Genetic Variability, Structure Analysis, and Association Mapping of Resistance to Broomrape (*Orobanche aegyptiaca* Pers.) in Tobacco

R. Darvishzadeh^{1, 2}

ABSTRACT

Broomrape is a debilitating holoparasiting weed in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.) fields with devastating effects on its production. In this study, the reaction of 89 tobacco genotypes was evaluated against broomrape (Orobanche aegyptiaca) in randomized complete block design with three replications during two years. In each year, genotypes were planted in both non-inoculated and inoculated conditions where the soil of pots was mixed with 0.06 g of broomrape seed. Considering the average data of two years, studied genotypes did not show infection to broomrape at non-inoculated condition, whereas in inoculated condition, the majority of genotypes showed infection to broomrape. Two genotypes including 'TB 22' and 'Kramograd NHH 659' did not show any infection to broomrape in inoculated condition. In a molecular experiment, the fingerprint of tobacco genotypes was prepared with 26 SSR loci. Using model-based Bayesian approach, the studied association panel was divided into three subgroups. The D' was used to test the LD between pairs of SSR loci using the software package TASSEL. 7.08% of possible SSR locus pairs showed significant level of linkage disequilibrium (P<0.01). By using mixed linear model, 5 SSR loci from linkage groups 2, 10, 11 and 18 of tobacco reference map were identified as DNA markers to be linked to gene(s) controlling broomrape resistance in tobacco.

Keywords: Association analysis, Broomrape, Linkage disequilibrium, Tobacco.

INTRODUCTION

Orobanche genus (from Orobanchaceae family), commonly known as broomrape, are achlorophyllous annual or perennial plants, which parasitize the roots of various dicotyledonous plants (Roman et al., 2003; Schneeweiss et al., 2004). The Orobanche 150 species genus has more than (Musselman, 1980), with O. aegyptiaca widest host range and having the parasitizing many Solanaceous crops such as potato (Solanum tuberosum L.), tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.), tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.), members of Brassicaceae and Fabaceae, and several other families. Also, there are other invasive species of *Orobanche* such as *O. crenata* which parasitize forage legumes including *Lathyrus sativus* L., *L. cicera* L., *Vicia sativa* L., *V. villosa* L. (Linke *et al.*, 1993) and *O. cumana* Wallr. which is the most important parasite in sunflower (Pacureanu-Joița *et al.*, 2009).

Being the most important nonfood crop, tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) is cultivated in more than 100 countries on approximately 4.2 million hectares of crop lands (Davalieva *et al.*, 2010). Beside leaves as the economic part of the plant, tobacco seeds contain 38% of nonedible oil, which could be an appropriate substitute for diesel fuel (Giannelos *et al.*, 2002).

¹Department of Plant Breeding and Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, Urmia University, Urmia, Islamic Republic of Iran. email: r.darvishzadeh@urmia.ac.ir

² Institute of Biotechnology, Urmia University, Urmia, Islamic Republic of Iran.

Several strategies, such as cultural practices and chemical control, have been developed to control broomrape, but none were successful (Mariam and Suwanketnikom, 2004; Rubiales et al., 2009). For instance, applying herbicides is extremely difficult because the holoparasite is directly connected to the host plants and predominantly subterranean and produces numerous, durable, and small seeds (Buschmann et al., 2005). Given such a scenario, the development of Orobancheresistant varieties is a more efficient way of limiting the effects of this parasitic genus on host plants (Perez-de-Luque et al., 2008). Breeding for resistance is the most economic, feasible, and environmental friendly method of control (Slavov et al., 2005). Host genetic resistance is generally considered critical to successful integrated pest management programs (Goldwasser et al., 1999). Parker and Riches (1993) reported significant genetic variability for resistance to broomrape (O. aegyptiaca) among tobacco varieties.

Plant breeding based on molecular tools, such as DNA markers, has revolutionized conventional breeding activities in recent years. Identification of DNA markers linked to interested trait could improve the efficiency of selection via marker-assisted selection. Among DNA markers, SSRs or microsatellites as multiallelic, chromosomespecific, and evenly distributed along chromosomes, have been developed and widely used in tobacco genetic diversity and mapping studies (Davalieva *et al.*, 2010; Bindler *et al.*, 2011; Darvishzadeh *et al.*, 2013; Hatami Maleki *et al.*, 2013).

Two approaches are mostly used for Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) mapping in crop species: Family-Based Linkage (FBL) mapping and linkage disequilibrium-based Association Mapping (AM) (Mackay and Powell, 2007). FBL mapping is a classical approach in which LD is created by developing a population and crossing few founders. It is very costly, has low resolution, and evaluates few alleles simultaneously in a relatively longer time scale (Flint-Garcia et al., 2003; Gupta et al., 2005; Ross-Ibarra et al., 2007). The method of AM, also known as LD-based mapping, has been proven to be useful and powerful for genetic dissection of complex traits (Adhikari et al., 2011). This method attains a higher resolution because of the use of all meiosis accumulated in the breeding history and Linkage Disequilibrium (LD). AM analysis has been successfully applied to identify marker-trait associations in different crops such as maize (Thornsberry et al., 2001), barley (Ivandic et al., 2003; Kraakman et al., 2006), hexaploid wheat (Breseghello and Sorrels, 2006), chickpea (Saeed et al., 2013), and long life span forest plants (Wilcox et al., 2007). In genomic studies of tobacco (Julio et al., 2006; Tong et al., 2012; Vontimitta and Lewis, 2012; Hatami Maleki et al., 2013), FBL mapping was mainly used for the detection of QTL controlling several agromorphological and chemical characteristics and disease resistance; there is no report yet on genetic analysis of resistance to broomrape especially through the AM approach.

Darvishzadeh

The objectives of the present study were as follows: i) evaluation of genetic variation of tobacco germplasm for resistance to broomrape, ii) fingerprinting of tobacco genotypes using SSR markers and inferring population structure, and iii) detection of genomic regions associated with resistance to broomrape (*O. aegyptiaca*) through association mapping analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Phenotypic Analysis

A total of 89 tobacco genotypes (Table 1) were used in the present experiment. Among the studied genotypes, the "SPT" lines, known as 'Chopogh', were selected from our local landraces using the Single Seed Descent (SSD) method. Genotypes: 'Isfahan', 'Jahrom', 'Borazjan', 'Lengeh', 'Shahroudi', 'Saderati', and 'Balouch' are known as water pipe's tobacco and selected

		<i>Q</i> -matrix			Character ^{<i>a</i>}							
Genotype	Origin	SP1	S.P.2	S.P.3	Sub	NEB ^a	FWB ^b			DWB ^c		
					population			(g)			(g)	
GD 165	Bulgaria	0.07	0.80	0.13	Green		± 0.17	0.17	±	0.17	0.05	± 0.05
Kharmanli 163	Turkey	0.56	0.27	0.17	Mix		± 0.76			38.12	23.18	± 15.12
Nevrokop	Bulgaria	0.03	0.10	0.87	Blue		± 0.61	13.97	±	6.50	4.82	± 2.24
Trabozan	Turkey	0.02	0.03	0.96	Blue			19.25	±	4.62	4.80	± 1.12
Krumovgraid	Bulgaria	0.09	0.54	0.36	Mix		± 0.22	0.97	±	0.46	0.20	± 0.09
Basma S. 31	Greece	0.75	0.19	0.05	Red		± 0.93			12.02	6.30	± 2.80
Triumph	Yugoslavia		0.02	0.75	Blue		± 0.62					± 5.75
Xanthi	-	0.07	0.90	0.03	Green		± 1.25			25.25		± 9.81
Matianus	-	0.05	0.71	0.24	Green		± 0.62			8.00		± 4.83
Immni 3000	-	0.30	0.58	0.12	Mix		± 0.58		±	5.45	2.83	± 1.62
Melkin 261	Bulgaria	0.06	0.89	0.05	Green		± 0.50			5.61		± 1.60
Tyk-Kula	-	0.06	0.92	0.02	Green	2.33 :	± 0.42	43.48	±	17.27	6.12	± 2.47
Ss-289-2	-	0.01	0.01	0.98	Blue	2.67 :	± 0.95	54.67	±	21.86	15.32	± 7.39
Ohdaruma	Yugoslavia	0.03	0.03	0.94	Blue	0.50 :	± 0.34	23.08	±	16.40	6.72	± 6.62
Ploudive 58	Bulgaria	0.11	0.79	0.10	Green	1.00 :	± 0.00	1.33	±	0.11	0.47	± 0.02
Line 20	-	0.06	0.78	0.16	Green	2.83	± 0.79	21.20	±	6.54	4.72	± 1.44
TB 22	-	0.10	0.43	0.47	Mix	0.00 :	± 0.00	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	± 0.00
Ts 8	-	0.19	0.20	0.61	Mix	0.33	± 0.21	14.72	±	14.04	5.37	± 5.33
Alborz 23	Iran	0.03	0.95	0.02	Green	1.67 :	± 0.33	5.12	±	1.66	1.43	± 0.42
FK 40-1	Iran	0.02	0.76	0.22	Green	2.33 :	± 0.61	20.40	±	5.40	6.78	± 3.18
PI7	Bulgaria	0.15	0.64	0.21	Mix	0.83	± 0.31	28.27	±	11.05	6.12	± 2.22
KPHa		0.03	0.55	0.43	Mix	2.33 :	± 0.76	35.43	±	13.40	9.90	± 3.43
KB		0.04	0.29	0.67	Mix	1.00 :	± 0.37	2.30	±	1.15	1.10	± 0.63
HTI	-	0.09	0.89	0.02	Green	0.50	± 0.34	10.50	±	7.05	2.53	± 1.64
Kramograd NHH 659	Bulgaria	0.50	0.41	0.10	Mix	0.00 :	± 0.00	0.00	±	0.00	0.00	± 0.00
TK 23	-	0.02	0.95	0.03	Green	2.50 :	± 0.62	9.13	±	2.18	3.00	± 0.88
L16	Iran	0.05	0.94	0.01	Green	0.67 :	± 0.33	1.97	±	1.27	0.58	± 0.41
Izmir 7	Turkey	0.11	0.82	0.07	Green	1.00 :	± 0.00	13.70	±	4.53	3.50	± 1.16
Mutant 3	Iran	0.10	0.84	0.07	Green	1.17 :	± 0.31	4.23	±	0.91	0.87	± 0.20
Mutant 4	Iran	0.72	0.19	0.09	Red	1.00 :	± 0.37	7.77	±	5.54	1.42	± 0.82
Pobeda 1	Russian	0.41	0.47	0.12	Mix	0.67 :	± 0.42	4.27	±	2.70	1.97	± 1.24
Pobeda 2	Russian	0.42	0.28	0.29	Mix	2.00 :	± 0.37	42.70	±	20.56	4.04	± 1.35
Rustica	-	0.06	0.37	0.58	Mix	1.50 :	± 0.34	13.40	±	2.94	4.60	± 1.13
Samsun 959	Turkey	0.38	0.31	0.31	Mix	1.00 :	± 0.45	8.15	±	3.64	3.25	± 1.49
Samsun dere	Turkey	0.29	0.69	0.02	Mix		± 0.33	6.38	±	1.45	2.92	± 0.94
OR-205	Iran	0.83	0.13	0.04	Red		± 0.48	48.00	±	10.77	12.22	± 2.73
OR-345	Iran	0.94	0.04	0.02	Red		± 0.31	16.00	±	3.18	4.58	± 1.41
OR-379	Iran	0.63	0.07	0.30	Mix		± 0.49	1.65	±	0.59	0.40	± 0.15
CHT 209.12e	Iran	0.02	0.02	0.96	Blue		± 0.22	1.60	±	0.76	0.13	± 0.06
CHT 209.12e×F.K.40-1	Iran	0.01	0.02	0.97	Blue		± 0.40		±	2.77	4.23	± 1.95
CHT 266-6	Iran	0.04	0.14	0.82	Blue		± 0.22			8.04	4.58	± 2.58
CHT 283-8	Iran	0.24	0.03	0.73	Blue		± 0.56	6.35	±		1.78	± 0.81
CHT 273-38	Iran	0.11	0.13	0.76	Blue		± 0.31			10.93		± 3.74
Basma 12-2	Iran	0.20	0.04	0.76	Blue		± 0.21	5.95		1.91	2.67	± 0.94
Basma 16-10	Iran	0.61	0.08	0.31	Mix		± 0.37	7.15	±	2.19	2.15	± 0.74
Basma 104-1	Iran	0.91	0.02	0.07	Red		± 0.31	7.80	±	2.98	4.12	± 1.58
Basma 181-8	Iran	0.49	0.05	0.46	Mix		± 0.21	7.88	±	1.90	1.73	± 0.36
Zichna	-	0.68	0.30	0.02	Mix		± 0.48	10.75		3.51	5.02	± 1.37
Izmir	Turkey	0.90	0.08	0.03	Red		± 0.65	9.90	±	2.06	2.17	± 0.45
PD 324	Iran	0.21	0.11	0.69	Mix		± 0.43					± 3.90
PD 325	Iran	0.93	0.05	0.02	Red	1.17 :	± 0.40	3.47	±	1.19	2.22	± 0.81

Table 1. Name and origin of studied tobacco genotypes, membership percentage of each genotype to constructed subgroups (Q-matrix), mean and standard error values for broomrape growth characteristics considering average data of two consecutive years in inoculated condition.

^a Number of Emerged Broomrapes; ^b Fresh Weight of Broomrapes, ^c Dry Weight of Broomrapes.

Table 1. Continued...

Table 1. Continued...

		<i>Q</i> -matrix				Character ^{<i>a</i>}							
Genotype	Origin	0.0.1	0.0.0	0.0.2	Sub	NEB ⁴					DWB ^c		
	C	5.P.1	S.P.2	S.P.3	population			(g)			(g)		
PD 406	Iran	0.02	0.71	0.27	Green	1.33	±0.33	0.87	±	0.39	0.37	±0.14	
PD 328	Iran	0.95	0.03	0.02	Red	2.17	± 0.48	14.45	±	4.88	4.35	± 1.01	
PD 329	Iran	0.92	0.05	0.03	Red	1.50	± 0.43	16.43	±	3.91	4.50	± 2.30	
PD 336	Iran	0.91	0.08	0.02	Red	1.33	± 0.33	1.48	±	0.53	1.68	±1.31	
PD 345	Iran	0.97	0.02	0.01	Red	1.17	±0.17	10.20	±	5.31	0.47	±0.12	
PD 364	Iran	0.95	0.04	0.01	Red	1.67	± 0.49	3.12	±	1.30	1.15	± 0.50	
PD 365	Iran	0.91	0.03	0.06	Red	2.33	± 0.21	25.03	±	7.17	8.30	±1.61	
PD 371	Iran	0.98	0.01	0.01	Red	2.00	± 0.00	22.10	±	7.70	10.27	± 3.01	
PD 381	Iran	0.93	0.03	0.04	Red	2.00	± 0.26	12.63	±	6.47	4.97	± 1.01	
SPT 403	Iran	0.68	0.07	0.25	Mix	1.50	± 0.22	31.92	±	8.63	4.28	±1.55	
SPT 405	Iran	0.30	0.50	0.20	Mix	0.83	± 0.40	3.28	±	1.57	1.75	±0.85	
SPT 406	Iran	0.05	0.14	0.81	Blue	1.00	±0.37	37.87	±	16.40	9.29	±4.47	
SPT 408	Iran	0.19	0.79	0.02	Green	2.00	± 0.63	26.33	±	14.08	8.12	± 3.36	
SPT 409	Iran	0.22	0.70	0.08	Green	3.00	± 0.00	28.08	±	8.18	3.78	±1.31	
SPT 410	Iran	0.19	0.22	0.58	Mix	4.00	± 0.58	40.85	±	13.12	13.37	±4.87	
SPT 412	Iran	0.27	0.20	0.53	Mix	1.83	± 0.17	20.98	±	4.11	5.28	±1.37	
Isfahan 5	Iran	0.08	0.81	0.11	Green	2.50	± 0.50	31.92	±	7.68	7.13	±1.75	
SPT 420	Iran	0.02	0.70	0.28	Green	2.67	± 0.49	10.77	±	2.50	1.18	± 0.23	
SPT 430	Iran	0.06	0.52	0.42	Mix	3.00	± 0.68	4.72	±	0.64	2.63	± 0.68	
SPT 432	Iran	0.18	0.70	0.12	Green	1.00	± 0.37	12.63	±	7.18	2.58	± 2.18	
SPT 433	Iran	0.13	0.82	0.05	Green	1.83	± 0.40	7.55	±	1.97	3.63	±1.44	
SPT 434	Iran	0.14	0.83	0.02	Green	2.67	±0.61	27.95	±	13.75	7.13	±3.61	
SPT 436	Iran	0.84	0.08	0.08	Red	2.67	± 0.21	20.13	±	7.38	5.05	±1.94	
SPT 439	Iran	0.03	0.95	0.02	Green	2.33	± 0.42	25.90	±	6.25	3.57	±1.09	
SPT 441	Iran	0.03	0.95	0.02	Green	1.00	± 0.37	6.67	±	3.15	0.23	± 0.08	
Isfahan 2	Iran	0.73	0.26	0.02	Red	1.67	± 0.21	2.93	±	1.32	4.47	± 0.82	
SPT 413	Iran	0.03	0.94	0.03	Green	1.67	± 0.67	17.70	±	4.26	3.27	±1.39	
Isfahani	Iran	0.14	0.85	0.02	Green	1.33	± 0.21	7.47	±	2.60	0.85	± 0.27	
Jahrom 14	Iran	0.44	0.50	0.06	Mix	1.33	± 0.21	4.07	±	1.47	1.67	± 0.52	
Borazjan	Iran	0.07	0.85	0.08	Green	5.00	± 0.97	15.50	±	4.92	2.83	± 0.83	
L17	Bulgaria	0.39	0.60	0.01	Mix	0.33	± 0.11	1.27	±	0.54	0.14	± 0.08	
Balouch	Iran	0.56	0.35	0.09	Mix	0.67	± 0.21	0.38	±	0.14	0.43	± 0.14	
Lengeh	Iran	0.46	0.52	0.02	Mix	3.00	± 0.97	14.20	±	5.22	1.27	±0.71	
Saderati	Iran	0.18	0.80	0.01	Green	2.33	±1.17	11.83	±	7.32	2.47	±1.31	
Iraqi	Iran	0.20	0.78	0.02	Green	1.00	± 0.37	11.73	±	3.79	2.37	±0.75	
Shahroudi	Iran	0.45	0.51	0.04	Mix	0.67	± 0.42	6.83	±	4.32	1.80	±1.14	
TKL	-	0.10	0.46	0.44	Mix	2.33	± 0.42	23.50	±	2.93	6.10	± 0.57	
CHT 269-12e	Iran	0.02	0.04	0.94	Blue	0.67	± 0.21	1.73	±	0.83	0.83	±0.44	

^{*a*} Number of Emerged Broomrapes; ^{*b*} Fresh Weight of Broomrapes, ^{*c*} Dry Weight of Broomrapes.

from our local landraces using the SSD method. The 'PD' and 'OR' lines are Recombinant Inbred Lines (RILs) coming from the cross between 'Basma seres 31' and 'Dubec 566'. Genotypes, including the 'CHT' suffix, are semi-oriental tobacco and known as 'Tikolak'. Other genotypes used in this study are inbred lines from different countries introduced from the Cooperation Center for Scientific Research Relative to Tobacco (http://www.coresta.org) collection

or pure lines provided by the Iranian Tirtash Tobacco Research Center.

The screening experiment was arranged in randomized complete block design with three replications under both non-inoculated (control) and inoculated states during two consecutive years. Each replication consisted of one ceramic pot. Seeds of genotypes were sown at a rate of approximately 5 g m⁻² in bed. After sowing the seeds, a fine layer of well-fermented and sieved sheep manure was spread on top of

the beds. Tobacco seedlings were transplanted to pots filled with 10 kg of sterilized soil when plant averaged about 12 cm in height. We used soil that was sampled from Alfalfa farm and was representative of northwest Iran where the tobacco is planted. In inoculated conditions, the soil of each pot was mixed with 0.06 g of Egyptian broomrape (O. aegyptiaca) seeds. Standard agrotechnical practices for this tobacco type were applied during the growing season. Traits such as the Number of Emerged Broomrapes (NEB), Dry Weight of Broomrapes (DWB), and Fresh Weight of Broomrapes (FWB) were recorded in each pot.

Genotyping with SSR Markers

Genomic DNA was extracted from the leaves of 89 genotypes, following the method described by Doyle and Doyle (1987). Twenty-six of 278 SSR primer pairs from the tobacco SSR database (Bindler et al.. 2007 and 2011) were used for fingerprinting. The choice of SSR primer pairs was based on their known genetic locations to obtain near-uniform coverage of the tobacco genome and clarity of produced bands (Bindler et al., 2011). PCR amplifications were performed in a 20-µl volume using 96-well Eppendorf a Mastercycler Gradient (Type 5331; Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany). The reaction mixture contained 2.5 mM of each reverse and forward primers, 0.4 Unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Cinna Gen Inc., Tehran, Iran), 100 μM of each dNTP (BioFluxbiotech, http://biofluxbiotech.com), 2 µl of 10X PCR buffer, 2 mM MgCl₂ (CinnaGen, Tehran, Iran), ddH₂O, and 25 ng template DNA. Amplification was carried for 35 cycles consisting of a denaturation step at 94°C for 1 minute, annealing at 55°C for 1 minute, and an extension step at 72°C for 1.5 minutes. An initial denaturation step at 94°C for 4 minutes and a final extension step at 72°C for 10 minutes were also included. The amplification products were visualized on 3% (w/v) ultrapure agarose (Invitrogen) with ethidium bromide (1 μ g ml⁻¹) and photographed using a gel documentation (Gel Logic 212 PRO, USA) system.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics was calculated for recorded phenotypic data across two years using Excel 2007. The SSR genotyping data were scored as codominant markers to distinguish homozygotes and heterozygotes for each locus. Major Allele Frequency (MAF), Polymorphic Information Content (PIC_1) , and the levels of gene Diversity $\hat{(D_l)}$ were calculated using Power Marker 3.25 software package (Liu and Muse

3.25 software package (Liu and Muse, 2005). Gene diversity, referred to as expected heterozygosity, is defined as the probability of difference between two randomly chosen alleles from the population.

$$\hat{D}_{l} = (1 - \sum_{u=1}^{k} \tilde{P}_{lu}^{2}) / (1 - \frac{1+f}{n})$$
, where f is

the inbreeding coefficient and estimated from the data using the method of moments.

Polymorphism Information Content (PIC_l) is estimated following the formula proposed by Botstein et al. (1980): $PIC_l = 1 - \sum_{u=1}^{k} \tilde{P}_{lu}^2 - \sum_{u=1}^{k-1} \sum_{v=u+1}^{k} 2\tilde{P}_{lu}^2 \tilde{P}_{lv}^2$.

A model-based Bayesian approach in the software package Structure ver. 2.3.4 (Pritchard et al., 2000) was used for population structure analysis. Five independent runs were performed in the structure with the number of subpopulations (K) from 1 to 10, the admixture model, a burn-in period of 100,000 and 100,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) repetitions. Inferred ancestry estimates of individuals (Q-matrix) were derived for the selected subpopulation (Pritchard et al., 2000). The estimation of kinship coefficients based on SSR markers was calculated using the TASSEL version 2.1 software (Bradbury *et al.*, 2011). Average phenotypic data of each year and average data of two years were used for marker-trait association analysis. Association studies considering K and Q-matrices as covariates in the Mixed Linear Model (MLM) function were performed with TASSEL software.

RESULTS

Genetic Variation and Population Structure in Tobacco Germplasm

Mean values related growth to characteristics of broomrape across two years in inoculated condition are shown in Table 1. Considering the average data of two years, studied genotypes did not show any infection by broomrape in non-inoculated condition, whereas in inoculated condition, genotypes showed infection to most broomrape. In inoculated condition, the Number of Emerged Broomrapes (NEB) ranged from 0.0 to 5.0 (Table 1). Fresh Weight of Broomrapes (FWB) ranged from 0.0 to 71.6 g and Dried Weight of Broomrapes (DWB) ranged from 0 to 23.1 g (Table 1).

In Table 1, some of the studied genotypes, including 'GD165', 'Krumovgraid', 'Ohdaruma', 'Ts8', 'HTI', 'CHT 209.12e', 'L17', and 'CHT 266-6', possessed minimum value of NEB (below 0.5) and genotypes 'TB 22' and 'Kramograd N.H.H. 659' had no infection via broomrape (Table 1). In this study, the 'Basma seres 31' as the maternal line of RIL subpopulation ('PD' and 'OR' lines in Table 1), showed the NEB value of 3.00±0.93. However, in all of its progenies (Table 1), the broomrape growth characteristics were less than it (Basma seres 31). In 'SPT' subpopulation known as 'Chopogh', NEB fluctuated between 0.83±0.4 in 'SPT405' and 4.00±0.58 in 'SPT410' (Table 1). In the 'Tikolak' group, the maximum and minimum infection was observed in 'CHT 283-8', 'CHT 266-6' and 'CHT 209.12e', respectively (Table 1). It is inferable from the results (Table 1) that

among the studied water pipe's tobaccos, 'Borazjan' genotype possessed maximum infection to broomrape (*O. aegyptiaca*).

The molecular genetic diversity among 89 tobacco genotypes was assessed using 26 SSR loci, and a total number of 66 alleles with an average of 2.53 alleles per locus were detected. Regarding the SSR data, the Major Allele Frequency (MAF) ranged from 0.38 to 0.80. Polymorphic Information Content (PIC) and gene diversity fluctuated from 0.27 (PT30094) to 0.60 (PT30014) and 0.33 to 0.66, respectively. The model-based Bayesian clustering approach was used to analyze the genetic structure of the association panel. The group of 89 tobacco partitioned genotypes was in three subgroups (K) (Supplementary data 1). The membership percentage of each genotype to identified subgroups is presented in Table 1. These subgroups matched the three germplasm groups: 'Chopogh' (SPTs), RIL ("PD" and "OR" lines), and 'Tikolak' (Supplementary data 2). In this study, 81% of RIL, 70.58% of SPT, and 70% of 'Tikolak' genotypes were assigned into the corresponding subgroups, and the remaining ones were categorized into the "mixed" subgroups based on their Q values (Supplementary data 2 and Table 1).

Linkage Disequilibrium and Markertrait Association

The triangle plot for pairwise LD between markers in the genome is shown in Supplementary data 3. In Supplementary data 3, above the diagonal display are the D'(as a predictor of linkage disequilibrium) values and below the diagonal display are the correspondence P-values from 1000 permutation test. In the collection under investigation, D' ranged from 0.015 to 1 with the average value of 0.243; 7.08% of locus possible SSR pairs $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{26(26-1)}{2} = 325$ pairs) showed a significant level of Linkage Disequilibrium (LD) (P<0.01) (Supplementary data 3).

To understand a reliable and possible association between SSR markers and responsible gene(s) for resistance to mapping broomrape, association was performed using Mixed Linear Model (MLM) approach in TASSEL 2.1accounting for population structure, and kinship relatedness (Q+K model). Three SSR loci including PT30250, PT30285 and PT30067 and two including PT30285 and PT30008 were identified to be significantly associated with NEB in the years 2009 and 2010, respectively (Table 2). In the year 2010, locus PT30094 was detected as a linked marker with FWD and DWB traits (Table 2). AM analysis based on combined data of two consecutive years (Table 2) revealed four SSR loci including PT30250, PT30285, PT30067, and PT30008 to be significantly associated with NEB trait. Locus PT30094 also showed strong association with FWB and DWB traits based on combined two-year data (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Breeding for resistance is straightforward when a good source of resistance is available, and an efficient, easily controlled, and practical screening procedure exists to provide good selection pressure (Rubiales and Fernandez-Aparicio, 2012). In this study, high genetic variability was observed among studied tobacco genotypes for

resistance to broomrape (O. aegyptiaca) regarding growth characteristics of parasite in terms of host and SSR marker data. Qasem and Kasrawi (1995) ranked 25 tomato cultivars accompanied with one wild tomato from relatively high to moderate considering their resistance reaction to branched broomrape (O. ramosa). Similarly, Fernandez-Aparicio et al. (2008) reported a wide range of responses to broomrape (O. crenata) in a Spanish germplasm of lentil (Lens culinaris). In contrast with the findings of Fernandez-Aparicio et al. (2008), here complete resistance was observed in the interaction of some tobacco genotypes with broomrape (O. aegyptiaca). In this study, results pertaining to phenotypic data of "SPT" lines, revealed the genetic variability for resistance to broomrape in local landraces of tobacco. In our previous investigations (Darvishzadeh et al., 2010 and 2011), the "SPT" lines also presented high variability for different characters, such as resistant to powdery mildew and chloride accumulation rates in leaves. Deferential reaction of RILs ("PD" and "OR" lines) population coming from the cross 'Basma Seres 31×Dubec 566' is expectable because of a phenomenon known as transgressive segregation. In transgressive segregation, the alleles with positive or negative additive effects are accumulating in the offspring (Zhang et al., 2012). In the present work, there was no resistance source in water pipe's tobacco lines which are specific to

		Genetic				
Markers	Linkage group	distance	Trait	P-Marker	P-Marker	P-Marker
		(CM)		(2009)	(2010)	(2009+2010)
PT30250	10	90.7	NEB ^a	0.0289^{*}	ns	0.0406^{*}
PT30285	18	55.3	NEB	0.0074^{**}	0.0145^{*}	0.0071^{**}
PT30067	2	29.2	NEB	0.0119^{*}	ns	0.0214^{*}
PT30008	11	39.9	NEB	ns	0.0051^{**}	0.0306^{*}
PT30094	18	0	FWB^{b}	ns	0.0041^{**}	0.006^{**}
PT30094	18	0	DWB ^c	ns	0.0057^{**}	0.0067^{**}

Table 2. SSR loci associated with growth characteristics related to broomrape by MLM method in inoculated condition.

^{*a*} Number of Emerged Broomrapes; ^{*b*} Fresh Weight of Broomrapes, ^{*c*} Dry Weight of Broomrapes.

^{ns}, * and **: Are non-significant, significant at %5 and %1 probability level respectively.

Iran.

In the present study, analysis of population structure showed that this association panel possessed a diverse genetic variation and therefore, could be used for association analysis. An appropriate germplasm collection with phenotypic and underlying genetic variation for the traits of interest is successful association mandatory for mapping (Zhu et al., 2008). However, the efficiency of association mapping is significantly influenced by the population structure (Sharbel et al., 2000). Many models were used to minimize the falsepositive of association analysis produced from the admixture of populations. It has been shown that K and Q matrices incorporated into the MLM were sufficient to minimize false-positive associations (Zhang et al., 2012).

Albeit there is no report about genetic control of resistance to broomrape in tobacco, both simple (Fernández-Martínez et al., 2008) and digenic (Velasco et al., 2007) inheritance were reported for resistance to broomrape in studied crop species. Complication of inheritance often results from the segregation of multiple genetic factors. Molecular tools facilitate the dissection of complex inheritance through studying marker-trait association. The success of association mapping depends on the possibility of detecting LD between alleles alleles marker and affecting phenotypic traits (Stich et al., 2005). Several developed statistics have been for quantification of LD; choosing the appropriate LD measures depends on the objective of the study, and one performs better than the other in particular situations and cases (Abdurakhmonov and Abdukarimov, 2008). D' is one of the most commonly used measures of LD. In this study, a low range of LD was seen between markers. Low LD indicates that marker density in the study is not sufficient for detection of QTLs in the genome. However, similar to findings of Shehzad et al. (2009), some markers still captured the signal of QTL even in such density.

There are narrow studies about molecular markers related to broomrape resistance in plants, such as sunflower (Perez-Vich et al., 2004), pea (Fondevilla et al., 2010: Valderrama et al., 2004), and faba bean (Diaz-Ruiz et al., 2010), only through the FBL mapping approach. However, there is no report about DNA markers linked to broomrape resistance genes in tobacco. Our results (Table 2) reveal the efficiency of association mapping in detecting tobacco genomic regions conferring resistance to broomrape. Here, among newly identified loci linked to resistance to broomrape (O. aegyptiaca), locus PT30094 is common for DWB and FWB. Similarly, through familybased linkage mapping analysis, colocalized QTLs were reported for several chemical characteristics related to smoking characteristics in tobacco (Julio et al., 2006). In addition, locus PT30285 is linked with NEB and is stable over the years, which imply its important value in tobacco breeding programs.

In conclusion, there are promising sources of resistance to broomrape (O. aegyptiaca) in the studied germplasm. The existent variation could facilitate genetic identification of DNA markers linked to broomrape resistance genes through association mapping. In the present study, 5 SSR loci were detected for broomrape growth characteristics. Although, for the large number of association study, a molecular markers are suitable, but our study can serve initial effort of as association mapping for broomrape resistance in tobacco.

REFERENCES

- Abdurakhmonov, I. Y. and Abdukarimov, A. 2008. Application of Association Mapping to Understanding the Genetic Diversity of Plant Germplasm Resources. *Int. J. Plant Genom.*, doi:10.1155/2008/574927
- Adhikari, T. B., Jackson, E. W., Gurung, S., Hansen, J. M., and Bonman, J. 2011. Association Mapping of Quantitative

Resistance to *Phaeosphaeria nodorum* in Spring Wheat Landraces from the USDA National Small Grains Collection. *Phytopathol.*, **101:** 1301-1310

- Bindler, G., Hoeven, R., Gunduz, I., Plieske, J., Ganal, M., Rossi, L., Gadani, F. and Donini, P. 2007. A Microsatellite Marker Based Linkage Map of Tobacco. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **114**: 341–349
- Bindler, G., Plieske, J., Bakaher, N., Gunduz, I., Ivanov, N., Vander Hoeven, R., Ganal, M. and Donini, P. 2011. A High Density Genetic Map of Tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) Obtained from Large Scale Microsatellite Marker Development. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **123:** 219–230
- Botstein, D., White, R. L., Skolnick, M. and Davis, R. W. 1980. Construction of a Genetic Linkage Map in Man Using Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms. Am. J. Hum. Genet., 32: 314–331
- Bradbury, P. J., Zhang, Z., Kroon, D. E., Casstevens, T. M., Ramdoss, Y. and Buckler, E. S. 2011. TASSEL: Software for Association Mapping of Complex Traits in Diverse Samples. *Bioinforma.*, 23: 2633-2635
- Breseghello, F. and Sorrells, M. 2006. Association Mapping of Kernel Size and Milling Quality in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Cultivars. *Genet.*, **172:** 1165-1177
- Buschmann, H., Gonsior, G. and Sauerborn, J. 2005. Pathogenicity of Branched Broomrape (*Orobanche ramosa*) Populations on Tobacco Cultivars. *Plant Pathol.*, 54: 650–656
- Darvishzadeh, R., Alavi, R. and Sarrafi, A. 2010. Resistance to Powdery Mildew (*Erysiphe cichoracearum* DC.) in Oriental and Semi-oriental Tobacco Germplasm under Field Conditions. J. Crop Improve., 24: 122-130
- Darvishzadeh, R., Alavi, R. and Sarafi, A. 2011. Genetic Variability for Chlorine Concentration in Oriental Tobacco Genotypes. Arch. Agron. Soil Sci., 57: 167– 177
- Darvishzadeh, R., Mirzaei, L., Hatami Maleki, H., Laurentin, H. and Alavi, S. R. 2013. Genetic Variation in Oriental Tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) by Zgromorphological Traits and Simple Sequence Repeat Markers. *Rev. Ciên. Agron.*, 44: 347–355

- Davalieva, K., Maleva, I., Filiposki, K., Spiroski, O. and Georgi, D. 2010. Genetic Variability of Macedonian Tobacco Varieties Determined by Microsatellite Marker Analysis. *Diversity*, 2: 439-449
- Diaz-Ruiz, R., Torres, A. M., Satovic, Z., Gutierrez, M. V., Cubero, J. I. and Roman, B. 2010. Validation of QTLs for *Orobanche crenata* Resistance in Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.) across Environments and Generations. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **120**: 909–919
- Doyle, J. and Doyle, J. 1987. A rapid DNA Isolation Procedure for Small Quantities of Fresh Leaf Tissue. *Phytochem. Bull.*, 19: 11–15
- Fernández-Aparicio, M., Moral, A., Kharrat, M. and Rubiales, D. 2012. Resistance against Broomrapes (*Orobanche* and *Phelipanche* spp.) in Faba Bean (*Vicia faba*) Based in Low Induction of Broomrape Seed Germination. *Euphytica*, **186**: 897–905.
- Fernández-Aparicio, M., Sillero, J. C., Perez-De-Luque, A. and Rubiales, D. 2008. Identification of Sources of Resistance to Crenate Broomrape (*Orobanche crenata*) in Spanish Lentil (*Lens culinaris*) Germplasm. *Weed Res.*, 48: 85-94
- Fernández-Martínez, J. M., Domínguez, J., Pérez-Vich, B. and Velasco, L. 2008. Update on Breeding for Resistance to Sunflower Broomrape. *Helia*, 31: 73–84
- Flint-Garcia, S. A., Thornsberry, J. M. and Buckler, E. S. 2003. Structure of Linkage Disequilibrium in Plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.*, 54: 357–374
- Fondevilla, S., Fernández-Aparicio, M., Satovic, Z., Emeran, A. A., Torres, A. M., Moreno, M. T. and Rubiales, D. 2010. Identification of Quantitative Trait Loci for Specific Mechanisms of Resistance to Orobanche crenata Forsk. in Pea (Pisum sativum L.). Mol. Breed., 25: 259–272
- Giannelos, P. N., Zannikos, F., Stournas, S., Lois, E. and Anastopoulos, G. 2002. Tobacco Seed Oil as an Alternative Diesel Fuel: Physical and Chemical Properties. *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 16: 1–9
- Goldwasser, Y., Hershenhorn, J., Plakhine, D., Kleifeld Y. and Rubin, B. 1999. Biochemical Factors Involved in Vetch Resistance to Orobanche aegyptiaca. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol., 54: 87-96
- 22. Gupta, P. K., Rustgi, S. and Kulwal, P. L. 2005. Linkage Disequilibrium and Association Studies in Higher Plants:

Present Status and Future Prospects. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, **57:** 461–485

- 23. Hatami Maleki, H., Karimzadeh, G., Darvishzadeh, R., Naghavi, M. R. and Sarrafi, A. 2013. Identification of QTLs Associated with Low Chloride Accumulation in Oriental Tobacco. *Genetika*, **45:** 855-864
- Ivandic, V., Thomas, W. T. B., Nevo, E., Zhang, Z. and Forster, B. P. 2003. Associations of Simple Sequence Repeats with Quantitative Trait Variation Including Biotic and Abiotic Stress Tolerance in *Hordeum spontaneum. Plant Breed.*, 122: 300–304
- 25. Julio, E., Denoyes-Rothan, B., Verrier, J. L., Dorlhac and De Borne, F. 2006. Detection of QTLs Linked to Leaf and Smoke Properties in *Nicotiana tabacum* Based on a Study of 114 Recombinant Inbred Lines. *Mol. Breed.*, **18:** 69–91
- 26. Kraakman, A. T. W., Martínez, F., Mussiraliev, B., Van Eeuwijk, F. A. and Niks, R. E. 2006. Linkage Disequilibrium Mapping of Morphological, Resistance, and Other Agronomically Relevant Traits in Modern Spring Barley Cultivars. *Mol. Breed.*, 17: 41-58
- Linke, K. H., Abd El-Moneim, A. M. and Saxena M. C. 1993. Variation in Resistance of Some Forage Legumes Species to Orobanche crenata Forsk. Field Crop. Res., 32: 277-285
- Liu, K. and Muse, S. V. 2005. PowerMarker: An Integrated Analysis Environment for Genetic Marker Analysis. *Bioinforma.*, 21: 2128–2129
- 29. Mackay, I. and Powell, W. 2007. Methods for Linkage Disequilibrium Mapping in Crops. *Trend. Plant Sci.*, **12:** 57–63
- Mariam, E. G. and Suwanketnikom, R. 2004. Screening of Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) Varieties for Resistance to Branched Broomrape (*Orobanche ramosa* L.). *Kasetsart J.*, **38**: 434 439
- Musselman, L. J. 1980. The Biology of Striga, Orobanche, and Other Root Parasitic Weeds. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol., 18: 463-489
- Pacureanu-Joiţa, M., Fernandez-martinez, J. M., Sava, E. and Raranciuc S. 2009. Broomrape (*Orobanche cumana* wallr.), the Most Important Parasite in Sunflower. *Rom. Agric. Res.*, 77: 49-56

- Parker, C. and Riches, C. R. 1993. Parasitic Weeds of the World: Biology and Control. CAB International, UK.
- Pérez-de-Luque, A., Moreno, M. T. and Rubiales, D. 2008. Host Plant Resistance against Broomrapes (*Orobanche* spp.): Defense Reactions and Mechanisms of Resistance. *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, **152**: 131-141
- 35. Perez-Vich, B., Akhtouch, B., Knapp, S. J., Leon, A. J., Velasco, L., Fernández-Martínez, J. M. and Berry, S. T. 2004. Quantitative Trait Loci for Broomrape (*Orobanche cumana* Wallr.) Resistance in Sunflower. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **109**: 92-102
- Pritchard, J. K., Stephanes, M., Rosenberg, N. A. and Donnelly, P. 2000. Association Mapping in Structured Populations. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, 67: 170–181
- Qasem, J. R. and Kasrawi, M. A. 1995. Variation of Resistance to Broomrape (Orobanche ramose) in Tomatoes. Euphytica, 81: 109-114
- 38. Roman, B., Alfaro, C., Torres, A. M., Moreno, M. T., Satovic, S., Pujadas, A. and Rubiales, D. 2003. Genetic Relationships among *Orobanche* Species as Revealed by RAPD Analysis. *Ann. Bot.*, **91:** 637–642
- Ross-Ibarra, J., Morrell, P. L. and Gaut, B. S. 2007. Plant Domestication, a Unique Opportunity to Identify the Genetic Basis of Adaptation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 104: 8641–8648
- 40. Rubiales, D. and Fernández-Aparicio, M. 2012. Innovations in Parasitic Weeds Management in Legume Crops: A Review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, **32:** 433–449
- 41. Rubiales, D., Fernández-Aparicio, M., Pérez-De-Luque, A., Prats, E., Castillejo, M. A., Sillero, J. C., Rispail, N. and Fondevilla, S. 2009. Breeding Approaches for Crenate Broomrape (*Orobanche crenata* Forsk.) Management in Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Pest Manag. Sci.*, 65: 553–559
- 42. Saeed, A., Darvishzadeh, R. and Basirnia, A. 2013. Simple Sequence Repeat Markers Associated with Agro-morphological Traits in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Zemdirbyste-Agriculture*, **100**: 433–440
- 43. Schneeweiss, G. M., Palomeque, T., Colwell, A. E. and Weiss-Schneeweiss, H. 2004. Chromosome Numbers and Karyotype Evolution in Holoparasitic Orobanche (Orobanchaceae) and Related Genera. *Am. J. Bot.*, **91:** 439–448

JAST

- 44. Sharbel, T. F., Haubold, B. and Mitchell-Olds, T. 2000. Genetic Isolation by Distance in *Arabidopsis thaliana:* Biogeography and Postglacial Colonization of Europe. *Mol. Ecol.*, **9:** 2109-2118
- 45. Shehzad, T., Iwata, H. and Okuno, K. 2009. Genome-wide Association Mapping of Quantitative Traits in Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) by Using Multiple Models. Breed. Sci., **59:** 217-227
- 46. Slavov, S., Valkov, V., Batchvarova, R., Atanassova, S., Alexandrova, M. and Atanassov, A. 2005. Chlorsulfuron Resistant Transgenic Tobacco as a Tool for Broomrape Control. *Transgenic Res.*, 14: 273-278
- 47. Stich, B., Melchinger, A. E., Frisch, M., Maurer, H. P., Heckenberger, M. and Reif, J. C. 2005. Linkage Disequilibrium in European Elite Maize Germplasm Investigated with SSRs. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 111: 723–730
- Thornsberry, J. M., Goodman, M. M., Doebley, J., Kresovich, S., Nielsen, D. and Buckler, E. S. 2001. Dwarf8 Polymorphisms Associate with Variation in Flowering Time. *Nat. Genet.*, 28: 286-289
- 49. Tong, Z., Jiao, T., Wang, F., Li M., Leng, X., Gao, Y., Li, Y., Xiao, B. and Wu, W. 2012. Mapping of Quantitative Trait Loci Conferring Resistance to Brown Spot in Flue-cured Tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.). *Plant Breed.*, **131:** 335–339

- Valderrama, M. R., Román, B., Satovic, Z., Rubiales, D., Cubero, J. I. and Torres, A. M. 2004. Locating Quantitative Trait Loci Associated with Orobanche crenata Resistance in Pea. Weed Res., 44: 323-338
- 51. Velasco, L., Perez-Vich, B., Jan, C. C. and Fernandez-Martinez, J. M. 2007. Inheritance of Resistance to Broomrape (*Orobanche cumana* Wallr.) Race F in a Sunflower Line Derived from Wild Sunflower Species. *Plant Breed.*, **126:** 67-71
- Vontimitta, V. and Lewis, R. S. 2012. Mapping of Quantitative Trait Loci Affecting Resistance to *Phytophthora nicotianaein* Tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) Line Beinhart-1000. *Mol. Breed.*, 29: 89–98
- Wilcox, P. L., Echt, E. C. and Burdon, R. D. 2007. Gene-assisted Selection: Applications of Association Genetics for Forest Tree Breeding. In: "Association Mapping in Plants" (Eds.): Oraguzie, N.C., Rikkerink, E. H. A., Gardiner, S. E., de Silva, H. N., Springer, USA, Pp. 211–247
- Zhang, Q., Wu, C., Ren, F., Li, Y. and Zhang, C. 2012. Association Analysis of Important Agronomical Traits of Maize Inbred Lines with SSRs. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.*, 6: 1131–1138
- Zhu, C., Gore, M., Buckler, E. S. and Yu, J. 2008. Status and Prospects of Association Mapping in Plants. *Plant Genome*, 1: 5–20.

Orobanche) تنوع ژنتیکی، تجزیه ساختار و مکان یابی ارتباطی مقاومت به گل جالیز (*aegyptiaca* Pers.

ر. درویش زاده

چکیدہ

گل جالیز علف هرز انگل در مزارع توتون (.Nicotiana tabacum L) است که دارای اثرات مخرب شدید بر عملکرد آن می باشد. در این مطالعه، عکس العمل ۸۹ ژنوتیپ توتون در مقابل علف هرز گل جالیز (Orobanche aegyptiaca) در قالب طرح بلوک کامل تصادفی با سه تکرار و در طی دو سال زراعی ارزیابی شد. در هر سال، ژنوتیپ ها در دو شرایط غیرتلقیح شده و تلقیح شده بواسطه (Course

مخلوط نمودن ۰/۰۶ گرم بذر گل جالیز در گلدان ها کشت شدند. با توجه به میانگین داده های دو سال، ژنوتیپ های مورد مطالعه هیچ گونه آلودگی در شرایط غیر تلقیح شده نداشتند در حالی که در شرایط تلقیح شده، اکثر ژنوتیپ ها آلودگی نشان دادند. در شرایط تلقیح شده، دو ژنوتیپ 'T.B.22' و 'N.H.H. 659' هیچ گونه آلودگی به گل جالیز نشان ندادند. در آزمایشات مولکولی، انگشت نگاری ژنوتیپ های توتون با ۲۶ نشانگر SSR انجام گرفت. با استفاده از مدل بیزین، ژنوتیپ های مورد مطالعه در سه زیر گروه قرار گرفتند. ۸۰/۷٪ از ۳۲۵ ترکیب دو تایی ممکن از نشانگرهای SSR، نامتعادلی پیوستگی ژنتیکی معنی دار نشان دادند (O.O1). با استفاده از مدل خطی مخلوط، ۵ مکان ریزماهواره ای از گروه های پیوستگی ۲، ۱۰، ۱۱ و ۱۸ در نقشه پیوستگی مرجع توتون به عنوان نشانگرهای مرتبط با مقاومت به گل جالیز در توتون شناسایی شدند.