Toxicity of Insecticides against Tomato Leaf Miner, *Tuta absoluta*, and Its Predators and Determination of Their Residue Dissipation in Tomato Fruits

M. A. M. Moustafa^{1*}, D. E. El-Hefny², R. N. Abdel-kerim¹, and M. A. Kandil¹

ABSTRACT

Tomatoes are an important vegetable crop in different parts of the world, where they are grown year-round. Currently, the most important problem facing tomato growers in the world is the devastating damage caused by the invasive tomato leaf miner, Tuta absoluta Meyrick (Gelechiidae: Lepidoptera). In this study, the efficacy of three bioinsecticides (Bacillus thuringiensis formulations, spinosad and emamectin benzoate, and two chemical insecticides (indoxacarb and chlorpyrifos) against T. absoluta and their adverse effects on predators were conducted in two different governorates in Egypt, based on recommended doses of the tested insecticides. In addition, the residue dissipation of the tested insecticides was determined in tomato fruits. Results indicated that emamectin benzoate was the most effective insecticide, exhibiting the highest reduction in T. absoluta density of 78.05 and 87.11% in Giza and Qualybia governorates, respectively, followed by indoxacarb (77.01%) in Giza and spinosad (80.44%) in Qualybia. In addition, our finding proved that the tested biopesticide formulations, especially Bt formulations, are environmentally friendly to two of the most important predators in tomato cultivation: Nesidiocoris tenuis and Macrolophus pygmaeus Reuter. Moreover, the analysis of insecticide residues on tomato fruits revealed that bioinsecticide residues dissipated faster than conventional insecticide (chlorpyrifos). The results of this research suggested that bioinsecticides could be used for the management of T. absoluta under field conditions.

Keywords: Bioinsecticides, Macrolophus pygmaeus, Nesidiocoris tenuis, Residue, Tuta absoluta.

INTRODUCTION

Tuta absoluta Meyrick has been an invasive pest in the Mediterranean region since 2009 (Mohammed, 2010) and in Africa (Erasmus *et al.*, 2021). It has infested 60% of tomato crops in different parts of the world (Santana, 2019), which has led to a drastic reduction in both crop yield and fruit quality (Daniel and Bajarang, 2017; Prasannakumar *et al.*, 2021). As its food habitat, in which larvae mine within plant tissue, imposes great challenges for control of *T. absoluta* (Sevcan, 2013), chemical control was used, which has adverse effects

natural enemies, causes serious on contamination to edible crops and environment and prompts the development of insecticide resistance (Taleh et al., 2020). Therefore, bioinsecticides have become a common tactic in pest management strategy (Senthil-Nathan, 2015), such as neem and Bacillus thuringiensis formulations, which reduced T. absoluta infestation similarly to chemical insecticides without affecting the crop yield (Buragohain et al., 2021). Biopesticides, which originate from natural resources, could be useful alternatives to chemical synthetic insecticides and may delay the development of resistance

¹ Department of Economic Entomology and Pesticides, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, 12613 Giza, Egypt.

² Department of Pesticide Residues and Environmental Pollution, Central Agricultural Pesticide Laboratory, Agricultural Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

^{*} Corresponding author; e-mail: moataz.moustafa79@gmail.com

(Moustafa *et al.*, 2021). Bala *et al.* (2021) found that the highest resistance was observed in *T. absoluta* with λ -cyhalothrin, propoxur and chlorpyrifos-methyl insecticides (LD₅₀ of 7,461.474, 1,023.51 and 106.351 ppm, respectively), while the highest susceptibility was observed from abamectin with LD₅₀ of 0.034 ppm.

Belonging to the biopesticides group, B. thuringiensis (Bt) is used effectively against several pests and disease vectors from the orders Lepidoptera, Coleoptera, Hymenoptera and Diptera (Pigott and Ellar 2007). B. thuringiensis produces more than one hundred kinds of cry toxins that have different specificity and toxicity against target insect pests (Moustafa et al., 2013). Active ingredient components of Spinosad are spinosyn A and spinosyn D, which are produced by the soil actinomycete Saccharopolyspora spinosa (Salgado, 1998). Spinosad works as an agonist for nicotinic acetylcholine receptor in the central nervous system, causing hyperexcitation, then, involuntary muscle contractions and tremors, resulting in insect paralysis due to neuromuscular fatigue (Orr et al., 2009; Salgado and Spark, 2005). Emamectin benzoate is another biopesticide, which is isolated during the fermentation process of Streptomyces avermitilis (Liguori et al., 2008). It stimulates the release of γ aminobutyric acid, facilitating flux of chloride ion in nerve axon, causing insect paralysis and death within two to four days (Argentine et al., 2002). This product exhibits high efficacy against lepidopteran insect (Gacemi and Guenaoui, 2012).

Identifying and determining the level of trace contaminants in food and environment is critical in protecting human health and environment (Uysal-Pala and Bilisli, 2006). Consequently, several studies have conducted field experiments on tomato fruits to study the dissipation rates of different bioinsecticides (Yoshii *et al.*, 2004; Islam *et al.*, 2009).

Therefore, the objective of this study was to assess the insecticidal activity of different biopesticides including; Bt formulations (Lepinox[®], Dipel $2X^{\text{®}}$ and Protecto[®]), spinosad formulation (Tracer[®]) and

emamectin benzoate formulation (Proclaim[®]) against T. absoluta compared to chemical insecticides (indoxacarb, and chlorpyrifos) under field conditions. Additional objectives included assessment of their adverse effects on two predators, namely, Nesidiocoris tenuis and Macrolophus pygmaeus Reuter and determination of the dissipation rate of the tested insecticides in tomato fruits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insecticides Tested

Information regarding the tested insecticides is shown in Table 1.

Experimental Design

The field experiments were conducted in two different governorates: Giza "Badrashin Area -Mazghona Village", and Qalyubia "Banha Area - Tersa Village" in October 2018 and 2019, where the temperature ranged from 26°C to 35°C and the relative humidity ranged from 50-80%. Experimental areas were approximately half Fadden (one Fadden equals 4,200 m²) planted with tomato *Lycorpersicon esculantum* (Mill.) variety "super seeds" tolerant to TYLCV (tomato yellow leaf curl virus- Fareed Gaharaa Company, Egypt).

Experimental areas were divided into equal plot sizes with completely randomized design with three replicates for each treatment. Each plot had three rows with twenty-two plants; the distance between plants within the row was 0.5 m. The recommended packages of practices were followed in establishing plants, except insect pest management.

Field Trial

All treatments were applied using the recommended rates of the tested insecticides, diluted in water, using

[Downloaded	
I: 10.22034/jast.25.5.1115]	
[DOI	

	Mode of Action_b		Microbial disruptors of insect midgut membranes		Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) allosteric modulators – Site I	Glutamate-gated chloride channel (GluCl) allosteric modulators
	Production company name	Biocont laboratory- Czech Republic	Valent Corporation USA	Biocide Production Unit-Plant Protection Research	Dow AgroSciences, UK	Syngenta Agro. Switzerland
<i>i</i>	Recommended rate (g or mL/Fed)	100 g	200 g	300 g	50 mL	60 g
information in the treatments	Products and formulation used ^a	Lepinox 3.75% WP	Dipel 2x 6.4% WP	Protecto 9.4% WP	Tracer 24% SC	Proclaim 5% SG
Table 1. Tested insecticides information in the treatments.	Insecticides Common name		Bacillus thuringiensis		Spinosad	Emamectin benzoate

knapsack sprayer 20 L capacity as foliar application. The control group was formed using water only. The tomato plants infested with T. absoluta larvae after one hour post application (zero time), 1, 3, 7, 10 and 14 days were reordered. After 21 days from the first spray, a second spray was performed the same as the previous steps.

Scouting of T. absoluta Population

T. absoluta density was determined based daily sampling program on ten on randomized tomato plants by counting the number of active mines with 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th instar larvae on three plant levels through the upper, middle, and lower leaves until reaching the Economic Threshold (ET), 5 larvae at least/10 plants. The chemical applications had begun according to the pesticides protocol provided by the Egyptian Agricultural Ministry.

Monitoring of the Natural Enemies

Nesidiocoris tenuis and Macrolophus pygmaeus Abundance

Abundance of natural enemies N. tenuis, and M. pygmaeus were monitored using beating trays and beating cards, which were made of off-white card or yellow paper. They were held under the vegetation with one hand, while the vegetation was shaken ten times using the other hand or a stick (Burts and Retan, 1973). The insects on the plant fell onto the sheet, were counted and collected quickly by hand, using fine forceps or aspirator before they could escape. Abundance was recorded pre- and posttreatment in 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, and 14 days.

The results were interpreted according to the International Organization for Biological Control (IOBC) toxicity categories, in which 1= Harmless (< 25% mortality); 2= Slightly harmful (25–50% mortality); 3= Moderately harmful (51-75% mortality); 4= Harmful (> 75% mortality) (Sterk et al., 1999).

Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitors

Dow Agro Sciences, UK

DuPont, France

Voltage Sodium channel blockers

^a All Bt formulations equivalent to 32,000 IU mg⁻¹ of *Bacillus thuringiensis* sp. kurstaki strain. ^b Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC, 2020)

1 L 25 mL

Dursban 48% EC Avaunt 15% EC

Chlorpyrifos Indoxacarb

Residue Analysis in Tomato Fruits

Sampling

A representative sample (c.a., 1-2 kg) of tomato fruits was collected randomly from sampling plots at interval periods of one hour to 14 days after insecticide applications. Control samples were also collected. The samples were immediately transferred to the laboratory in an icebox (5-11°C).

Material and Reagents

Four insecticides standards i.e., emamectin benzoate, spinosad, indoxacarb, and chlorpyrifos of 98.2-99.5% purity were purchased from Dr. Ehrenstorfer (Augsburg, Germany).

Stock solutions of 100 μ g mL⁻¹ for each insecticide were dissolved individually with ethyl acetate and acetonitrile according to their polarity and solubility.

Organic solvents (acetone, ethyl acetate, methanol, and acetonitrile), and all residue analysis chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Fluka Analytical) (France).

QuEChERS liquid extraction salt packet was supplied by Interchim, USA, and dispersive kits for cleanup, containing 150 and 25 mg PSA MgSO4, were supplied by Agilent Technologies Inc.

Sample Extraction and Clean Up

The extraction and clean up method used was based on QuEChERS (Anastassiades *et al.*, 2003). Ten g of the frozen tomato fruits homogenate was weighed into 50 mL falcon tubes. Ten mL acetonitrile was added and the tube vigorously shaken using a vortex mixer at maximum speed for 1 minute. A QuEChERS liquid extraction salt packet was added and the whole mixture was centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 5 minutes at 5 °C. Upper acetonitrile layer was transmitted to falcon tube (2 mL) and cleanup using 25 mg PSA and 150 mg anhydrous magnesium sulfate, then, the tube was vortexed for 1 min and centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 5 minutes.

Analytical Technique

The extract was filtered using a 0.22 μ m PTFE filter (Millipore, Billerica. MA) and was transferred to a vial for liquid chromatography (HPLC-DAD) to detect the spinosad, emamectin benzoate, and indoxacarb, and gas chromatography (GC-FPD) was used to detect chlorpyrifos.

Data Analysis

The efficacy of the tested insecticides and percentages of the reduction in *T. absoluta* infestation and their side effect on *N. tenuis, and M. pygmaeus* were calculated using the following equation of Henderson and Tilton (1955):

Reduction (%) = $[1-(n \text{ in } Ck \text{ before} treatment \times n \text{ in } T \text{ after treatment})/(n \text{ in } Ck \text{ after treatment} \times n \text{ in } T \text{ before treatment})] \times 100$

Where, n= Number of mines/plants, T= Treated, and Ck= Control. One-way ANOVA and mean separation tests were performed by Dunnett multiple comparison test with the software of GraphPad prism 9.0.0 (Graph- Pad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA) to compare treatments. The dissipation kinetics of the investigated insecticide residues on tomato fruits was evaluated by calculating the rate of dissipation by decline of comparing the residue concentration against time after treatment. For dissipation of the tested insecticides as above, the following exponential relationships corresponded to the general first-order kinetics equation:

Ct=C0e^{-kt}

Where, Ct means the Concentration of the insecticide residue at the time of t, C0 signifies the initial deposits after treatment

and k is the constant rate of insecticide dissipation per day. From this equation, the dissipation half-life periods ($t_{1/2}$ = ln 2/k) of the studied insecticide were determined (Fantke and Juraske, 2013; Hoskins, 1961).

RESULTS

Efficacy of Tested Insecticides against *T. absoluta* Density

Giza Governorate (Badrashin Area)

The number of newly formed mines by larvae of T. absoluta within tomato leaves before spraying and up to 14 days after field application are presented in Table (2). The number of mines/10 plants differs between treatments and control. Throughout the experiments, emamectin benzoate was numerically the best treatment, reaching 1.67 mines/10 plants post treatment. This treatment was numerically followed by indoxacarb, spinosad, Bt formulations (Lepinox[®], Dipel $2x^{\mathbb{R}}$ and Protecto[®]) and chlorpyrifos as 2.06, 2.11, 3.06, 3.28, 3.89, and 4.78 mines/10 plants, respectively, compared with control 8.22 mines (df= 7; F= 7,16; P< 0.001). Considering the infection percentages after 14 days of application, the observed number of mines in emamectin benzoate replicates were 17.22%, followed by indoxacarb, spinosad, Bt formulations (Lepinox[®], Dipel 2x[®] and Protecto[®]) at 20.56, 24.39, 30.26, 31.11, and 37.22% (df= 7; F= 7.16; P< 0.001), respectively. Thus, all insecticides under field conditions caused a noticeable reduction in T. absoluta infestations when compared with chlorpyrifos. Emamectin benzoate was the best treatment, reaching a reduction of 78.05%. followed by spinosad, Bt formulations indoxacarb, (Dipel $2x^{\mathbb{R}}$, Lepinox^{\mathbb{R}}, and Protecto^{\mathbb{R}}), and chlorpyrifos at 77.01, 69.65, 60.89, 55.24%, 51.19, and 50.79%, respectively.

Qalyubia Governorate (Banha Area)

All treatments significantly registered a smaller number of larvae compared to untreated check (Table 2), which is similar the results for Giza governorate. to Emamectin benzoate recorded a minimum larvae population of 1.39 mines/10 plants compared to other tested insecticides, proving to be the most effective treatment. The next most effective insecticide was indoxacarb at 2.11 mines/10 plants, followed by spinosad, Bt formulations (Dipel 2x[®]) Lepinox[®] and Protecto[®]), and chlorpyrifos at 2.44, 2.89, 3.22, 3.46, and 4.33 active mines/10 plants, respectively. Conversely, control was 9 mines/10 plants. The emamectin benzoate caused the lowest percentage of infection: 13.89% among treatments after 14 days from application. In contrast, the infestation percentage was 21.11, 24.44, 32.22, 33.89, 39.44, and 39.45% for indoxacarb, spinosad, Bt formulations (Lepinox[®], Dipel 2x[®] and Protecto®), and chlorpyrifos, respectively, compared to 90.00% in the control (F=7,16; df= 7; P < 0.001). After comparing reduction percentages between each treatment with chlorpyrifos, only emamectin benzoate and spinosad caused significant reduction with 87.11 and 80.44%, respectively.

Side Effect of Tested Insecticides on *N. tenuis* and *M. pygmaeus*

Data in Table (3) show that *N. tenuis* was more sensitive than *M. pygmaeus* (Table 4). Scouting for *N. tenuis* predator in both Governorates, Giza and Qualybia showed that Bt formulations had the lowest impact on reducing the percentage of their populations (Tables 3 and 4). The reduction percentage was 0 and 1.03% at the adult and nymph stages for Dipel $2x^{\text{(B)}}$, while Lepinox^(B) had 1.01% for adult and 2.36% for nymph, and for Protecto^(B) it was 4.19% for adult and 5.07% for nymph. In contrast, chlorpyrifos had a negative effect on both stages, ranging from 70 to 80% for adult and nymph,

[DOI: 10.22034/jast.25.5.1115]

(C) CHARTER

	Data of		Giza governorate			Qalyubia governorate	
Insecticides	application /	i.			Mean of		
	Feddan	Plants±SE	Intestation‰±SE	Reduction‰±SE	mines/10 nlante+SF	Intestation‰±SE	Reduction%±SE
Control		8.22± 0.65	83.06 ± 6.56		9.00 ± 0.72	90.00 ± 7.25	
Lepinox (Bt)	100 g	$3.06^{****} \pm 0.99$	$30.26^{****} \pm 10.11$	$55.24^{\text{ ns}} \pm 12.69$	$3.22^{****}\pm 1.20$	$32.22^{****} \pm 12.04$	$73.33^{ns} \pm 9.50$
Dipel 2x (Bt)	$200 \mathrm{g}$	$3.28^{****} \pm 1.09$	$31.11^{****} \pm 9.76$	$60.89^{ m \ ns}\pm13.95$	$2.89^{****}\pm 1.19$	$33.89^{****} \pm 10.78$	$74.19^{\text{ns}} \pm 11.19$
Protecto (Bt)	$300\mathrm{g}$	$3.89^{****} \pm 0.59$	$37.22^{****} \pm 9.64$	$51.19^{\text{ ns}} \pm 12.43$	$3.46^{****} \pm 1.12$	$39.44^{****} \pm 10.55$	$64.74^{\rm ns}\pm8.84$
Spinosad	50 mL	$2.11^{****} \pm 0.71$	$24.39^{****} \pm 7.08$	$69.65^{\text{ ns}} \pm 1.12$	$2.44^{****} \pm 0.88$	$24.44^{****} \pm 8.81$	$80.44^* \pm 7.07$
Emamectin benzoate	$60 \mathrm{g}$	$1.67^{****} \pm 0.68$	$17.22^{****} \pm 8.09$	$78.05^{\text{ ns}} \pm 11.12$	$1.39^{****} \pm 0.53$	$13.89^{***} \pm 5.33$	$87.11^* \pm 6.05$
Chlorpyrifos	11	$4.78^{***} \pm 1.34$	$47.78^{***} \pm 13.41$	$50.79^{\text{ ns}} \pm 12.70$	$4.33^{****} \pm 1.11$	$39.45^{****} \pm 10.49$	$52.05^{ns} \pm 10.56$
Indoxacarb	25 mL	$2.06^{***\pm} \pm 0.62$	$20.56^{****} \pm 6.23$	$77.01^{\text{ns}} \pm 7.35$	$2.11^{****} \pm 0.76$	$21.11^{****} \pm 5.33$	$79.52^{ns} \pm 6.78$

^{IIS} Is no significant different at P > 0.05, * Significant different at $P \le 0.05$, ** Significant different at $P \le 0.01$, *** Significant different at $P \le 0.001$, *** Significant different at $P \le 0.0001$.

Incerticides		Giza governorate	ernorate			Qualybia g	Qualybia governorate	
formulations	Mean of population±SE	oulation±SE	Reduction%±SE	on%±SE	Mean of po	Mean of population±SE	Reducti	Reduction%±SE
	Adult	Nymph	Adult	Nymph	Adult	Nymph	Adult	Nymph
Control	17.67 ± 0.60	14.01 ± 3.03			14.89 ± 0.41	13.28 ± 0.60		
Lepinox (Bt)	$17.73^* \pm 0.40$	$22.02^{****} \pm 5.49$	$1.01^{****} \pm 3.03$	$2.36^{****}\pm 4.55$	$16.28^{\rm ns} \pm 0.59$	$15.61^{*}\pm0.74$	$5.92^{***} \pm 4.20$	$0.00^*\pm8.85$
Dipel 2x (Bt)	$16.45^{\text{ ns}} \pm 1.26$	$28.19^{****}\pm 1.33$	$0.00^{****} \pm 0.00$	$1.03^{****}\pm 5.80$	$14.44^{\mathrm{ns}}\pm0.58$	$12.89^{*}\pm0.52$	$9.85^{**} \pm 3.16$	$5.81^* \pm 3.94$
Protecto (Bt)	$16.06^* \pm 0.61$	$33.41^{****}\pm 5.81$	$4.19^{****}\pm 1.33$	$5.07^{****}\pm 4.82$	$17.28^{\mathrm{ns}}\pm0.93$	$16.61^{\mathrm{ns}}\pm1.07$	$4.22^{***} \pm 6.89$	$4.86^*\pm6.10$
Spinosad	$12.22^{***}\pm 1.07$	$10.12^{**}\pm5.76$	$33.41^{****}\pm 5.81$	$36.62^{****}\pm 6.57$	$10.17^{**}\pm 0.89$	$9.12^{**} \pm 1.36$	$44.64^{\rm ns}\pm5.42$	$25.27^{ns} \pm 10.12$
Emamectin benzoate	$16.06^{***}\pm0.80$	$17.15^{**}\pm7.18$	$10.12^{****}\pm 5.76$	$15.70^{***}\pm 5.09$	$15.11^{\text{ns}}\pm1.01$	$15.06^*\pm0.60$	$16.85^{**} \pm 6.11$	$10.62^{\rm ns} \pm 5.34$
Chlorpyrifos	$4.94^{****}\pm 1.17$	$6.19^{****} \pm 3.15$	70.15 ± 7.18	72.61±5.86	$3.39^{****} \pm 1.22$	$3.12^{****} \pm 0.78$	81.46 ± 7.07	81.33 ± 4.22
Indoxacarb	$12.03^{****}\pm 0.65$	$12.00^{ns}\pm0.67$	$36.19^{****}\pm 3.15$	$30.81^{****}\pm 5.09$	$11.00^{**} \pm 1.77$	$11.34^{***} \pm 1.72$	$41.85^{ns} \pm 9.35$	$33.83^{ns} \pm 9.91$

Significant Significant different at $P \le 0.001$, Significant different at $P \le 0.01$, ²² Is no significant different at P > 0.05, * Significant different at $P \le 0.05$, different at $P \le 0.0001$.

		Giza governorate	ernorate			Qalyubia g	Qalyubia governorate	
Insecticides	Mean of po	Mean of population±SE	Reduction%±SE	n%±SE	Mean of po	Mean of population±SE	Reducti	Reduction‰±SE
	Adult	Nymph	Adult	Nymph	Adult	Nymph	Adult	Nymph
Control	19.00 ± 1.19	15.89 ± 1.47			14.88 ± 0.41	13.28 ± 0.60		
Lepinox (Bt)	$16.55^{**}\pm 0.58$	$15.72^{ns}\pm0.99$	$5.86^{***\pm}\pm 4.13$	$3.16^{****}\pm 5.57$	$16.28^* \pm 0.59$	$15.61^{****}\pm 0.74$	$9.56^{****}\pm 6.52$	$0.00^{****} \pm 8.85$
Dipel 2x (Bt)	$16.39^{***}\pm 0.78$	$15.22^{ns}\pm0.92$	$6.78^{****}\pm 2.06$	$4.02^{****}\pm 6.28$	$14.44^{ns}\pm0.58$	$12.89^{ns}\pm 0.52$	$9.76^{****} \pm 3.13$	$5.81^{****} \pm 3.94$
Protecto (Bt)	$22.00^{***}\pm 1.2$	$14.28^{****}\pm 1.95$	$5.35^{***}\pm 2.02$	$2.73^{****}\pm7.17$	$17.28^{***}\pm 0.93$	$16.61^{****}\pm 1.07$	$0.00^{****}\pm 6.77$	$1.16^{****}\pm 5.65$
Spinosad	$15.56^{****}\pm 1.65$	$10.78^{****} \pm 1.53$	$41.66^{****}\pm 5.19$	$35.19^{****}\pm 3.89$	$10.17^{****}\pm 0.9$	$9.11^{****}\pm 1.36$	$44.61^{****}\pm 5.4$	$25.27^{****}\pm 10.12$
Emamectin benzoate	$15.33^{****}\pm 0.59$	$14.49^{**}\pm0.89$	$12.85^{****}\pm 3.82$	$4.90^{****} \pm 3.96$	$15.11^{ns}\pm 1.01$	$15.06^{***}\pm0.60$	$16.85^{****}\pm 6.1$	$10.62^{****}\pm 5.35$
Chlorpyrifos	$3.02^{***\pm}\pm 0.75$	$2.55^{****}\pm 0.92$	82.02 ± 4.61	84.42±3.38	$3.39^{****}\pm 1.22$	$3.11^{****}\pm 0.78$	84.46±7.07	83.34±4.23
Indoxacarb	$9.22^{****}\pm0.74$	$10.11^{***}\pm 0.92$	$46.28^{****} \pm 5.71$	$26.26^{****}\pm 9.71$	$11.00^{****}\pm 1.7$	$11.34^{****}\pm 1.12$	$41.85^{***\pm}\pm 9.3$	$33.99^{***\pm}0.99$

Effect of certain insecticides on Tuta absoluta -

respectively. Similar results were obtained with *M. pygmaeus* (Table 4), while the reduction percentage was 5.35 and 2.73 at the adult and nymph stages for Protecto[®]. In contrast, chlorpyrifos had a negative effect as 84.42 and 82.02% for adult and nymph, respectively.

In Qalyubia, the results also show that Bt formulations scored the lowest reduction percentage followed by emamectin benzoate with 10.62% for adults and 16.85% for nymphs. Thus, chlorpyrifos had the most fatal effect by 83.34 and 84.46% for adult and nymph stages, respectively.

Residue of Tested Insecticides in Tomato Fruits under Open Field Conditions

Recovery

Recovery experiments were performed in order to study the accuracy of the analytical method. The system of GC and HPLC was used to measure recoveries of emamectin benzoate, indoxacarb, spinosad and chlorpyrifos from spiked samples. Untreated samples of tomato fruits were spiked at three levels of 0.1, 0.5 and 1.0 μ g g⁻¹. The recovery percentage ranged from 88.4% to 105.2%, which was calculated by spiking 10 g of blank samples with standard solution. (Table 5).

Persistence of Emamectin Benzoate, Indoxacarb, Spinosad and Chlorpyrifos Pesticides in Tomato Fruits

The values of the insecticide residues and their loss percentage detected in tomato fruits are shown in Table (6). For emamectin benzoate, the initial deposit was 1.88 ppm, then, the amount of residues decreased sharply three days after application to reach 0.82 ppm with a high loss of 56.38%. These results mean that emamectin benzoate amounts dropped to about half their value after 72 hours from initial application. The rapid degradation continued, reaching 0.15 ppm with 92.02% loss after five days from application. The residues reached 0.006 ppm



Insecticides	Spiking level (µg g ⁻¹)	Average recovery	±RSD
	0.1	92.31	5.23
Emamectin benzoate	0.5	95.14	4.85
belizoate	1	98.02	4.12
	0.1	88.40	2.99
Spinosad	0.5	89.65	10.44
	1	91.02	5.11
	0.1	89.27	3.51
Indoxacarb	0.5	96.21	4.09
	1	102.5	2.27
	0.1	91.39	2.18
Chlorpyrifos	0.5	93.27	2.01
	1	105.2	3.01

Table 5. Fortified recoveries of tested insecticides in tomato fruits.

Table 6. Amounts of insecticides residues in tomato fruits under open field conditions.^a

	Emamectin	benzoate	Spino	sad	Indoxa	carb	Chlorpy	rifos
DAT	Residue	Loss	Residue	Loss	Residue	Loss	Residue	Loss
	$(\mu g g^{-1})$	(%)	$(\mu g g^{-1})$	(%)	$(\mu g g^{-1})$	(%)	$(\mu g g^{-1})$	(%)
0	1.88	0.00	7.75	0.00	4.04	0.00	7.32	0.00
1	0.82	56.38	3.03	60.90	2.17	46.28	4.49	38.66
3	0.30	84.04	1.91	75.35	0.83	79.45	3.42	53.27
5	0.15	92.02	1.02	86.83	0.65	83.91	2.35	67.89
7	0.006	99.68	0.83	89.29	0.04	99.00	1.24	83.06
10	ND		ND		ND		0.57	92.21
14	ND		ND		ND		0.07	99.04
RL50 (days)	1.26		1.61		1.57		2.66	
MRL	0.0	2	0.7		0.5		1	
PHI	7 da	ys	10 da	ays	7 da	ys	10 da	iys

^{*a*} DAT: Day After Treatment; ND: Not Detected; RL₅₀: Residue half-Life; MRL: Maximum Rsidue Limits (EU, 2016), PHI: Pre Harvest Intervals.

with a very high percentage loss of 99.68% seven days after application. No detectable residue of emamectin benzoate was observed after 10 and 14 days. The maximum residue limit of emamectin benzoate in tomato fruits is 0.02 ppm (European Commission 2019), thus the Pre-Harvest Interval (PHI) was seven days. The obtained Residual half-Life values (RL₅₀) of emamectin benzoate in tomato fruits was 1.26 days. In addition, data in Table (6) show that the initial deposits of spinosad in tomato fruits was 7.75 ppm one hour after application. It decreased rapidly to 3.03 ppm with 60.90% loss within 24 hours and decreased to 1.91, 1.02 and 0.83 ppm after 3, 5 and 7 days from application with 75.35, 86.83, and 89.29 % loss, respectively. No detectable residue of spinosad was observed 10 and 14 days after application. Based on the EU (2016), the Maximum Residue Limit (MRL) for spinosad on tomato is 0.7 ppm, so the corresponding recommended Pre-Harvest Interval (PHI) was 10 days. The obtained Residual half-Life values (RL₅₀) of spinosad in tomato fruits was 1.61 days. The persistence of indoxacarb data set in Table (6) indicates that the amount of indoxacarb

in tomato fruits sharply decreased within the first 24 hours after application to 2.17 ppm, showing a 46.28% loss. After three days from application, the residues of indoxacarb decreased to 0.83 ppm with 79.45% loss. The rapid degradation continued, reaching 83.91% loss after five days from application. The corresponding value after 7 days was 0.04 ppm with a very high percentage loss of 99.00%. No detectable residues of indoxacarb were observed after 10 and 14 days. The maximum residue limits of indoxcarb in tomato fruits was 0.5 ppm according to EU (2016), thus the Pre-Harvest Interval (PHI) was 7 days. The RL₅₀ value of indoxacarb in tomato fruits was 1.57 days.

In the case of chlorpyrifos, the initial deposit was 7.32 ppm, which decreased sharply one day after application to reach 4.49 ppm with 38.66% loss (Table 6). The rapid degradation continued for chlorpyrifos to reach 3.42 ppm with 53.27% loss three days after the initial application. Five days after application, the residual amounts were 2.35 ppm with a loss of 67.89%. The corresponding value after 7 days was 1.24 ppm with a high loss of 83.06%. After 10 and 14 days, residual amounts were 0.57 and 0.07 ppm with a very high percentage loss of 92.21 and 99.04, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Pest The concept of Integrated Management (IPM) is based on using minimum doses of chemical pesticides when urgently needed together with biological controls to control pests with minimum adverse effects on the natural habitation. Therefore, the efficacy and potential risks of pesticides on target insect pests and nontarget organisms are major criteria when selecting an IPM program (Peshin and Zhang, 2014). Biopesticides could be used as an environmentally friendly approach in pest control because of their safety to humans and ecosystem (Chandler et al., 2011). However, UV light and sunlight

could have a major degradation impact on bioinsecticides (Moustafa *et al.*, 2018); therefore, these products should be evaluated before use.

In this study, the results showed that all treatments caused significant reduction percentage of T. absoluta under field conditions. Among all the treatments, emamectin benzoate showed the greatest reduction percentage of T. absoluta in both locations, followed by indoxacarb in Giza and spinosad in Banha. These results agreed with Soliman (2012), who tested the efficacy of emamectin benzoate and indoxacarb on T. absoluta density under field conditions and determined the reduction percentage for each treatment alone, along the mixture of two treatments together. The result was 92.38, 93.30, and 87.85% for, respectively, emamectin benzoate, indoxacarb, and a mixture of emamectin benzoate+indoxacarb. These findings agree with those obtained earlier by Gacemi and Guenaoui (2012), who found that the biopesticide emamectin benzoate caused an acceptable mortality against larvae of T. absoluta at 87%. Mortality rate of 90% was observed in larvae of T. absoluta on tomato leaves (López et al., 2010), while Braham and Hajji (2012)showed that spinosad was insecticidally active in the ratio of 91% of T. absoluta larvae. In addition, Gacemi et al. (2016) indicated that emamectin benzoate caused a mortality rate of 100% in all larval stages.

In addition, Sridhar et al. (2016) tested the efficacy of three insecticides, spinotram, spinosad and cyantraniliprole, on reduction of T. absoluta fruit damage. The three treatments caused a significant reduction and proved effective up to 7 days after application. Hanafy and El-Sayed (2013) emphasized that spinetoram was the most toxic insecticide in decreasing infestation of T. absoluta, followed by spinosad. In addition, the lowest population of T. absoluta in tomato leaves and fruits was observed following application of spinosad, emamectin benzoate, and chlorantraniliprole (Bastola, 2020). Additionally, other

Game

researchers revealed that different insecticides could be used effectively against T. absoluta, such as spinosad (Bratu et al., 2015; Samir et al., 2015), azadirachtin, emamectin benzoate, spinosad, chlorantraniliprole (Eleonora and Vili 2014), indoxacarb and chlorantraniliprole (Roditakis and Seraphides, 2013). Thus, spinosad and indoxacarb have an insecticidal activity against lepidopteran pests (Cisneros et al., 2002; Wing et al., 2000) with low effect on natural enemies (Williams et al., 2003; Galvan et al., 2005). This finding was in line with Kandil et al. (2020), who, in a laboratory assessment, found that Lepinox formulation of Bt was the most effective against the 2^{nd} instar larvae of *T. absoluta* with 0.01×10^{-2} µg mL⁻¹, followed by spinosad, and emamectin benzoate.

Results revealed that all tested biopesticides were safe to the two predators N. tenuis and M. pygmaeus according to the IOBC toxicity categories (Sterk et al., 1999), that could be included in IPM program. In addition, Sterk et al. (2003) and Miles (2006) found that spinosad had no insecticidal effect on adults and nymphs of both predatory mirid species. Thus. Williams et al. (2003) classified spinosad as a harmless insecticide to predator species in reviewed data exploring the susceptibility of different predator species.

The results obtained in this study show that all dissipation rates for the tested insecticides follow first order kinetic reaction. Moreover, the results provide important information on the level of residue of insecticides on tomato fruits post application. It is clear that the insecticide dissipated rapidly three davs after This application. may be due to volatilization or removal by weathering, heat, decomposition, sunlight and UV radiation (Spynu, 1989). Thus, results indicated that tomato fruits could be consumed safely after 7 and 10 days post application of emamectin benzoate. indoxacab and Spinosad, respectively. These results agree with Urvashi et al. (2012), who estimated the indoxacarb residues by

QuEChERS method in cabbage samples following different treatments of Avaunt 15% EC. The average of indoxacarb recoveries on cabbage were shown to be 83.93, 89.86, and 95.40%, respectively. The indoxacarb initial deposits on cabbage were 0.18 and 0.39 mg kg⁻¹, respectively, at single and double dosages. Indoxacarb residues were lower than the LOQ of 0.01 mg kg⁻¹ after 7 and 10 days, respectively, at single and double dosages. At the field and double field rates, the indoxacarb half-life was 2.88 and 1.92 days, respectively. Madan et al. (2018) investigated the dissipation behavior of indoxacarb 4.5% SC in tomato fruits sprayed at two doses (37.13 and 74.26 g ai ha⁻¹). They found that the recovery percentages for tomato samples were 84.50 to 90.58%. The initial deposits at single and double doses were 0.63 and 0.82 mg kg⁻¹, respectively, and the half-life values at respective doses ranged from 2.37 to 2.48 days. In addition, Szpyrka et al. (2017) found that the initial residue levels decreased regularly and, at one month, the detected level was 0.01 mg kg⁻¹ for chlorpyrifos-methyl. The half-life values for chlorantraniliprole, chlorpyrifos-methyl and indoxacarb were 16-17, 4-6 and 20-24 days, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS

It is clear from the results obtained in this study that biopesticides demonstrate high efficacy against *T. absoluta*, and can be used safely during harvesting time. In addition, data proved that Bt formulations are environmentally friendly and safer for the naturally occurring predator than other tested insecticides.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Academy of Scientific Research and Technology, Egypt, for funding this research as a part of Grant of Scientists for Next Generation (Dr. SNG5). In addition, we would like to express our special thanks to Stephen Giles (English Language Support Manager, Harper Adams University, UK) for improving the manuscript considerably, including English editing and grammar.

REFERENCES

- Anastassiades, M., Lehotay, S. J., Stajnbaher, D. and Schenck, F. J. 2003. Fast and Easy Multiresidue Method Employing Acetonitrile Extraction/Partitioning and "Dispersive Solid-Phase Extraction" for the Determination of Pesticide Residues in Produce. J. AOAC. Int., 86: 412–431.
- Argentine, J. A., Jansson, R. K., Halliday, W. R., Rugg, D. and Jany, C. S. 2002. Potency, Spectrum and Residual Activity of Four New Insecticides under Glass House Conditions. *Flo. Entomol.*, **85**: 552–562.
- Bala, I., Mukhtar, M. M., Saka, H. K., Abdullahi, N. and Ibrahim, S. S. 2019. Determination of Insecticide Susceptibility of Field Populations of Tomato Leaf Miner (*Tuta absoluta*) in Northern Nigeria. *Agriculture*, 9: 7.
- Bastola, A., Pandey, S.R., Khadka, A. and Regmi, R. 2020. Efficacy of Commercial Insecticides against Tomato Leaf Miner *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Palpa, Nepal. *Turk. J. Agric. Food Sci. Technol.*, 8: 2388-2396.
- Braham, M. and Hajji, L. 2012. Management of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera, Gelechiidae) with Insecticides on Tomatoes. *Insecti. Pest Eng.*, 15: 333–354.
- Bratu, E., Petcuci, A. M. and Sovarel, G. 2015. Efficacy of the Product Spinosad an Insecticide Used in the Control of Tomato Leaf Miner (*Tuta absoluta* Meyrick, 1917). *Bull. UASVM Horticul.*, 72: 209–210.
- Buragohain, P., Saikia, D.K., Sotelo-Cardona, P. and Srinivasan, R. 2021. Evaluation of Bio-Pesticides against the South American Tomato Leaf Miner, *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in India. *Horticulture*, 7: 325.
- Burts, E.C. and Retan, A.H. 1973. Detection of Pear Psylla. Wash. State Univ. Ext. Mimeo. 2: 3069–3073.

- Chandler, D., Bailey, A. S., Tatchell, G. M., Davidson, G., Greaves, J. and Grant, W. P. 2011. The Development, Regulation and Use of Biopesticides for Integrated Pest Management. Philos. *Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.*, 366: 1987–1998.
- Cisneros, J., Goulson, D., Derwent, L.C., Penagos, D. I., Hernández, O. and Williams, T. 2002. Toxic Effects of Spinosad on Predatory Insects. *Biol. Cont.*, 23: 156–163.
- Daniel, T. I. and Bajarang, B. S. 2017. Control and Management of Tomato Leafminer -*Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera, Gelechiidae). A Review. *IOSR J. Appl. Chem.*, 6: 14-22.
- Eleonora, A. D. and Vili, B. H. 2014. Efficacy Evaluation of Insecticides on Larvae of the Tomato Borer *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) under Laboratory Condition. J. Int. Sci. Pub. Agric. Food, 2: 158-164.
- Erasmus, R., van den Berg, J. and du Plessis, H. 2021. Susceptibility of *Tuta* absoluta (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) Pupae to Soil Applied Entomopathogenic Fungal Biopesticides. *Insects*, 12: 515.
- 14. European Commission, 2019. Guidance Document on Analytical Quality Control and Method Validation Procedures for Pesticides Residues Analysis in Food and Feed (SANTE/12682/2019). Available online at: https://eceuropaeu/food/ sites/food/files/plant/docs/pesticides_mrl_g uidelines wrkdoc 2019-12682pdf.
- Fantke, P. and Juraske, R. 2013. Variability of Pesticide Dissipation Half-Lives in Plants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **47**: 3548-3562.
- Gacemi, A. and Guenaoui, Y. 2012. Efficacy of Emamectin Benzoate on *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) Infesting a Protected Tomato Crop in Algeria. *Acad. J. Entomol.*, 5: 37-40.
- Gacemi, A., Bensaad, R. and Guenaoui, Y. 2016. Effect of Biopesticides Spinosad and Emamectin on Developmental Stages of the Tomato Leafminer *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Acad. J. Entomol.*, 9: 8-13.
- Galvan, T. L., Koch, R. L. and Hutchison, W. D. 2005. Toxicity of Commonly Used Insecticides in Sweet Corn and Soybean to Multicolored Asian Lady Beetle

(Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). J. Econ. Entomol., **98**: 780-789.

- Hanafy, E. M. H. and El-Sayed, W. 2013. Efficacy of Bio- and Chemical Insecticides in the Control of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) Infesting Tomato Plants. *Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci.*, 7: 943-948.
- Henderson, C. F. and Tilton, E. W. 1955. Tests with Acaricides against the Brown Wheat Mite. J. Econ. Entomol., 48: 157-161.
- Hoskins, W.M. 1961. Mathematical Treatment of Loss of Pesticide Residues. *Plant Prot. Bull. (FAO)*, 9: 163-168.
- 22. Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC). 2020. *IRAC Mode of Action Classification, Ver. 9.3.* IRAC Mode of Action Working Group. http://www.MoAClassification_v9.4_3Mar ch20%20.pdf.
- Islam, N. N., Manal, R. M. and Mohamed, F. M. 2009. Residue Analysis of Difenoconazole, Emamectin Benzoate and Fenazaquin on Tomatoes Using High Pressure Liquid Chromatography. *Alex. Sci. Exchang. J.*, 30: 22-29.
- Kandil, M. A., Abdel-kerim, R. N. and Moustafa, M. A. M. 2020. Lethal and Sublethal Effects of Bio-and Chemical Insecticides on the Tomato Leaf Miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Cont.*, 30:1-7
- Liguori, R., Cestari P., Serrati, L. and Fusarini, L. 2008. Emamectina Benzoato (AFFIRM®): Innovative Insetticida p,ar la Difesa Contro I Lepidoptteri Fitofagi. *Atti Giornate Fitopatologiche*, 1: 3-8.
- López, J. D., Latheef, M. A. and Hoffman, W. C. 2010. Effect of Emamectin Benzoate on Mortality, Proboscis Extension, Gustation and Reproduction of the Corn Earworm, *Helicoverpa zea. J. Insect Sci.*, 10: 89.
- Madan, A. V. K., Ahlawat, S. and Chauhan, R. 2018. Dissipation Pattern and Effect of Household Processing on Reduction of Indoxacarb Residues in Tomato Fruits (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.). J. Entomol. Zoo Stud., 6: 814-819.
- Miles, M. 2006. The Effects of Spinosad on Beneficial Insects and Mites Used in Integrated Pest Management Systems in

Greenhouses. *IOBC/WPRS Bull.*, **29**: 53–59.

- Mohammed, A. S. 2010. New Record for Leafminer, *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) Infested Tomato Plantations in Kafr El-Sheikh Region. J. Agric. Res. Kafer El-Sheikh Univ., 36: 238–239.
- Moustafa, M. A. M., Fouad, E. A., Abdel-Mobdy, Y., Hamow, K.Á., Mikó, Z., Molnár, B. P. and Fónagy, A. 2021. Toxicity and Sublethal Effects of Chlorantraniliprole and Indoxacarb on *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Appl. Entomol. Zool.*, 56: 115–124.
- 31. Moustafa, M. A. M., Saleh, M. A., Ateya, I. R. and Kandil, M. A. 2018. Influence of Some Environmental Conditions on Stability and Activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Formulations against the Cotton Leaf Worm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Cont.*, 28: 1-7.
- Moustafa, M.M.A., Vlasák, J. and Sehnal, F. 2013. Activities of Modified Cry3A-Type Toxins on the Red Flour Beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). J. Appl. Entomol., 137: 684-692.
- 33. Orr, N., Shaffner, A.J., Richey, K. and Crouse, G. D. 2009. Novel Mode of Action of Spinosad: Receptor Binding Studies Demonstrating Lack of Interaction with Known Insecticidal Target Sites. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.*, 95: 1-5.
- Peshin, R. and Zhang, W. 2014. Integrated Pest Management and Pesticide Use. In: "Integrated Pest Management: Pesticide Problems", (Eds.): Pimentel, D. and Peshin, R. Vol. 3, Springer, The Netherlands, PP. 1-47.
- Pigott, C. R. and Ellar, D. J. 2007. Role of Receptors in *Bacillus thuringiensis* Crystal Toxin Activity. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 71: 255–281.
- Prasannakumar, N. R., Jyothi, N., Saroja, S. and Kumar G. R. 2021. Relative Toxicity and Insecticide Resistance of Different Field Population of Tomato Leaf Miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick). *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.*, 41: 1397–1405
- Roditakis, E. and Seraphides, N. 2011. The Current Status of *Tuta absoluta* in Greece and Cyprus. *In EPPO/IOBC/FAO/NEPPO Joint International Symposium on*

management of Tuta absoluta, November 16-18, Agadir, Morocco.

- Salgado, V. L. 1998. Studies on the Mode of Action of Spinosad: Insect Symptoms and Physiological Correlates. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.*, 60: 91-102.
- Salgado, V. L. and Sparks, T. C. 2005. The Spinosyns: Chemistry, Biochemistry, Mode of Action, and Resistance. In: *"Comprehensive Molecular Insect Science"*, (Eds.): Lawrence I. G., Kostas, I., Sarjeet S. G. Elsevier Amsterdam., PP. 137-173.
- 40. Samir, A. M., Ahmed, S., El-Bakary, M., Shawir, S., Gomaa, R. and Ramadan, M. 2015. Efficacy of Various Insecticides against Tomato Leaf Miner *Tuta absoluta* in Egypt. *Appl. Biol. Res.*, **17**: 297-301.
- Santana Jr, P. A., Kumar, L., Da Silva, R. S. and Picanço, M. C. 2019. Global Geographic Distribution of *Tuta absoluta* as Affected by Climate Change. *J. Pest Sci.*, **92**: 1373-1385.
- Senthil-Nathan, S. 2015. A Review of biopesticides and Their Mode of Action against Insect Pests. *IJAPBC*, 1: 508-515.
- Sevcan, O. 2013. Population of *Tuta* absoluta and Natural Enemies after Releasing on Tomato Grown Greenhouse in Turkey. *Afric. J. Biotechnol.*, 12: 1882-1887.
- Soliman, M. M. M. 2012. Performance of Certain Insecticides and Their Mixtures against, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) Insects on Tomato Crop at South Valley Region. J. *Plant Prot. Path. Mansoura Univ.*, 3: 197 – 209.
- Spynu, E.I. 1989. Predicting Pesticide Residues to Reduce Crop Contamination. *Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 109: 89-107.
- Sridhar, V. Onkaranaik, S. and Nitin, K. S. 2016. Efficacy of Nnew Molecules of Insecticides against South American Tomato Moth, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Pest Manage. Hortic. Ecosyst.*, 22: 137-145.
- 47. Sterk, G., Hassan, S. A., Baillod, M., Bakker, F., Bigler, F., Blümel, S., Bogenschütz, *et al.* 1999. Results of the Seventh Joint Pesticide Testing Programme Carried out by the IOBC/WPRS-Working Group 'Pesticides and Beneficial Organisms. *BioControl*, 44: 99-117.

- Sterk, G., Jans, K., Put, K., Wulandari, O. V. and Uyttebroek, M. 2003. Toxicity of Chemical and Biological Plant Protection Products to Beneficial Arthropods. In: "Colloque International Tomate Sous Abri, Protection Integree Agriculture Biologique", (Eds.): Roche, L., Edin, M., Mathieu, V. and Laurens, F. CITFL, Avignon, France, PP. 113-118.
- 49. Szpyrka, E., Matyaszek, A. and Słowik-Borowiec, M. 2017. Dissipation of Chlorantraniliprole, Chlorpyrifos-Methyl and Indoxacarb-Insecticides Used to Control Codling Moth (Cydia Pomonella L.) and Leafrollers (Tortricidae) in Apples for Production of Baby Food. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 24: 12128-12135.
- 50. Taleh, M., Dastjerdi, H. R., Naseri, B., Garjan, A. -S. and Jahromi, K. H. -T. 2020. Efficacy of Mixture of Emamectin Benzoate with Some Insecticides on the Mortality and Esterase Activity of Fourth Instar Larvae of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidotera: Gelechiidae). J. Crop Prot., 9: 699-709.
- Urvashi, J. G., Sahoo, S. K., Kaur, S., Battu, R. S. and Singh, B. 2012. Estimation of Indoxacarb Residues by QuEChERS Technique and Its Degradation Pattern in Cabbage. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 88: 372-376.
- Uysal-Pala, C. and Bilisli, A. 2006. Fate of Endosulfan and Deltamethrin Residues during Tomato Paste Production. J. Central Eur. Agri., 7: 343–348.
- 53. Williams, T., Valle, J. and Vinuela, E. 2003. Is the Naturally Derived Insecticide Spinosad Compatible with Insect Natural Enemies?. *Biocont. Sci. Technol.*, **13**: 459-475.
- Wing, K. D., Sacher, M., Kagaya, Y., Tsurubuchi, Y., Muldirig, L. and Connair, M. 2000. Bioactivation and Mode of Action of the Oxadiazine Indoxacarb in Insects. *Crop Prot.*, 19: 537-545.
- 55. Yoshii, K., Ishimitsu, S., Tonogai, Y., Arakawa, K., Murata, H. Mikami, H. 2004. Simultaneous Determination of Emamectin, Its Metabolites, Milbemectin, Ivermectin and Abamectin in Tomato, Japanese Radish and Tea by LC/MS. J. Health Sci., **50**: 17-24.

سمیت حشره کشها علیه مینوز برگ گوجهفرنگی، Tuta absoluta ، و شکارگرهای آن و تعیین میزان انتشار بقایای آنها در میوههای گوجهفرنگی

م. ع. م. مصطفى، د. ا. الحفنى، ر. ن. عبد الكريم، و م. ع. قنديل

چکیدہ

گوجه فرنگي يکي از سبزيجات مهم در نقاط مختلف جهان است که در تمام طول سال در آنجا کشت مي شود. در حال حاضر، مهمترین مشکل پیش روی تولیدکنندگان گوجه فرنگی در جهان، خسارت مخرب ناشی از مینوز مهاجم برگ گوجه فرنگی، (Tuta absoluta Meyrick (Gelechiidae:Lepidoptera است. در این یژوهش، اثربخشی سه حشره کش زیستی (فرمولاسیون spinosad ،Bacillus thuringiensis و emamectin benzoate، و دو حشره کش شیمیایی (chlorpyrifos و chlorpyrifos) علیه T. absoluta و اثرات نامطلوب آنها بر شکارگرها در دو استان مختلف مصر بر اساس دزُهای توصیهشده حشره کشهای این آزمایش انجام شد. افزون براین، هدررفت باقی مانده حشره کش های آزمایش شده در میوه های گوجه فرنگی تعیین شد. نتایج نشان داد که emamectin benzoate موثرترین حشره کش بود که بیشترین کاهش تراکم .T absoluta را به ترتیب در استان های Gizaو Qualybia با ۷۸.۰۵% و ۸۷.۱۱% نشان داد و پس از آن ایندوکساکارب در Giza (۷۷.۰۱) Giza (Qualybia (A۰/٤٤) قرار داشت. همچنین، یافتههای ما ثابت کرد که فرمولاسیونهای آفتکشهای زیستی آزمایش شده، بهویژه فرمولاسیونهای Bt، برای دو مورد از مهمترین شکارگرها در کشت گوجهفرنگی یعنی Nesidiocoris tenuis و Macrolophus pygmaeus Reuterدوستدار محیطزیست هستند. افزون براین، تجزیه و تحلیل بقایای حشره کش روی میوههای گوجهفرنگی نشان داد که بقایای حشره کشهای زیستی سریعتر از حشره کشهای معمولی (کلرپیریفوس chlorpyrifos) هدر رفته و پراکنده(dissipated) می شوند. نتایج این تحقیق نشان داد که می توان از حشره کش های زیستی برای مدیریت T. absoluta در شرایط مزرعه استفاده کرد.