# Generation Mean Analysis for Grain Yield and Its Related Traits in Lentil

M. Khodambashi<sup>1\*</sup>, N. Bitaraf<sup>1</sup>, and S. Hoshmand<sup>1</sup>

## **ABSTRACT**

In order to estimate heritability and gene action for grain yield and its related traits in lentil, six basic generations were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with three replications in a field experiment. Besides seed yield per plant, plant height, pod length, and 100-seed weight, the number of pods per plant, primary branches, clusters per plant, nodes per main stem, secondary branches, and the number of seeds per pod were recorded. Generation mean analysis using A, B, C and joint scaling tests indicated that additive [a], dominance [d] and at least one of the epistatic effect (additive additive [aa], additive×dominance [ad] and dominance×dominance [dd]) were involved in the inheritance of the studied traits. However, simple additive-dominance model was sufficient only for pod length. Significant dominance [d] and dominance×dominance [dd] interactions with opposite sign indicated duplicate epistasis for all traits except pod length. Narrow-sense heritability was low for seed yield per plant, pod length, number of seeds per pod and 100-seed weight and moderate for other traits. Average dominance ratio was more than unity for seed yield per plant, number of primary and secondary branches, pod length, and 100-seed weight, which showed the high importance of dominance gene effect in control of these traits. Due to the presence of greater nonadditive gene effects combined with low narrow-sense heritability, selection for almost all of the studied traits in this cross, especially in early generations, would be complex in conventional methods.

Keywords: Heritability, Lentil, Mean analysis, Seed yield, Yield components.

#### INTRODUCTION

Lentil (Lens culinaris Medik) is the most important food legume crop of rain fed cropping systems in the Near East, traditionally grown in rotation with barley and wheat in low and medium rainfall areas, respectively. Lentil seed is a rich source of protein (up to 28%) for human consumption and its straw is a valued animal feed. In dry years, the income from lentil straw may equal that of seed in many parts of the Near East. Lentil is adapted to less favorable environments and is predominantly winter grown in regions where the annual average

rainfall ranges between 300 and 400 mm (Sarker *et al*, 2003).

Seed yield in lentil, same as in all other crops, is due to interaction of many genes with environment, thus, direct selection for it will not be successful. Selection for yield components has been suggested as a solution for further advance in increasing yield. In breeding to increase the inherent yielding potential of a crop plant, the selection criterion may be yield or some of the morphological components of yield. An understanding of the mode of inheritance of the yield components, the correlations among them, and the association between each component with yield is necessary for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Shahrekord University, Shahrekord, Islamic Republic of Iran.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author; e-mail: mkhodambashi@yahoo.com



the intelligent choice of breeding procedures for developing high-yielding varieties. One of the best methods for the estimation of genetic parameters is generation mean analysis, in which epistatic effects could also be estimated. Six basic generations variance give components can an accurate information in relating average dominance inheritance. Thus, ratio and these can complete the derived components information from means (Mather and Jinks, 1982; Kearsey and Pooni, 1996). The choice of an efficient breeding procedure depends on the knowledge of the genetic controlling system of the character to be selected (Azizi et al, 2006). Aher et al. (2006) using generation mean analysis in three crosses from pigeon pea showed that additive component in first cross, dominance component in second cross and both additive and dominance gene effects in third cross were significant for the number of pods per plant.

Hooda *et al.* (2000) observed significant additive gene effects for plant height and 100-seed weight in pigeon pea. Khattak *et al.* (2004) used mean data for six basic generation subjected to joint scaling test for two crosses in mung bean. In the presence of epistasis, a six parameter model was used to detect all types of gene actions. They recorded the duplicate type of non-allelic interactions for number of clusters per plant and 1000-seed weight and complementary type of non-allelic interactions for seed yield per plant in both crosses.

Also, Singh et al. (2006) showed that dominance and epistasis effects contribute significantly to heritability of different traits of mung bean. Bhardwaj et al. (2005) using generation mean analysis in two crosses of cowpea reported that additive dominance gene effects were significant. In both crosses, additive gene effect for the number of pods per plant and seed yield per plant was greater than the dominance gene effect. They also recorded epistatic gene effects for all studied traits, except for seed yield per plant in both crosses. Aich et al. (2007) reported high heritability for the number of pods per plant and the secondary branches per plant in lentil. The objectives of the present study were: (1) to test suitability of additive - dominance model and (2) to estimate and compare genetic parameters such as gene effects and heritability for some traits of lentil, using six basic generations (P1, P2, F1, F2, Bc1.1 and Bc1.2) of cross between *L-3685* and *Lc74-1-5-1*.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Quantitative genetics of seed yield and other agronomic characters of lentil were studied using the  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $Bc_{1.1}$  and  $Bc_{1.2}$  of a cross between L-3685 (a small seeded line) as female parent (P<sub>1</sub>) and Lc74-1-5-1 (a bold seeded line) as male parent (P<sub>2</sub>) in a complete randomized block design of experiment with three replications at the Shahrekord University of Iran, in 2008-2009.

Plants were cultivated in 2 meters long rows with spacings of 30 and 20 cm between and within the rows, respectively. Four rows of each  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , two rows of  $F_1$ , three rows of each  $Bc_{I,I}$  and  $Bc_{I,2}$  and 12 rows of  $F_2$  plants were cultivated in each replication. Agricultural practices such as irrigation, fertilizer application, and weed control were done uniformly in all blocks.

Data were recorded from single plants with 15 plants of each parent and  $F_1$ , 30 plants of each backcross and 60 plants of  $F_2$  in a replicate. The studied characters were seed yield per plant, plant height, 100-seed weight, and pod length as well as the number of pods per plant, seeds per plant, the primary and secondary branches per plant, clusters per plant, nodes per main stem, and the number of seeds per pod,

The observed means of the six generations and their standard errors were used to estimate the mid-parent (m), additive (a) and dominance (d) gene effects using the joint scaling test of Mather and Jinks (1982). The adequacy of the simple additive-dominance model (mean, additive, and

dominance effects) was determined by  $\chi^2$  test.

Where the simple model proved to be inadequate, epistasis additive×additive [aa], additive×dominance [ad] and dominance×dominance [dd] were added to the model, as proposed by Mather and Jinks (1982).The significance of genetic parameters (m, [a], [d], [aa], [ad] and [dd]) were tested using t-test. In the complete sixparameter model, chi-square adequacy test was not possible, because the degrees of freedom was reduced to zero. In this situation, non-significant terms were eliminated from the full model to generate degrees of freedom for model adequacy  $\chi^2$ tests. Ideally, a satisfactory model would produce a non-significant  $\chi^2$  value whilst having each component significantly different from zero.

The generation variances were fitted to a model including additive  $(V_A)$ , dominance  $(V_D)$ , environmental  $(V_E)$  and additive-dominance  $(V_{AD})$  variance components (Kearsey and Pooni, 1996). Variance components were estimated according to Kearsey and Pooni (1996). Narrow sense heritability was estimated by dividing the additive genetic variance  $(V_A)$  with the  $F_2$  variance. The average dominance ratio for the characters was calculated as the square root of the ratio of  $4V_D$  over  $2V_A$ . Statistical analysis was done using computer software MINITAB version 14 (Minitab, 2005)

#### RESULTS

The Lc74-1-5-1 ( $P_2$ ) mean was greater than the L3685 ( $P_1$ ) mean for most of the traits (Table 1). The  $F_1$  mean was greater than mean of both parents only for the number of seeds per pod.  $F_2$  means for most traits were in the range of the parents mean. Back cross means of the  $F_1$  to the superior parent ( $P_2$ ) showed higher values for most traits compared with back cross means to the inferior parent ( $P_1$ ).

The simple additive-dominance model to generation means showed the three terms (m, [a] and [d]) to be significant for all characters, except the additive component of 100-seed weight (Table 2). However,  $\chi^2$  test showed that the simple additive-dominance model fitted only the pod length. Hence, epistatic interactions are involved in genetic control of the other studied traits in this family. Using joint scaling tests, Mittal and Bhardwaj (2008) revealed the presence of epistasis for pods per plant, pods per cluster, 100-seed weight, and seed yield per plant in cowpea. The model with conceding gene interactions showed that although additive effects ([a]) for all traits, except 100-seed weight, were significant, the dominance and dominance×dominance interaction appropriated the larger values. Dominance effects were negative for all traits except pod length and the number of seeds per pod.

Estimates of the six-parameter model, including interactions followed by the progressive elimination of non-significant components, showed that seed yield per plant was most effectively described by a model with additive, dominance, additive×additive and dominance×dominance interaction terms (Table 3). A similar model was also suitable for the number of clusters per plant and nodes per main stem.

Plant height, number of pods per plant and number of seeds per plant showed similar patterns, requiring [a], [d] and [dd] components for a good fit. Also, model with [d], [ad] and [dd] components was suitable for 100-seed weight. The number of primary and secondary branches showed similar patterns, including six parameters (m, [a], [d], [aa], [ad] and [dd]). Although in estimating the six parameters the degree of freedom for  $\chi^2$  will be zero and testing becomes impossible, but, sincec  $\chi^2$  value was almost zero, a six parameter model could be suitable for these two traits.

The results of the estimates for the variance model, narrow sense heritability values, and the average dominance ratio are



**Table 1.** Means and standard errors of the lentil characters for  $P_1$  (L3685),  $P_2$  (LC74-1-5-1), their  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $BC_1$  ( $F_1 \times P_1$ ) and  $BC_2$  ( $F_1 \times P_2$ ).

Character	$P_{I}$	$P_2$	$F_I$	$F_2$	$BC_I$	$BC_2$
$SY^a$	10.96±0.77	13.35±0.83	7.24±0.64	7.16±0.49	4.18±0.79	6.08±0.91
$\mathrm{PH}^b$	30.7±0.78	32.3±0.79	29.4±0.59	28.6±0.52	26.2±0.71	27.4±0.89
$NOP^c$	280.2±14.4	544.7±20.1	286.6±17.1	259.6±16.8	184.4±22.9	259.1±27.9
$NOS^d$	501.9±26.7	851.8±32.6	516.1±29.6	450.9±29.3	$329.2 \pm 40.2$	454.1±50.1
$NOPB^{e}$	12.93±0.38	17.80±0.41	16.17±0.33	15.15±0.34	14.03±0.55	13.9±0.49
$NOC^f$	179.4±10.6	356.8±14.4	188.4±10.9	184.5±11.0	118.4±14.3	166.6±19.8
$\mathrm{PL}^g$	10.93±0.10	11.65±0.10	11.64±0.09	11.47±0.09	$11.40 \pm 0.15$	11.88±0.16
$NON^h$	21.67±0.39	25.13±0.48	22.45±0.39	21.82±0.34	20.63±0.54	20.81±0.48
$NOSB^{i}$	44.26±2.11	87.26±1.79	60.58±1.6	49.92±1.77	39.44±2.64	41.78±2.75
$NOSP^{j}$	$1.79 \pm 0.01$	1.56±0.01	$1.80 \pm 0.01$	$1.74 \pm 0.01$	$1.799 \pm 0.02$	$1.75 \pm 0.02$
$100 \text{ SW}^k$	2.23±0.06	2.18±0.06	1.88±0.05	1.63±0.04	1.37±0.07	1.561±0.08

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Seed yield per plant (g); <sup>b</sup> Plant height (cm); <sup>c</sup> Number of pods per plant; <sup>d</sup> Number of seeds per plant; <sup>e</sup> Number of primary branches; <sup>f</sup> Number of clusters per plant; <sup>g</sup> Pod length (mm); <sup>h</sup> Number of nodes per main stem; <sup>i</sup> Number of secondary branches; <sup>j</sup> Number of seeds per pod, <sup>k</sup> 100-seed weight (g).

**Table 2.** Estimates of genetic parameters based on three parameters model (m, [a], [d]),  $\chi^2$  and A, B, C scaling test using six generations of the cross  $L3685 \times Lc74 - 1 - 5 - 1$  for agronomic characters in lentil.

	Parameters				Scaling testes			
characters	m	[a]	[d]	$\chi^2$	A	В	С	
$SY^a$	9.48±0.49**	-1.26±0.51*	-4.48±0.8**	36.87**	-9.18**	-7.63**	-10.06**	
$\mathrm{PH}^b$	30.08±0.48**	-1.10±0.49*	-1.77±0.81*	31.94**	-8.07**	-6.92**	-8.45**	
$NOP^{c}$	380.1±11.42**	-120.6±11.6**	-143.0±20.5**	48.5**	-204.9**	-310.6**	-379.8**	
$NOS^d$	627.9±19.54**	-167.8±19.9**	-190.1±35.43**	40.54**	-367.8**	-448.7**	-614.3**	
$NOPB^e$	14.88±0.25**	-2.035±0.26**	$0.82 \pm 0.4^*$	30.85**	-1.05 <sup>ns</sup>	-6.21**	-2.63 <sup>ns</sup>	
$NOC^f$	246.5±8.1**	-83.39±8.37**	-85.7±13.9**	39.57**	-135.2**	-201.8**	-182.9**	
$PL^g$	11.31±0.06**	-0.37±0.071**	0.37±0.11**	1.65 <sup>ns</sup>	$0.184^{ns}$	$0.41^{ns}$	0.25 <sup>ns</sup>	
$NON^h$	22.68±0.28**	-1.33±0.28**	-1.02±0.49*	30.66**	-2.93*	-5.83**	-4.77**	
$NOSB^{i}$	60.22±1.26**	-20.29±1.29**	-7.53±2.11**	138.8**	-25.26**	-63.02**	-52.56**	
NOSP <sup>j</sup>	1.68±0.01**	0.11±0.01**	0130±0.014**	11.67**	-0.13 <sup>ns</sup>	$0.148^{*}$	0.015 <sup>ns</sup>	
$SW^k$	1.99±0.038**	-0.03±0.04 <sup>ns</sup>	-0.35±0.07**	127.1**	-1.42**	-0.99**	-1.75**	

Ns; \* and \*\*, Non significant, significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively.

presented in table 4. The average dominance ratio was more than unity for seed yield per plant (SY), number of primary (NOPB) and secondary (NOSB) branches, pod length (PL) and 100-seed weight (100 SW), which

showed the importance of the dominance gene effects that is in agreement with low narrow sense heritability for these traits (Table 4). The narrow sense heritability estimates for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Seed yield per plant (g); <sup>b</sup> Plant height (cm); <sup>c</sup> Number of pods per plant; <sup>d</sup> Number of seeds per plant; <sup>e</sup> Number of primary branches; <sup>f</sup> Number of clusters per plant; <sup>g</sup> Pod length (mm); <sup>h</sup> Number of nodes per main stem; <sup>f</sup> Number of secondary branches; <sup>f</sup> Number of seeds per pod, <sup>h</sup> 100-seed weight (g).

values of the fitted model for studied traits in lentil cross (L3685×Lc74-1-5-1) **Fable 3.** Estimates of gene effects with standard errors and  $\mathcal{X}$ 

	m	[a]	[p]	[aa]	[ad]	[pp]	K
$SY^a$	$20.45\pm3.17^{**}$	-1.32±0.52*	-39.96±8.43**	-8.29±3.12*	ı	26.75±5.47**	0.277 <sup>ns</sup>
	$31.52\pm0.56^{**}$		$-13.18\pm2.34^{**}$	ı	ı	$11.59\pm2.23^{**}$	$5.026^{\text{ns}}$
	$410.87\pm12.31^{**}$		$-544.88\pm63.25^{**}$	1	ı	$432.30\pm46.34^{**}$	$3.354^{\rm ns}$
	$676.31\pm21.02^{**}$		$-840.95\pm110.07^{**}$	ı	ı	$700.11\pm112.09^{**}$	$1.534^{\rm ns}$
	$19.99\pm 2.05^{**}$		$-15.56\pm5.32^{**}$	$-4.63\pm2.03^*$	$5.15\pm1.59**$	$11.88\pm3.39^{**}$	$1.10^{-7}$ ns
$NOC^f$	$402.69\pm46.58^{**}$	$-84.77\pm8.42^{**}$	$-661.33\pm167.30^{**}$	$-135.72\pm64.17^*$	ı	$452.10\pm106.56^{**}$	$1.638^{ns}$
	$11.31\pm0.07^{**}$		$0.36\pm0.12^{**}$	ı	1	1	$1.65^{\mathrm{ns}}$
	$27.63\pm2.01^{**}$		$-18.17\pm5.20^{**}$	-4.27±1.98*	ı	$13.23\pm3.33^{**}$	3.387 <sup>ns</sup>
	$101.49\pm10.52^{**}$	$-21.50\pm1.38^{**}$	$-164.88\pm27.31^{**}$	$-35.72\pm10.42^{**}$	$37.76\pm8.12**$	$124.01\pm17.36^{**}$	$4.10^{-7}$ ns
	$1.68\pm0.01^{**}$	$0.11\pm0.01^{**}$	$0.22\pm0.05^{**}$	1	$-0.12\pm0.06^*$	$-0.09\pm0.05^{\text{ns}}$	$3.709^{ns}$
	$2.20\pm0.04**$	ı	$-2.30\pm0.19^{**}$	ı	$-0.45\pm0.21^*$	$2.03\pm0.19^{**}$	5.83 <sup>ns</sup>

'Seed yield per plant (g); helant height (cm); Number of pods per plant; Number of seeds per plant; Number of primary branches; Number of clusters per plant; <sup>8</sup> Pod length (mm); <sup>h</sup> Number of nodes per main stem; <sup>i</sup> Number of secondary branches; <sup>j</sup> Number of seeds per pod, <sup>k</sup> 100-seed weight (g) ns, \* and \*\*; non significant, significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

number of pods per plant (NOP), number of seeds per plant (NOS) and number of seeds per pod (NOSP) were high and equal to 56.77, 54.08 and 70.9, respectively.

#### **DISCUSSION**

In this study, in addition to additive gene effects, [d] and [dd] gene effects had high contributions in controlling the studied traits. interaction is considered to be complementary when the [d] and [dd] estimates have the same signs and to be duplicating when the signs differ (Mather and Jinks, 1982). Gene interactions in this study were of duplicate type for all traits except pod length. Khattak et al. (2004), who studied six basic generations from one cross in mung bean, showed that duplicate type of non-allelic interactions exist for the number of clusters per plant. Duplicate type of non-allelic interactions were also reported for plant height and th number of tillers in wheat (Dashti et al., 2010); for plant height in maize (Azizi et al., 2006), and for plant height, number of primary and secondary branches, pod per plant, seed per pod, pod length, 100 seed weight and yield per plant in mungbean (Singh et al., 2007). Positive negative or form additive×additive interaction [aa] show association and dispersion of alleles in parents, respectively. Therefore, negative and significant values of [aa] in this study showed alleles dispersion in parents for seed yield per plant, number of clusters per plant, number of nodes per main stem, and number of primary and secondary branches. The sign of dominance [d] gene effects and dominance×dominance [dd] interaction show dominance direct unidirectional or ambidirectional dominant, respectively. Negative sign of dominance [d] gene effect show that reductive alleles involving dominant phenotype otherwise increasing alleles include dominant phenotype. Also negative sign of dominance×dominance [dd] interaction show ambidirectional dominant. In the present study, for most traits, it was observed that dominance direct was unidirectional dominant and reductive alleles were involved in dominant phenotype.

The difficulty exists in describing generation mean analysis when balance effects of all loci are



**Table 4.** Estimates of additive  $(V_A)$ , dominance  $(V_D)$ , additive-dominance  $(V_{AD})$  and environmental  $(V_E)$  variances; narrow-sense heritability  $(h_n^2)$  and average dominance ratio (DD) for the lentil characters in cross  $L3685 \times Lc74-1-5-1$ .

characters	$V_A$	$V_D$	$V_{AD}$	$V_E$	$h_n^2$	DD
SY a	2.55	2.89	2.04	9.78	16.74	1.50
$PH^{b}$	5.93	1.65	3.02	9.20	35.34	0.74
NOP $^c$	9838.33	2079.98	2376.05	5410.30	56.77	0.65
$NOS^{d}$	26853.1 9	9841.11	8476.69	15873.86	51.08	0.86
NOPB $^e$	2.79	1.90	-0.67	2.53	38.74	1.16
$NOC^f$	3634.20	1178.60	1867.21	2567.20	49.24	0.80
$PL^{g}$	0.10	0.28	0.01	0.18	17.90	2.35
NON $^h$	3.27	0.52	-0.66	3.30	46.20	0.56
NOSB $^{i}$	78.14	53.14	6.35	60.80	40.68	1.17
$NOSP^{j}$	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.0002	70.90	0.36
100 SW <sup>k</sup>	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.059	20.77	1.70

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Seed yield per plant (g); <sup>b</sup> Plant height (cm); <sup>c</sup> Number of pods per plant; <sup>d</sup> Number of seeds per plant; <sup>e</sup> Number of primary branches; <sup>f</sup> Number of clusters per plant; <sup>g</sup> Pod length (mm); <sup>h</sup> Number of nodes per main stem; <sup>l</sup> Number of secondary branches; <sup>f</sup> Number of seeds per pod, <sup>k</sup> 100-seed weight (g).

segregating. Thus, additive gene effects, or interaction effects relating to additive gene effects, are subjected to the degree of increasing gene dispersion of traits between parents, whilst dominance gene effect is pure multiple of dominance direct in each locus. Therefore, additive gene effect may be little because of gene dispersion and also dominance gene effect can be little because of ambidirectional dominant. But, genetic variances are mean squares of each locus effects and are not affected by gene dispersion and dominance direct. Thus, data of generation variances can be used to complete genetic information. In relation with generations variance, epistasis only affect transgressive segregating generations variances, therefore, because of the presence of epistatic effects, the estimate of  $V_A$  and  $V_D$  components would not be unbias, consequently, heritability will also be affect by epistasis.

Beside joint scaling test, scaling test A, B and C showed that simple additive- dominant model was not suitable for the studied traits, except pod length (Table 2). Considering the expected values for scaling test A, B, C as explained by Kearsey and Pooni (1996), negative and significant values of these tests for traits such as seed yield per plant, plant height, and 100 seed weight as well as the number of pods per plant, seeds per plant, clusters per plant, nodes per

main stem, and the number of secondary branches indicated the importance of epestatic effects [aa] and [dd] in the control of these traits. Significance of only scaling test B for the number of primary branches and seeds per pod could be considered as an indicator of the important role of epistatic effect [ad] in the control of these two traits.

In this study, estimates of  $V_A$  and  $V_D$ components of all traits, except pod length, were not free of bias, because of the presence of epistatic gene effects. Under such circumstances,  $V_A$  is affected by the presence of [aa] and [ad]. The presence of [aa] often inflates the variance of F<sub>2</sub> and its subsequent generations, while [ad] increases it when positive and decreases it when negative. V<sub>D</sub> is also affected by [ad] and [dd] when genes interact and [dd] increases the variance of F<sub>2</sub> when having the same sign with [d] and decreases it when it has the opposite sign (Mather and Jinks, 1982). Epistasis of the [aa] type observed in this experiment could be used in the breeding programme with the additive component since it is fixable.

Nevertheless, the [ad] and [dd] types of interaction may not be of any advantage in developing inbred varieties of lentil as these terms are not fixable by selection. The complication created by these interactions may be averted by delaying selection to later

generations with increased homozygosity, where additive and additive×additive variances are prevailing. High values of narrow-sense heritability estimated for the number of pods per plant (NOP), seeds per plant (NOS) and seeds per pod (NOSP) indicate that selection for these three yield components is likely to be successful. Because the low narrow sense heritability was estimated for pod length and 100-seed weight, it is apparent that selection for these traits will be difficult and high environmental influence will be a problem.

For selection based on yield components to be effective in increasing yield, it is important that the components should be highly heritable and strongly and positively correlated with yield. The number of pods and seed yield per plant, which showed relatively high narrow-sense heritability estimates (60.5 and 41.9% respectively), were considered the best predictors of seed yield in cowpea (Ojo et al., 2009). In studies of Gangele and Rao (2005) on lentil genotypes and Arshad et al. (2002) on cowpea, genotypes reported low heritability for pod length and seed yield per plant, respectively. Also, Bicer and Sakar (2004), Singh and Singh (2004), and Khan et al. (2006), in lentil, Saleem et al. (2002) in chickpea, and Salgotra and Gupta (2005) in common bean observed high heritability for plant height, 100seed weight, number of seeds per pod, and seed yield per plant.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Aher, G. U., Madrap, I. A. and Wadikar, P. B. 2006. Generation Mean Analysis in Pigeonpea. *J Maharashtra Agric Univ.*, **31**(1): 124-126.
- Aich, A., Aich, S. S. and Shrivastava, M. P. 2007. Genetic Variability, Correlation and Coheritability Studies on Yield and Its Components in Lentil. J. Interacademicia, 11(3): 247-250.
- 3. Arshad, M., Bakhsh, A., Bashir, M. and Haqqani, A. M. 2002. Determining the Heritability and Relationship between Yield and Yield Components in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Pak. J. Bot.*, **34(3)**: 237-245.
- 4. Azizi, F., Rezai, A. M. and Saeidi, G. 2006. Generation Mean Analysis to Estimate Genetic Parameters for Different Traits in Two Crosses of Corn Inbred Line at Three Planting Densities. *J. Agr. Sci. Tech.*, **8(2):** 153-169.

- Bhardwaj, R., Sandhu, J. S. and Sharma, S. R. 2005. Gene Action Studies for Yield and Its Component in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). *Abstracts of Fourth International Food Legumes Research Conference*. October 18-22, New Delhi, India, PP.502.
- Bicer, B. T. and Sakar, D. 2004. Genetic Variability and Heritability for Grain Yield and Other Characters in Lentil. *J. Biol. Sci.*, 4(2): 216-218.
- 7. Dashti, H., Naghavi, M. R. and Tajabadipour A. 2010. Genetic Analysis of Salinity Tolerance on a Bread Wheat Cross. *J. Agr. Sci. Tech.*, **12:** 347-356.
- 8. Gangele, N. K. and Rao, S. K. 2005. Heritability and Genetic Advance for Yield and Its Components in Lentil. *JNKVV Res. J.*, **39(1):** 43-46.
- 9. Hooda, J. S., Tomar, Y. S., Vashistha, R. D. and Phogat, D. S. 2000. Generation Mean Analysis in Pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* Mill sp.). *Ann. Biol.* (*Ludhiana*), **16(1)**: 105-109.
- Kearsey, M. J. and Pooni, H. S. 1996. The Genetical Analysis of Quantitative Traits. 1st Edition, Chapman and Hall, London, PP. 381.
- 11. Khan, H., Ahmad, F., Ahmad, S. Q. and Nawaz, A. 2006. Variability and Correlation of Grain Yield with Other Quantitative Characters in Lentil. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, **22(2)**: 199-203.
- Khattak, G. S. S., Ashraf, M. and Khan, M. S. 2004. Assessment of Genetic Variation for Yield and Yield Component in Mung Bean [Vigna Radiate (L.) Wilczek] Using Generation Mean Analysis. Pak. J. Bot., 36(3): 583-588.
- 13. Mather, K. and Jinks, J. L. 1982. *Biometrical Genetics*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, Chapman and Hall, London, PP. 396.
- 14. Minitab. (2005). *Analysis of Variance*. Minitab 14 Help-to-Go Files. http://www.minitab.com/support/docs/rel14/14helpfiles /Statistics/Analysis of Variance. pdf. Retrieved November 19,2007.
- Mittal, V. P. and Bhardwaj, B. L. 2008. Estimation of Gene Effects for Seed Yield and Its Components in Cowpea. *J. Food Legumes*, 21(3): 156-157
- Ojo, D. K., Oduwaye, O. A., Idehen, E. O., Adekoya, M. A. and Akinsipe, K. T. 2009. Inheritance of Seed Yield and Yield-related Characters in Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp). J. Agric. Biotech. Ecol., 2(1): 18-23
- 17. Saleem, M., Shahzad, K., Javid, M. and Abdul-ur-Rauf, S. 2002. Heritability Estimates



- for Grain Yield and Quality Characters in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Inter. J. Agric. Biol.*, **4(2):** 275-276.
- Salgotra, R. K. and Gupta, B. B. 2005. Variability and Correlations among Different Quantitative Trait of Rajmash (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Abstracts of Fourth International Food Legumes Research Conference*. October 18-22, New Delhi, India, PP. 502.
- Sarker, A., Erskine, W. and Singh, M. 2003. Regression Models for Lentil Seed and Straw Yields in Near East. *Agric. Forest Meteo.*, 116: 61-72.
- 20. Singh, I., Gill, M. S. and Bains, T. S. 2006. Generation Mean Analysis for Yield Attributing Traits in Mung Bean [Vigna radiate (L.) Wilczek]. Indian J. Genet. Pl. Br., 66(1): 47-48.
- Singh, I. P. and Singh, J. D. 2004. Heritability and Genetic Advance in Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). *Nat. J. Plan. Imp.*, 6(1): 42-43.
- Singh, V. K., Tyagi, K., Tomer, A. K., Singh, M. N. and Nandan, R. 2007. Gene Action for Yield and Yield Attributing Traits in Mungbean {Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek}. Legume Research, 30(1): 29-32.

# تجزیه میانگین نسل ها برای عملکرد و صفات وابسته به آن در عدس

# م. خدامباشي، ن. بيطرف، س. هوشمند

# چكىدە