1 2

3 4

5

6 7

In Press, Pre-Proof Version

The Effects of Fertigation on Plant Growth, Fruit and Photosynthesis Attributes of Strawberries (Fragaria×ananassa Duch.) under Deficit Irrigation

Seyed Mohammad Alavi¹, Seyyed Ebrahim Hashemi Garmdareh^{1*}, Yahya Selahvarzi², and Maryam Kamali²

8 1. Department of Water Engineering, College of Aburaihan, University of Tehran, Tehran, Islamic9 Republic of Iran.

Department of Horticultural Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad,
 Mashhad, Islamic Republic of Iran.

12 ***Corresponding author; e-mail: sehashemi@ut.ac.ir, Tel: +98-9131017699**

13 Abstract

This study investigated the effects of irrigation strategies including sustained deficit irrigation (SDI) 14 15 and partial root-zone drying (PRD) on the growth, physiology, and photosynthesis of strawberry plants in order to maximize crop productivity while maintaining water resources. This experiment has four 16 17 irrigation strategies (FI: control (full irrigation volume), PRD1 (full irrigation volume), PRD2 (50% 18 of FI), and SDI (50% of FI) and two fertilizer strengths (EC1 and EC2) with four replicates per 19 treatment. Gas exchange, leaf chlorophyll index, stomatal conductance (gs), and maximum quantum 20 efficiency of PSII photochemistry (F'v/F'm) were assessed on three occasions throughout the 21 experimental duration in order to monitor the impact of different irrigation strategies on 22 photosynthesis. Yield water use efficiency, as well as TSS (total soluble solids) and TA (total titratable 23 acidity), two fruit quality-related parameters, were also measured. In the final stage, PRD2-EC2 24 photosystem II efficiency was 9% higher than SDI-EC2. Also, the PRD strategy effectively influenced 25 and regulated the adjustment of stomatal conductance (gs). In diluted fertilizer (EC2), yield WUE of 26 PRD1 and SDI performed 15% and 30.7% lower than FI-EC2. However, PRD2-EC2 treatment 27 increased 72.5% more than the control. Our observations of leaf and fruit deficiencies showed that the 28 PRD strategy had long-term benefits for the plant and reduced water consumption. However, to 29 establish a sustainable irrigation strategy, the nutrient solution must be adjusted to control growth and 30 photosynthesis attributes.

Keywords: Chlorophyll Fluorescence, Deficit Irrigation, PSII Photochemistry Efficiency, Stomatal Conductance (gs).

1. Introduction

Water regulates physiological processes and plant productivity and is essential for plant growth and development. Water relations affect growth, physiology, and photosynthesis, therefore comprehending

31 32

33 34

35

36

them is important. In agricultural systems, deficit irrigation can improve water use efficiency and
 reduce water management by applying water below plant needs (Arief *et al.*, 2023; Martínez-Ferri *et*

- 39 *al.*, 2016). Sustained deficit irrigation (SDI) and partial root-zone drying (PRD) have been used in
- 40 various crops for decades due to their physical and secondary physiological benefits to plants and root
- 41 settings (Sepaskhah and Ahmadi, 2012). Their research indicates in water-scarce areas, PRD boosts
- 42 water productivity and maintains yield, prioritizing water value over just economic yield.
- 43 According to FAO statistics, in twenty years (2000-2020), the strawberry-cultivated area has increased
- 44 from 783713 to 384668. Iran has expanded its strawberry cultivation by over a thousand hectares in
- 45 this period, showing increased farmer interest in this product (Crops and livestock products, 2022).
- 46 Strawberry (*Fragaria* \times *ananassa* Duch.) is a highly valued crop due to its tasty and nutritious fruits. 47 Strawberry plants are sensitive to water availability, so irrigation is crucial. Research has examined 48 the effects of deficit irrigation on strawberry plant growth, physiology, photosynthetic properties, 49 water uptake, transport, and transpiration. Water availability, soil moisture, and drought stress affect 50 plant physiological and biochemical processes. Strawberry plants, like other crops, need optimal water 51 balance for cellular composition, nutrient absorption, and metabolism. Researching strawberry plant 52 responses to irrigation can aid in developing sustainable irrigation strategies that maximize crop productivity and conserve water resources (Ghaderi and Siosemardeh, 2011; Martínez-Ferri et al., 53 2016; Weber et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2020). Understanding the response of strawberry plants to 54 55
- irrigation can provide valuable insight into their adaptation mechanisms and help develop sustainable
 irrigation strategies to maximize crop productivity while maintaining water resources.
- 57 Strawberry plants are sensitive to drought stress, which can affect nutrient transport, cell expansion, 58 and growth (Weber *et al.*, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2019). Previous research shows that the physiological 59 responses of strawberry plants, including stomata behavior, osmotic regulation, transpiration, and 60 hormonal regulations, which play an important role in their ability to tolerate water stress, are 61 influenced by PRD strategy (Jensen et al., 2009; Yenni et al., 2022). Opening and closing stomata 62 adjust transpiration, which is affected by water availability, especially in PRD (Na *et al.*, 2014; Zhang 63 *et al.*, 2019). However, heavy irrigation or poor drainage can prevent nutrient absorption, reducing 64 growth and productivity. (Wu *et al.*, 2020).
 - The ability to accurately evaluate drought stress and its effects on plants is crucial to understanding plant responses and formulating effective strategies for production management. In recent years, nondestructive methods, such as the amount of photosynthesis and fluorescence chlorophyll, have appeared to evaluate drought stress in strawberry plants. One of the most commonly used chlorophyll fluorescence parameters is the maximum quantum yield of photosystem II (FV/FM). This is an indicator of the overall health and performance of the photosynthetic system (Murchie and Lawson,

65

66

67

68

69

70

2

71 2013; Na et al., 2014). Drought stress typically results in a decrease in Fv/Fm and reflects photosynthetic function(Murchie and Lawson, 2013; Zebrowska and Michalek, 2014). Strawberry 72 73 growth and fruit production depend on photosynthesis. Water status affects leaf carbon dioxide 74 emission and water availability for photosynthetic reactions, regulating photosynthetic levels. For 75 example, drought stress can close the stomata, limit carbon dioxide availability, reduce photosynthetic 76 efficiency, and increase chlorophyll fluorescence. In addition, drought can lead to dehydration and 77 damage to the photosynthetic system, ultimately affecting the productivity of strawberry plants. 78 Understanding the complex relation between water and growth, physiology, and photosynthesis of 79 strawberry plants is very important to optimize cultivation methods, enhance crop productivity, and formulate solutions to reduce the effects of water stress (Na et al., 2014; Iqbal et al., 2020; Alavi et 80

81 *al.*, 2023).

Considerable research has been undertaken in this particular field of study. Nevertheless, there exists a shortage of research related to the effects of irrigation strategies on gas exchange and the overall performance of strawberry plants throughout a full cultivation period. The objective of this study was to examine the effects of deficit irrigation strategies on the growth, physiological, and photosynthetic characteristics of hydroponic strawberry plants.

87

88 2.Materials and Methods

89 2.1. Greenhouse Condition

The study was conducted in a research greenhouse on strawberry plants (*Fragaria*× *ananassa Duch*.
var. Camarosa). at the University of Ferdowsi, located in Mashhad, Iran (36.29° N, 59.60° E), during
2021-2022. The humidity and temperature were kept at an average of 63% relative humidity and
26/18°C Day/Night, respectively. To maintain a 14-hour day and 10-hour night schedule, 400-watt
sodium vapor lamps were used.

The experiment commenced in November 2021 and finished on April 10th 2022. For the PRD treatment, plant roots were split up and transplanted into pots with artificial substrates made of a mixture of 70% perlite and 30% coco peat. The treatment pots had 25cm heights and 15cm diameters. The PRD were split by polycarbonate plastic sheets and securely sealed with insulating adhesive to block inter-part water transfer (Figure 1). All pots were subjected to a three-week establishment period and received fertilizer applications without experiencing any water deficit. The supply of nutrition solutions followed the Morgan method (Morgan, 2006). Fertigation was delivered to the strawberry plants by a drip irrigation system with two emitters for each pot.



Figure 1. (a) Strawberry plant with cleaned and separated roots prepared for planting. (b) Strawberry
 plant grown in PRD pots at the initiation of the experiment with polycarbonate separator.

107

108 2.2. Experimental Treatments

The experimental treatments were derived from the interaction of four irrigation strategies, namely Control, PRD1, SDI, and PRD2, with two fertilizer levels, EC1 and EC2. The PRD1 treatment was provided with an equivalent amount of fertigation as the control treatments (FI). The other two treatments, sustained deficit irrigation (SDI) and partial root-zone drying (PRD2) received 50% of FI with half-strength fertilizer in each pot. The experimental design consisted of four replications for each treatment, with each replication comprising three plants. This arrangement yielded a total of 96 pots

115 each pot contains one plant.

 Table 1. Composition of nutrient solution used in fertigation (Morgan, 2006).

Chemical Element (ppm)	Transplanting	Vegetative Growth	Flowering Stage	Fruiting Stage	
Nitrogen (N)	120.7	118.5	118.5	117.5	
Potassium (K)	143.6	157.7	179.51	177	
Calcium (Ca)	77.1	40	70.2	70	
Phosphorus (P)	40.1	42.5	42.355	42.5	
Magnesium (Mg)	28.6	29.5	29.46	27	
Sulfur (S)	32.6	38	47.795	37.5	
Iron (Fe)	3	3	3.51	3	
Zinc (Zn)	1.275	1.275	1.2	0.9	
Boron (B)	1.65	1.65	1.485	1.65	
Manganese (Mn)	1.755	1.755	1.755	1.49	
Molybdenum (Mo)	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.09	
Copper (Cu)	0.12	0.12	0.129	0.09	

117 2.3. Irrigation Management

- 118 An open hydroponic system that was automated and operated three times per day based on a digital 119 timer was used in this project. Pressurized drippers and diaphragm pumps were used to ensure precise
- 120 irrigation. The water quality was kept at a level that is suitable for strawberries, with a pH range of 5.5
- 121 to 6.0 and an EC (d/Sm) of 1.8 to 2.2 (Maluin *et al.*, 2021). The water holding capacity in the artificial 122 substrate is different from the soil; based on a previous study, available water (AW) was considered 123 in a potential matrix range between -1 and -10 kPa, and water in a potential matrix range between -1 124 and -5 kPa was considered as easily available water (EAW) (Marcelis and Heuvelink, 2019). The 125 amount of water in the substrates is experimentally dependent on the type of substrates, water intake, and plant resistance (Maluin *et al.*, 2021); In this experiment, a simplified water balance method 126 127 calculated averaged potential evapotranspiration (ETp). Using plastic bottle lysimeters beneath each 128 pot, water losses from irrigation drainage were collected. The experiment calculated each cultivar's 129 total evapotranspiration for both treatment conditions. The volume of water (V) in milliliters for fertigation was determined and subsequently modified on a weekly basis utilizing Equation 1. 130
- 131 Eau. 1: V = (ETp)(1 + LF)

132 ETp is the averaged potential evapotranspiration (mm) and LF is the leaching fraction percentage in 133 Equation 1. Considering standard irrigation practices used in commercial greenhouses, the LF was 134 20% during the experiment. Bi-daily irrigation rotation was used in PRD treatments. EC and pH in 135 fertigation were kept at 1.8 (dS m-1) and 6–6.5, respectively. During the experiment, each pot received 136 15.7 liters of fertigation water for the FI treatment. In PRD treatments, irrigation was rotated on a bi-137 daily basis, and only one part of the container was irrigated with fertigation in each irrigation. In full 138 fertigation, the EC and pH levels of fertigation were kept at 1.8 (d/Sm) and between 6 and 6.5, 139 respectively.

140

141 2.4. Measurements

142 2.4.1. The morphological attributes

[Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2024-05-31] 144 145 146 147 146 147 146 147 120 121 120 The ripe fruits (at full maturity and fruits ready to be consumed) were daily selected before the first irrigation, between 7:00 and 8:00 a.m., and their weight was recorded using a digital scale (GF 300) with an accuracy of 0.001 kg. At the end of the experiment, all plants were harvested, and the roots were precisely dug out of the substrate. The roots were sent to the lab to determine their volume and dry weight after being thoroughly washed in distilled water. The aerial parts and roots were placed in paper envelopes and dried for 48 hours at 85 °C to determine their dry weight (DW). A leaf area meter (Li-Cor 1300, USA) calculates the total leaf area. Specific leaf area (SLA) was calculated by dividing leaf area (m²) by plant leaf biomass (dry weight) in grams (Fernandez *et al.*, 2001).

151 **2.4.2.** Gas exchange measurement and photosynthetic attributes

- 152 Photosynthesis rate (A), transpiration rate (E), and stomatal conductance (gs)were recorded using the
- 153 LCA4 device made in England. Four measurements were taken from each plant, with a fully expanded
- 154 leaf chosen from the young leaves in the middle of the plant canopy and placed in the probe chamber
- 155 of the device. A young fully expanded leaf was placed in the probe chamber of the device, and the
- 156 readings were recorded and averaged after four measurements from each plant.
- 157 Maximum operating efficiency of photosystem II(PSII) was measured in leaves that were in a light-
- 158 adapted condition using an OS1-FL Modulated Fluorometer (Opti-Sciences, Inc., USA)(Equ.
- 159 1)(Murchie and Lawson, 2013); this measurement was performed on the same leaves used to measure
- 160 the photosynthetic rate.
- 161 Equ. 2: PSII maximum efficiency (φ PSII) or $(F_v'/F_m') = (F_m'-F_o')/F_m'$
- 162 F_v'/F_m' : Maximum efficiency of PSII photochemistry in a light-adapted state.
- 163 F_m': A saturating pulse under actinic illumination transiently closes all reaction centers and yields
- 164 maximal fluorescence in the light-adapted state.
- 165 F_o' : The chlorophyll fluorescence minimum value.
- 166 Finally, the total chlorophyll index of the leaves was measured using the SPAD 502 Chlorophyll
- Meter. Six replicates per plant were measured at 7:00 a.m. from fully expanded mature leaves. Gas
 exchange parameters, photosynthetic attributes, and SPAD index were measured non-destructively
- 169 three times, one month apart.
- 170

171 **2.4.3. Determination of TSS, TA and pH**

- The methodology outlined by Savic *et al.* (2008) (Savić *et al.*, 2008) was used to calculate total
 titratable acidity (TA). Using a pH meter (Elmetron CP-501) and a digital refractometer (DR 101-60),
 the pH value and total soluble solids (TSS) concentration were calculated.
- 176 **2.4.4. Water Use Efficiency**
 - Yield water use efficiency (WUE) was determined by employing Equation 3.

Equ. 3: WUE = FruitDry Weight (g) / Total Water Consumption (l)

In this equation, WUE is yield water use efficiency (g lit⁻¹).

2.5. Statistical Analysis and Experimental Design

Data for each variable were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a split-plot design using generalized linear model procedures (JMP®, Version 16, for Mac. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, 1989–2023). This study experimental split-plot with four replications used strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.). Each replication comprised three plants, resulting in a total of 96 pots utilized in the 186 study. Irrigation strategies were the main plot, and the fertilizer levels were the subplot. For the 187 statistical analysis, the LSD test at p<0.05 significance level was used.</p>

188

189 **3. Results**

3.1. Morphological characteristics

Several strawberry plant characteristics were significantly affected by irrigation strategies and fertilizer levels ($P \le 0.01$), as shown in Table 2. PRD1-EC1 and Controls (EC1 and EC2) had the most plant dry weight, but there was no statistical difference. According to Table 2, the Control (FI-EC2) reduced plant dry weight by 15.56%, while the PRD2 and SDI diluted fertilizer treatments reduced it by 47.62%. In this study, PRD2 treatments outperformed SDI treatments.

Table 2 shows that irrigation and fertilizer treatments had statistically significant effects on fruit weight and number. The parameter increased statistically in both the control and PRD1 treatments, as expected. The PRD2-EC2 treatment decreased 5% more than the control, which was not significant. The SDI-EC2 strategy reduced fruit fresh weight by 22.3% compared to the control group, as shown in Table 2. The experiments showed that full fertilizer worked slightly better than diluted fertilizer, but the difference wasn't statistically significant.

Deficit Irrigation	Fertilizer	Plant DryWeight (g)	Fruits Num	Average Fruits-FW (g)	Specific Leaf Area (cm ² g ⁻¹)
FI	EC1	35.06 ^{a*}	13.75 ^{bc}	7.96 ^a	65.08 ^a
	EC2	33.68 ^{ab}	18.75 ^a	7.13 ^{ab}	57.10 ^{bc}
PRD1	EC1	33.68 ^{ab}	17.75 ^a	7.15 ^{ab}	58.27 ^{bc}
	EC2	30.17 ^{bc}	14.50 ^b	6.85 ^{ab}	65.23ª
SDI	EC1	23.36 ^d	13.75 ^{bc}	4.68 ^c	57.16 ^{bc}
	EC2	17.64 ^e	8.00 ^d	5.54 ^{bc}	53.41°
PRD2	EC1	22.67 ^d	11.75°	6.01 ^{bc}	54.70 ^c
	EC2	28.44 ^c	16.25 ^{ab}	6.77 ^{ab}	62.01 ^{ab}

Table 2. The interaction effect of deficit irrigation \times fertilizer levels on physiological parameters of the strawberry plants.

*Means followed by similar letters in each trait do not have a significant difference based on the LSD test ($P \le 0.01$).

3.3. The Chlorophyll Index (SPAD)

Table 3 shows leaf chlorophyll index changes during the experiment. No statistically significant difference was found between the initial and subsequent leaf chlorophyll measurements. The final phase of treatment impact measurement changed significantly. The PRD2-EC2 treatment had the highest SPAD index at 72.32, significantly higher than PRD2-EC1 at 61.45 (Table 3). The PRD2-EC2

207 treatment did not significantly differ from the control or PRD2 treatments in SPAD rates. SDI

208 treatments had the lowest SPAD, 55.5 (Table 3). All treatments showed an upward chlorophyll index

trend.

index in days after t	treatment (DAT).				
Deficit Irrigation	Fertigation	15 DAT	45 DAT	75 DAT	
FI	EC1	49.4 ^a	46.32 ^b	70.7 ^{ab}	
(100% FC)	EC2	50.15 ^a	48.85 ^{ab}	55.25°	
PRD1	EC1	49.82ª	48.05 ^{ab}	61.45 ^{bc}	
	EC2	48.1ª	49.65 ^{ab}	72.32ª	
SDI	EC1	52.35ª	50.55 ^a	55.9°	
	EC2	49.92ª	48.6 ^{ba}	63.72 ^{abc}	
PRD2	EC1	50.15ª	50.8ª	71.82 ^{ab}	
	EC2	49.92 ^a	50.75 ^a	62.07^{abc}	

Table 3. The interaction effect of deficit irrigation \times fertigation levels on Strawberry plants SPAD index in days after treatment (DAT).

*Means followed by similar letters in each trait do not have a significant difference based on the LSD test ($P \le 0.01$).

210

211 **3.4.** Analysis of photosynthetic parameters and their performance

212 FI-EC1 and PRD1-EC1 had higher leaf photosynthesis (A) rates than most treatments, as shown in 213 Figure 2a. Except for PRD1, complete fertilizer yielded better second measurements than the other 214 treatments. The final photosynthesis rate measurement centered around water availability. The amounts of fertilizers did not significantly differ, but the irrigation techniques did. First-phase 215 216 measurements showed the highest gs for FI-EC1 (Figure 2b). PRD1-EC1 had the highest gs in stages 217 2 and 3. In the second and third stages, PRD2 had a higher gs than SDI. Strawberry leaf transpiration 218 (E) changes in FI and PRD1-EC2 were the only treatments to decrease in the final stage (Figure 2c). 219 Other than those two, most treatments rose gradually.



Figure 2. Effects of different treatments on photosynthetic rate (a), stomatal conductance (b), and transpiration (c) in three different data collecting stages.

The PSII photochemistry efficiency (F'v/F'm) results started **similarly**, with little variation (Figure 3). In stage two, the treatments show similar results to stage one, except for SDI, which decreased significantly. The peak level was recorded in FI-EC1 during the second time assessment. The differences become apparent in the final stage of this measurement. The lowest performance is observed in PRD1-EC2, SDI-EC2, and FI-EC2 treatments. PRD2-EC1 outperformed the other three treatments (Figure 3). Except for PRD2, other treatments have reduced photochemistry efficiency in diluted fertilizer (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Effects of different treatments on PSII photochemistry efficiency (Fv/Fm) in three different
 data collecting stages.

244 **3.5. Fruit quality characteristics**

Our study found that irrigation and fertilizer levels affected fruit quality parameters notably total 245 soluble solids (TSS) and titratable acidity (TA), as well as fruit pH (Table 4). The SDI-EC2 has nearly 246 247 twice the TSS of the control. Compared to FI-EC2, PRD2-EC2 increased TSS by 35.7%. Table 4 shows that PRD1 treatments did not differ significantly from control. Moreover, Table 4 reveals that 248 no significant difference in TA between SDI, PRD2, and the control group. However, PRD1-EC2 249 displayed the highest TA level of 1.61 g/100 ml, while SDI-EC2 exhibited the lowest TA amount of 250 251 0.64 g/100 ml. Except for PRD1, which had a statistically significant 10% pH reduction compared to 252 the control, strawberry juice pH did not vary significantly across treatments. Compared to complete

253 fertilizer, diluted fertilizer lowers pH by 5.7% (Table 4).

Table 4. The interaction effect of deficit irrigation×fertilizer levels (A) and their simple effect (B) on fruits chemical characteristics of strawberry plants

(A)				(B)		
Deficit Irrigation	Fertilizer	Fruit-TSS (°Brix)	Fruit-TA (g/100 ml)			Fruit-pH
FI	EC1	10.35 ^{b*}	1.09 ^{bc}			
	EC2	8.22 ^d	0.81 ^{cd}			
PRD1	EC1	10.14 ^{bc}	1.05 ^{bc}		FI	3.69 ^a
	EC2	8.71 ^{cd}	1.61 ^a	Deficit irrigation	PRD1	3.31 ^b
					SDI	3.6 ^a
					PRD2	3.63 ^a
PRD2	EC1	7.9 ^d	0.92^{bcd}			
	EC2	16.37 ^a	0.67 ^d			
				Fertigation	EC1	3.66 ^a
SDI	EC1	8.51 ^d	1.15 ^b		EC2	3.45 ^b
	EC2	11.16 ^b	0.64 ^d			

*Means followed by similar letters in each trait do not have a significant difference based on the LSD test ($P \le 0.01$).

254 **3.6. Yield Water Use Efficiency**

- 255 The findings of our study indicate that yield WUE in full irrigation treatments (FI and PRD1) did not
- 256 have a significant difference from each other. Also, in the diluted fertilizer (EC2), the performance of
- 257 PRD1 and SDI compared to FI-EC2 decreased by 15% and 30.7%, respectively. However, the PRD2-
- EC2 treatment increased significantly by 72.5% compared to the control (Figure 4). Furthermore, the
- 259 SDI-EC2 had the lowest outcome among other treatments.



260 261

Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2024-05-31]

Figure 4. Effects of different treatments on yield water use efficiency in strawberry plants.

262 **4. Discussion**

263 The strawberry variety, stress duration, and implementation conditions are factors that have been found 264 to impact the use of water, photosynthetic activity, and the application of deficit irrigation techniques 265 (Jensen et al., 2009; Ghaderi and Siosemarde, 2013; Shahnazari and Rezaiyan, 2015; Weber et al., 266 2017). Although the objective of deficit irrigation strategies is not to induce severe drought stress and 267 reduce yield, these occurrences are unavoidable due to the reduction in the amount of water applied 268 (Ghaderi and Siosemarde, 2013). Different irrigation techniques, through modifications in the physical 269 and chemical mechanisms of plants, can induce alterations in the plants internal and external reactions, 270 thereby enhancing their water use efficiency (Jensen *et al.*, 2009; Shi *et al.*, 2019).

271 One of the initial responses of plants to drought stress is the reduction of gs and gas exchange in leaves. 272 In SDI, the reaction reduces biomass production and water use efficiency, as previously 273 reported(Ghaderi and Siosemarde, 2013). In previous studies, the PRD approach, which boosts root 274 signaling in response to drought stress, modulated leaf stomatal conductance (Tabata *et al.*, 2014). 275 Prolonged dryness in a root zone causes a chain of physiological responses in the plant. Chemical 276 processes in the root release plant hormones like abscisic acid. They protect plant tissues from stress 277 and stabilize the cell wall membrane (MSI) in water-scarce conditions along with osmotic and plastic 278 adjustments in branches and leaves. By preserving cellular water and lowering leaf WSD, this 279 adaptation maintains leaf turgor (Ghaderi and Siosemarde, 2013; Weber et al., 2017; Rokosa and 280 Mikiciuk, 2020). According to previous studies and our findings in Table 3, PRD preserved leaf 281 chlorophyll better than SDI. This observation is of particular significance due to the established 282 correlation between chlorophyll content and leaf photosynthesis rate(Shi *et al.*, 2019).

- 283 Yield is greatly influenced by photosynthesis (A) and leaf transpiration (E) in the second and third 284 stages, especially during fruiting stage. Moreover, water stress and gs reduction are positively 285 correlated with decreased transpiration rate (Ghaderi and Siosemardeh, 2011; Ghaderi and Siosemarde, 2013). PRD decreases plants gs compared to FI but increases it compared to SDI (Figure 286 287 2). These arrangements increased CO2 assimilation (photosynthesis) over SDI. Strawberries and other 288 plants have shown this mechanism of action. However, gs regulation and yield were unaffected by 289 short-term PRD implementation in strawberry plants (Jensen *et al.*, 2009); as shown in Figures 2 and 290 3, initial assessments of gs, A, E, and SPAD index showed no significant differences. During drought-291 induced stress, the PRD strategy has shown better outcomes over time. Previous studies, similar to 292 SDI treatments, reports that drought stress decreases strawberry chlorophyll, A, gs, and E (Ghaderi 293 and Siosemardeh, 2011; Ghaderi and Siosemarde, 2013).
- A key characteristic of stress is photosystem II quantum efficiency (F_v'/F_m') , which indicates its efficiency of photosystem II. Drought reduces photosynthesis and increases ROS production by decreasing F_v'/F_m' . The decline in F_v'/F_m' can accurately measure plant drought tolerance in greenhouse cultivation. According to other studies, photosynthetic rates decreased as plant growth and productivity decreased. CO2 assimilation decreased mostly due to diffusional limitations (Murchie and Lawson, 2013; Shi *et al.*, 2019). Gs reduction and yield are related, and F_v'/F_m' changes support this significant
- 300 decrease (Figure 3). 301 Physical mechanisms in the substrate environment and plant tissues make PRD more effective. PRD 302 improves water and nutrient absorption by increasing root hydraulic conductivity (Kang *et al.*, 2002; 303 Shao *et al.*, 2008). Compared to SDI methods, applying an equivalent amount of water in a smaller 304 substrate volume creates a deeper moisture front. Therefore, the plant will be more resilient to drought 305 (Kang *et al.*, 2002; Wang *et al.*, 2017). SDI plants exhibited severe deficiency in our experiment. Insufficient nutrients reduce yield and quality in hydroponic cultivation (Wu et al., 2020). The aforementioned inadequacy is noted in SDI treatments. Fertilizer toxicity reduces flower and fruit yield (Massa et al., 2020). In Table 2, FI-EC1 and PRD2-EC1 plants show this phenomenon. FI-EC2 and PRD2-EC2 were more effective due to lower root salt concentrations (Table 2).
 - Fruit taste parameters affect marketability and economics (Wu *et al.*, 2020). Previous research linked sugar/acid ratio to sensory preference. Analysis has also shown that low TSS or high TA content causes low sweetness in sensory evaluation (Ran, 2014; Wu *et al.*, 2020). Similar to our findings in Table 4,

- 313 previous research has also shown deficit irrigation increases TSS and decreases TA in strawberry 314 cultivars (Weber et al., 2017; Ariza et al., 2021).
- 315 Water availability mainly affected the plant's dry weight. The experiment linked water scarcity to 316 number reduction. Water availability dominated the plant's dry-weight growth. Water scarcity was 317 linked to leaf number reduction in the experiment. A decrease in foliage during periods of drought was 318 reported in a previous report on C3 plants due to chlorophyll degradation (Shi *et al.*, 2019) in 319 strawberries (Yenni et al., 2022). In comparison to diluted fertilizer, complete fertilizer improved SLA 320 performance in control and SDI pots compared to diluted fertilizer. PRD pots improved the plant's 321 SLA by increasing water accessibility. The analysis of growth parameters in split and unsplit pots, 322 utilizing equal volumes of diluted fertigation, effectively illustrates the effects of prolonged root 323 dryness (Table 2).
- 324 According to empirical data (Table 2), water stress (SDI) reduces fruit weight and quantity which 325 ultimately leads to a reduction in the plant's overall yield (Martínez-Ferri et al., 2016; Adak et al., 2018; Rugienius et al., 2021). The PRD2 treatment did not significantly reduce fruit quantity or weight 326 327 compared to control (Table 2). The deficit irrigation didn't affect 'Flamenco' strawberry yield or size, 328 according to Weber *et al.* (2017). Shahnazari and Rezaiyan (2015) reported that PRD performed best 329 and was closest to full irrigation treatment in quantitative and qualitative terms. The quantity of 330 fertilizer applied also made a difference. For instance, Due to over-irrigation and nutrient deficiency, 331 PRD1-EC1 performed better than PRD1-EC2(Table 2).
- 332 Research has shown that drought stress reduces leaf numbers (Razavi *et al.*, 2008; Shi *et al.*, 2019). 333 Water use efficiency can be improved by using drought-resistant cultivars (Martínez-Ferri *et al.*, 2016), 334 as reducing leaf area and SLA reduces transpiration. Furthermore, previous research indicates that the 335 weight of a single strawberry fruit is correlated with the amount of water given to plants (Rokosa and 336 Mikiciuk, 2020); because of PRD's superiority, this parameter did not differ significantly between 337 control and PRD, but SDI did (Table 2).
- 338 Water use efficiency (WUE) is an important practical parameter and a stress indicator for this experiment. Strawberry berry size and yield decrease with water deficit (Giné Bordonaba and Terry, 2010; Weber et al., 2017; Rugienius et al., 2021). Water consumption efficiency (WUE) was superior in PRD treatments than SDI treatments which was achieved by reducing water consumption by 50%, ensuring adequate nutrient supply, maintaining the health of the substrates, and irrigating with a deep moisture level. The functions were detailed above. Previous studies on strawberries found similar results (Giné Bordonaba and Terry, 2010; Zhang et al., 2019; Rokosa and Mikiciuk, 2020). Insufficient water and essential elements caused plant and fruit quality issues, regardless of PRD treatment's superior performance. Despite the lack of statistical significance, the decrease in mean fruit weight

may have adverse effects on the marketability of the crop (Giné Bordonaba and Terry, 2010; Rokosa
and Mikiciuk, 2020), thereby posing a significant challenge to the efficacy of the irrigation approach.

350 **5. Conclusions**

349

Deficit irrigation can improve yield water use efficiency, according to this study. We found that FI-351 352 EC2 treatment significantly increased second-stage evaporation from leaves. When FI-EC1 and PRD1-353 EC1 treatments were used, the rate of leaf photosynthesis increased, and the rate of leaf photosynthesis 354 for these treatments showed an upward trend. The PRD2 treatment had higher gs than SDI in the 355 second and third stages. Using an appropriate approach for the plant can maintain strawberry 356 productivity and quality and increase water use efficiency. We found a clear difference between deficit irrigation strategies. The PRD2-EC2 had the best performance in the terms of saving water and 357 358 fertilizers. However, diluted fertilizer levels may have quality issues despite maintaining yield water 359 use efficiency. Thus, future research can address nutrient deficiencies and improve sustainable 360 production with appropriate fertilizer. It was shown that using a PRD strategy in hydroponic 361 greenhouses to grow strawberries in water-scarce conditions can balance environmental sustainability 362 and economic benefit.

364 6. References

363

- Adak, N., Gubbuk, H., Tetik, N., 2018. Yield, quality and biochemical properties of various strawberry
 cultivars under water stress. J Sci Food Agric 98, 304–311. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8471</u>
- Alavi, S.M., Kamali, M., Selahvarzi, Y., Ansari, S., 2023. Deficit irrigation strategies (PRD, SDI) and
 titanium nanoparticles improve water use efficiency and flower quality in greenhouse-grown cut
 roses. Sci Rep 13, 18019. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-45042-1
- Arief, M.A.A., Kim, H., Kurniawan, H., Nugroho, A.P., Kim, T., Cho, B.-K., 2023. Chlorophyll
 Fluorescence Imaging for Early Detection of Drought and Heat Stress in Strawberry Plants. Plants
 12, 1387. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12061387
- Ariza, M.T., Miranda, L., Gómez-Mora, J.A., Medina, J.J., Lozano, D., Gavilán, P., Soria, C.,
 Martínez-Ferri, E., 2021. Yield and Fruit Quality of Strawberry Cultivars under Different
 Irrigation Regimes. Agronomy 11, 261. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11020261
 - Crops and livestock products [WWW Document], 2022. FAO. URL <u>https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/</u> QCL(accessed 11.12.22).
 - Fernandez, G.E., Butler, L.M., Louws, F.J., 2001. Strawberry Growth and Development in an Annual
 Plasticulture System. HortScience 36, 1219–1223. <u>https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.36.7.1219</u>

- 380 Ghaderi, N., Siosemarde, A., 2013. Effect of Water Stress on Some Physiological Characteristics in 381 Three Strawberry Cultivars. IJHS 44, 129–136. https://doi.org/10.22059/ijhs.2013.35046
- 382 Ghaderi, N., Siosemardeh, A., 2011. Response to drought stress of two strawberry cultivars (cv.
- 383 Kurdistan and Selva). Hortic Environ Biotechnol 52, 6-12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13580-011-384 0019-6
- 385 Gholinezhad, E., 2020. Impact of drought stress and stress modifiers on water use efficiency, 386 membrane lipidation indices, and water relationship indices of pot marigold (Calendula officinalis 387 L.). Rev. Bras. Bot. 43, 747–759. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40415-020-00651-2
- 388 Giné Bordonaba, J., Terry, L.A., 2010. Manipulating the taste-related composition of strawberry fruits 389 (Fragaria×ananassa) from different cultivars using deficit irrigation. Food Chem 122, 1020–1026.

390 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.03.060

- 391 Iqbal, R., Raza, M.A.S., Toleikiene, M., Ayaz, M., Hashemi, F., Habib-ur-Rahman, M., Zaheer, M.S., 392 Ahmad, S., Riaz, U., Ali, M., Aslam, M.U., Haider, I., 2020. Partial root-zone drying (PRD), its
- effects and agricultural significance: a review. Bull Natl Res Cent 44, 159. 393 394 https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-020-00413-w
- 395 Jensen, N.L., Jensen, C.R., Fulai, L., Petersen, K.K., 2009. Water relations and abscisic acid in pot-396 grown strawberry plants under limited irrigation. JASHS, 134(5), 574-580.
- 397 Kang, S., Hu, X., Goodwin, I., Jerie, P., 2002. Soil water distribution, water use, and yield response to 398 partial root zone drying under a shallow groundwater table condition in a pear orchard. Sci Hortic 399 92, 277-291. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4238(01)00300-4
- 400 Maluin, F.N., Hussein, M.Z., Nik Ibrahim, N.N.L., Wayayok, A., Hashim, N., 2021. Some Emerging 401 Opportunities of Nanotechnology Development for Soilless and Microgreen Farming. Agronomy 402 11, 1213. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11061213
- 403 Marcelis, L., Heuvelink, E. (Eds.), 2019. Achieving sustainable greenhouse cultivation. Burleigh 404 Dodds Science Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429266744
- 405 Martínez-Ferri, E., Soria, C., Ariza, M.T., Medina, J.J., Miranda, L., Domíguez, P., Muriel, J.L., 2016. [Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2024-05-31] 800 + 00 Water relations, growth and physiological response of seven strawberry cultivars (Fragaria×ananassa Duch.) to different water availability. Agric Water Manag 164, 73-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.08.014
 - Massa, D., Magán, J.J., Montesano, F.F., Tzortzakis, N., 2020. Minimizing water and nutrient losses from soilless cropping in southern Europe. Agric Water Manag 241, 106395. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106395
 - Morgan, L., 2006. Hydroponic strawberry production. Suntec (NZ).

- 413 Murchie, E.H., Lawson, T., 2013. Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis: a guide to good practice and 3983-3998. 414 understanding applications. J Exp Bot 64, some new 415 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert208
- 416 Na, Y.-W., Jeong, H.J., Lee, S.-Y., Choi, H.G., Kim, S.-H., Rho, I.R., 2014. Chlorophyll fluorescence 417 as a diagnostic tool for abiotic stress tolerance in wild and cultivated strawberry species. Hortic 418 Environ Biotechnol 55, 280-286. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13580-014-0006-9
- 419 Ran, W. and others, 2014. Effect of split root fertigation on the growth and yield of greenhouse 420 strawberry cv. Elsanta (master's thesis). Helsingfors universitet.
- 421 Razavi, F., Pollet, B., Steppe, K., van Labeke, M.C., 2008. Chlorophyll fluorescence as a tool for 422 evaluation of drought in strawberry. Photosynthetica 46, stress 631–633. 423 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11099-008-0108-7
- Rokosa, M., Mikiciuk, M., 2020. ASSESSMENT OF PHYSIOLOGICAL AND MORPHOLOGICAL 424
- 425 TRAITS OF PLANTS OF THE GENUS Fragaria UNDER CONDITIONS OF WATER
- DEFICIT A STUDY REVIEW. Acta Sci. Polonorum Hortorum Cultus 19, 21–39. 426 427 https://doi.org/10.24326/asphc.2020.1.3
- 428 Rugienius, R., Bendokas, V., Siksnianas, T., Stanys, V., Sasnauskas, A., Kazanaviciute, V., 2021. 429 Characteristics of Fragaria vesca Yield Parameters and Anthocyanin Accumulation under Water 430 Deficit Stress. Plants 10, 557. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10030557
- 431 Sairam, R.K., 1994. Effect of moisture-stress on physiological activities of two contrasting wheat 432 genotypes. Indian J Exp Biol 32, 594.
- 433 Savić, S., Stikić, R., Radović, B.V., Bogičević, B., Jovanović, Z., Šukalović, V.H.-T., 2008. 434 Comparative effects of regulated deficit irrigation (RDI) and partial root-zone drying (PRD) on 435 growth and cell wall peroxidase activity in tomato fruits. Sci Hortic 117, 15-20. 436 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2008.03.009
- 437 Sepaskhah, A.R., Ahmadi, S.H., 2012. A review on partial root-zone drying irrigation. Int J Plant Prod 438 4, 241–258. https://doi.org/10.22069/ijpp.2012.708
- Shahnazari, A., Rezaiyan, M., 2015. Effect of Regulated Deficit Irrigation (RDI) and Partial Root zone Drying (PRD) on Quantitative and Qualitative Traits of Strawberry. Water Soil 29, 820–827. https://doi.org/10.22067/jsw.v0i0.23836
- Shao, G.-C., Zhang, Z.-Y., Liu, N., Yu, S.-E., Xing, W.-G., 2008. Comparative effects of deficit irrigation (DI) and partial rootzone drying (PRD) on soil water distribution, water use, growth 11-16. and yield in greenhouse hot pepper. Sci Hortic 119. grown https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2008.07.001

- Shi, L., Wang, Z., Kim, W.S., 2019. Effect of drought stress on shoot growth and physiological
 response in the cut rose 'charming black' at different developmental stages. Hortic Environ
 Biotechnol 60, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13580-018-0098-8
- Tabata, R., Sumida, K., Yoshii, T., Ohyama, K., Shinohara, H., Matsubayashi, Y., 2014. Perception
 of root-derived peptides by shoot LRR-RKs mediates systemic N-demand signaling. Science
 (1979) 346, 343–346. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1257800
- Wang, Y., Jensen, C.R., Liu, F., 2017. Nutritional responses to soil drying and rewetting cycles under
 partial root-zone drying irrigation. Agric. Water Manag. 179, 254–259.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.04.015</u>
- Weber, N., Zupanc, V., Jakopic, J., Veberic, R., Mikulic-Petkovsek, M., Stampar, F., 2017. Influence
 of deficit irrigation on strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duch.) fruit quality. J Sci Food Agric 97,
 849–857. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7806
- Wu, Y., Li, L., Li, M., Zhang, M., Sun, H., Sigrimis, N., 2020. Optimal fertigation for high yield and
 fruit quality of greenhouse strawberry. PLoS One 15, e0224588.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0224588
- 461 Yenni, Ibrahim, M.H., Nulit, R., Sakimin, S.Z., 2022. Influence of drought stress on growth,
 462 biochemical changes and leaf gas exchange of strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duch.) in
 463 Indonesia. AIMS Agriculture and Food 7, 37–60. <u>https://doi.org/10.3934/agrfood.2022003</u>
- Zebrowska, J., Michalek, W., 2014. Chlorophyll fluorescence in two strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa
 Duch.) cultivars. J. Cent. Eur. Agric. 15, 12–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.5513/JCEA01/15.4.1501</u>
- Zhang, K., Dai, Z., Wang, W., Dou, Z., Wei, L., Mao, W., Chen, Y., Zhao, Y., Li, T., Zeng, B., Liu,
 T., Yan, J., Fan, Y., Li, B., Jia, W., 2019. Effects of partial root drying on strawberry fruit. Eur J
 Hortic Sci 84, 39–47. <u>https://doi.org/10.17660/eJHS.2019/84.1.6</u>
- 469

بررسی اثر کودآبیاری بر برخی صفات رویشی، زایشی و فتوسنتزی گیاه توت فرنگی تحت شرایط کم آبیاری (Fragaria× ananassa Duch var. Camarosa)

[Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2024-05-31] 742 2024-05-31] 742 2024-05-31] 742 2024-05-31] 742 2024-05-31]

471

س .م. علوی، س. ا. ہاشمی گرمدرہ، ی. سلاح ورزی، و م. کمالی

چکیدہ

در این مطالعه با هدف به حداکثر رساندن عملکرد محصول در عین حفظ منابع آب، اثرات برخی استراتژیهای آبیاری از جمله بر صفات رشدی، فیزیولوژیکی و فتوسنتزی گیاه توتفرنگی مورد (PRD) و خشکی موضعی ریشه (SDI) کم آبیاری پایا (حجم PRD1))، (FIبررسی قرار گرفت. آزمایش فوق دارای چهار استراتژی آبیاری شامل تیمار شاهد (حجم آبیاری کامل و چهار تکرار در هر)EC2 و EC1) به همراه دو سطح کودی (FI (50 درصد SDI) و FI (50 درصد PRD2آبیاری کامل)، در سه نوبت در (F'v/F'm) او کارایی فتوسیستم (gs) تیمار بود. تبادلات گازی، شاخص کلروفیل برگ، هدایت روزنه ای طول مدت آزمایش ارزیابی گردید. شاخص برداشت، کارایی مصرف آب، عملکرد، مواد جامد محلول، اسیدیته کل از دیگر صفات) MSI و MSD اندازه گیری شده بود. نتایج نشان داد کمبود آبیاری و کوددهی بهطور معنیداری بر شاخصهای تنش در گیاه (

- به میزان ۹ درصد بیشتر II کارایی فتوسیستمPRD2-EC2و کیفیت میوه تأثیر گذار است. در نوبت سوم اندازه گیری، در تیمار
 به میزان ۹ درصد بیشتر II کارایی فتوسیستمPRD2-EC2و کیفیت میوه تأثیر گذار است. در نوبت سوم اندازه گیری، در تیمار
 بال (EC2)تأثیر گذاشت. در کود رقیق شده به طور موثر بر هدایت روزنه ای PRD بود. همچنین، استراتژی SDI-EC از تیمار
 بود و در EC2 T1 51 51% و 30/7% کمتر از به ترتیب به میزان SDI و PRD1 راندمان تولید به از ای آب مصرفی در تیمار
 دارای مزایای PRD به میزان 30/5 درصد بیشتر از شاهد افزایش یافت. مشاهدات نشان داد که استراتژی PRD2-EC2 تیمار
 باندمدت برای گیاه و موثر در کاهش مصرف آب است. با این حال، برای ایجاد یک استراتژی آبیاری پایدار، هدایت الکتریکی
 باندمدت برای گیاه و موثر در کاهش مصرف آب است. با این حال، برای ایجاد یک استراتژی آبیاری پایدار، هدایت الکتریکی