was 9.75kW, the instantaneous power consumption was unstable and the curve was irregular. When the tangential-flow drum was in the variable mass threshing process, the curve fluctuated and had a maximum value of 23.33kW with the average power consumption of 12.84kW. The instantaneous power consumption was unstable and the curve was irregular. We could see that the maximum and the average power consumptions in the variable mass were, respectively, 5.99 and 3.09kW more than that in the constant mass case.

According to Figure 10, when the auxiliary feed device was in variable mass threshing process, the maximum value was 15.82kW and the average power consumption was 6.78kW. When the auxiliary feed device was in constant mass threshing process, the maximum value was 16.31kW and the average power consumption was 8.43kW. Thus, the maximum and the average power consumptions of auxiliary feed device in variable mass were, respectively, 0.49
Figure 9. The power consumption curve of the tangential-flow drum at the feed rate of 7 kg s\(^{-1}\) under variable and constant mass conditions.

Figure 10. The power consumption curve at the feed rate of 7 kg s\(^{-1}\) at the auxiliary feed beater under variable and constant mass conditions.

Figure 11. The power consumption curve of the longitudinal-flow drum at the feed rate of 7 kg s\(^{-1}\) under variable and constant mass conditions.
and 1.65 kW less than that in the case of constant mass.

According to Figure 11, when the longitudinal-flow drum was in variable and constant mass during the threshing process, the power consumption curve had a trapezoidal shaped. The average and the maximum power consumptions in variable mass were, respectively, 52.77 kW and 66.17 kW, while the average and the maximum power consumptions in constant mass were, respectively, 64.94 and 87.24 kW. Thus, the average and the maximum power consumptions in variable mass were, respectively, 12.17 kW and 21.07 kW less than that in the constant mass case.

The maximum and the average power consumption of the longitudinal-flow drum, the tangential-flow drum, and the auxiliary feed device at the feed rate of 7 kg s\(^{-1}\) are shown in Table 3.

Similarly, the maximum and the average power consumption of the longitudinal-flow drum, the tangential-flow drum, and the auxiliary feed beater in variable mass and constant mass at the feed rate of 5 and 6 kg s\(^{-1}\) are shown in Tables 4 and 5.

Table 3. Power consumption (PC) in variable and constant mass cases at the feed rate of 7 kg s\(^{-1}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working device</th>
<th>PC in variable mass (kW)</th>
<th>PC in constant mass (kW)</th>
<th>Difference between the variable mass and the constant mass (kW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum value</td>
<td>Average value</td>
<td>Maximum value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangential-flow drum</td>
<td>23.33</td>
<td>12.84</td>
<td>17.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary feed beater</td>
<td>15.82</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>16.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal-axis drum</td>
<td>66.17</td>
<td>52.77</td>
<td>87.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Power consumption (PC) in variable and constant mass cases at the feed rate of 6 kg s\(^{-1}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working device</th>
<th>PC in variable mass (kW)</th>
<th>PC in constant mass (kW)</th>
<th>Difference between the variable mass and the constant mass (kW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum value</td>
<td>Average value</td>
<td>Maximum value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangential-flow drum</td>
<td>20.59</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>16.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary feed beater</td>
<td>11.14</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>11.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal-axis drum</td>
<td>53.21</td>
<td>43.96</td>
<td>71.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Power consumption (PC) in variable and constant mass cases at the feed rate of 5 kg s\(^{-1}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working device</th>
<th>PC in variable mass (kW)</th>
<th>PC in constant mass (kW)</th>
<th>Difference between the variable mass and the constant mass (kW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum value</td>
<td>Average value</td>
<td>Maximum value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangential-flow drum</td>
<td>17.36</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>13.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary feed beater</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>8.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal-axis drum</td>
<td>49.75</td>
<td>35.66</td>
<td>63.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference of the variable mass and constant mass threshing process was studied. The conclusions drawn from this study are summarized below:

1) The change in rates of material flow along the arc-length of concave in tangential-flow device and longitudinal-flow device are equal to the change in rates of material density with time. When the feed rate of the material of tangential-flow device was less than the feed rate of the material of longitudinal-flow threshing device and the feeding density of longitudinal-flow threshing device was more than the feeding density of tangential-flow device, the auxiliary feed device was in normal operation. Otherwise, the auxiliary feed device would be blocked up.

2) The experimental material flow rate was less than that of the theoretical material in the tangential-flow device and longitudinal-flow device at the feed rate of 5, 6, and 7 kg s\(^{-1}\). In tangential-flow device, material flow rate of the tangential-flow device accounted for 81.47, 83.54, and 85.00% of the theoretical material feed rate at the feed rate of 5, 6, and 7 kg s\(^{-1}\), respectively. In the longitudinal-flow device, the materials threshed and separated accounted for 40.80, 47.21, and 52.12% and the loss was 0.34, 0.55, and 0.79%, respectively. The material flow rates at the output parts were 3.37, 4.040 and 4.72 kg s\(^{-1}\), respectively, which accounted for 67.32, 67.34 and 67.44 % of the theoretical material flow rate.

3) When the longitudinal-tangential flow device was at the feed rate of 5, 6, and 7 kg s\(^{-1}\) during the threshing process in variable and constant mass, the average and the maximum power consumptions of the tangential-flow drum in variable mass were, respectively, 2.16, 2.73, and 3.09kW more than that in constant mass, while the maximum power consumption of the tangential-flow drum in variable mass was 3.64, 4.28 and 5.99kW more than that in constant mass, respectively. The average power consumption of the auxiliary feed beater in variable mass was 0.86, 1.24, and 1.65kW less than that in constant mass, while the maximum power consumption of the auxiliary feed beater in variable mass was 0.27, 0.37 and 0.49kW less than that in constant mass. The average power consumption of the longitudinal-flow drum in variable mass was 7.32, 10.44 and 12.17kW less than that in constant mass while the maximum power consumption of the longitudinal-flow drum in variable mass was 14.19, 18.76, and 21.07kW less than that in constant mass.
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مطالعه خرمکومی برنج با دروگر کماین دارای جریان ماماسی-طولی در شرایط جرم تغییر
ت. زانگ، ل. یامونگ، و. چنگونگ
چکیده
مطالعات موجود در زمینه فراوانی خرمکومی درگروه‌های کماین بر منای فرض ثابت بودن جرم هستند. ولی این فرضیه در تعداد بسیاری از مطالعات جدیدی شده و کلیه کوتاه در فاصله‌ای از فاصله‌ای خرمکومی است. با این حال، ویژگی‌های خرمکومی و جداکاری‌هایی که گونه‌ای دیق تشخیص نمی‌شوند با این هدف در پژوهش حاضر دستگاه خرمکومی و جدا سازی جریان ماماسی-طولی مجزه به وسیله جریان ماماسی، کوینده تغذیه کننده کمکی، و دستگاه جریان طولی مربوط به دروگر کماین روی سکوی آزمون ساخته شد و با کار بردن آزمونها و تحلیل های مورد نظر روز خرمکومی برنج با تغذیه ۱،۵ و ۷ کیلو گرم بر ثابت انجام شد. نتایج نشان داد که تغییرات نرخ جریان ماده در طول فصول یک خرمکومی در دستگاه جریان ماماسی و دستگاه جریان طولی برای تغییرات نرخ چگالی ماده در گذر زمان است. در فاصله خرمکومی با جرم منیفر و جرم ثابت و در شرایط نرخ تغذیه ۱،۵ و ۷ کیلو گرم بر ثابت در سکوی آزمون، مقدار جریان ماده از دستگاه جریان ماماسی با جرم ثابت برای بود ۰.۴۷، ۱.۰۱ و ۵.۹۵ kg·s⁻¹. میانگین نرخ مصرفی استونه جریان ماماسی در فاصله خرمکومی با جرم منیفر به ترتیب ۰.۰۹ کیلوولت چیزی از خرمکومی با جرم ثابت بودن نرخ جریان در خروجی دستگاه جریان طولی به ترتیب برای ۰.۴۷، ۰.۲۴، ۰.۴۰ و ۰.۷۳ کیلو گرم بر ثابت بودن تولید سیلی دریگر، میانگین نرخ مصرف شده استونه جریان طولی در فاصله خرمکومی با جرم منیفر به ترتیب ۰.۲۲، ۰.۱۵ و ۰.۱۹ کیلوولت کمتر از خرمکومی با جرم ثابت بودن تولید گونه نتایج مربوط به نرخ جریان ماده و مصرف نرخ میانه مبنا طراحي دستگاه خرمکومی و جدا سازی میژه به جریان طولی-مادی میزان گیرد.