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ABSTRACT

Cantaloupe (Cucumis melo) volume was measured using water displacement and image processing methods. The volume determined from image processing method was compared to the volume determined by the water displacement method using the paired samples t-test and the Bland-Altman approach. The paired samples t-test results showed that the volume determined by image processing method was not significantly (P>0.05) different from the volume measured through water displacement method. The mean and standard deviation of the volume difference between the two methods were -81.1 cm$^3$ and 237.4 cm$^3$, respectively (95% confidence interval: -212.5 and 50.4 cm$^3$, P=0.207). The average percentage difference between the two methods was 7.60%. The Bland-Altman approach was also indicated to be a satisfactory, image processing method suitable for volume estimation of almost all size cantaloupe. Accordingly, image processing provides an accurate, simple, rapid and non-invasive method to estimate fruit volume and can be easily implemented in monitoring fruit growth as well as in sorting of fruits during postharvest processing.
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INTRODUCTION

Cantaloupe (Cucumis melo) is a subtropical fruit of the family Cucurbitaceae. Its spread from Italy to other parts of the world was rapid due to its climatic requirements being of an ordinary nature. Cantaloupe is considered as one of the most desirable fruits due to its high nutritive values. Besides a rich source of vitamin A and C, it contains a fair amount of nutrients (Calcium, Magnesium, Phosphorus, Potassium and Iron) as well as vitamins (B$_1$, B$_3$, B$_4$, and B$_6$). Cantaloupe’s constituents are comprised of: 55-59% edible portion, 87-92% moisture contents, 0.1-0.2% oil, 0.60-1.0% protein and 6.3-10.3% total soluble solids (Arabsalmani, 1996).

Iran produces 750,000 tons of cantaloupes (Iranian Ministry of Agriculture, Statistical Yearbook, 2005), but Iranian cantaloupe is not duly exported because of variability in size and shape along with a lack of proper mode of packaging.

Fruit size is one of the most determining quality parameters for evaluation as judged by consumer preference (Sadrnia et al., 2007). Consumers prefer fruits of equal weight, and uniform shape (Waseem et al., 2002). An estimation of mean fruit size is important in meeting quality standards, increasing market value, monitoring fruit growth, predicting fruit yield as well as proper sorting of fruits (Wilhelm et al., 2005). Fruit size estimation is also helpful in planning packaging, transportation and marketing operations (Tabatabaeefar et al.,
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The size of an agricultural produce is frequently represented by its mass because it is relatively simple to measure. However, volume-based sorting may provide a more efficient method than mass sorting. In addition, the mass of agricultural produce can be estimated from volume if the density is known.

Two common methods of volume measurement include gas displacement and water displacement. Gas displacement method does not harm the fruit but it is time-consuming. While water displacement method takes less time, it may have degrading effects on the produce. Both methods are best performed indoors and may not be of adequate practicality (Ngouajio et al., 2003).

Another method to determine fruit volume is the use of outer dimensions (Hall et al., 1996; Ngouajio et al., 2003). However, measuring dimensions using a caliper, subject to human error, may not be an efficient and practical approach in estimating volume, particularly in sorting large quantities of fruit in distribution terminals (Sadrnia et al., 2007).

Nowadays, the use of image processing is gaining interest for the surface area and volume determination of fruit. Sabliov et al. (2002) used an image processing algorithm to determine surface area and volume of axisymmetric agricultural products. Wang and Nguang (2007) used the methodology developed by Sabliov et al. (2002) to measure the surface area and the volume of agricultural products. They created a representation of the produce with a set of elementary cylindrical objects and estimated the volume by summing up the elementary volumes of individual cylinders. Both Sabliov et al. (2002) and Wang and Nguang (2007) reported that the method successfully estimated the surface area and the volume of lemons, limes, and peaches. Koc (2007) used image processing to determine the volume of watermelons. Rashidi et al. (2007) reported that image processing successfully estimated the volume of kiwifruits. Bailey et al. (2004) demonstrated an image processing approach which estimated the mass of agricultural products rapidly and accurately. They used two perpendicular views to estimate fruit volume, and then used the volume information to calculate mass through a closed-loop calibration.

The image processing estimation methods reported in literature were successfully applied to such agricultural produce as limes, lemons, peaches, watermelons, and kiwifruits. All these products are more regularly shaped than cantaloupe (Rashidi and Seyfi, 2007). An estimation of cantaloupe volume is important in size sorting as well as in monitoring growth development under various management practices. Image processing can also provide an alternative to estimate the volume of cantaloupe. The aim of this study was to estimate cantaloupe volume through image processing while utilizing standard softwares for data handling and analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Fifteen randomly selected cantaloupes (Cucumis melo cv. Samsouri) of various sizes were picked up from their storage piles. Fruits were selected for freedom from defects by careful visual inspection, transferred to the laboratory and held at 5±1°C and 90±5% relative humidity until use.

Experimental Procedure

The dimensions (Figure 1), i.e. length (L), major diameter (D1) and minor diameter (D2), were measured using a digital caliper. The mass of each cantaloupe was determined using a digital balance with ±5.0 g accuracy. The volume of each cantaloupe was measured using water displacement method. Each cantaloupe was submerged in
a container full of water, and the volume of displaced water was determined using a 250 cm\(^3\) capacity graduated cylinder. Water temperature during measurements was kept at 25°C.

**Figure 1.** The dimensions of a cantaloupe.

The image processing system consisted of a digital camera with USB connection, a fluorescent ring light source (40 W) and a personal computer (PC) equipped with Adobe Photoshop 8.0 (Version 2003), Compaq Visual Fortran 6.5 (Version 2000) and Microsoft Excel (Version 2003) programs. A white cardboard was placed on the table to provide a white background. The digital camera was placed at the center of the fluorescent ring light source. The light source and camera were mounted on an adjustable frame attached to the measurement table. A schematic picture of the image acquisition system is presented in Figure 2. The distance between the measurement table surface and the camera was set at 45 cm. Each cantaloupe was placed at the center of the camera’s field of view and two RGB color images were captured before and after manually rotating the cantaloupe 90° around the lateral axis.

The original RGB color image of each cantaloupe was converted to a grayscale image. Grayscale intensity represents 256 different shades of gray from black (0) to white (255). Using threshold technique, the selected region of interest on the grayscale image was then converted to a black-and-white image with pixel values of 0 or 255. From the grayscale image, pixel values less than 155 were converted to 0 (black) and pixel values higher than 155 converted to 255 (white), producing a black-and-white image for each cantaloupe. The threshold level of 155 was determined experimentally. Edge detection technique was then used to identify the cantaloupe edge in each image. Pixels showing the cantaloupe outline had the value of 0 and the remainder of the pixels in the image had the value of 255. Examples of the original RGB color, grayscale, black-and-white and outline images of a cantaloupe are shown in Figure 3. The original RGB color, grayscale and black-and-white images were recorded as a

**Figure 2.** Image acquisition system.
bitmap file while the cantaloupe outline image was recorded as a DAT file with a two-dimensional array. The purpose of processing and converting the original RGB color images to black-and-white and outline images was to reduce the file size and processing time during volume calculation using the computer software.

**Dimensional Calibration**

Each cantaloupe was placed at the center of the camera’s field of view. Cantaloupe major and minor diameters were found via a digital caliper. Without changing the position of the fruit, the first surface image was captured through the image acquisition system. The number of pixels representing the major and minor diameters of the cantaloupe were measured on the first captured image. Then, the cantaloupe was manually rotated 90° around the latitudinal axis and its length measured via a digital caliper. Again, without changing the position of the fruit, the second surface image was captured and the number of pixels representing the length of the cantaloupe measured. The dimensions in millimeters were divided by the dimensions in pixels and a mean conversion factor obtained for each cantaloupe. The mean conversion factor for a number of 15 cantaloupes was averaged and a single conversion factor determined. The same conversion factor was later used to estimate the volume of each and other cantaloupe.

**Volume Evaluation from Surface Images**

The outline images of each cantaloupe as shown in Figure 3 (IV) were employed to calculate volume using the disk technique (Riddle, 1979). Each two-dimensional outline image of cantaloupe was assumed to be composed of individual rectangular elements as shown in Figure 4.

**Figure 3.** (I) Original RGB color; (II) Grayscale; (III) Black-and-white, and (IV) Outline images of a cantaloupe.

![Figure 4. The outline image of cantaloupe was assumed to be composed of individual rectangular elements.](image-url)
The dimensional calibration was determined by measuring cantaloupe length, major and minor diameters in millimeters using a digital caliper and determining these parameters in pixels using image processing from the outline images. The dimensions measured by use of the digital caliper and via image processing are shown in Table 1. From the digital caliper and image processing measurements, a conversion factor of 1 pixel to 1.62 mm was determined. This conversion factor was used to estimate the volume of each cantaloupe using image processing.

**Comparison of Image Processing Method with Water Displacement Method**

The paired samples t-test results (Table 2) show that the volume determined through image processing is not significantly

<p>| Table 2. Paired sample t-test analyses on a comparison of volume measurement methods. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Average Difference (cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Standard deviation of difference (cm$^3$)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>95% confidence intervals for the difference in means (cm$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-81.1</td>
<td>237.4</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>-212.5 , 50.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Mass, dimensions and volumes of cantaloupes used in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Mass (g)</th>
<th>Dimensions Through digital caliper (mm)</th>
<th>Dimensions Through image processing (pixel)</th>
<th>Volume (cm$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Major diameter</td>
<td>Minor diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2755</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3380</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(P>0.05) different from the volume measured via water displacement. The mean volume difference between the two methods is -81.1 cm³ (95% confidence interval: -212.5 and 50.4 cm³, P = 0.207). The standard deviation of the volume differences was 237.4 cm³. A plot of the volumes determined by image processing method (IPM) and water displacement method (WDM) with equality line (1.0: 1.0) is shown in Figure 6. As shown in Figure 7, the volume differences between image processing and water displacement methods were normally distributed and 95% of the volume differences were expected to lie between μ±1.96σ and μ + 1.96σ, known as 95% limits of agreement (Bland and Altman, 1999). The 95% limits of agreement for comparison of volumes determined with water displacement and image processing were calculated to be -546.4 and 384.3 cm³. Figure 7 also shows that for small-sized cantaloupe, the volume estimated through image processing is less than the volume measured via water displacement (WDM-IPM>0). As the size of cantaloupe increases, an overestimation of volume occurs, when assessed through image processing (WDM-IPM<0). This is because of the change in distance between the digital camera and the cantaloupe surface. Since the distance between the digital camera and the stand table is constant, the distance between cantaloupe and the digital camera becomes less with increasing cantaloupe size.

The average percentage difference for volume estimation through image processing and via water displacement was 7.60%. As in this study image processing method was based on the assumption that each cantaloupe was axisymmetric in shape, the accuracy of the determining volume depended on the uniformity of the fruit having the presumed shape. With misshapen cantaloupes, which are not axisymmetric in shape set aside, image processing provides an accurate, simple, rapid and non-invasive method to estimate the fruit volume. This method can be easily adopted in monitoring the growth and development of the fruit under various management practices, in estimating the weight of individual cantaloupes and thus in sorting of cantaloupe during postharvest processing operations.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Image processing method with the disk approximation technique was employed to estimate the volume of cantaloupes of
varying sizes from sets of two surface images as captured with a digital camera. The volumes estimated using this method was statistically compared to the volumes measured through the water displacement method. The paired samples t-test results indicated that the difference between the volumes estimated through image processing vs. and water displacement was not significant (P> 0.05). The Bland-Altman approach also showed that, for all sized cantaloupe, image processing method satisfactorily estimated cantaloupe volume. Accordingly, image processing provides an accurate, simple, rapid and non-invasive method to estimate cantaloupe volume and can be easily implemented in monitoring growth development under various management practices as well as sorting of cantaloupe during postharvest processing.
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تعیین حجم طالبی با استفاده از پردازش تصویر
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چکیده

حجم طالبی با استفاده از دو روش جایگزین آب و پردازش تصویر تعیین گردید. در مقایسه حجم تعیین شده به روش پردازش تصویر و حجم اندازه‌گیری شده به روش جایگزین آب، از آزمون t و شیوه پلدند، آزمون نشان داد که حجم تعیین شده به روش پردازش تصویر با حجم اندازه‌گیری شده به روش جایگزین آب، دارای اختلاف معنی‌دار نیست (P=0.207) در این مطالعه میانگین و انحراف معیار اختلاف بین دو روش به ترتیب 81.1 cm۳ و 207.5 cm۳ (سطح اطمینان 95٪: 37.4 cm۳ و 50.4 cm۳) و میانگین درصد اختلاف بین دو روش 7.60٪ بود. نتایج حاصل از شیوه پلدند-آزمون نشان داد که روش پردازش تصویر، حجم اندازه‌های مختلف از طالبی را به طور رضایت‌بخشی تعیین می‌زند. بنابراین، پردازش تصویر یک روش دقیق، سریع و غیرتهیجی برای تعیین حجم میوه فرآهم آورده و می‌تواند به سادگی در پایش رشد میوه و درجه‌بندی میوه‌ها پس از برداشت مورد استفاده قرار گیرد.