### **ACCEPTED ARTICLE**

# In Vitro Research on Antimicrobial Activity of Native Anatolian Honey Bee Products Against Paenibacillus larvae Strains

3 4

1 2

Emine Sonmez<sup>a</sup>, Meral Kekecoglu<sup>a, b</sup>, Arif Bozdeveci<sup>c</sup>, and Sengul Alapy Karaoglu<sup>c</sup>

5 6 7

8

9

10

- <sup>a</sup> Düzce University, Beekeeping Research Development and Application Centre, 81620 Düzce, Türkiye.
  - <sup>b</sup> Düzce University, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, 81620 Düzce, Türkiye.
- <sup>c</sup> Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Faculty of Art and Science, Department of Biology, 53100 Rize, Türkiye.

11 12

# \*Corresponding author; e-mail:eminesonmez@duzce.edu.tr

13 14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

#### **ABSTRACT**

One of the most damaging diseases in beekeeping worldwide is American Foulbrood. The causative agent of the disease is *Paenibacillus larvae*, which can remain in spore form in the environment for decades and does not lose its virulence. In the management of this disease, it is inevitable to find an alternative method to the use of antibiotics and burning the hives. In this study, after determining the total phenolic (TPC) and total flavonoid content (TFC) of seven different Anatolian honey bee products (bee venom, bee bread, pollen, royal jelly, propolis, queen bee larvae, drone brood larvae), in vitro antimicrobial activities of these products against two different P. larvae strains were tested. As a result of Folin-Ciocalteu and AlCl<sub>3</sub> colorimetric methods, there were significant differences between the samples, and the highest content values were obtained from the propolis samples. The antimicrobial activity results showed that, P. larvae strains were susceptible to all bee products except queen bee larvae and drone brood larvae. The most significant inhibition was obtained from Anatolian bee venom with the lowest MIC dose 6.25 µg/mL. Bacterial strains showed susceptibility to Anatolian bee bread with an effective dose of 7.81 µg/mL following bee venom. This study is an important first step in identifying new active compounds for the use of in-hive natural products in the development of new preventive treatments against AFB disease, alternative to conventional antibiotic treatments.

Key words: Bee products, Paenibacillus larvae, American Foulbrood, Antimicrobial activity.

34 35

36

37

38

39

#### INTRODUCTION

One of the reasons why the expected yield from beekeeping is not always achieved at the desired level is the bacterial diseases that bee colonies are exposed to. These diseases affect honey bees in their larval and adult stages and cause significant economic losses. Among the bacterial diseases seen in honey bee larvae, American Foulbrood (AFB) and European

Foulbrood (EFB) are highly contagious and dangerous (Forsgren, 2010; Moharrami et al., 40 2022). The World Organization for Animal Health (WOAH) has accepted these diseases in the 41 list of notifiable diseases and affect veterinary public health worldwide, posing a serious threat 42 to the safe international trade of honey bees and their products (Genersch, 2010). These diseases 43 are the most important causes of colony losses and low yields. The disease is highly virulent 44 and dangerous not only for individual larvae but also for the entire colony (Morse and Calderon, 45 2000). Disease agents can be encountered at any stage of the bee's life cycle, but are most 46 47 commonly encountered during the egg stage (Rauch et al., 2009). The AFB disease agent P. larvae is a Gram (+) and spore-forming bacterium. P. larvae spores 48 are highly resistant to heating, adverse conditions, and chemical agents. These spores 49 contaminate both honey and pollen, and are transmitted to larvae through contaminated food 50 (Genersch, 2010). Some *Paenibacillus* species have been reported to be opportunistic human 51 52 infections and can cause spoilage in pasteurized dairy products (Grady et al., 2016). P. lentimorbus and P.popilliae cause infection in scarab beetle grubs, while P. larvae can cause 53 54 infection in honey bee (Apis mellifera) larvae. Although the use of antibiotics in beekeeping in European countries is prohibited, the 55 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) reported that there were antibiotic residues in honey 56 samples (Chung et al., 2017; Savarino et al. 2020). The use of Tylovet and Lincomix has been 57 approved in the USA to control this disease while Pennox 50 (oxytetracycline) and Terramycin 58 (oxytetracycline hydrochloride) are present for controlling either foulbrood diseases (Mosca et 59 al., 2023). In order to control the bacteria that cause the disease, it has become necessary to 60 search for new drugs with different mechanisms of action against the development of resistance 61 resulting from the use of inappropriate chemicals (Alpay Karaoğlu, 2014). Antibiotics are only 62 effective on the vegetative form of the P. larvae. Antibiotic administration may temporarily 63 hide or suppress symptoms, but then the disease may reappear more severely (Borum, 2014). 64 Natural products such as plant extracts, plant essential oils, antimicrobial peptides, and 65 propolis are shown as alternative options (Raut and Karuppayil 2014; Alvarenga et al., 2021; 66 Wang 2021). Cases in the advanced stages of the disease are difficult to treat. However, if the 67 disease has just started and is diagnosed early, there is a chance of prevention of transmission 68 and spread. The hive with suspected disease should be removed from the apiary urgently and 69 70 quickly (Borum, 2014). Bee products such as propolis, bee venom, honey and royal jelly are used in "Apitherapy" in 71 72 many countries. Due to the role of bees in pollinating flowers, beekeeping is one of the indispensable agricultural activities all over the world (Etxegarai-Legarreta and Sanchez-73

Famoso, 2022). It is thought that apitherapy products will be useful against bee diseases for the 74 sustainability of beekeeping activities with a healthier and higher yield (Sevim et al., 2021; 75 Šedivá et al., 2018; Naglaa et al., 2020). Propolis is known as a strong antimicrobial substance, 76 consisting of a mixture of different pollen, oils, special resins, and waxy collected by honey 77 bees from the buds and sprouts of plants. It is used to close holes and cracks in the hive, repair 78 honeycombs, glue honeycombs together, polish honeycomb eyes, narrow the hive entrance, 79 protect from bee diseases, and prevent their development by neutralizing disease agents (Wagh, 80 2013). The effect of propolis against microorganisms is its most important biological feature. 81 82 It ensures that fungi and bacteria remain at a lower level in the hive. Propolis is a natural bee product that has been used by humans since ancient times due to its pharmacological properties 83 (Wagh, 2013; Bogdanov, 2012). Pollen is the male reproductive unit that forms on the antennae 84 of flowering plants and is involved in fertilization. 85 86 Honey bees collect pollen from flowers with their feet and deposit it on their hind legs. It mixes the pollen with digestive enzymes and some nectar and stores it in the honeycomb cells 87 (Bogdanov, 2011a). Depending on the source, pollen has biological effects such as being 88 antimicrobial, antitumoral (prostate and breast cancers), antioxidant, antiaging, anti-89 osteoporosis, anti-anemia, anti-diarrhea, memory enhancer, probiotic, regenerative, 90 performance-enhancing, and aphrodisiac (Bogdanov, 2011a). 91 Drone brood larvae are obtained by collecting between 3-7 days of age of larvae (Bărnuțiu et 92 al., 2013). There are many androgenic hormones, sugars, amino acids, fatty acids, and a small 93 amount of minerals in its content (Altan et al., 2013). Due to the androgenic hormones, it 94 95 contains, it is used to increase sperm count, as an aphrodisiac, and in bodybuilding (Mărgăoan et al., 2017). Bee venom is produced in the venom glands of worker bees and stored in the 96 venom bag (Bogdanov, 2011b). Newly emerged bees from the honeycomb cells have very little 97 ability to produce venom and reach their highest capacity when they are 12 days old. Melittin 98 99 is a peptide consisting of 26 amino acids that is the most abundant in bee venom (Rady et al., 100 2017). Melittin is a cytolytic peptide that is nonspecific and can attack the lipid bilayer, thus 101 leading to toxicity. This peptide is a powerful agent that increases membrane permeability, and 102 with this feature, it causes antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral and anticancer activity (Kohno et 103 al., 2014; Pandidan and Mechler, 2019). Until now, there is limited information available regarding antimicrobial properties of 104 Anatolian bee products against *P. larvae*, even though it is well known for its strong inhibitory 105 effects against other Gram (+) bacteria (Sonmez et al., 2023, 2022; Kekecoglu et al., 2021; 106 107 2022; Popova et al., 2005; Erkmen and Ozcan 2008). Owing to these reason the aim of the present study was to test the antimicrobial activity of seven different bee products obtained from Anatolian honey bees (*A. mellifera anatoliaca*, Yığılca ecotype) against the pathogen *P. larvae*, which causes serious economic losses in the beekeeping industry.

111112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

108

109

110

#### MATERIALS AND METHOD

# **Sample Preparation**

All bee products (royal jelly (RJ), drone brood larvae (DBL), queen bee larvae (QBL), bee venom (BV), bee pollen (BP), bee bread (BB), and propolis samples) used in the study were produced and analyzed at Düzce University Beekeeping Research Development and Application Center (DAGEM), Düzce, Turkey. All samples obtained from three randomly selected healthy, similar conditions and free of pesticides colony. The hive type is wooden Langstroth, and the bee species forming the colony was Yığılca ecotype belonging to the A. mellifera anatoliaca. Raw propolis samples were pulverized using a laboratory type blender (Waring, commercial blender). These samples were weighted as 50 gr and 500 mL of 96% ethanol (Sigma-Aldrich) was transferred into the samples. The resulting mixture was shaken at 150 rpm for 72 h and then filtered using filter paper. In order to remove the ethanol in the filtrate, the samples were kept in the evaporator (IKA RV10) at 50-60 °C for 10 minutes. The amount was determined by weighing the remaining resinous part, and stock solutions were obtained using 70% ethyl alcohol with each sample containing 10% propolis content (0.1 g/mL) (Kekecoglu et al., 2021). For collection of RJ sample 3-day-old larvae in the queen bee cells were pulled out of the cells with the help of tweezers. Fresh royal jelly remaining in the cells was collected into opaque bottles using a spatula and immediately stored at -18 °C. The obtained royal jelly samples were diluted with distilled water in sterile Eppendorf tubes. DBL and QBL were obtained from directly the opened or unsealed eyes of the honeycomb on the day of 4-9 and 5-7 after hatching, respectively. Each sample was homogenized with a tissue homogenizer and then freeze-dried at -70 °C. For dehydration, the samples were kept at 0.1 bar at -55 °C for 72 hours (Sonmez et al., 2023). The obtained lyophilized samples were stored at -20 °C until further experiments. To dissolve the homogenates, 70% ethyl alcohol was transferred into 5 mg of sample and this mixture was vortexed for 15 min and then shaken at room temperature for 8 hours. The BV sample was obtained by the method previously mentioned by Sonmez et al. (2022). For BB and BP samples 0.4 g of each bee product was weighted and dissolved in the same volume of 70% ethanol, and methanol. Samples were shaken for 2 h at room temperature to obtain the

maximum amount of bioactive components. Finally, maximum dissolution and sterile homogenates were obtained and used in further studies.

143144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

141

142

# Bacterial culture and Growth conditions

The bacterial samples used in the study (*P. larvae* ATCC 9545 (ERIC I) and *P. larvae* DSM 25430 (ERIC II)) were commercially purchased. *P. larvae* strains were revived from the culture collection in the microbiology research laboratory of Recep Tayyip Erdoğan University. The chemicals and bacteria growth media used in the study were purchased commercially.

Bacterial strains were inoculated on MYPGP agar (Mueller-Hinton broth (10 g.L<sup>-1</sup>), yeast extract (15 g.L<sup>-1</sup>), K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (3 g.L<sup>-1</sup>), sodium pyruvate (1 g.L<sup>-1</sup>) (Fisher), glucose (2%) (Merck), and agar 14 g.L<sup>-1</sup>) and incubated at 37 °C for 3-4 days in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. After the bacteria were revived, single colonies were taken and pure cultures were cultured on MYPGP agar and then overnight cultures were prepared from pure cultures (Sevim *et al.*, 2021).

154 155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

# **Determination of Antimicrobial Activity**

The antibacterial activities of the samples used in the study were tested against P. larvae ATCC 9545 (ERIC I) and P. larvae DSM 25430 (ERIC II) strains using the agar-well diffusion method (Fünfhaus *et al.*, 2018). Bacterial density was prepared as McFarland 0.5 (10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL) and spread over the entire surface of the MYPGP agar medium with a sterile cotton swab. Five millimetre wells were made/prepared at 2 cm intervals with the help of a sterile cork borer in the agar plates. 50 microliters of the test samples were poured into the wells in the overlaid plates and the plates incubated at 37 °C for 48 h in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Antimicrobial activity was evaluated by calculating the net inhibition zone, diameters in millimeters (Sevim et al., 2021). Minimal inhibition concentration values (MIC) were determined using the microdilution technique (CLSI, 2015; Alpay Karaoğlu et al., 2022). Test samples were serially diluted in microplate wells containing MYPGP liquid medium. Turbidity suspensions of 0.5 McFarland (10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL), were prepared from overnight cultures of P. larvae strains. After 10 μL of the bacterial suspensions were poured into each well containing the test samples, microplates were incubated in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37 °C for 48 h. Ampicillin (10 µg/mL) was used as standard control, ethanol (99%) and methanol as solvent control. The wells at the lowest concentration without bacterial growth were determined as the MIC values (CLSI, 2015) and the antimicrobial effect of each bee product was tested in triplicate.

173174

#### **Determination of Total Phenolic Content**

The total phenolic content of honey bee products was determined by using the Folin Ciocalteu method according to the published protocols with minor changes (Singleton *et al.*, 1999). After 20 mL of methanol extract from each sample was mixed with 680 mL of dH<sub>2</sub>O, 0.5 mol/L Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was added to this mixture. In the next step, the mixture was vortexed for 2 min and after 400 mL of 10% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was added, it was kept at room temperature for 2 h. The absorbance of the samples was measured at 760 nm, and the results were given in mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per gram of sample.

### **Determination of Total Flavonoid Content**

Total flavonoid amounts of propolis, BP and BB were determined by making minor changes in the AlCl<sub>3</sub> colorimetric method described in Fukumoto and Mazza, (2000). Each sample was taken into volumetric bottles of 2 mL and 20 mL of methanol and 1 mL of 5% AlCl<sub>3</sub> were added. After the mixture was incubated for 30 min at room temperature, the absorbance value was measured at 420 nm. Each sample value was expressed as mg quercetin equivalent/g (mg QE/g).

# Statistical analysis

Each tested parameter for each sample were done in triplicate and as descriptive statistics, mean, standard deviation, median, minimum and maximum values were obtained. Mann-Whitney U test was performed to determine the variation of inhibition zone and MIC values according to bacterial strains, and Kruskal-Wallis H test was performed to determine the variation according to bee products. Spearman correlation coefficient was used for the relationship between variables. The significance level was taken as .05. Data were analyzed with SPSS 26.

#### **RESULTS**

Bee products obtained from DAGEM significantly inhibited the growth of *P. larvae* strains in cultures with different MIC doses. The obtained results are summarized in Table 1. The zones of inhibition varied between 0-28 mm demonstrating that many of the samples inhibited the bacterial strains on the agar medium. In the agar well method, the largest inhibition zone was obtained from BV and propolis A with a diameter of 28 and 26 mm respectively. DBL and QBL did not create any inhibitory zones against the tested pathogens.

Among the honey bee products, the lowest MIC values of 3.125 µg/mL were recorded for BV 208 while, DBL and QBL samples, that were not able to inhibit the growth of the pathogens showed 209 no activity during the MIC test either. 210 In present study we detected an important antimicrobial effect from Anatolian BB samples 211 and the MIC results of BB varied according to the solvent used. The obtained MIC values were 212 7.81 µg/mL for ethanolic extract against both *P. larvae* strains. The effectiveness values 213 obtained from the methanolic extract were 15.62 and 31.25 µg/mL for ATCC 9545 and DMG 214 9820 strains, respectively. 215

216

217218

219

**Table 1.** Agar well diffusion and MIC values of the Anatolian honey bee products against *P. larvae* strains.

-	Paenibacillus larvae ATCC 9545		Paenibacillus larvae DMG 9820	
Bee products				
	Inhibition	MIC	Inhibition	MIC
	zone (mm)	$(\mu g/mL)$	Zone (mm)	$(\mu g/mL)$
Bee venom	28	3.125	28	3.125
Royal jelly	8	250	8	250
Bee bread (Ethanol)	22	7.81	20	7.81
Bee bread (Methanol)	18	15.62	16	31.25
Pollen (Ethanol)	14	31.25	15	31.25
Pollen (Methanol)	15	31.25	15	31.25
Propolis A	26	7.81	26	7.81
Propolis B	24	15.62	24	15.62
Drone brood larvae	-	-	-	-
Queen bee larvae	-	-	-	-

The MIC values of RJ was highest (250 µg/mL) compared to other tested honeybee products.

and MIC values differed significantly according to bee products (U=14.955; p<.037 and

U=15; p=.036, respectively). The inhibition zone obtained from BV was higher than RJ,

220

221

The MIC values of samples A and B of the propolis were different and sample A (7.81 μg/mL) had lower MIC values than sample B (15.62 μg/mL). **Inhibition zone and MIC values were not significantly different according to bacterial strains (U=.000; p=1.000). Inhibition zone** 

225

226227

228229

**Table 2:** Correlation Analysis of the variables.

	Inhibitation	MIC	Total	Total
	Zones		Phenolic	Flavonoid
Inhibitation Zones	-	.206	.562	.299
MIC	.445	-	410	.554
Total Phenolic	.023	.115	-	.262
Total Flavonoid	.261	.026	.327	-

and the MIC value was lower and significant (Table 2).

\* The above-diagonal Spearman correlation coefficient is the p value for the below-diagonal correlation coefficient.

In Table 3, the total phenolic (TPC) and flavonoid content (TFC) of honey bee products are presented. According to the results of seven different samples analyzed with the Folin–Ciocalteu method, the sample with the highest total phenolic content was Propolis A with a value of 166.30 mg GAE/g. The lowest amount of phenolic substance was determined from the BV sample. Determination of total phenolic content was done for BB, pollen and propolis samples. The highest total phenolic substance content was detected in the propolis A sample, as was the total phenolic content. The honey bee product containing the lowest flavonoid component was determined as BV with a value of 0.03 mg QE/g.

Morever according to the statistical analysis results a significant positive correlation was obtained between inhibition zones and total phenolic (r562; p=.023) and between MIC and total flavonoids (r=.554; p=.026) (Table 2).

**Table 3.** Total phenolic and flavonoid content of Anatolian honey bee products.

	Total Phenolic content ( mg GAE/g )	Total flavonoids (mg QE/g)	
Bee venom	$.82 \pm .08 [ .79 (.7691)]$	$.03 \pm .01 [.03 (.0204)]$	
Royal jelly	$3.87 \pm 0.16  [\ 3.94  (3.69 \text{-} 3.98)]$	$0.89 \pm 0.11 \; [.90 \; (.78 \text{-} .99)]$	
Bee bread	$9.06 \pm 0.18 \ [9 \ (8.92 - 9.26)]$	$2.11 \pm 0.21 \ [2.01 \ (1.97 - 2.35)]$	
Pollen	$8.82 \pm 0.89$ [ $8.67$ ( $8.01$ - $9.78$ )]	$3.90 \pm 0.11 \ [3.90 \ (3.79 \text{-} 4.01)]$	
Drone brood larvae	$10.86 \pm .18 \ [10.84 \ (10.65 \text{-} 11.08)]$	$.08 \pm .085 \; [.04 \; (.0321]$	
Queen bee larvae	$11.05 \pm .06 [11.05 (11.01-11.09)]$	$.15 \pm .06 [ .15 (.1119)]$	
Propolis A	$166.30 \pm 1.50 \ [165.94 \ (165.01\text{-}167.95)]$	$83.01 \pm 0.18 \ [82.92 \ (82.89 - 83.22)]$	
Propolis B	$152.76 \pm 0.59[152.68 \ (152.21\text{-}153.39)]$	$81.70 \pm 0.55$ [81.64 (81.18-82.28)]	

<sup>\*</sup>  $\bar{x} \pm sd$  [Median (Min – Max)]

#### **DISCUSSION**

Honey bees are an important part of the food supply chain for both pollination and commercial beekeeping activities. Although honey bees are among the most important pollinators, their lives are under threat because they are infected with various pathogens. The most important of these pathogens is *P. larvae* that causes AFB (Dickel *et al.*, 2022). The management of this disease is the burning of the diseased hives today, or prophylactic feeding of antibiotics to the hives practiced in some countries (Genersch, 2010). However, the resistance developed by bacteria against the use of antibiotics and the residues in foods has become an increasing global problem worldwide. Also the use of antibiotics is not effective against these bacterial spores

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

and their use is related to the alteration of gut microbiota and the modification of the development of bee behavior (Raymann and Moran, 2018; Ortiz-Alvarado et al., 2020). In order to prevent this disease in honey bees, it is necessary to develop sustainable and non-chemical solutions, and alternatives to the use of antibiotics and burn the hives. Antimicrobial peptides are thought to be one of the mechanisms that affect the resistance of honey bees to AFB infection of colonies (Evans, 2004; Decanini et al., 2007; Chan et al., 2009). These natural antimicrobial peptides found in snake, scorpion, and BV cause inhibitis the pathogens by breaking their membranes, morever the bacteria do not develop resistance to these peptides (Ventola, 2015). In addition to these natural peptides, many researchers reported that the resistance of colonies to AFB was associated with larval feeding (Šedivá et al., 2018). In line with these data, this study, it was aimed to test the effectiveness of bee products, which are known to be natural antimicrobial agents, against P. larvae. All tested bee products except DBL and QBL significantly inhibited the growth of two different strains of *P. larvae* at rates ranging from 6.25 to 62.5 µg/mL. Among these important bee products, BV was the most effective against both bacterial strains at the lowest dose. Studies about the antimicrobial activity of BV against bacterial strains that cause AFB are very limited. Lee et al. (2016) investigated the antimicrobial effect of one of the BV peptides, secapin (AcSecapin-1) against P. larvae and reported the MIC<sub>50</sub> value as 11.13 µM. Fernández et al. (2014) tested the efficacy of BV against five different strains of P. larvae and they obtained MIC values between 3.12 to 8.33 µg/mL. It was reported in a previous study that Anatolian BV is highly effective against yeast like fungi, Gram (+) and Gram (-) bacteria (Sonmez et al., 2022). The present study, Anatolian BV significantly affected the growth and development of *P. larvae* strains and were effective against the pathogen at very low MIC dose (for both strains 6.25 µg/mL). In this study, another bee product that is significantly effective against P. larvae was BB. To our knowledge no such study were present in the literature that tests the effectiveness of BB against this honeybee pathogen. Hence, our studies could be of significant highlighting the efficiency of BB against this pathogenic bacteria. However, Iorizzo et al. (2020) isolated Lactobacillus plantarum strains from BB and investigated its antimicrobial effect against P. *larvae*. They reported that isolated *Lactobacillus* strains were able to inhibit *P. larvae* growth. Considering the compatibility with the previous study (Iorizzo et al., 2020), the low MIC values obtained from this study may be an indication that the probiotic bacteria in the content of BB

pathogens (Ilyasov et al., 2013). The most important of these antimicrobial peptides are low

play an active role in the defense of the immune system of the honey bees against these bacteria.

Like all insects, honeybees produce antimicrobial peptides to defend themselves against

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

molecular weight proteins and peptides in RJ (Ramanathan et al., 2018). Bíliková et al. (2001) tested the efficacy of one of these peptides, royalicin, against *P. larvae* and other Gram (+) bacteria using disk diffusion method and reported that this peptide inhibits the growth of this pathogenic bacteria. In a similar study, Bachanová et al. (2002) suggested that royalicin and other peptides are responsible for activity against P. larvae and other Gram (+) bacteria. In another study, Hornitzky, (1998) reported that RJ had a bactericidal effect against the vegetative form of *P. larvae* after application of 5 min. Šedivá et al. (2018) investigated the antibacterial effects of trans-10-hydroxy-2-desenoic acid (10-HDA), an important fatty acid of RJ, against P. larvae strains, including all Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus (ERIC) genotypes and they reported that 10-HDA showed higher activity against these genotype with decreasing pH. 10-HDA is an important component of RJ responsible for antimicrobial activity, and it has been reported in previous studies that this fatty acid derivative was found at a high level in Anatolian RJ (Sonmez et al., 2023). Anatolian RJ, whose effectiveness was tested in this study, was also found to be effective against two different P. larvae strains. This high inhibition activity was thought to be due to its 10-HDA content, and it can be suggested that this bee product may have a broad-spectrum protective effect in microbial infections occurring in the hive.

Propolis has been used for many years due to its high biological activity. However, this high efficiency could not be evaluated to form a useful model about honey bee diseases that damage the beekeeping industry. Özkırım et al. (2014) investigated the antimicrobial activity of 18 ethanolic extracts of propolis samples against 10 different P. larvae isolates and they reported that the bacterial strains were susceptible to all tested samples. Chen et al. (2018) tested the efficacy of Taiwan green propolis on some Gram (+) bacteria and P. larvae using different extraction methods and showed that the average MIC value was 20 µg/mL. Fangio et al. (2019) and Antunez et al. (2008) reported that ethanolic extracts of propolis samples formed different inhibition zones with values varying between 20-30 mm against P. larvae by disk diffusion method. Sevim et al. (2021) tested the potential antimicrobial activity of Anatolian propolis against P. larvae PB35 and SV35 strains and determined the MIC value as 74.87 µg/mL. It has been reported in previous studies that Anatolian propolis is effective against both Gram (+) and Gram (-) bacteria because of its high phenolic and flavonoid content (Kekecoglu et al., 2021, 2022; Velikova et al., 2000; Uzel et al., 2005; Katırcıoglu and Mercan, 2006). In present study, two different Anatolian propolis samples (A-B), which were tested for their effectiveness against the pathogen that causes severe honey bee and crop loss in hives, also caused high inhibition with low rates of MIC values (7.81 and 15.62 ug/mL respectively). Considering the total phenolic and flavonoid content of Anatolian propolis examined in this study, it is not surprising that a very low effective dose was obtained. For this reason Anatolian propolis samples may have the potential to be used as an alternative disinfectant solution to the use of antibiotics in hives.

For many years, besides its nutritional properties, the biological properties of BP and the therapeutic effects resulting from this activity have been known worldwide (Soares de Arruda *et al.*, 2021). However, no study that tested the effectiveness of this protein and lipid-rich product against *P. larvae*. Grubbs *et al.* (2021) reported that the Actinobacteria strain of the genus *Streptomyces* isolated from pollen stores exhibited significant inhibitory activity against *P. larvae*. In this study, BP samples, whose antimicrobial effect was evaluated by using two different solvents, were also effective against this pathogen with low MIC doses (31.25 µg/mL). Hence, for the very first time we show that BP well known for its high nutritional value, acts as a strong antimicrobial control agent against the *P.larvae* that causes bee larval disease.

The total phenolic and flavonoid content and amounts of honey bee products vary according to the collected geographical region, collection time, vegetation cover, climate and bee race (Campos *et al.*, 2015; Arruda *et al.*, 2013). It is known that these bioactive components, which differ in each product, are also responsible for antimicrobial activity (Fatima *et al.*, 2014, AlJuhaimi *et al.*, 2022, Kekecoglu *et al.*, 2021). In previous studies, it was reported that there is a positive correlation between total phenolic substance and antimicrobial activity (Pereira *et al.*, 2007; Estevinho *et al.*, 2008; Nazzaro *et al.*, 2013). Soares de Arruda *et al.* (2021) reported that they observed moderate and weak correlations between total phenolics, total flavonols and antibacterial activity parameters. However, Morais *et al.* (2011) in their study, showed that there was no relationship between total phenolic substance and antimicrobial activity, and the extract containing a lower percentage of phenolic substances was more effective against microorganisms. In this study, although a positive correlation was obtained between total phenolic substance and antimicrobial activity among propolis samples, no correlation was found between antimicrobial activity with RJ, BB, pollen, QBL, and DBL.

AL-Ani *et al.* (2018) reported that the bioactivities obtained from propolis and other bee products are not only due to the content of phenolic-flavonoid substances, but also due to the synergistic effect between these biologically active substances. With these results, it can be concluded that the antimicrobial activity is not only due to the total phenolic and flavonoid substances, but also to the synergistic effect of the different components in these natural products.

# Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir on 2024-05-08]

#### 359 **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, in this study, very effective antimicrobial activity results were obtained from different bee products against pathogenic bacteria that cause serious damage to honey bee colonies. In particular, bee venom has a good potential to inhibit AFB destruction in colonies. The obtained MIC values were evaluated as an important result showing that these natural products have the potential to be used in the control of AFB disease. It is recommended that these products should be used as a preventative in larval feeding or hives before disease

366 transmission.

367

368369

370

# REFERENCES

- Al-Ani, I., Zimmermann, S., Reichling, J. and Wink, M. 2018. Antimicrobial activities of
- 372 European propolis collected from various geographic origins alone and in combination with
- antibiotics. *Medicines*, **5**(1): 2.
- Al-Juhaimi, F. Y., Özcan, M. M., Mohamed Ahmed, I. A., Alsawmahia, O. N., Özcan, M. M.,
- Ghafoor, K. and Babiker, E. E. 2022. Bioactive compounds, antioxidant activity, fatty acid
- composition and antimicrobial activity of propolis from different locations in Turkey. *Journal*
- 377 *of Apicultural Research.*, **61(2)**: 246-254.
- Alpay Karaoğlu, S., Yayli, N., Erik, İ., Korkmaz, B., Akpinar, R., Bozdeveci, A., Suyabatmaz,
- 379 Ş., Batan, N., Yeşilyurt, A., Kaya, S., Nisbet, C. and Güler, A. 2022. Biological Activity and
- Phytochemical Analysis of Dicranum scoparium against the Bacterial Disease for Honey Bee.
- 381 *Chemistry & biodiversity*, **19(7)**: e202100887.
- Alpay Karaoğlu, Ş., Tosun, G., Yılmaz, Y., Bozdeveci, A., Özdemir T., Terzioğlu, S.,
- Akpınar, R., Nispet, C. and Yaylı, N. 2014. *Paenibacillus larvae* Isolation., Characterization.,
- and Susceptibility to Antibiotics and Plant Extracts from American Foulbrood Diseased
- Specimens. 4th International Muğla Beekeeping and Pine Honey Congress Muğla Turkey, PP.
- 386 153.
- Altan, Ö., Yücel, B., Açikgöz, Z., Şeremet, Ç., Kösoğlu, M., Turgan, N. and Özgönül, A. M.
- 388 2013. Apilarnil reduces fear and advances sexual development in male broilers but has no effect
- on growth. *British poultry science*, **54(3)**: 355-361.
- Alvarenga, L., Cardozo, L. F. M. F., Borges, N. A., Chermut, T. R., Ribeiro, M., Leite Junior,
- 391 M., Shiels, P. G., Stenvinkel, P. and Mafra, D. 2021. To bee or not to bee? The bee extract
- propolis as a bioactive compound in the burden of lifestyle diseases. *Nutrition*, **83**: 111094.

- American Veterinary Medical Association. The Veterinarian's Role in Honey Bee Health.
- 394 Available online: https://www.avma.org (accessed on 5 October 2022).
- Antunez, K., Harriet, J., Gende, L., Eguaras, M. and Zunino, P. 2008. Efficacy of natural
- propolis extract in the control of American Foulbrood. *Veterinary Microbiology*, **131**: 324–331.
- Arruda, V. A. S. D., Freitas, A. D. S. D., Barth, O. M., Estevinho, L. M. and Almeida-
- 398 Muradian, L. B. 2013. Propriedades biologicas do polen apicola de coqueiro., coletado na
- 399 Regi~ao Nordeste do Brasil. *Magistra*, **25**: 27–36.
- Bankova, V. S., de Castro, S. L. and Marcucci, M. C. 2000. Propolis: recent advances in
- 401 chemistry and plant origin. *Apidologie*, **31(1)**: 3-15.
- Bărnuțiu, L. I., Mărghitaș, L., Dezmirean, D., Bobiș, O., Mihai, C. and Pavel, C. 2013.
- 403 Physicochemical composition of Apilarnil (bee drone larvae). Lucrări Științifice-Seria
- 404 Zootehnie, **59**: 199-202.
- Bíliková, K., Wu, G. and Šimúth, J. 2001. Isolation of a peptide fraction from honeybee royal
- ielly as a potential antifoulbrood factor. *Apidologie*, **32(3)**: 275-283.
- Bogdanov, S. 2011a. Pollen: nutrition., functional properties., health: a review. *Bee Product*
- 408 *Science*, **1**: 34.
- Bogdanov, S. 2011b. Bee Venom: Composition., health. Medicine., a review. *Bee Prod Sci.*,
- **1**: 1-20.
- Bogdanov, S. 2012. Propolis: biological properties and medical applications. *The propolis*
- 412 *book*, **2**: 1.
- Borum, E. 2014. Diagnosis of Infection., Fighting and Protection Methods in Foulbrood
- 414 Infection of Honeybees. *Uludag Bee Journal*, **14**(1): 44-55.
- Campos, M. G., Almaraz-Abarca, N., Matos, M. P., Gomes, N. M., Arruda, V. A. S., Barth,
- O. M., Freitas, A. S. and Almeida-Muradian, L. B. 2015. Zea mays L. Pollen: An approach to
- 417 its quality control. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology B*, **5(8)**: 513–522.
- Chan, Q. W. T., Melathopoulos, A. P., Pernal, S. F. and Foster, L. J. 2009. The innate immune
- and systemic response in honey bees to a bacterial pathogen., Paenibacillus larvae. BMC
- 420 *Genomics*, **10**: 387.
- 421 Chen, Y. W., Ye, S. R., Ting, C. and Yu, Y. H. 2018. Antibacterial activity of propolins from
- Taiwanese green propolis. *Journal of food and drug analysis*, **26(2)**: 761-768.
- 423 Chung, H. S., Lee, Y. J., Rahman, M. M., Abd El-Aty, A. M., Lee, H. S., Kabir, M. H., ... and
- Shim, J. H. 2017. Uptake of the veterinary antibiotics chlortetracycline, enrofloxacin, and
- sulphathiazole from soil by radish. *Science of the total Environment*, **605**: 322-331.

- 426 CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute) 2015. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial
- Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically., Approved Standard—Tenth Edition.
- 428 CLSI document M07-A10 (ISBN 1-56238-987-4 [Print]., ISBN 1-56238-988-2 (Accessed
- 429 date: 18.06. 2021.).
- Decanini, L. I., Collins, A. M. and Evans, J. D. 2007. Variation and heritability in immune
- gene expression by diseased honeybees. *J Hered.*, **98**: 195–201.
- Dickel, F., Bos, N. M. P., Hughes, H., Martín-Hernández, R., Higes, M., Kleiser, A. and
- 433 Freitak, D. 2022. The oral vaccination with Paenibacillus larvae bacterin can decrease
- 434 susceptibility to American Foulbrood infection in honey bees—A safety and efficacy
- study. Frontiers in Veterinary Science, 9: 1494.
- Erkmen, O. and Özcan, M. M. 2008. Antimicrobial effects of Turkish propolis, pollen, and
- 437 laurel on spoilage and pathogenic food-related microorganisms. Journal of medicinal
- 438 *food*, **11(3)**: 587-592.
- Estevinho, L., Pereira, A. P., Moreira, L., Dias, L. G. and Pereira, E. 2008. Antioxidant and
- antimicrobial effects of phenolic compounds extracts of Northeast Portugal honey. Food and
- 441 *Chemical Toxicology*, **46**: 3774–3779.
- Etxegarai-Legarreta, O. and Sanchez-Famoso, V. 2022. The role of beekeeping in the
- generation of goods and services: The interrelation between environmental, socioeconomic, and
- sociocultural utilities. *Agriculture*, **12(4)**: 551.
- Evans, J. D. 2004. Transcriptional immune responses by honey bee larvae during invasion by
- the bacterial pathogen., *Paenibacillus larvae*. *J Invertebr Pathol.*, **85**: 105–111.
- Fangio, M. F., Orallo, D. E., Gende, L. B. and Churio, M. S. 2019. Chemical characterization
- and antimicrobial activity against *Paenibacillus larvae* of propolis from Buenos Aires province
- 449 Argentina. Journal of Apicultural Research, **58(4)**: 626-638.
- 450 Fatima, J., Baserisalehi, M. and Nima, B. 2014. Antimicrobial activity and chemical screening
- of propolis extracts. *American Journal of Life Sciences*, **2(2)**: 72–75.
- Fernández, N. J., Porrini, M. P., Podaza, E. A., Damiani, N., Gende, L. B. and Eguaras, M. J.
- 453 2014. A scientific note on the first report of honeybee venom inhibiting *Paenibacillus larvae*
- 454 growth. *Apidologie*, **45**: 719-721.
- Forsgren, E. 2010. European foulbrood in honey bees. *Journal of invertebrate pathology*, **103**:
- 456 **5-9**.
- Fukumoto, L. R. and Mazza, G. 2000. Assessing antioxidant and prooxidant activities of
- 458 phenolic compounds. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **48(8)**: 3597–3604.

- Fünfhaus, A., Göbel, J., Ebeling, J., Knispel, H., Garcia-Gonzalez, E. and Genersch, E. 2018.
- Swarming motility and biofilm formation of *Paenibacillus larvae*, the etiological agent of
- American Foulbrood of honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). Scientific reports, **8(1)**: 8840.
- Genersch, E. 2010. American Foulbrood in honeybees and its causative agent., *Paenibacillus*
- 463 *larvae. Journal of invertebrate pathology*, **103**: 10-19.
- Grady, E. N., MacDonald, J., Liu, L., Richman, A. and Yuan, Z. C. 2016. Current knowledge
- and perspectives of Paenibacillus: a review. *Microbial cell factories*, **15**: 1-18.
- Grubbs, K. J., May, D. S., Sardina, J. A., Dermenjian, R. K., Wyche, T. P., Pinto-Tomás, A.
- 467 A., Clardy, J. and Currie, C. R. 2021. Pollen Streptomyces produce antibiotic that inhibits the
- 468 honey bee pathogen *Paenibacillus larvae*. Frontiers in Microbiology, **12**: 632637.
- Ilyasov, R. A., Gaifullina, L. R., Saltykova, E. S., Poskryakov, A. V. and Nikolaenko, A. G.
- 2013. Defensins in the honeybee antiinfectious protection. *Journal of evolutionary*
- 471 *biochemistry and physiology*, **49**: 1-9.
- Iorizzo, M., Testa, B., Lombardi, S. J., Ganassi, S., Ianiro, M., Letizia, F. et al. 2020.
- 473 Antimicrobial activity against *Paenibacillus larvae* and functional properties of
- 474 *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* strains: Potential benefits for honeybee health. *Antibiotics*, **9(8)**:
- 475 442.
- Katırcıoglu, H. and Mercan, N. 2006. Antimicrobial activity and chemical compositions of
- 477 Turkish propolis from different regions. *African journal of biotechnology*, **5(11)**.
- Kekecoglu, M., Sonmez, E., Acar, M. K. and Karaoglu, S. A. 2021. Pollen Analysis.,
- 479 Chemical Composition and Antibacterial Activity of Anatolian Chestnut Propolis Collected
- 480 From Yigilca Region. *Biology Bulletin*, **48**: 721-728.
- Kekecoglu, M., Sönmez, E., Dorkaç, P. and Eroglu, N. 2022. Determination of In Vitro
- 482 Antimicrobial Activities of Different Propolis Samples from Düzce-Yığılca Region against
- 483 Oral Microorganisms. *KSU J. Agric Nat.*, **25(2)**: 234-242.
- Kohno, M., Horibe, T., Ohara, K., Ito, S. and Kawakami, K. 2014. The membrane-lytic
- peptides K8L9 and melittin enter cancer cells via receptor endocytosis following subcytotoxic
- 486 exposure. *Chem Biol.*, **21**: 1522-32.
- 487 Lee, K. S., Kim, B. Y., Yoon, H. J., Choi, Y. S. and Jin, B. R. 2016. Secapin., a bee venom
- 488 peptide., exhibits anti-fibrinolytic., anti-elastolytic., and anti-microbial
- activities. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology*, **63**: 27-35.
- Moharrami, M., Mojgani, N., Bagheri, M. and Toutiaee, S. 2022. Role of Honey Bee Gut
- Microbiota in the Control of American Foulbrood and European Foulbrood Diseases. *Archives*
- 492 *of Razi Institute*, **77(4)**: 1331.

- Morais, M., Moreira, L., Feás, X. and Estevinho, L. M. 2011. Honeybee-collected pollen from
- 494 five Portuguese Natural Parks: Palynological origin., phenolic content., antioxidant properties
- and antimicrobial activity. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, **49(5)**: 1096-1101.
- Mărgăoan, R., Mărghitaș, L. A., Dezmirean, D. S., Bobiș, O., Bonta, V., Cătană, C., et al.
- 497 2017. Comparative Study on Quality Parameters of Royal Jelly., Apilarnil and Queen Bee
- 498 Larvae Triturate. Bulletin of the University of Agricultural Sciences & Veterinary Medicine
- 499 Cluj-Napoca. Animal Science & Biotechnologies, 74(1): 51-58.
- Morse, R. A. and Calderon, N. W. 2000. The value of honey bee pollination in the United
- 501 States. *Bee Cult.*, **128**: 1–15.
- Mosca, M., Bubnic, J., Giannetti, L., Fortugno, L., Pietropaoli, M., Manara, V., ... and
- Formato, G. 2023. Adoption of Partial Shook Swarm in the Integrated Control of American and
- European Foulbrood of Honey Bee (*Apis mellifera* L.). *Agriculture*, **13(2)**: 363.
- Naglaa, F. B., Wael, M. M. and Mohamed, E. H. 2020. Controlling of some honeybee Apis
- mellifera (Hymenoptera: Apidae) colonies diseases by bee venom. Egypt. J. Plant Protec. Res.
- 507 *Inst*, **3(4)**: 1139-1150.
- Nazzaro, F., Fratianni, F., De Martino, L., Coppola, R. and De Feo, V. 2013. Effect of
- Essential Oils on Pathogenic Bacteria. *Pharmaceuticals*, **6**: 1451–1474.
- Ortiz-Alvarado, Y., Clark, D. R., Vega-Melendez, C. J., Flores-Cruz, Z., Domingez-Bello, M.
- G. and Giray, T. 2020. Antibiotics in hives and their effects on honey bee physiology and
- behavioral development. *Biology open*, **9(11)**: bio053884.
- Özkırım, A., Çelemli, Ö. G., Schiesser, A., Charistos, L. and Hatjina, F. 2014. A comparison
- of the activities of Greek and Turkish propolis against Paenibacillus larvae. Journal of
- 515 *Apicultural Research*, **53(5)**: 528-536.
- Pandidan, S. and Mechler, A. 2019. Nano-viscosimetry analysis of the membrane disrupting
- action of the bee venom peptide melittin. Sci Rep., 9: 10841.
- Pereira, J. A., Oliveira, I., Sousa, A., Valentão, P., Andrade, P., Ferreira, I., Ferreres, F., Bento,
- A., Seabra, R. and Estevinho, L. 2007. Walnut (Juglans regia L.) leaves: phenolic compounds.,
- 520 antibacterial activity and antioxidant potential of different cultivars. Food and Chemical
- 521 *Toxicology*, **45**: 2287–2295.
- Popova, M., Silici, S., Kaftanoglu, O. and Bankova, V. 2005. Antibacterial activity of Turkish
- propolis and its qualitative and quantitative chemical composition. *Phytomedicine*, **12(3)**: 221-
- 524 228.
- Rady, I., Siddiqui, I. A., Rady, M. and Mukhtar, H. 2017. Melittin., a major peptide component
- of bee venom., and its conjugates in cancer therapy. *Cancer letters*, **402**: 16–31.

- Rauch, S., Ashiralieva, A., Hedtke, K. and Genersc, E. 2009. Negative correlation between
- 528 individual-insect-level virulence and colony-level virulence of Paenibacillus larvae, the
- etiological agent of American foulbrood of honeybees. Appl Environ Microbiol., 75: 3344–
- 530 3347.
- Ramanathan, A. N. K. G., Nair, A. J. and Sugunan, V. S. 2018. A review on Royal Jelly
- proteins and peptides. *Journal of Functional Foods*, **44**: 255-264.
- Raut, J. S. and Karuppayil, S. M. 2014. A status review on the medicinal properties of essential
- oils. *Ind Crops Prod.*, **62**: 250-64.
- Raymann, K. and Moran, N. A. 2018. The role of the gut microbiome in health and disease of
- adult honey bee workers. *Current opinion in insect science*, **26**: 97-104.
- Savarino, A. E., Terio, V., Barrasso, R., Ceci, E., Panseri, S., Chiesa, L. M. and Bonerba, E.
- 538 2020. Occurrence of antibiotic residues in Apulian honey: Potential risk of environmental
- pollution by antibiotics. *Italian journal of food safety*, **9(1)**: 14-19.
- Šedivá, M., Laho, M., Kohútová, L., Mojžišová, A., Majtán, J. and Klaudiny, J. 2018. 10-
- 541 HDA., a major fatty acid of royal jelly., exhibits pH dependent growth-inhibitory activity
- against different strains of *Paenibacillus larvae*. *Molecules*, **23(12)**: 3236.
- Sevim, E., Bozdeveci, A., Pinarbaş, M., Kekeçoğlu, M., Akpinar, R., Keskin, M., Kolayli, S.
- and Karaoğlu, S. A. 2021. Antibacterial Effects of Anatolian Propolis on *Paenibacillus larvae*.
- 545 *U Bee J.*, **21**(**2**): 177-186.
- Singleton, V. L., Orthofer, R. and Lamuela-Raventós, R. M. 1999. Analysis of total phenols
- and other oxidation substrates and antioxidants by means of folin-ciocalteu reagent. In Methods
- in enzymology., Lester., P., Ed. Academic Press. PP. 152-178.
- Soares de Arruda, V. A., Vieria dos Santos, A., Figueiredo Sampaio, D., da Silva Araujo, E.,
- de Castro Peixoto, AL., Estevinho, L. M. and de Almeida-Muradian, L. B. 2021. Brazilian bee
- pollen: phenolic content., antioxidant properties and antimicrobial activity. Journal of
- 552 *Apicultural Research*, **60(5)**: 775-783.
- Sonmez, E., Kekecoglu, M., Bozdeveci, A. and Alpay Karaoglu, S. 2022. Chemical profiling
- and antimicrobial effect of Anatolian honey bee venom. *Toxicon*, **213**: 1-6.
- Sonmez, E., Kekecoglu, M., Sahin, H., Bozdeveci, A. and Alpay Karaoglu, S. 2023. An
- evaluation of the chemical composition and biological properties of Anatolian Royal Jelly.,
- drone brood and queen bee larvae. European Food Research and Technology, 249(5): 1391-
- 558 1401.

- Sonmez, E., Kekecoglu, M., Sahin, H., Bozdeveci, A. and Alpay Karaoglu, S. 2023.
- 560 Comparing the biological properties and chemical profiling of chestnut bee pollen and bee
- bread collected from Anatolia. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 1-11.
- Uzel, A., Önçağ, Ö., Çoğulu, D. and Gençay, Ö. 2005. Chemical compositions and
- antimicrobial activities of four different Anatolian propolis samples. *Microbiological research*,
- **160(2)**: 189-195.
- Velikova, M., Bankova, V., Marcucci, M. C., Tsvetkova, I. and Kujumgiev, A. 2000.
- 566 Chemical composition and biological activity of propolis from Brazilian meliponinae.
- 567 *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C*, **55(9-10)**: 785-789.
- Ventola, C. L. 2015. The antibiotic resistance crisis: Part 1: causes and threats. *Pharmacol*
- 569 *Ther.*, **40**: 277–283.

574

575

576

577

578

- Wagh, V. D. 2013. Propolis: a wonder bees product and its pharmacological
- potentials. *Advances in Pharmacological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, **2013**: 1-11.
- Wang, C., Hong, T., Cui, P., Wang, J. and Xia, J. 2021. Antimicrobial peptides towards
- clinical application: Delivery and formulation. Adv Drug Deliv Rev., 175: 113818.