Salinity Tolerance Screening in Iranian and Afghan Melons (*Cucumis melon*) Based on Several Associated Morphological and Physiological Traits

Hikmatullah Hikmat[1], Maryam Haghighi[2]*, Hamid Reza Eshghizadeh[3], Golnoosh Banitalebi[4]

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to investigate the effect of salinity on morphological and physiological traits of native Iranian melon landrace and Afghan melon cultivars using a split-plot experiment with a Randomized Complete Block Design in three replications. Two salinity levels (2 and 8 dSm^{-1} NaCl) and 39 cultivars from Iran and Afghanistan were used in this study. PCA comparisons were done between morphological and physiological parameters. The sensitive and tolerant cultivars were chosen based on proximity to high yield, morphological characteristics, and distance from stress indices. The biplot results showed a high correlation between vitamin C traits with soluble solids, proline, and relative water content and a negative correlation with Fv/Fm ratio. These indices are good indicators for identifying saline resistance cultivars. Salinity stress increased electrolyte leakage, proline concentration, total antioxidant activity, sodium content, vitamin C, organic acid, and total soluble solids. In addition, salinity decreased the yield, mean fruit weight, firmness, fruit length, fruit width, internal cavity length, internal cavity width, flesh thickness and fruit peel thickness, Fv/Fm ratio, greenness index, relative water content, leaf potassium. The highest concentrations of sodium were found in the Gorgi Shirdan Jorgeaval cultivar under salinity, while the highest concentrations of potassium were found in the Torkamani cultivar under non-saline conditions. Analysis revealed two types of Torkamani and Zanki melon which are recommended to plant in saline conditions.

Keywords: Abiotic stress, Genetic diversity, Melon yield, Resistance cultivar, Salinity, Total antioxidant activity

[1] Former MS student-Department of Horticulture-College of Agriculture-Isfahan University of Technology-Isfahan-Iran

[2] Associate Professor-Department of Horticulture-College of Agriculture-Isfahan University of Technology-Isfahan-Iran

[3] Associate Professor-Department of Plant Production and Genetics-College of Agriculture-Isfahan University of Technology Isfahan- Iran

[4] Former PhD student-Department of Soil Science-College of Agriculture-Isfahan University of Technology-Isfahan-Iran

* Corresponding author: mhaghighi@cc.iut.ac.ir

INTRODUCTION

Melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) is one of the world's significant horticulturale crops, growing extensively in arid and semiarid regions (Akrami and Arzani, 2018). Iran plays a significant role in global melon production, with an annual output of approximately 854,000 tons from a cultivated area of 40,500 hectares. (Sarabi and Ghashghaie, 2022).

Salinity in the growing environment is one of the limiting factors in crop production. Due to the use of excessive fertilizers and excessively saline water, saline environments are a significant contributor to the rise in agricultural stress conditions (Dias *et al.,* 2018). The salt ratio in the environment has a significant impact on various biochemical and physiological processes in plants (Tarchoun *et al.,* 2022). High salinity levels can have negative effects on seed germination and disrupt several physiological and metabolic processes, including changes in enzymatic activities (Tarchoun *et al.,* 2022). It is important to identify the ideal conditions to determine stable genotypes that can withstand different types of stress. The performance and productivity of genotypes are influenced by multiple factors, including abiotic stresses (Yaşar, 2023).

Under salt stress, osmotic potential due to limited water uptake from the soil and ion toxicity cause cell dysfunction and damage to physiological activities, such as photosynthesis and respiration, resulting in diminished plant growth and development at various growth stages (Deinlein *et al.,* 2014). Plants, being unable to move, have developed complex systems and adaptive responses to cope with salt stress. When the soil contains high levels of salinity, sodium and chloride ions accumulate, leading to a decrease in the availability of essential nutrients and water for plants (Van Zelm *et al.,* 2020). Maintaining a balance between potassium (K^+) and sodium (Na^+) is crucial for plants to tolerate salt stress. Therefore, effective regulation and compartmentalization of Na+ and K+ homeostasis play a critical role in enhancing salt stress tolerance in plants (Almeida Almeida *et al.,* 2017; Sheikhalipour *et al.,* 2022).

The salinity tolerance threshold for melon is 2.2 dSm^{-1}. Melon is a salt-sensitive crop (Silva *et al.,* 2020). The electric conductivity (EC) value of 3.31 dSm^{-1} has no significant effect on melon production, according to Silva *et al.* (2020). In contrast, when the soil is irrigated with water and a high percentage of salt, a decrease in productivity is typically observed. According to Silva *et al.* (2020), to correct the osmotic potential within the cell, melon eliminates Na^+ and Cl^- ions and synthesizes suitable solutes, such as proline and citrulline. According to Pereira *et al.* (2017), increasing irrigation water salinity lowers the growth, dry mass, and physiological attributes of

2

60   melon cultivars. However, extensive research on the reaction of melons to salinity has revealed

61   that melons' tolerance to salinity is cultivar-dependent (Dias *et al.,* 2018). Some melon cultivars

62   are tolerant of salinity because they have more effective mechanisms for stress resistance, allowing

63   them to be grown in salinized environments (Silva *et al.,* 2020). Pereira *et al.* (2017) investigated

64   five melon cultivars and identified Sancho as most salinity-tolerant, followed by Mandacaru,

65   Medelln, Sedna, and Néctar.

66   The comparison between Iranian and Afghan melons in this study was conducted to examine the

67   physiological differences and similarities between these two populations. This comparison can

68   contribute to a better understanding of salt tolerance traits in melons and help improve their

69   performance and select suitable varieties for saline and harsh environmental conditions.

70   Additionally, comparing with Iranian melon populations can provide insights into the genetic

71   diversity and improvement potential in Iranian melon populations. Generally this study

72   investigated the responses of Iranian melon landrace and Afghan melon to salinity to determine: i)

73   the effect of salinity stress on growth and yield; ii) the identification of some physiological markers

74   of salinity tolerance, and iii) the selection of salinity-tolerant cultivars for future study and

75   recommended to cultivate in the saline region.

76

77   **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

78   **Experimental Design (First Experiment)**

79   The study involved using different types of melons from Iran and Afghanistan. These melons were

80   grown with two different levels of salt in the irrigation water including , S1=2 dSm^{-1} of NaCl as

81   the control and S2=8 dSm^{-1} of NaCl as the salinity stress. Every three weeks, plants were irrigated

82   with control water to prevent excessive accumulation of salts. The experimental design was

83   performed as split-plot randomized blocks, with two irrigation levels, melon genotypes, and three

84   replications consisting of three biological replicates. The experiment was conducted at the Isfahan

85   University of Technology Lavark Research Farm Station, located in Najaf Abad (32°32′N,

86   51°23′E, 1630 m above mean sea level), Iran. The soil texture was clay loam, thermic Typic,

87   Haploargids with a bulk density of 1.4 g cm^{-3} and an average pH of 7.5. Based on initial

88   investigation, plowing, animal manure, and chemical fertilizers were applied to the soil. In the

89   supplementary file, Table 1 and Figure 1 describe 39 types of melons from Iran and Afghanistan,

90   including 14 varieties landrace from central Iran and 26 from the southwest of Afghanistan. These

91   melons were cultivated in fourteen rows, with seven rows serving as control treatments and the

3

other seven rows as salinity treatments. The rows were spaced 2 meters apart and 36 meters in length.

The plants were spaced apart by 50 cm. At the four-leaf stage of the melon seedling, two salinity levels of 2 and 8 dSm^{-1} were applied. The plants were irrigated (once every 4-6 days) using drip irrigation systems with a dripper distance of 50 cm, based on its water requirements. At the time of harvest the fruit Morpho-physiological characteristics were evaluated and described according to descriptor (ECPGR, 2008).

Measured Parameters

After harvesting, each fruit was individually tallied and weighed. The average quantity and weight of fruits were determined. The yield per plant per square meter was estimated using the average plant weights.

A ruler and caliper were used to determine the length, width, flesh thickness, peel thickness, length and width of the fruit's internal cavity, and length and diameter of its seeds. In addition, the weight of the seeds was determined using a digital balance (g).

The relative leaf water content (RWC) was determined using the method of Filella *et al.* (1998). To accomplish this, 0.5 g of fresh leaves from the youngest mature leaves (FW) were extracted from each sample and replication and placed in distilled water for 24 hours. The samples were then cleaned for surface moisture and weighed once more (TW). The leaf samples were dried for 48 hours at 75 °C, and their dry weight (DW) was determined. The relative water content of the leaves was determined by the following formula:

$$RWC = (FW - DW)/(TW - DW) \times 100 \qquad (1)$$

The chlorophyll index in the leaves were evaluated by employing a non-destructive method using the Minolta SPAD-502 (SPAD 502 plus, Japan) leaf chlorophyll meter. Three readings of each sample were taken in each treatment replication, and their mean was then calculated (Franco *et al.,* 1993).

The determination of proline concentrations can be assessed through the application of the ninhydrin test, as stated by Bates *et al.* as explained by Haghighi *et al.* (2022). in their seminal work published in 1973. The leaf samples were subjected to homogenization at a temperature of 4 °C, utilizing a solution of sulfosalicylic acid with a concentration of 3%. Following this, the resulting solution was subjected to incubation and centrifugation at a speed of 5000 rpm for 20

122 minutes. The supernatant was combined with a solution comprising ninhydrin (2.5%

123 concentration), phosphoric acid (60% concentration, v/v), and glacial acetic acid (100%

124 concentration, 1 mL). The absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 518 nm.

125 The proportion of electrolyte leakage (EL) was determined using the method of Lutts *et al.* (1996).

126 From the plant leaves, ten one-centimeter-diameter discs were made. The samples were cleaned

127 three times with distilled water and once with deionized water before being placed in tubes

128 containing 10 mL of deionized water and shaken. Using a conductometer, the initial electrical

129 conductivity (EC_1) of the solution was measured after 24 hours. The tubes were then placed in an

130 autoclave for 20 minutes at a temperature of 120 °C. After removing the test tubes from the

131 autoclave and bringing them to room temperature, the final electrical conductivity (EC_2) of the

132 solutions was determined. Following this, the proportion of leaf electrolyte loss was calculated:

$$Electrolyte\ leakage\ (\%) = (EC_1 / EC_2) \times 100 \qquad (2)$$

133 Leaves extract is made with diluted nitric acid, potassium and sodium concentrations determined

134 using a flame photometer (Model PFP7, Jenway, England) (Haghighi *et al.,* 2022).

135 To assess the firmness of the fruit, a penetrometer (model OSK-I-10,576, Ogawa Seiki Co. Ltd.,

136 Tokyo, Japan) was used to measure the skin puncture strength of fresh intact fruit. The firmness of

137 each fruit was measured twice at equidistant points, with the two measurements taken at a 90-

138 degree angle to each other. These values were then averaged and recorded as the firmness values

139 in Newton (Gholamnejad *et al.,* 2023).

140 Total dissolved solids were measured with a refractometer (Japan K-0032 model), a small amount

141 of juice was applied onto the lens, and the measurement was obtained in degrees Brix (∘Bx),

142 representing the percentage of soluble solids content in the fruit. Prior to each sample, calibration

143 was performed using distilled water, and the lens was thoroughly rinsed with distilled water twice.

144 Utilizing the titration method and monitoring the pH of the juice, organic acids were determined

145 and the percentage of malic acid was used to calculate the amount of titratable organic acid.

146
147 **Statistical Analysis**

148 Analysis of variance and mean's comparison were performed based on LSD tests at 1 and 5%

149 probability levels using Statistix 8 (Tallahassee FL, USA). Biplot analysis were also done using

150 Statgraphics Centurion, Version 18.

151 **Second Experiment**

152   Based on the findings from the initial experiment, which included yield cluster analysis and

153   principal component analysis, two tolerant melon cultivars (Tork: Torkamani, Zank: Zanki) and

154   two sensitive cultivars (G-IVA: Gorgi Ivan, G-SHI: Gorgi Shirdan Jorgeaval) were chosen and

155   grown. The experimental design was a split-plot randomized complete block design with three

156   replications, 2 m row spacing, and 36 m length. All cultivation, irrigation and salinity conditions

157   were similar to the first experiment. Data were analyzed using Statistix 8 (Tallahassee FL, USA).

158   All data were analyzed using two-way ANOVA, and significance was determined by comparing

159   the means at $P \leq 0.05$ using the least significant difference (LSD) test.

160

161   **RESULTS**

162   The results main effects of melon types and interaction effect of salinity× melon cultivars on all

163   measured parameters was presented in supplementary (Tables 2, 3..., 15). Also some Iranian melon

164   landrace and Afghan melon in (Figure 2) and analyze of Cluster in (Figures 3, 4 and 5)

165   supplementary file was showed.

166
167   **The Result of the First Experiment**

168   PCA comparisons between biochemical and morphological parameters were presented in Figures

169   1 and 2. The sensitive and tolerant cultivars were chosen based on proximity to high yield and

170   improved morphological characteristics, and distance from stress indices in PCA analysis for

171   biochemical parameters and stress indices. Additionally, we utilized ANOVA on all cultivars

172   exposed to salinity in the supplementary file to identify the most sensitive and tolerant cultivar. For

173   the second experiment, tolerant (Tork and Zank) and sensitive (G-IVA and G-SHI) cultivars under

174   salinity stress were separated between all cultivars (Iranian and Afghan) for a more in-depth

175   investigation. The biplot results showed a high correlation between vitamin C traits with soluble

176   solids, proline, and relative water content while a negative correlation with Fv/Fm ratio. Naki

177   Johari, Hatchke Daroneh, Ghatori, Ghandak Tanabisefid, Hatchke Johari, Talebi Tanbalemax, and

178   Zanki are classified as salinity stress-tolerant cultivars due to their high concentration of proline,

179   soluble solids, vitamin C, and relative leaf water content under salinity stress conditions.

180   Furthermore, these cultivars have a strong correlation with stress resistance and the related traits.

181   The cluster analysis of the 18 melon cultivars based on biochemical traits produced two main

182   clusters, including Naki Johari, Gezgi, Bandi Boyak, Hachkeh Drone, Kale Gorfi, Gorgab, Talebi

183   Tanbalmax, Ghatori, Bargeney, Ghandake Tanabisefid, Hachkeh Johari, AbuJahl, Taki, Kalegorgi

184 Droneh, Zanaki, and Chini, which were classified in the first group and as stress-tolerant cultivars.

185 The cluster analysis of the 18 melon cultivars based on morphological traits also produced three

186 groups: Kaleh Gorgi, Hachkeh Johari, Torkamani, Gorgi Shirdan Jorjeaval, and Gezgi cultivars are

187 morphologically more prominent in their peel than other cultivars. There are Ghatori, Gorgi Ivan,

188 Kadoei, and AbuJahl watermelons in the second group, which are better than other cultivars in

189 terms of spots on the peel. The biplot diagram of these traits also confirms this issue.

190

191

192

193 **The Result of the Second Experiment**

194 Fruit hardness, length, flesh thickness, and seed hole length were enhanced in Tork and Zank,

195 particularly under control. However, neither fruit width nor cavity width differed significantly

196 between tolerant and sensitive cultivars (Figure 3 A-F). Fruit skin thickness, seed mass weight,

197 yield per plant, and fruit weight followed the same pattern and were greatest in Tork, followed by

198 Zank, when compared to salinity-sensitive cultivars (G-IVA and G-SHI). Seed length and diameter

199 were similar between Tork and Zank. Seed length was substantially greater in tolerant cultivars

200 compared to sensitive cultivars, although seed diameter was significantly the same. In the

201 conditions of salt stress, G-IVA had a 72% and 63% decrease in yield compared to the tolerant

202 varieties (Tork and Zank), respectively, and in G-SHI, the yield decreased by 75% and 67%

203 compared to Tork and Zank, respectively (Figures 4 A-F).

204 Vitamin C and TSS rose in all cultivars due to salt. The Zank in salinity contained the highest

205 levels of Vitamin C. The TA content of the tolerance cultivar increased in both salinity conditions.

206 The cultivar with the greatest TSS was tolerant of salinity. sodium increased, and potassium

207 decreased by salinity. However, it was not statistically different by the nonsaline condition in each

208 cultivar. The highest sodium was in G-SHI salinity, and the highest potassium Conc. was in Tork

209 at non-saline conditions (Figures 5 A-F).

210 Chlorophyll fluorescence was similar across all treatments and was unaffected by cultivar. The

211 chlorophyll index increased in the tolerance cultivar in control and it was significantly the same in

212 Tork and Zank and G-SHI cultivar in salinity. G-IVA had the lowest Chlorophyll index in both

213 saline conditions. RWC was greater in tolerant cultivars (Tork and Zank) in both saline conditions,

214 but it was lower in sensitive cultivars (G-IVA and G-SHI) under salt stress. EL decreased in

tolerance cultivars (Tork and Zank) and increased in sensitive cultivars (G-IVA and G-SHI) in both saline conditions. All cultivars exhibited a rise in DPPH in response to salt, with G-IVA in non-saline conditions exhibiting the lowest DPPH (Figures 6 A-F).

DISCUSSION

The Effect of Salinity on Some Morphological Parameters in a Tolerance and Sensitive Cultivar of Studied Melons

Due to a significant correlation with stress-related features such as proline and soluble solids, the relative water content of the leaf, and vitamin C, melon cultivars that are tolerant to salinity stress are ideal for field cultivation in saline soil. Turkmeni and Zenki melon cultivars based on most of the quantitative and qualitative traits investigated in this research are the most suitable for the field.

The Effect of Salinity on Yield, Chlorophyll Index, the Water Content of Tolerance and Sensitive Cultivars of Studied Melons

All characteristics reduced as salinity increased. Under salinity stress, a low fruit yield was caused by a decrease in fruit number and fruit weight, as these are the two most essential yield components. Multiple research demonstrated that melons are categorized as being relatively salt tolerant (Shannon and Francois, 1978). Dias *et al.* (2018) demonstrated that Fruit length and diameter, as well as peel and pulp thickness, decreased when subjected to EC values greater than 3.8 dSm^{-1}. Photosynthesis is the most critical physiological function of the plant, determining plant growth and yield to the greatest extent (Mobin and Khan, 2007). The growth of plants is limited by the decrease of photosynthesis. The absence of stomatal conductance, which diminishes under stress, is responsible for the decline in photosynthesis (Ashraf and Harris, 2004). The decrease in yield and fruit weight of sensitive cultivars is correlated with the expansion of the fruit's cavity and its pulp's reducing diameter. In the confirmation of these results, fruit length, fruit width, fresh weight of pulp, fresh weight of skin, fresh and dry seed weight, dry weight of 100 g pulp, and skin decreased significantly in the sensitive cultivar. As the number of epidermal cells increases, the stomata become narrower and retain cell moisture more effectively. According to Colla *et al.* (2006), the decrease in fruit yield is primarily attributable to the lower mean fruit weight in the salinity condition.

In conditions of mild stress, the chlorophyll content of a plant could increase by reducing leaf area. In other words, the rise in chlorophyll content under stress is the result of the reduction in leaf

247   area and the thickening of cells, which causes the leaf cells to shrink (Zhou *et al.,* 2023). In contrast,

248   high stress inhibits chlorophyll synthesis, corresponding with the findings of this experiment. The

249   drop in SPAD value at salinity can be linked to the degradation of chloroplast structure, which

250   reduces chlorophyll content. The chlorophyll concentration in pumpkins has been found to

251   decrease due to salt, which aligns with our observations (Sevengor *et al.,* 2011). Due to sodium ion

252   buildup in the leaves, chlorophyll concentration dropped (Molazem *et al.,* 2010).

253   In the present experiment, the cultivar with the highest and lowest relative leaf water content was

254   determined to be the cultivar with tolerance and sensitivity, respectively. The high relative water

255   content in stress-tolerant cultivars may be attributable to processes that limit water loss by closing

256   the stomata or increasing water uptake through root growth (Kaya *et al.,* 2001).

257

258   **The Effect of Salinity on** sodium**, potassium, and Tss in a Tolerance and Sensitive Cultivar**

259   **of Studied Melons**

260   By applying salinity stress, the amount of sodium in the shoot of melon increased, and the amount

261   of sodium in the shoot was influenced. During salinity stress, the high sodium concentration in the

262   rhizosphere and its subsequent replacement by potassium leads to a decrease in sodium content in

263   the shoot. Under salinity stress, sodium competes with potassium and decreases the absorption of

264   other ions, particularly potassium (Parida *et al.,* 2005). This study revealed that sensitive cultivars

265   had the most sodium rise in response to salt stress compared to the tolerance cultivars. Increasing

266   salinity increases sodium absorption while decreasing potassium absorption. potassium is a vital

267   plant element, and as its concentration decreases, stomata close and photosynthesis slows, resulting

268   in a decline in plant development (Mirmohammadi Meybodi and Ghareh yazi, 2002).

269   In the present study, a decrease in potassium content in salinity was detected in melons. According

270   to Ou *et al.* (2011) and Polacik and Maricle, (2013) the most probable explanation for this

271   controversy involves root-to-shoot nutrient translocation, species features, and duration of stress.

272   Salt creates a 'physiological drought' by decreasing stomatal conductivity (Ou *et al.,* 2011; Polacik

273   and Maricle, 2013), so reducing the flow of nutrients to the shoot; may result in a drop in potassium

274   concentration with extended salinity exposure (Jackson *et al.,* 1996). Root growth is unaffected by

275   the shock stress; this may result in a substantial uptake of potassium by the shoot (Wang and Wu,

276   2013).

277   The greatest soluble solid is found in cultivars with tolerance. The existence of a higher TSS in

278   the salinity-tolerant cultivar may aid in maintaining osmotic control in fruits, hence preserving

279 photosynthesis under stress, preventing a decrease in assimilate production in leaves and
280 preventing a decrease in fruit weight. The results of this experiment prove their validity. In sensitive
281 cultivars, salinity lowered fruit quality in terms of firmness and acidity. Melons irrigated with saline
282 water exhibited improved fruit quality, as evidenced by a rise in TSS and a decrease in pH (Botia
283 *et al.,* 2005).

284 The salinity stress treatment decreased fruit firmness relative to the control treatment. Changes in
285 fruit tissue stiffness caused by salt stress are directly connected to cell wall composition (Sato *et*
286 *al.,* 2006). Due to salt stress, calcium absorption is diminished, and calcium's involvement in cell
287 wall strength causes fruit tissue to soften.

289 **The Effect of Salinity on Some Stress Indices of Tolerance and Sensitive Cultivars of Studied**
290 **Melons**

291 The Fv/Fm ratio can indicate the plant's resistance to environmental pressures and the extent of
292 its damage. Salinity stress increases variable fluorescence (Fv), maximum fluorescence (Fm), and
293 beginning fluorescence (Fo) while decreasing photosystem II's maximal quantum performance
294 under dark conditions (Fv/Fm) (Zhao *et al.,* 2007).

295 Proline was increased in tolerance melon more than in sensitive cultivars. Proline accumulation
296 in tissues is the result of proline synthesis under stress conditions and its protection from oxidation,
297 as demonstrated by multiple studies (Misra and Gupta, 2005). Increasing proline concentration
298 under salt conditions may be the result of biosynthesis or a decrease in proline oxidation to
299 glutamate conversion protein to proline. Proline concentration increased simultaneously with the
300 decrease in leaf water content and the severity of salt stress. Given the importance of proline amino
301 acids in moderating the harmful effects of environmental stressors, particularly salinity and osmotic
302 control, this rise seems justifiable (Flowers *et al.,* 1977).

303 DPPH% increased in all melons under salinity but is greater increase was seen in tolerance
304 cultivars. Similar to other abiotic stresses, salinity exposure induces oxidative damage via reactive
305 oxygen species. Oxidative stress caused by salinity leads to peroxidation of membrane lipid and
306 loss of selectivity, resulting in increased permeability of cell membranes to ions and electrolytes;
307 thus, salinity indirectly reduces membrane cohesion and increases the percentage of ion electrolyte
308 leakage from leaves (Wu *et al.,* 1998).

309 Significant increases in electrolyte leakage produced by free radical generation in a chain reaction
310 beginning with photosynthesis were triggered by salinity (Ghoulam *et al.,* 2002). In addition, ion

10

imbalance with salinity, particularly sodium in salinity, increases phenol content and antioxidant activity above EL %. However, a substantial correlation between sodium accumulation and EL increase was seen in the biplot test.

CONCLUSION

By enhancing osmolytes such as proline, TSS and potassium, antioxidant activity, vitamin C as a radical scavenger, and TA, the fully tolerant cultivar was able to achieve better commercial yield. It appears that melons, through osmoregulation with proline, TSS, and potassium, attempt to reduce the negative effects of saline stress, however further physiological studies are required for confirmation. So between the Afghan and Iranian genotypes tested in this experiment, Tork and Zank were advised to be grown in saline conditions because they are better than other genotypes in terms of yield traits (flesh thickness, fruit skin thickness, yield, fruit weight) and quality (vitamin C, TA and TSS). In future studies, this tolerant cultivar can be used for breeding objectives. Given that salt-tolerant cultivars exhibit better morphological traits, can yield superior quality fruit when subjected to salty conditions.

REFERENCES

1. Akrami, M. and Arzani, A. 2018. Inheritance of fruit yield and quality in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) grown under field salinity stress. *Sci Rep.,* **9(1):** 1-13.

2. Almeida, D.M., Oliveira, M.M., Saibo, N.J. 2017. Regulation of Na^+ and K^+ homeostasis in plants: towards improved salt stress tolerance in crop plants. Genet Mol Biol., **40:** 326–45.

3. Ashraf, M. and Harris, P. 2004. Potential Biochemical Indicators of Salinity Tolerance in Plants. *Plant Sci.,* **166**: 3-16.

4. Bates, L., Waldren, R. and Teare, I. 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. *Plant Soil.*, **39:** 205–207.

342   5.   Botia, P., Navarro, J.M., Cerda, A. and Martinez, V. 2005. Yield and fruit quality of two
343   melon cultivars irrigated with saline water at different stages of development. *Eur. J. Agron.,*
344   **23:** 243-253.

345   6.   Colla, G., Roupahel, Y., Cardarelli, M. and Rea, E. 2006. Effect of salinity on yield, fruit
346   quality, leaf gas exchange, and mineral composition of grafted watermelon plants. *HortScience.,*
347   **41(3):** 622-627.

348   7.   Deinlein, U., Stephan, A.B., Horie, T., Luo, W., Xu, G. and Schroeder, J.I. 2014. Plant salt-
349   tolerance mechanisms. *Trends plant sci.,* **19(6):** 371-379.

350   8.   Dias, N., Morais, P.L., Abrantes, J.D., Nogueira de Sousa Neto, O., Palacio, V.S. and
351   Freitas, J.J.R. 2018. Nutrient solution salinity effect of greenhouse melon (*Cucumis melon* L.
352   cv. Néctar). *Acta Agronómica.,* **67(4):** 517–524.

353   9.   ECPGR. 2008. Minimum descriptors for *Cucurbita* spp., cucumber, melon and
354   watermelon. European Cooperative Programme for Plant Genetic Resources. 13p.

355   10. Filella, I., Llusia, J., Pin, J.O. and Pen, J.U. 1998. Leaf gas exchange and fluorescence of
356   *Phillyrea latifolia, Pistacia lentiscus* and *Quercus ilex* saplings in severe drought and high
357   temperature conditions. *Environ. Exp. Bot.,* **39:** 213-220.

358   11. Flowers, T.J., Troke, P.F. and Yeo, A.R. 1997. The mechanism of salt tolerance in
359   halophytes. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.,* **28:** 89-121.

360   12. Franco, J.A., Esteban, C. and Rodriguez, C. 1993. Effects of salinity on various growth
361   stages of *muskmelon* cv. *Rev*. *J. HortSci.,* **68:** 899-904.

362   13. Gholamnejad, S., Haghighi, M., Etemadi, N., Pessarakli, M. 2023. Effects of boron on
363   nutrient partitioning, Ca movement, and fruit quality of tomatoes. *J. Plant Nutr.*, **46(5):** 697-
364   713.

365   14. Ghoulam, C., Foursy, A. and Fares, K. 2002. Effects of salt stress on growth, inorganic ions
366   and proline accumulation in relation to osmotic adjustment in five sugar beet cultivars. *Environ.*
367   *Exp. Bot.,* **47:** 39-50.

368   15. Haghighi, M., Barzegar Sadeghabad, A., Abolghasemi, R. 2022. Effect of exogenous amino
369   acids application on the biochemical, antioxidant, and nutritional value of some leafy cabbage
370   cultivars. Sci. Rep., **12(1):** 17720.

371   16. Haghighi, M., Golabdar, S., Abolghasemi, R., Kappel, N. 2022. CO_2 enrichment changed
372   N metabolism of tomatoes under salinity stress. *Sci. Hortic.*, **305:** 111412.

373   17.   Jackson, M.B., Davies, W. and Else, M.A. 1996. Pressure-flow relationships, xylem solutes
374   and root hydraulic conductance in flooded tomato plants. *Ann. Bot.,* **77:** 17-24.

375   18.   Kaya, C., Higgs, D. and Kirnak, H. 2001. Effects of supplementary phosphorus and
376   potassium on physiological development and mineral nutrition of cucumber and pepper cultivars
377   grown at high salinity (NaCl). *J. Plant Nutr.,* **24:** 1457-1471.

378   19.   Lutts, S., Kinetand, J.M. and Bouharmont, J. 1996. NaCl-induced senescence in leaves of
379   rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars differing in salinity resistance. *Ann Bot*., **78:** 389-398.

380   20.   Mirmohammadi Meybodi, A.M. and Ghare yazi, B. 2002. Physiological and anthropogenic
381   aspects of salinity stress of plants. Isfahan University of Technology press, Isfahan (In Farsi).

382   21.   Misra, N. and Gupta, A.K. 2005. Effect of salt stress on proline metabolism in two high
383   yielding cultivars of green gram. *Plant Sci.,* **169:** 331-339.

384   22.   Mobin, M. and Khan, N.A. 2007. Photosynthetic activity, pigment composition and
385   antioxidative response of two mustard (*Brassica juncea*) cultivars differing in photosynthetic
386   capacity subjected to cadmium stress. *J. Plant Physiol.,* **164:** 601-610.

387   23.   Molazem, D., Qurbanov, E.M. and Dunyamaliyev, S.A. 2010. Role of proline, Na and
388   chlorophyll content in salt tolerance of corn (*Zea mays*) L. *Am-Eurasian. J. Agric. Environ. Sci.,*
389   **9:** 319-324.

390   24.   Ou, L.J., Dai, X.Z., Zhang, Z.Q. and Zou, X.X. 2011. Responses of pepper to waterlogging
391   stress. *Photosynthetica*., **49:** 339-345.

392   25.   Parida, A.K. and Das, A.B. 2005. Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: a review. *Eco*
393   *Environ Safe.,* **60:** 324-349.

394   26.   Pereira, F., Medeiros, J., Gheyi, H., Dias, N., Preston, W. and Vasconcelos, C.
395   2017.Tolerance of melon cultivars to irrigation water salinity. *Rev. Bras. Eng. Agríc. Amb.,*
396   **21(12):** 846-851.

397   27.   Polacik, K.A. and Maricle, B.R. 2013. Effects of flooding on photosynthesis and root
398   respiration in salt cedar (*Tamarix ramosissima*), an invasive riparian shrub. *Environ. Exp. Bot.,*
399   **89:** 19 –27.

400   28.   Sato, S., Sakaguchi, S., Furukawa, H. and Ikeda, H. 2006. Effects of NaCl application to
401   hydroponic nutrient solution on fruit characteristic of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.).
402   *Sci. Hort*., **109:** 248-253.

29. Sevengor, S., Yasar, F., Kusvuran, S. and Ellialtioglu, S. 2011. The effect of salt stress on growth, chlorophyll content, lipid peroxidation and antioxidative enzymes of pumpkin seedlings. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.,* **6:** 4920-4924.

30. Shannon, M.C. and Francois, L.E. 1978. Salt Tolerance of Three Muskmelon Multivars. *J Am Soc Hortic Sci.,* **103:** 127- 130.

31. Silva, S.S., Lima, G.S., Lima, V.L.A., Gheyi, H.R., Soares lauriane, A.A., Moreira, R.C.L., Fernandes, P.D., Andrade, E.M.G. and Pinheiro, F.W.A. 2020. Salinity management strategies and potassium fertilization in watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*) cultivation. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.,* **14(10):** 1601-1607.

32. Tarchoun, N., Saadaoui, W., Mezghani, N., Pavli, O.I., Falleh, H., Petropoulos, S.A. 2022. The effects of salt stress on germination, seedling growth and biochemical responses of tunisian squash (*Cucurbita maxima* duchesne) germplasm. Plants **11(6):** 800.

33. Van Zelm, E., Zhang, Y., Testerink, C. 2020. Salt tolerance mechanisms of plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol., **71:** 403–33.

34. Wang, Y. and Wu, W.H. 2013. Potassium transport and signaling in higher plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.,* **64:** 451-476.

35. Wu, J., Seliskar, D.M. and Gallagher, J.L. 1998. Stress tolerance in the marsh plant Spartina patens: impact of NaCl on growth and root plasma membrane lipid composition. *Plant Physiol.,* **102:** 307-317.

36. Yaşar, M. 2023. Sensitivity of different flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) genotypes to salinity determined by GE biplot. Saudi J. Biol. Sci., **30(4):** 103592.

37. Zareei, E., Kulak, M., Ali, S., Nouraein, M., Bahrami, M.K., Gohari, G., Fotopoulos, V. 2022. Exogenous melatonin increases salt tolerance in bitter melon by regulating ionic balance, antioxidant system and secondary metabolism-related genes. BMC Plant Biol., **22:** 380.

38. Zhao, G.Q., Ma, B.L. and Ren, C.Z. 2007. Growth, gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence and ion content of naked oat in response to salinity. *Crop. Sci.,* **47:** 123-131.

39. Zhou, H., Wang, L., Xu, P., Zhang, L., Huang, R., Yang, M., Wang, K., Fan, H. 2023. Deficit irrigation combined with nitrogen application in the early growth stage of sugar beet increases the production capacity of canopy and avoids yield loss. J. Sci. Food Agric., **103(15):** 7600-7611.

Figure 1. The scatter plot of the PC1/PC2 plane shows the relationships between the 39 studied Iranian and Afghan melon cultivars on morphological traits under saline conditions (Each number ending with 1 represents control, while each number ending with 2 represents salinity stress). The numbers correspond to the cultivars including (1): Abbasi, (2): Saderati Iran, (3): Nazokcheh Nasvari, (4): Taki Johari, (5): Gezgi, (6): Banidi Boyak, (7): Talebi Saveh, (8): Mashhadi Irani, (9): Hachke Daroneh, (10): Kale Gorgi, (11): Talebi Shahabadi, (12): Gorgab, (13): Ivanaki Zard, (14):Talebi Varamini, (15): Garmak Isfahan, (16): Bandi Siah, (17):Zardak, (18): Potk Johari, (19): Gorgi Ivan, (20): Talebi Tanbalemax, (21): Gorgi Shirdan Jorjeaval, (22):Dronak, (23): Tanabi Bandi, (24): Bandi Pizali, (25): Ghatori, (26): Torkamani, (27): Bargeney, (28): Kadoei, (29): Ghandak Tanabi sefid, (30): Hachke johari, (31): Garmak Habibabadi, (32): Hendavaneh Abujahl, (33): Mashhadi Afghani, (34): Naki, (35): Ghandak Zard, (36): Kale Gorgidoroneh, (37): Golzardak, (38): Zanki, (39):Chini, Fruit firmness (y12), Fruit length (y13), Fruit width (y14), Flesh thickness (y15) Seed cavity length (y16), Cavity width (y17), Fruit skin thickness (y18), Seed length (y19), Seed mass weight (y20), Seed diameter (y21).

455

456

Figure 2. The scatter plot of the PC1/PC2 plane shows the relationships between the 39 studied Iranian and Afghan melon cultivars on physiological traits and some mineral elements (Each number ending with 1 represents control, while each number ending with 2 represents salinity stress) traits under saline conditions. The numbers correspond to the cultivars including (1): Abbasi, (2): Saderati Iran, (3): Nazokcheh Nasvari, (4): Taki Johari, (5): Gezgi, (6): Banidi Boyak, (7): Talebi Saveh, (8): Mashhadi Irani, (9): Hachke Daroneh, (10): Kale Gorgi, (11): Talebi Shahabadi, (12): Gorgab, (13): Ivanaki Zard, (14):Talebi Varamini, (15): Garmak Isfahan, (16): Bandi Siah, (17):Zardak, (18): Potk Johari, (19): Gorgi Ivan, (20): Talebi Tanbalemax, (21): Gorgi Shirdan Jorjeaval, (22):Dronak, (23): Tanabi Bandi, (24): Bandi Pizali, (25): Ghatori, (26): Torkamani, (27): Bargeney, (28): Kadoei, (29): Ghandak Tanabi sefid, (30): Hachke johari, (31): Garmak Habibabadi, (32): Hendavaneh Abujahl, (33): Mashhadi Afghani, (34): Naki, (35): Ghandak Zard, (36): Kale Gorgidoroneh, (37): Golzardak, (38): Zanki, (39):Chini, (y1: Chlorophyll fluorescence, y2: SPAD, y3: Relative water content, y4:Electrolite leakage ,y5: Proline, y6: DPPH, y7: Vitamin C, y8: Total acidity, y9: Sodium, y10: Potassium, y11: Total soluble solids).

472

473

474

475

476   **Figure 3.** The effect of some morphologycal changes between tolerate (Tork and Zank) and
477   sensitive (G-IVA and G-SHI) cultivareunder salinity stress. G-IVA: Gorgi Ivan, G-SHI: Gorgi
478   Shirdan Jorgeaval, Tork: Torkamani, Zank: Zanki.
479

17

480　　**Figure 4.** The effect of some morphological and yield parameter between tolerate (Tork and
481　Zank) and sensitive (G-IVA and G-SHI) cultivare under salinity stress. G-IVA: Gorgi Ivan, G-SHI:
482　Gorgi Shirdan Jorgeaval, Tork: Torkamani, Zank: Zanki

18

Figure 5. The effect of some qualitative changes between tolerate (Tork and Zank) and sensitive (G-IVA and G-SHI) cultivare under salinity stress. G-IVA: Gorgi Ivan, G-SHI: Gorgi Shirdan Jorgeaval, Tork: Torkamani, Zank: Zanki.

19

Figure 6. The effect of some stress indices changes between tolerate (Tork and Zank) and sensitive (G-IVA and G-SHI) cultivars under salinity stress. G-IVA: Gorgi Ivan, G-SHI: Gorgi Shirdan Jorgeaval, Tork: Torkamani, Zank: Zanki

486

20

487 **غربالگری خربزه ایرانی و افغانستانی (Cucumis melon) در تحمل به شوری براساس برخی از صفات**

488 **مورفولوژیکی و فیزیولوژیکی**

489

490 **ح. حکمت، م. حقیقی، ح. ر. عشقی زاده و گ. بنی طالبی**

491

492 **چکیده**

493 این تحقیق به منظور بررسی اثر شوری بر برخی از صفات فیزیولوژیکی و مورفولوژیکی ارقام خربزه بومی ایرانی و افغانی در قالب طرح

494 بلوک‌های کامل تصادفی در سه تکرار انجام شد. تیمارها شامل: دو سطح شوری (2 و 8 دسی زیمنس بر متر NaCl) و 39 رقم خربزه از

495 ایران و افغانستان استفاده شد. مقایسه PCA بین پارامترهای بیوشیمیایی و مورفولوژیکی انجام گرفت. ارقام حساس و متحمل براساس

496 نزدیکی به عملکرد بالا، خصوصیات مورفولوژیکی و فاصله از شاخص‌های تنش انتخاب شدند. نتایج بای پلات همبستگی بالایی بین

497 صفات ویتامین C با مواد جامد محلول، پرولین و محتوای نسبی آب و همبستگی منفی با نسبت Fv/Fm نشان داد. این شاخص‌ها،

498 پارامترهای خوبی برای شناسایی ارقام مقاوم به شوری هستند. تنش شوری نشت الکترولیت، غلظت پرولین، فعالیت آنتی اکسیدانی کل،

499 محتوای سدیم، ویتامینC ، اسید آلی و کل مواد جامد محلول را افزایش داد. همچنین شوری باعث کاهش عملکرد، میانگین وزن میوه،

500 سفتی، طول میوه، عرض میوه، طول حفره داخلی، عرض حفره داخلی، ضخامت گوشت، ضخامت پوست، نسبت Fv/Fm ، شاخص

501 سبزی، محتوای آب نسبی و میزان پتاسیم برگ شد. بیشترین غلظت سدیم در رقم Gorgi Shirdan Jorgeaval در شرایط شور

502 و بیشترین غلظت پتاسیم در رقم Torkamani در شرایط غیر شور مشاهده شد. بر اساس نتایج، دو نوع خربزه Torkamani و Zanki

503 برای کاشت در شرایط شور توصیه شد.

504