1	ACCEPTED ARTICLE
2	Exploring Source-Sink Relationship for the Formation of Grain Yield in
3	Sunflower
4	Mostafa Saadatmand ^{1#a} Masood Saltani Najafahadi ² * Sayad Pazaghali
5 6	Mirfakhraei ¹
7	1411 Tuxin uch
8	¹ Department of Plant Genetics Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modarres
9	University, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran.
10	#a Current Address: Department of Molecular Physiology of Agricultural
11	Biotechnology Research Institute, Agricultural Research, Education, and Extension
12	Organization, Karaj, Islamic Republic of Iran. ² Seed and Plant Improvement Institute Agricultural Research Education and
13	Extension Organization, Karai, Islamic Republic of Iran.
15	Zittenston organization, maraj, mante respuerte or mant
16	*Corresponding author; e-mail: M.soltannin@areeo.ac.ir
17	
18	ABSTRACT
19	Developing high-yielding varieties of sunflower as oilseed staple crops requires knowledge of
20	physiologic and molecular mechanisms involved in yield formation. Source strength, sink demand,
21	and their interactions play crucial roles in the yield formation of sunflowers. The persistence of
22	assimilate flux to the developing grains mainly determines sink demand. There was no information
23	on the molecular mechanism for assimilate flux to the sink organ of sunflowers. To shed light on
24	molecular events engaging in assimilate flux to sink organs, two experiments were carried out on
25	five sunflower inbred lines differing in their grain yields. Source-related parameters (such as leaf
26	biomass, area, and number) and sink-associated attributes (such as floret number at the first anthesis
27	and capitulum biomass and diameter, in addition to changes in biomass of capitulum and stem, at
28	the first anthesis with those at physiological maturity) were evaluated across all the inbred lines.
29	The Invertase gene expression level was measured on the receptacle base of three inbred lines,
30	showing discrepancies in the source, sink, and grain yield performances. The results showed that
31	while no significant correlation was found between source strength and sink demand with grain
32	yield, higher grain yield was likely attributed to the persistence of assimilate flux to the capitulum
33	base during grain filling. This phenomenon was discussed to be due to higher Invertase activity in
34	the receptacle base.
35	Keywords: Grain yield, source-to-sink relations, sunflower

37 INTRODUCTION

Sunflower is staple oilseed crops with a kernel oil content of about 55%. Sunflower oil is the fourth most important vegetable oil globally (Grompone, 2005). The crop shows wide adaptability to various climate conditions, thus attracting a great deal of attention for breeders with the aim of yield development (Vear, 2016). Yield improvement in grain crops is mostly achieved through either higher biomass production or harvest index (HI) or both (Evans, 1996; Sharma and Smith, 1986), according to plant species.

Sunflower, a C3 crop, has a high photosynthetic capacity and rate (English et al., 1979), which is similar to many C4 species (Lloyd and Canvin, 1979; Rawson and Constable, 1980). Nevertheless, a high ratio of the transpiration rate to carbon fixation (Rawson and Constable, 1980), low HI (English et al., 1979), inefficient assimilation partitioning to grains, inefficient management in partitioning carbon assimilates to developing grain (English et al., 1979), low pre-anthesis reserved carbohydrate (Pereira et al., 2000) are among limiting factors proposed targets of yield improvements.

51 Biomass production is mostly influenced by source strength; nevertheless, HI is determined by 52 sink-source relationships (Smith et al., 2018; Venkateswarlu and Visperas, 1987). Investigation of 53 sunflower cultivars released in a period of 60 years in Argentina indicated that breeding programs 54 had been directed toward increases in the partitioning of assimilates toward grain (Pereira et al., 55 2000), and increasing biomass production would be the method of choice for further increase in 56 the yield (Smith et al., 2018). The formation of grain yield in crops, including sunflower, depends 57 on reaching assimilates from sources to grain (as sink organ) (Sadras et al., 1993; Venkateswarlu 58 and Visperas, 1987). Most fraction of the assimilates is supplied by current photosynthesis (Hall et 59 al., 1995; Rafiei et al., 2013) and fewer ones from pre-anthesis stored carbohydrates (Hall et al., 60 1989; Hall et al., 1990; Pereira et al., 2008). Assimilates synthesized before anthesis are exported 61 from the leaf and stored in the form of non-structural carbohydrate (NSC) in vegetative parts, such 62 as stem nodes (e.g., wheat, soybean, and sunflower), pods, petioles (in soybean), and cub (in maize) 63 (Kühbauch and Thome, 1989; Pereira et al., 2008; Seebauer et al., 2010; Streeter and Jeffers, 1979). 64 Managing assimilate production (source-related activities), storage (sink-related activities and 65 NSC storing), and their partitioning make avenues of research for plant physiologists and breeders 66 (Baker et al., 1984; Lee and Tollenaar, 2007; Lichthardt et al., 2020; Ludewig and Sonnewald, 67 2016). Increases in HI, which have been pointed out by the aforementioned researchers, are the key

point in developing varieties of higher grain yield. To achieve the goal, there must be a non-stop 68 69 assimilate flux to filling grain from the first anthesis to physiological maturity. Identifying the cause of persisting flux requires knowing the molecular level of assimilate transportation. There 70 71 are several lines of evidence mentioning the role of starch metabolizing enzymes in assimilate 72 partitioning to developing seeds (Ishimaru et al., 2005; Saeedipour and Moradi, 2011; Yang et al., 73 2004). In sunflowers, no report was found on the role of the enzymes in grain filling. Nevertheless, 74 it has been proven that invertase, hexokinase, and fructokinase are responsible for establishing the 75 levels of soluble carbohydrates in sunflower seeds (Troncoso-Ponce et al., 2009). Assimilate fluxes 76 from phloem into sunflower grains go through the receptacle base and capitulum as intermediate 77 sink, even though there is no molecular data to support this claim. In this paper, physiological and 78 molecular components affecting the variation of the grain yield of several sunflower inbred lines 79 are discussed.

80

81 MATERIALS AND METHODS

82 Plant Genetic Materials

Five inbred lines of a sunflower, developed at the Oilseed Crop Research Department, Seed and
Plant Improvement Institute (SPII), Karaj, Iran, were used in this investigation (Table 1).

85

86 Cultivation Systems and Treatments

The experiment was conducted in two environments: one in the experimental station of SPII in Karaj and the other in the experimental station of the Tarbiat Modares University (TMU) located on the Tehran-Karaj Freeway in the years 2017 and 2018, respectively. The location, soil, and climate characteristics are presented in Table 2.

Seeds were planted 25 cm apart with a row spacing of 60 cm. Each plot contained four rows of 4 m long each, with two external rows as borders and two internal rows serving as the experimental plants. The two internal rows were harvested after excluding one plant from each end of the rows. In each environment, experiments were conducted in a complete randomized block design with inbred lines as treatments and three replicates. The seeding date was chosen such that anthesis occurs when the temperature is nearly 30 °C, starting from mid-April and three later sowing dates with 10-day intervals.

The nitrogen-phosphorous-potassium-containing fertilizers (urea, 450 Kg/ha; superphosphate triple, 150 Kg; potassium sulfate, 220 Kg/ha) were applied based on the soil analysis. Weeds were 100 controlled manually. Plots were irrigated twice a week before anthesis and weekly from the 101 anthesis to physiological maturity. To prevent bird injury, the capitulum was covered by 102 newspapers after flowering.

103

104 Trait Measurement

105 In each plot, five competing plants were randomly selected and used for trait measurements. Leaf 106 number, leaf area, and leaf biomass were measured at the first anthesis. Leaf area was measured 107 on detached leaves of each plant using a digital leaf area meter device (Licor 3100, USA) and 108 summed up to provide leaf area of the plant. Organ biomass (see below) was determined by oven-109 drying to a constant weight at 70 °C. Filled and empty grains of each capitulum were counted, and 110 their biomass was considered grain yield per plant. Receptacle and capitulum biomass were 111 determined at the first anthesis and physiological maturity, respectively. Non-seed biomass of 112 capitulum was calculated by subtracting biomass of grains from capitulum biomass. NSC content 113 was measured by the following formula:

114 NSC=
$$(W_{ra}+W_{sa})-(W_{cfgm}+W_{sm})$$

where W_{ra} , W_{sa} , W_{cfgm} , and W_{sm} are the biomass of receptacle at the first anthesis, biomass of the stem five uppermost nodes at the first anthesis, biomass of grain-free capitulum at physiological maturity, and biomass of the stem five uppermost nodes at physiological maturity.

The contribution of current photosynthesis to grain yield was estimated by subtracting NSC fromgrain yield per plant.

Capitulum radial diameter was measured by a digital caliper 10 days after physiologic maturity;
also, it was used for calculating capitulum area. The average available area per grain was calculated
by dividing the capitulum area by the number of grains per capitulum.

Pieces of 0.10 g tissues were sampled from the same region of the receptacle base and the five
uppermost nodes of the stem. The specimen was flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept under -80
°C for further analysis.

127 Starch Content Measurement and Gene Expression Analysis

Insoluble carbohydrates, also referred to as SC content (mg/g) of the frozen samples, were determined according to the method described by Sheligt (Sheligl, 1986) and glucose as standard. The data are presented as the mean of three replicates over the two environments.

Total RNA was extracted from 100 mg of the frozen samples using the TransZol Up Plus RNA Extraction kit (Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and its concentration and purity were evaluated by a spectrophotometer. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primer pairs for *Invertase* and *Actin* (Table 3) was used to test the lack of any genomic DNA residual after treating the total RNA with RNase-free *DNaseI* (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). The integrity of RNA was checked on a 1 % (w/v) agarose gel before and after *DNaseI* treatment.

The first-strand cDNA synthesis was carried out using 2 µg of *RNase* I-treated RNA using the
SuperScript III reverse transcriptase kit (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) according to the
manufacturer's instructions.

140 PCRs were conducted using the Roche System (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany) and 141 SYBR Green as a dye. Reactions with a final volume of 20 µL contained 4 µL of a template (cDNA 142 or total RNA), 200 mM of each primer (1 µL of mixed forward and reverse primers with a 143 concentration of 0.5 mM each, see Table 3), and 4 µL of a SYBR Green RealQ plus 2X master mix 144 (Ampliqon). The applied thermal profile was 50 °C for 2 min and 95 °C for 10 min, as well as 40 145 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min. After 40 cycles, the specificity of the amplification was checked by heating from 60 °C to 95 °C with a ramp speed of 1.9 °C/min and producing the 146 147 melting curves. The data are presented as the mean of three replications over the two environments. 148 The normalized expression level of *Invertase* based on Actin (Table 3) was shown as $40-\Delta C_t$, 149 where C_t is the cycle number at which SYBR Green fluorescence in a PCR reaction reaches an 150 arbitrary value during the exponential phase of DNA amplification, and ΔC_t is the C_t for *Invertase* 151 normalized based on that of the *Actin* gene ($\Delta C_t = C_t[Invertase] - C_t[Actin]$).

152

159

153 Data Analysis

Combined analysis of variance was performed over the two environments by R4.3.1. Before analysis of variance, the data was evaluated for normality through performing Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test by SPSS ver 27 and transformed up on deviation from normality. Mean comparisons was done through Duncan Multiple Range Test. Pearson correlation analysis was conducted on the raw data using SPSS ver 27.

160 **RESULTS**

161 Like all grain crops, yield improvement is archived through understanding determinant factors 162 affecting the sink, source, and interrelationships. There are several lines of evidence showing the effect of physiological cues on this interrelationship, but to the best of our knowledge, no data are available on the molecular physiology of events responsible for the interrelationships in the sunflower. In this study, however, we investigated the physiological aspects of grain yield formation in sunflower inbred lines and tried to use molecular knowledge to find the obscure part of the yield formation, which was pointed out in the previous studies.

168

169 Genotypes Has Significant Impacts on the Behavior of All Investigated Traits

170 A combined analysis of variance (ANOVA) over the two environments was conducted (Table 171 S1). Effect of environment (location, year or both) was significant for most the traits except for 172 leaf area per plant, receptacle dry weight at anthesis, stem dry weight at anthesis, grain yield, 173 capitulum diameter, and current photosynthesis contribution to grain yield. Thus for, performing 174 investigation in two environments, led to removing effects of environment on the mentioned traits. 175 The analysis showed that the effect of genotype-by-environment interaction ($G \times Env$) was not 176 significant for all traits; thus, a pooling error comprising of environmental error and $G \times Env$ was 177 estimated. Upon the pooling, genotypes appeared to have significant effects on all leaf-related 178 traits, biomass of various organs, capitulum attributes, and contribution of remobilization to the 179 grain yield at $\alpha \le 0.05$. Nevertheless, contribution of current photosynthesis to grain yield was not 180 significantly affected by genotype effect. Accordingly, we took the average of genotypes across 181 environments for all the traits.

We investigated the appearance of the sunflower inbred lines regarding various source- and sinkrelated traits and combined the data with molecular data obtained from genotypes with differential grain yield to explain grain formation determinants in the sunflower. The details of the results are presented as follows:

187 Sunflower Inbred Lines Exhibit Variation in Source-Related Capacity

The lines were significantly different in total leaf area per plant at anthesis. Line BF1814 produced the largest leaf area (0.8 m^2) , while inbred M-289 produced the smallest leaf area $(0.2 \text{ m}^2; \text{ Fig. 1a})$. The number of leaves per plant was also different, ranging from 21 for BF1814 to 25 for Bline1221 (Fig. 1b). Total dry mass of leaves at anthesis followed the same pattern as total leaf area, and BF1814 and M-289 showed the largest and smallest total leaf dry mass, respectively (Fig. 1c).

194

195 Pre-Anthesis Stored Photo-Assimilates is Differently Distributed between Stem and 196 Receptacle base

197 Pre-anthesis stored biomass of the receptacle base and the upper part of the stem were 198 significantly different among the lines. The biomass of the receptacle base for all lines was always 199 greater than the biomass for the upper part of the stem (Fig. 2). This result indicates that before 200 anthesis, more assimilates are translocated and stored in the receptacle base than in the upper part 201 of the stem. According to the amount of biomass stored before anthesis, the lines were grouped 202 into two classes: one class contained BF1814 and BF81-196 with the largest values, and the other 203 included the rest of the lines showing similar values of the receptacle base biomass. Moreover, at 204 anthesis, the lines showed similar classification patterns for the biomass of the upper part of the 205 stem and leaves (Fig. 2). Because the assimilates stored in the receptacle base provide the energy 206 required for developing florets, the amount of the assimilates stored before anthesis may be used 207 to estimate energy supply for floret formation, indirectly affecting grain number at maturity.

208

209 Sink-Related Attributes are Responsible for Variation in Grain Yield across Inbred Lines

Grain yield per plant was measured at maturity by removing all achenes (filled and empty grains)from the capitulum and weighing the dried achenes thereafter.

Bline1221 had the largest grain yield per plant (33.5 g), followed by BF81-196 (29.1 g), BF1814 (20.2 g), Bline19 (18.4 g), and M-289 (14.9 g; Fig. 3a). All the lines used in this experiment were single-headed; thus, all the grain-targeted assimilates produced in source organs reached a single head. As a result, the single head (capitulum) was considered the only aboveground sink.

216 At physiological maturity, the biomass of the capitulum after removing all achenes was measured 217 (non-seed capitulum biomass). This trait revealed how much of the allocated assimilate to the 218 capitulum is not partitioned toward grains, thus called capitulum structural carbohydrates (CSC). 219 Lines BF1221 and M-289 had the largest and smallest CSC content, respectively (Fig. 3b). High 220 variation was found in CSC content among the lines (CV = 20%). Interestingly, a nearly constant 221 ratio was observed between the total biomass of capitulum (CSC + grain yield) and grain yield 222 (Fig. 3c and Table 4). Thus, it may conclude that irrespective of genotype, a general mechanism 223 plays a role within the capitulum for allocating capitulum dry matter to grains.

The number of achenes per capitulum was used as the estimation of sink size. The inbred lines were classified into two categories according to the sink size (Fig. 4a). In one category, there exist BF1221, M-289, and BF81-196 with a similar number of achenes per capitulum and, in the other one, Bline1814 and Bline19 with a significantly larger number of achenes per capitulum.
Capitulum radial diameter (Fig. 4b) followed the same pattern as average space per achene on the
capitulum (Fig. 4c): BF1814 and Bline19 had similarly the largest capitulum diameters and average
available area per grain, followed by the rest of the lines with statistically the same values for the
two traits.

232

233 Current Photosynthesis has a Higher Impact on Grain Yield Formation than Remobilization

Changes in the starch content of the receptacle base were monitored in four-day interval sampling dates starting from the first anthesis. The results of the starch content over the sampling dates are presented in Fig. 5.

The results showed that for all the inbred lines, starch content continued to rise in the receptacle base until the eighth day after the first anthesis and decline thereafter (Fig. 5). The same patterns in the dynamic of the starch content were observed in the five uppermost nodes of the stem (data are not shown). Thus, the eighth day after the first anthesis was considered the time for starting the remobilization of dissolved NSCs from the receptacle base and the upper part of the stem toward achenes.

Remobilization amount (RA) was calculated based on the differences in the total biomass of the achene-free capitulum and the five uppermost nodes in the stem at physiological maturity from the biomass of the organs on the eighth day after the first anthesis. Dividing the RA by the grain yield, the contribution of RA to grain yield (RAC) was estimated. Also, we subtracted the RAC from grain yield, which gave us an estimation of the current photosynthesis contribution to grain yield (CPC).

BF1814 and M-28 showed a maximum RAC of 33%, while its minimum was 22% for BF81-196 (Fig. 6a). Comparison between the contribution of current photosynthesis (Fig. 6b) and remobilization to grain yield revealed that the contribution was much larger for current photosynthesis than that of remobilization (from 64% for BF1814 and M-289 to about 80% for BF81-196; Fig. 6). As a result, we concluded that photosynthesis activity in the period after anthesis is more determinant in grain filing than that of pre-anthesis. Nevertheless, we will show that other factors play important roles in importing photosynthates to the capitulum.

257 The Invertase Gene Differentially Expressed over the Lines with Similar Sink Size

Invertase expression, normalized according to an internal control gene, *Actin*, was measured in three inbred lines, showing discrepancies between the source, sink power at anthesis, and grain yield (Fig. 7). According to Fig. 7, while Bline1221 and M-2890 showed similar values for the gene expression, BF1814 has significantly lower *Invertase* gene expression.

262

263 **DISCUSSION**

The source-sink formation and relationship is fundamental to understanding the crop growth and yield formation (White et al., 2016) and helps plant breeders to make efficient and better decisions for yield improvement.

267 Leaves produce assimilates and thus serve as a source organ. Source strength, as a product of 268 source size and source activity, is associated with the total biomass of the source tissue and the 269 specific rate of photosynthates biosynthesis (White et al., 2016). The 14th and upper leaves in 270 sunflower have a high rate of net photosynthesis (English et al., 1979) or gross photosynthesis 271 (Rawson and Constable, 1980), respectively, which is accounted for source activity. Total leaf area 272 and average leaf size are commonly used to express source size in sunflowers (Pereira et al., 1999). 273 By these definitions, in our study, BF1814 and M-289 showed the highest and lowest source 274 strength, respectively.

275 Smith et al. (2018) and Rennie and Turgeon (2009) indicated that there were multiple mechanisms 276 functioning in assimilate transportation in the same plant species. A strong correlation between the 277 leaf biomass and receptacle and a weak correlation between the leaf biomass and the number of 278 florets per capitulum were observed in this study (Table 5). These correlations may indicate a lack 279 of considerable limitations in assimilate uploading in the leaves and the presence of limitations in 280 the unloading of the assimilate at the receptacle base before anthesis. Meanwhile, these correlations 281 highlight the presence of multiple mechanisms involved in assimilate uploading, unloading, and 282 transportation in the in the evaluated sunflower lines.

Grain yield per plant of single-headed sunflower genotypes is determined as a product of the number of achenes (filled and empty) per capitulum and 1,000-grain weight (Ion et al., 2015; Villalobos et al., 1996), where the number of achenes plays a much greater role in yield formation (Connor and Sadras, 1992). Achenes are the strongest sink after starting anthesis (Connor and Sadras, 1992). The sink strength is defined as a product of sink size and sink activity (White et al., 2016). Sink size in sunflower has a close correlation with the floret number, which is determined at the flowering time (Steer et al., 1988). Thus, a capitulum with a greater number of achenes and thereby a bigger radial length is considered a stronger sink. Because floret formation and development lead to a great demand for assimilate before anthesis (Alkio et al., 2002; Connor and Sadras, 1992), genotypes with a greater number of achenes (i.e., BF1814 and Bline19) are expected to have more receptacle dry matter at anthesis and vice versa—a relationship we did not observe in Bline19 and BF81-196 (Figs. 3 and 4).

295 Grain filling is supported by assimilate flux to the grain, which is decomposed into current 296 photosynthesis (photo-assimilate) (Epila et al., 2018) and pre-anthesis NSC stored in stem 297 (Bihmidine et al., 2013; Evans, 1996; Streeter and Jeffers, 1979) and receptacle base (Baker et al., 298 1984; English et al., 1979; Hall et al., 1989; Pereira et al., 2008; Pereira et al., 2000). In our study, 299 NSC could only contribute up to 33% of achene dry weight, indicating more contribution of current 300 photosynthesis to grain yield, which was less than the same figure (45%) reported by other 301 researchers under the same conditions (Pereira et al., 2008). Pereira et al. (2008) divided and 302 estimated the contribution of pre-anthesis NSC to grain filling into two origins: the receptacle and 303 stem. In the present investigation, all evaluated genotypes were indifferent in their contribution of NSC and current photosynthesis to grain filling; therefore, it can be concluded that the limitation 304 305 in the remobilization of NSC and photo-assimilates may be a less deterministic factor for grain 306 vield of sunflower.

307 Development of achenes on the capitulum is limited mainly by three factors: a) limited space and 308 the physical pressure exerted by the neighboring achene on the capitulum (Hernández, 2015; 309 Lindström et al., 2006; Sinsawat and Steer, 1993), b) insufficient assimilates (Alkio and Grimm, 310 2003; Kühbauch and Thome, 1989), and c) competition among developing achene (Behbahanzadeh 311 et al., 2012; Sinsawat and Steer, 1993; Steer et al., 1988). The capitulum radial diameter is used as 312 a good estimation of the average available area for each achene (Sinsawat and Steer, 1993). Thus, 313 if sufficient assimilate is provided, the bigger the capitulum radial diameter, the more available 314 space per achene on the capitulum, and thus the greater potential sink size. Thus, in our experiment, 315 while BF1814 and BF81-196 had the biggest amount of pre-anthesis receptacle weight, BF1814 316 and Bline19 showed the biggest sink size.

317 Sufficient assimilate supply to the developing grains depends on two factors: physical resistance 318 in the assimilate flux route from the source to filling achenes and biochemical barriers against 319 reaching the assimilates in the phloem to the receptacle base and achenes thereafter. Every floret/achene on the receptacle/capitulum receives photo-assimilate from three neighboring orthostichies (Alkio et al., 2002; Sinclair, 1994), and also there are lines of evidence for the lack of structural and functional deficiency in the vascular connection between the receptacle and all the grain on the capitulum (Alkio and Grimm, 2003). As a result, we conclude that biochemical barriers are thought to be determinant factors in reaching assimilates to filling achenes.

325 Summing up the above observations, lines BF1814 and Bline19 have the biggest sink size, and 326 line BF1814 has the strongest source. However, line with the strongest source and sink (BF1814) 327 could not produce the greatest grain yield, provided a counter-argument against current ideas on 328 source-sink relationships and the source-limitation hypothesis of yield formation in sunflower 329 under a normal cultivation system, as reported by Alkio et al. (2003) and Behbahanzadeh et al. 330 (2012). The contribution of NSC and current photosynthesis to grain filling was nearly similar for 331 all the evaluated genotypes (Fig. 6 and Table 4). Thus, we observed that sink size and source 332 stringency, according to the current terminology (White et al., 2016), were unlikely to play a strong 333 impact on grain yield.

334 While the contribution of the receptacle as temporary intermediate storage of current 335 photosynthesis has been proposed (Hall et al., 1989; Pereira et al., 2008), the molecular 336 mechanisms of this process remain to be elucidated. Sucrose is the major mobile form of 337 assimilates in nearly all plant species (Farrar et al., 2000). Accumulation of unloaded assimilates 338 in the sink organ creates negative feedback to the current assimilate toward the sink (Farrar and 339 Minchin, 1991). Ideally, in the sink organ, the unloaded sucrose has to be rapidly hydrolyzed into 340 glucose and fructose, a task that is accomplished by Invertases (Sturm, 1999). Thus, in the sink 341 organ, sucrose released from the phloem is broken down by Invertase to minimize the negative 342 feedback (Roitsch and Tanner, 1996) and maintain the assimilate flux to the sink.

343 We observed that M-289 and Bline1221 showed the lowest and highest grain yield, CSC, and 344 total capitulum biomass at maturity, respectively-but interestingly, with the same level of 345 *Invertase* gene expression in the receptacle base. BF1814, on the other hand, showed a large sink 346 size and pre-anthesis stem and receptacle base biomass—but low capitulum total biomass and grain 347 yield. The *Invertase* expression level was lower in BF1814 than in M-289 and Bline1221. In the 348 current study, we observed a constant ratio between the total dry matter of the capitulum (CSC +349 grain yield) and grain yield across the lines (Table 4), indicating that the grain yield differences are 350 probably more attributable to the total dry matter of capitulum than to the allocation of NSC of the 351 capitulum to developing grains, which was originally suggested by English et al. (1979). We think 352 that the allocation of assimilates to the capitulum has a more prominent effect on yield than the redistribution of assimilates to the developing grains. This finding is illustrated in a proposed model 353 354 of yield formation (Fig. 8), where the Invertase activity in the receptacle base creates a negative 355 gradient and maintains assimilate flux to the receptacle base as temporary storage of current 356 photosynthates, which in turn, provides sufficient dry matter for more grain filling. Future studies 357 can monitor the concentrations of two simple sugars, glucose and fructose movements, from the 358 receptacle base into the filling grains to further verify this proposed model.

359

360 CONCLUSION

361 Observation over a limited number of sunflower inbred lines indicated the need for re-defining the sink strength concept in sunflowers. In other words, re-defining sink in sunflowers requires 362 363 factoring in source activity, metabolic activity, and sink size (the number of florets per receptacle), 364 which are the determinants of assimilate gradient down to filling grains. Our results suggest that the sucrose metabolism enzyme Invertase highly contributes to maintaining the assimilate flux 365 366 down to the developing grains. The receptacle base (i) stores NSC before anthesis and (ii) maintains 367 assimilate flux to the developing grain; thus, it plays a role as an intermediate sink. Our results also 368 suggest that the overexpression of sucrose metabolism-related enzymes, in addition to higher HI 369 and source stringency, is a prominent strategy for breeding high yielding varieties in sunflower.

370

376

371 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was conducted in Seed and Plant Improvement Institute and Tarbiyat Modared University, College of Agriculture. This work was supported by Iran National Science Foundation [grant numbers 92017948]. Special thanks goes to Dr Mohsen Mohammdi for critically reviewing the manuscript.

377 **REFERENCES**

Alkio, M., Diepenbrock, W., Grimm, E., 2002. Evidence for sectorial photoassimilate supply in
the capitulum of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*). New Phytol., 156(3): 445-456.
<u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00524.x</u>

- 381 Alkio, M., Grimm, E., 2003. Vascular connections between the receptacle and empty achenes in
- 382 sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *J. Exp. Bot.*, 54(381): 345-348.
 383 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erg019
- Baker, D., Chapman, G., Standish, M., Bailey, M., 1984. Growth habit in relat ion to assimilate
- 385 partitioning and some consequences for field bean breeding, Vicia faba: Agronomy, physiology
- and breeding. Springer, p. 23-28.
- 387 Behbahanzadeh, S.A., Akbari, G., Farahani, L., Irannejad, H., 2012. Morphological and
- qualitative propreties of sunflower seeds in different levels of source and sink reduction. Int. J.
- 389 Agric.: Res. Rev., 2(5): 618-623.
- Bihmidine, S., Hunter III, C.T., Johns, C.E., Koch, K.E., Braun, D.M., 2013. Regulation of
- 391 assimilate import into sink organs: update on molecular drivers of sink strength. Front. Plant Sci.,
- 392 **4: 177**. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00177</u>
- 393 Connor, D., Sadras, V., 1992. Physiology of yield expression in sunflower. Field Crops Res.,
- 394 **30(3-4):** 333-389. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4290(92)90006-U</u>
- English, S., McWilliam, J., Smith, R., Davidson, J., 1979. Photosynthesis and partitioning of dry
 matter in sunflower. *Func. Plant Biol.* 6(2): 149-164.https://doi.org/10.1139/b77-338
- 397 Epila, J., Hubeau, M., Steppe, K., 2018. Drought Effects on Photosynthesis and Implications of
- 398 Photoassimilate Distribution in 11C-Labeled Leaves in the African Tropical Tree Species
- 399 *Maesopsis eminii* Engl. Forests 9(3): 109. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/f9030109</u>
- 400 Evans, L.T., 1996. Crop evolution, adaptation and yield. Cambridge university press. P. 500.
- 401 Farrar, J., Minchin, P., 1991. Carbon partitioning in split root systems of barley: relation to
- 402 metabolism. J. Exp. Bot., **42(10):** 1261-1269. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/42.10.1261</u>
- 403 Farrar, J., Pollock, C., Gallagher, J., 2000. Sucrose and the integration of metabolism in vascular
- 404 plants. *Plant Sci.*, **154(1):** 1-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(99)00260-5</u>
- Grompone, M.A., 2005. Sunflower oil. In: Frank D. Gunstone , F.D. Ed. Vegetable Oils in Food
 Technology: Composition, Properties and Uses, Blackwell Publishing; p. 137-167.
- Hall, A., Connor, D., Sadras, V., 1995. Radiation-use efficiency of sunflower crops: effects of
 specific leaf nitrogen and ontogeny. *Field Crops Res.*, 41(2): 65-77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-</u>
 4290(94)00108-O

- 410 Hall, A., Connor, D., Whitfield, D., 1989. Contribution of pre-anthesis assimilates to grain-filling
- 411 in irrigated and water-stressed sunflower crops I. Estimates using labelled carbon. Field Crops
- 412 Res., 20(2): 95-112. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4290(89)90055-5
- 413 Hall, A., Whitfield, D., Connor, D., 1990. Contribution of pre-anthesis assimilates to grain-filling
- 414 in irrigated and water-stressed sunflower crops II. Estimates from a carbon budget. Field Crops
- 415 *Res.*, **24(3-4):** 273-294. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4290(90)90044-C</u>
- 416 Hernández, L.F., 2015. Spatial constraints also regulates final achene mass in the sunflower
- 417 (*Helianthus annuus* L.) capitulum. *Int. J. Plant Biol.*, 6(1): 6014.
 418 https://doi.org/10.4081/pb.2015.6014
- 419 Ion, V., Dicu, G., Basa, A.-G., Dumbrava, M., Temocico, G., Epure, L.-L., State, D., 2015.
- 420 Sunflower yield and yield components under different sowing conditions International Conference
- 421 Agriculture for Life, Life for Agriculture. Elsevier B.V, Romania, p. 44 51.
- 422 Ishimaru, T., Hirose, T., Matsuda, T., Goto, A., Takahashi, K., Sasaki, H., Terao, T., Ishii, R.-i.,
- 423 Ohsugi, R., Yamagishi, T., 2005. Expression patterns of genes encoding carbohydrate-
- 424 metabolizing enzymes and their relationship to grain filling in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): comparison
- 425 of caryopses located at different positions in a panicle. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, **46(4)**: 620-628.
- 426 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pci066</u>
- Kühbauch, W., Thome, U., 1989. Nonstructural carbohydrates of wheat stems as influenced by
 sink-source manipulations. *J. Plant Physiol.*, **134(2)**: 243-250. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-</u>
 1617(89)80063-X
- 430 Lee, E., Tollenaar, M., 2007. Physiological basis of successful breeding strategies for maize grain
- 431 yield. Crop Sci., 47: S-202-S-215. <u>https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2007.04.0010IPBS</u>
- Lichthardt, C., Chen, T.-W., Stahl, A., Stützel, H., 2020. Co-evolution of sink and source in the
 recent breeding history of winter wheat in Germany. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **10**: 1771.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01771
- Lindström, L.I., Pellegrini, C.N., Aguirrez ^{(b}al, L.A.N., Hern ⁽ⁿdez, L.F., 2006. Growth and
 development of sunflower fruits under shade during pre and early post-anthesis period. *Field Crops Res.*, 96(1): 151-159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2005.06.006
- 438 Lloyd, N.D., Canvin, D.T., 1979. Photosynthesis and photorespiration in sunflower selection.
- 439 Can. J. Bot., 55(24): 3006-3012. https://doi.org/10.1139/b77-338

- Ludewig, F., Sonnewald, U., 2016. Demand for food as driver for plant sink development. J. *Plant Physiol.*, 203: 110-115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2016.06.002
- 442 Ochogavía, A.C., Novello, M.A., Picardi, L.A., Nestares, G.M., 2017. Identification of suitable
- 443 reference genes by quantitative real-time PCR for gene expression normalization in sunflower.
- 444 *Plant Omics* **10(4)**.
- Pereira, M.L., Berney, A., Hall, A.J., Trápani, N., 2008. Contribution of pre-anthesis
 photoassimilates to grain yield: Its relationship with yield in Argentine sunflower cultivars released
- 447 between 1930 and 1995. *Field Crops Res.*, **105(1-2):** 88-96.
- 448 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2007.08.002</u>
- 449 Pereira, M.L., Trapani, N., Sadras, V., 2000. Genetic improvement of sunflower in Argentina
- 450 between 1930 and 1995: Part III. Dry matter partitioning and grain composition. *Field Crops Res.*,
- 451 **67(3):** 215-221. https://doi.org/ .10.1016/S0378-4290(00)00096-4
- 452 Pereira, M.L., Trápani, N., Sadras, V., 1999. Genetic improvement of sunflower in Argentina
- between 1930 and 1995: II. Phenological development, growth and source–sink relationship. *Field Crops Res.*, 63(3): 247-254. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290(99)00041-6
- 455 Rafiei, F., Darbaghshahi, M.R.N., Rezai, A., Nasiri, B.M., 2013. Survey of yield and yield
- 456 components of sunflower cultivars under drought stress. *Int. J. Advanced Biol. Biomed. Res.*, **1**(12):
- 457 1628-1638.
- 458 Rawson, H., Constable, G., 1980. Carbon production of sunflower cultivars in field and controlled
- 459 environments. I. Photosynthesis and transpiration of leaves, stems and heads. Func. Plant Biol.,
- 460 **7(5):** 555-573. <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/PP9800555</u>
- 461 Rennie, E.A., Turgeon, R., 2009. A comprehensive picture of phloem loading strategies. *Proc.*

462 Nat. Acad. Sci., 106(33): 14162-14167. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0902279106</u>

- 463 Roitsch, T., Tanner, W., 1996. Cell wall invertase: bridging the gap. *Bot. Acta* 109(2): 90-93.
 464 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.1996.tb00547.x</u>
- Sadras, V., Connor, D., Whitfield, D., 1993. Yield, yield components and source-sink
 relationships in water-stressed sunflower. *Field Crops Res.*, 31(1-2): 27-39.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4290(93)90048-R</u>
- Saeedipour, S., Moradi, F., 2011. Comparison of the drought stress responses of tolerant and
 sensitive wheat cultivars during grain filling: impact of invertase activity on carbon metabolism
 during kernel development. *J. Agric. Sci.*, 3(2): 32.

- 471 Seebauer, J.R., Singletary, G.W., Krumpelman, P.M., Ruffo, M.L., Below, F.E., 2010.
- 472 Relationship of source and sink in determining kernel composition of maize. J. Exp. Bot., 61(2):
- 473 511-519. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erp324</u>
- 474 Sharma, R., Smith, E., 1986. Selection for high and low harvest index in three winter wheat
- 475 populations.CropSci.,26(6):1147-1150.
- 476 <u>https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1986.0011183X002600060013x</u>
- 477 Sheligl, H., 1986. Die verwertung orgngischer souren durch chlorella lincht. *Planta J.*, **47:** 51.
- 478 Sinclair, T.R., 1994. Limits to crop yield? In Boote, K. J., Bennett, J. M., Sinclair, T. R., and
- 479 Paulsen, G. M., Eds. Physiology and determination of crop yield. New York; American Society of
- 480 Agronomy. P. 509-532.
- 481 Sinsawat, V., Steer, B.T., 1993. Growth of florets of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) in relation
- 482 to their position in the capitulum, shading and nitrogen supply. *Field Crops Res.*, **34(1)**: 83-100.
- 483 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4290(93)90113-2</u>
- 484 Smith, M.R., Rao, I.M., Merchant, A., 2018. Source-sink relationships in crop plants and their
 485 influence on yield development and nutritional quality. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **9:** 1889.
 486 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01889
- 487 Steer, B., Hocking, P., Low, A., 1988. Dry matter, minerals and carbohydrates in the capitulum
- 488 of sunflower (Helianthus annuus): Effects of competition between seeds, and defoliation. Field
- 489 Crops Res., 18(1): 71-85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4290(88)90060-3</u>
- 490 Streeter, J., Jeffers, D., 1979a. Distribution of total non-structural carbohydrates in soybean plants 491 having increased reproductive load. *Crop Sci.*, **19(5):** 729-734.
- 492 <u>https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1979.0011183X001900050046x</u>
- 493 Sturm, A., 1999. Invertases. Primary structures, functions, and roles in plant development and
 494 sucrose partitioning. *Plant Physiol.*, **121(1):** 1-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.121.1.1</u>
- 495 Troncoso-Ponce, M.A., Kruger, N.J., Ratcliffe, G., Garcés, R., Martínez-Force, E., 2009.
 496 Characterization of glycolytic initial metabolites and enzyme activities in developing sunflower
 497 (*Helianthus annuus* L.) seeds. *Phytochem.*, **70**(9): 1117-1122.
 498 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.07.012
- Vear, F., 2016. Changes in sunflower breeding over the last fifty years. *Oilseeds Fats Crops Llipids* 23(2): 1-8.

- 501 Venkateswarlu, B., Visperas, R.M., 1987. Source-sink relationships in crop plants. International
- 502 Rice Research Institute Publisher, Manila IRPS 125.
- 503Villalobos, F.J., Hall, A.J., Ritchie, J.T., Orgaz, F., 1996. OILCROP-SUN: A development,504growth, and yield model of the sunflower crop. Agron. J., 88(3): 403-415.
- 505 <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1996.00021962008800030008x</u>
- 506 White, A.C., Rogers, A., Rees, M., Osborne, C.P., 2016. How can we make plants grow faster? 507 source-sink А perspective on growth rate. J. Exp. Bot., **67(1):** 31-45. 508 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv447
- 509 Yang, J., Zhang, J., Wang, Z., Xu, G., Zhu, Q., 2004. Activities of key enzymes in sucrose-to-
- 510 starch conversion in wheat grains subjected to water deficit during grain filling. *Plant Physiol.*,
- 511 **135(3):** 1621-1629. <u>https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.041038</u>
- 512
- 513

		Mean square												
						Stem						Space		
		Leaf	No	Leaf	Rec.	weight1	Grain	NSCB	Cap	Achene per	Cap	per		
Source of Variation	df	area/plant	leaf/plant	weight/plant	weight 1Δ	\$	yield		weight 2£	cap	diameter	achene	RAC	CPC
Environment (Env)	1	0.04	66.90	47.38	7.01	27.46	0.41	75.84	667.41	12200.83	1.32	0.02	3154.13	0.01
Replication/Env	4	0.00	4.53	0.68	8.64	13.90	1.45	2.11	3.79	55.27	2.60	0.00	198.70	1.19
Genotypes (G)	4	0.26**	24.22**	25.70**	27.76*	48.08**	347.28**	25.96**	254.17**	58734.42**	2.92 *	0.02**	222.32ns	352.12
Pooled Error	20	0.001	2.57	1.54	4.11	1.68	2.68	1.32	59.82	237.40	1.06	0.002	111.75	2.72
CV (%)		9.76	5.36	14.34	8.7	6.71	6.27	6.62	7.12	0.85	7.6	8.05	38.92	6.34

Table S1. Combined analysis of variance across the two experiments performed in five sunflower inbred lines.

* and ** represents significant differences at $\alpha \le 0.05$, $\alpha \le 0.01$, respectively. Ns stands for nonsignificant differences. 515

Δ: Receptacle biomass at first anthesis, \$: biomass of upper part of stem at anthesis, £: Capitulum biomass at maturity (including achenes)

Rec, Cap, NSCB, RAC, CPC, FGWCW stands for receptacle base, capitulum, non-seed capitulum biomass, contribution of remobilization amount to grain yield, current photosynthesis

516 517 518 519 520 521 522 contribution to grain yield, Fraction of Grain weight in capitulum weight respectively.

514

Cont. Table S1

		Mea	n square	
Source of Variation	df	FGWCW	FCSCWCW	
Environment (Env)	1	0.01	0.3	
Replication/Env	4	0.2	0.26	
Genotypes (G)	4	0.56 *	0.089 ns	
Pooled Error	20	0.112	0.1	
CV (%)		6.45	4.86	

523 .* and ** represents significant differences at $\alpha \le 0.05$, $\alpha \le 0.01$, respectively. Ns stands for nonsignificant differences

524 FGWCW and FCSCWCW stands for Fraction of Grain weight in capitulum weight and Fraction of CSC weight in the capitulum weight, respectively.

Table 1- Sunflower inbred lines used in this investigation 525 Genetic material Characteristic Bline19 B line, late maturity 526 M-289 Mutant inbred line, Donated kindly by Dr Ahmad Sarrafi 527 BF81-196 Hybrid mother line, B line BF18141 Hybrid mother line, B line 528 Bline1221 Hybrid mother line, B line

Table 2- Soil, geographic, and climatologic parameters for the two environments.

Environment	Soil attributes		Longitude	Latitude	Height	Annual	Annual			
	Soil	pН			from	precipitation	June	Jul	Aug.	Sep.
	texture				sea					
					level					
SPII	Sandy	8	51° 6' East	35° 49'	1313	243	26.2	34	30.4	23.5
	Clay			North						
	Loam									
TMU	Clay	7.4	51° 10'	35° 44'	1353	247.3	23.4	28.2	22.9	18.1
	Loam		East	North						

Table 3. The primer pair sequences and accession number for Invertase and Actin

Gene name	Primer name	Primer sequence $(5' - 3')$	Accession number
Invertase	INV F*	CCAAAAACATATCGGACCC	XM_035988205.1
	INV R*	CCATAATCATACTGTAACC	
Actin	ACTIN F	CAGGCCGTGCTTTCCCTCTA	DY915068 (Ochogavía et al.,
	ACTIN R	GGTCACGA CCAGCGAGATCA	2017)

530 * F and R stand for forward and reverse, respectively.

531 532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

Table 4. Means of capitulum dry weight components at physiological maturity

Genotype	Grain yield	Capitulum	Fraction of	Fraction of
name	(g)	structural	Grain weight in	CSC weight in
		carbohydrates	capitulum	the capitulum
		(CSC)(g)	weight (g/g)	weight (g/g)
BF1814	20.16 c	47.94 c	0.30 a	0.71 a
BF81-196	29.14 b	58.3 b	0.33 a	0.66 a
M-289	14.88 d	42.79 d	0.26 ab	0.74 a
Bline19	18.39 c	52.76 c	0.26 b	0.75 a
Bline1221	33.55 a	71.31 a	0.32 a	0.69 a
CV (%)	33	20	11	5

Means with common letter are not significantly different at $\alpha \leq 0.05$. CV stands for coefficient of variation.



Table 5. Correlation coefficients between leaf biomass, receptacle base biomass, and number of floret per capitulum.

Fig. 1. Leaf characteristics of five sunflower inbred lines at the first anthesis. a) Total leaf area per plant. b) Number of leaves per plant. c) Total leaf weight per plant. In each panel, means having common letters are not significantly different at $\alpha \le 0.05$.

562 563

564 565



Fig. 2. The dry weight of the upper part of the stem (in cyan) and receptacle (in gray) of five sunflower inbred lines at the first anthesis. The small and capital letters are independently used to show significant differences for stem biomass and receptacle biomass, respectively. In each trait,

571 means having common letters are not significantly different at $\alpha \le 0.05$.



Fig. 3. The dry weight at physiological maturity of five sunflower inbred lines. a) Achene (grain) yield per plant. b) Capitulum weight after removing all achenes (capitulum structural carbohydrates, CSC). c) A panel showing both achenes yield and capitulum weight (capitulum body plus all the achenes). In each panel and c panel, means having common letters are not significantly different at $\alpha \le 0.05$. In panel c, the small and capital letters are independently used to show significant differences at $\alpha \le 0.05$ for achene and capitulum biomass, respectively.

572

588



Fig 4. Sink size-related attributes of five sunflower inbred lines. a) number of achenes (filled plus empty) per capitulum, b) capitulum diameter, and c) average space per achenes on the capitulum. In each panel, means having common letters are not significantly different at $\alpha \le 0.05$.



Fig 5. Trends of starch concentration on the receptacle base of five sunflower inbred lines in interval dates after anthesis. X axis units represent 4-days intervals. Data are shown as mean ± SD (n=6).



606

608 **Fig 6**. Contribution of non-structural carbohydrates (NSC) stored in receptacle base and upper 609 part of stem (a) and current photosynthesis (b) in grain yield of five sunflower inbred lines. In each 610 panel, means having common letters are not significantly different at $\alpha \leq 0.05$.

611



613 **Fig 7.** *Invertase* gene expression in receptacle base of three sunflower genotypes at anthesis. 614 Expression data were normalized according to *Actin*, as reference gene (ΔC_t) and subtracted from 615 40 to make the comparisons easier. Data are shown as mean \pm SD (n=6).





Fig. 8. Proposed model mentioning the role of the Invertase enzyme (Inv) presented in the receptacle base to hydrolyze the imported sucrose (squares) into glucose (triangles) and fructose (circles). In the low or lack of Inv activity, the unloaded sucrose from phloem is accumulated in the receptacle base as temporary base and results in negative feedback on unloading of sucrose (a). The unloading sucrose is broken down by Inv into simpler sugars, and thus, removes the negative feedback in the receptacle base and creates a negative gradient and maintains assimilate flux to the

- 623 receptacle base.624
- 625