Residual Toxicity of Iranian Diatomaceous Earth against Rhyzopertha dominica and Tribolium confusum on Concrete, Galvanized Steel, and Mosaic Surfaces

N. Delgarm¹, and M. Ziaee^{1*}

ABSTRACT

Diatomaceous Earths (DEs) have a long history for on-farm and commercial grain storage, hygiene, and structural treatment. Structural treatments by DEs have shown to be useful for eradication of residual insect infestations in storage facilities. In this study, the residual toxicity of different DE formulations was examined against adults of Rhyzopertha dominica (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae) and Tribolium confusum Jacquelin du Val (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) on different surfaces including concrete, galvanized steel, and mosaic. The surfaces were treated with 0.2 mg cm⁻² concentration of DE formulations that included SilicoSec[®], Protect-It, and an Iranian DE formulated from a Mamaghan Mine, Iran, supplemented with an amorphous silica gel product to enhance efficacy. The residual toxicity of DEs was assessed at 7, 15, 30, 45, and 60 days posttreatment. The mortality was determined after 1, 3, 5, and 7 days of insects' exposure to each surface. According to the results, the most effective product proved to be SilicoSec[®] when compared to Protect-It and the Iranian Mamaghan DE. The toxicity and persistence of DE formulations were higher on the galvanized steel compared to that achieved on the concrete and mosaic surfaces. Nevertheless, the results demonstrated that an Iranian DE containing 10% locally available amorphous silica is capable of controlling R. dominica and T. confusum in warehouses and other storage facilities. However, additional studies are needed to confirm these findings.

Keywords: Grain storage facilities, Mamaghan Mine, Surface treatment, Warehouse hygiene

INTRODUCTION

Stored-product insects' damage to cereal grains in storage severely reduces the quantity, quality, and consumption value of grains (Hill, 2002). In addition, the insects' feces. exuviae. and body parts also contaminate food and reduce the products marketability (Lord, 2004). Two of the most important insect species commonly found within stored cereal grains worldwide are dominica (F.) (Coleoptera: Rhyzopertha Bostrychidae) and Tribolium confusum, Jacquelin Val. du (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Rhyzopertha dominica is a significant insect pest with both adult and larvae being voracious feeders. This pest attacks cereals like wheat, corn, and rice. Their infestations diminish stored grain quality and quantity (Mahroof and Hagstrum, 2012a). *Tribolium confusum* is also a worldwide insect species that is a secondary pest of stored food and feed grains. Both larvae and adults are destructive and infest broken damaged grains and milled products (Mahroof and Hagstrum, 2012b).

Hygiene in grain silos and other bulk storage facilities is an essential and first stage in insect pest control for storage facilities. Hygiene involves physical cleaning followed

¹ Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Islamic Republic of Iran.

^{*}Corresponding author; e-mail: m.ziaee@scu.ac.ir

up by the application of insecticidal sprays or dusts to storage structures and surfaces. Due to the side effects of synthetic insecticides, the use of safe and natural compounds seems to be of high importance (Jessica et al., 2019). Diatomaceous earths is an appropriate treatment that maintain their efficacy for significantly longer terms than chemical insecticides for this application (Opit et al., 2012). Diatomaceous earth is an inert dust; it contains fossilized diatoms, single-celled algae. Essentially, it is amorphous silicon dioxide with an internal structure that is very effective in the absorption of insects' protective cuticle wax layer, leading to insects' death through desiccation and, to much lesser extent, by abrasion (Korunic, 2013). Several DE formulations have been registered for surface treatments and the effectiveness of DEs has been documented against different stored products insect pests (Shah and Khan, 2014). The effectiveness of formulations enhanced DE was by combination with different additives including silica aerogel in Protect-it (Korunic and Fields, 1998), Fossilshield® (Mewis and Probe-A® Ulrichs, 2001), and DE formulations (Badii et al., 2014), and food grade in Insecto[®] (Subramanyam et al., 1994). Plant extracts have also been employed in DEBBM (Athanassiou and Korunic, 2007), and F_1H and F_2H DE formulations (Liška et al., 2018), and chemical insecticides including abamectin in DEA (Athanassiou and Korunic, 2007), and deltamethrin in Mamaghan DE formulation (Delgarm et al., 2020).

Several studies have demonstrated the insecticidal potential of local DEs from different geographic locations including Africa (Machekano *et al.*, 2017; Mvumi *et al.*, 2006), South-Eastern Europe (Vayias *et al.*, 2009), Serbia (Andrić *et al.*, 2012; Kljajić *et al.*, 2009), Serbia (Andrić *et al.*, 2012; Kljajić *et al.*, 2010), Greece and Romania (Athanassiou *et al.*, 2016), Croatia (Liška *et al.*, 2018), Argentina (Dal Bello *et al.*, 2018), Egypt (Abd El-Aziz and Abd El-Ghany, 2018), and Turkey (Akçali *et al.*, 2018; Gultekin *et al.*, 2018; Mortazavi and Ferizil, 2018) for controlling stored-product insects.

Iran has large deposits of diatomaceous earth that can be exploited for protection of bulkgrain storage, and structural treatment of empty storages, silos, and handling grain storage systems (Delgarm *et al.*, 2020; Ziaee *et al.*, 2018; Ziaee and Moharramipour, 2012).

Previous studies on natural DE deposits from Iran have indicated that local DE from Mamaghan Mine was very effective against stored grain beetles in different grain commodities (Delgarm et al., 2020; Ziaee et al., 2016; Ziaee et al., 2018; Ziaee and Moharramipour, 2012; Ziaee et al., 2013). However, no study has examined the efficacy of local DEs from Iran as surface treatment. Treating grain silos and other stored-grain facilities with a DE before filling with grains could control and prevent the damage of stored products insect-pests (Shah and Khan, 2014). Thus, we aimed to better understand the activity of a DE formulation based on Mamaghan deposit DE as structural treatment, the residual activity of Mamaghan DE deposit supplemented with amorphous silica gel and compare it with two established commercial DE formulations, namely, SilicoSec[®] and Protect-It, to control R. dominica and T. confusum.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insects

Laboratory population of *R. dominica* and *T. confusum* were taken from a culture in Entomology Laboratory of Shahid Chamran University, Ahvaz, Khuzestan, Iran, for 3 years with no history of exposure to insecticides. *Rhyzopertha dominica* was reared on whole wheat (Chamran variety) and *T. confusum* was reared on wheat flour plus 5% brewer's yeast (by weight). The insects' culture was kept in incubator at 27°C and 60% RH and held in continuous darkness. Adults with 7-14 day-old and of mixed sexes were used in the experiments.

Diatomaceous Earth Formulations

Three diatomaceous earths were used in the experiments. SilicoSec[®], a freshwater DE formulation was obtained from Biofa GmbH, Munsingen, Germany. SilicoSec[®] contains 92% SiO₂, 3% Al₂O₃, 1% Fe₂O₃ and 1% Na₂O (Ziaee and Khashaveh, 2007).

Protect-ItTM, a freshwater DE formulation was obtained from Hedley Technologies Inc., Canada. Protect-ItTM is composed of 83.7% DE, 10% silica aerogel, 5.6% Al₂O₃, 2.3% Fe₂O₃, 0.9% CaO, 0.3% MgO, and 1.9% other oxides (e.g. TiO₃, P₂O₃), with 3– 5% moisture content (m.c.) (Korunic and Fields, 1998).

Mamaghan DE, a marine DE, was collected from Mamaghan Diatomite Mine, Iran. It contains 89.9% Mamaghan, amorphous silicon dioxide, 1.1% Al₂O₃, 0.85% Fe₂O₃, 0.4% CaO, 0.4% Na₂O, 0.3% MgO, and 6.5% m.c. (Ziaee and Moharramipour, 2012). The proportion of 10% silica aerogel was added to Mamaghan DE and this DE was used in all the experiments.

Surfaces

The concrete, galvanized steel, and mosaic surfaces were used for the experiments. One day before the experiments, the bottoms of the plastic trays (30 cm length and width) were covered with the concrete (Cemex Holdings Philippines, Inc., Makati, Philippines). Liquid slurry was prepared by adding water to concrete, and poured into each plastic tray to a thickness of 10 mm. The trays were kept dry for one day. Galvanized steel and mosaic surfaces were also provided with 30 cm length and 30 cm width.

Bioassay

DE formulations were added by a camel hairbrush (No. 2) at 0.2 mg cm⁻² on concrete, galvanized steel, and mosaic surfaces. For

any type of surface, three surfaces each with three glass rings as sub-replications were used for the experiments. For each posttreatment time, separate surfaces were used in the experiments. The internal walls of rings were covered with paraffin (Parschemical Co., Iran) to prevent insects escape. Before releasing insects in each ring, 2 g of wheat kernels (Chamran variety) was placed inside each glass ring as food source. Ten adults of R. dominica or T. confusum were released in each glass rings as a replicate. Untreated surfaces were considered as control. Residual toxicity were assessed at 7, 15, 30, 45, and 60 days posttreatment at 27°C, 60% RH, and continuous darkness. For each post-treatment bioassay, separate experiments were carried out. The mortality was counted after 1, 3, 5, and 7 days of exposure on the treated surfaces. Mortality was determined as described by Machekano et al. (2017); when none of appendages moved after being adults' pricked three times with a needle, the insects were consider dead.

Statistical Analysis

No mortality was recorded in the control surfaces, so, no correction was performed. The normality test was made using Shapiro-Wilk test. Since the same glass rings were checked for mortality at exposure days, the mortality data were analyzed using a repeated measures ANOVA with exposure time as the repeated measures variable, and DE formulation, post-treatment time, as the main effects. The response variable was insect mortality. Means were compared using Tukey-Kramer's test (HSD) at P= 0.05 significance level (IBMCorp., 2007).

RESULTS

MANOVA parameters indicated that all main effects and their associated interactions were significant for mortality levels of *R*. *dominica* and *T*. *confusum* on concrete,

galvanized steel, and mosaic surfaces (Table 1).

The mortality of R. dominica exposed on concrete treated with 0.2 mg cm⁻² of different DE formulations is presented in Table 2. In 7-day post-treatment time, the mortality was 71.1%, 62.2%, and 47.7% when adults were exposed for 1 day on concrete treated with SilicoSec[®], Protect-It[®], and Mamaghan, respectively, and the mortality exceeded to ~ 100% after 3 days exposure time. While the percentage of mortality decreased with increasing post-treatment time, at the 60-day post-treatment period, the adult mortality decreased to 7.7, 6.6, and 3.3%, 1 day after exposure to the concrete surfaces treated SilicoSec®, Protect-It[®]. with and Mamaghan, respectively (Table 2).

Results obtained for *R. dominica* exposed on galvanized steel treated with 0.2 mg cm⁻² of different DE formulations are presented in Table 3. At 7-day post-treatment time, the highest adult mortality of 83.3% was found for SilicoSec[®] after 1 day exposure to steel while complete mortality (100%) was observed after 3 days of exposure. The lowest mortality (31.1%) of *R. dominica* was recorded at 60-day post-treatment time, 1 day after adult's exposure to the galvanized steel treated with 0.2 mg cm⁻² of Mamaghan DE (Table 3).

In the case of R. dominica exposed on mosaic surface, all main effects and associated interactions for mortality levels were significant. The adult mortality 1 day after exposure to mosaic treated with SilicoSec[®], Protect-It[®], and Mamaghan was 77.7, 70.0 and 58.8% at 7-day posttreatment time, respectively. At 60-day posttreatment time, the lowest R. dominica mortality (24.4%) was recorded when adults were exposed for 1 day on mosaic treated with Mamaghan DE. However, the efficacy of Mamaghan DE on R. dominica was significantly increased with the period of exposure, and 85.5% mortality was found 7 days after exposure (Table 4).

Results obtained for *T. confusum* exposed on concrete treated with 0.2 mg cm⁻² of DEs are presented in Table 5. At 7-day posttreatment time, SilicoSec[®] (53.3%) gave higher mortality levels in comparison with Protect-It[®] (22.2%), and Mamaghan DE (20%) after 3 days of exposure, while the

Table 1. Repeated measured parameters of MANOVA for the main effects and associated interactions of mortality percentage of *Rhyzopertha dominica* and *Tribolium confusum* (Between exposures error df= 32, Within exposures error df= 256).

Source of		Rhyzopertha dominica			Tribolium confusum		
		Concrete	Steel	Mosaic	Concrete	Steel	Mosaic
variances -	df	F, P	F, P	F, P	F, P	F, P	F, P
Post-treatment	4	1072.5, <	500.5, < 0.001	571.4, < 0.001	514.3, <	297.1, <	396.9, <
time		0.001			0.001	0.001	0.001
Formulation	2	229.6, <	273.8, < 0.001	128.2, < 0.001	95.7, < 0.001	219.0, <	63.1, < 0.001
		0.001				0.001	
Exposure time	3	2604.9, <	1535.5, <	2930.5, <	6379.6, <	4411.4, <	7438.9, <
		0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Post-treatment	8	11.2, <	8.5, < 0.001	7.7, < 0.001	2.4, < 0.001	12.6, < .001	4.3, < 0.001
time×		0.001					
Formulation							
Post-treatment	12	94.2, , <	80.1, < 0.001	90.2, < 0.001	82.9, < 0.001	41.4, < 0.001	73.7, < 0.001
time×		0.001					
Exposure time							
Formulation×	6	9.1, < 0.001	33.1, < 0.001	31.6, < 0.001	12.4, < 0.001	26.9, < 0.001	7.6, < 0.001
Exposure time							
Post-treatment	24	7.2, < 0.001	4.2, < 0.001	7.3, < 0.001	3.9, < 0.001	6.3, < 0.001	4.3, < 0.001
time×							
Formulation×							
Exposure time							

Exposure time (day)		Post-treatment time (Day)			
Formulation	7	15	30	45	60
1 Day of exposure					
SilicoSec®	71.1±2.6aA	51.1±2.0aB	34.4±1.7aC	21.1±2.6D	7.7±3.2E
Protect-It [®]	62.2±2.7aA	45.5±1.7aB	28.8±2.0bC	18.8±2.0D	6.6±2.8E
Mamaghan	47.7±2.7bA	35.5±1.7bB	23.3±1.6cB	15.5±1.7C	3.3±1.6D
3 Days of exposure					
SilicoSec®	100.0±0.0A	88.8±2.6aB	65.5±1.7aC	57.7±2.7aC	45.5±2.4aD
Protect-It [®]	100.0±0.0A	81.1±2.6abB	48.8±2.0bC	38.8±2.0bD	26.6±1.6bE
Mamaghan	97.7±1.4A	74.4±2.9bB	42.2±2.2bC	30.0±2.3cD	24.4±1.7bD
5 Days of exposure					
SilicoSec®	100.0±0.0 aA	100.0±0.0 aA	97.7±1.4aA	87.7±2.7aB	77.7±2.2aC
Protect-It [®]	100.0±0.0 aA	100.0±0.0 aA	93.3±2.3abB	68.8±2.0bC	56.6±1.6bD
Mamaghan	100.0±0.0 aA	100.0±0.0 aA	86.6±2.3 bB	61.1±3.5bC	51.1±2.6bD
7 Days of exposure					
SilicoSec®	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0
Protect-It [®]	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0 ± 0.0
Mamaghan	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0 ± 0.0

Table 2. Mean mortality percentage (\pm SE) of *Rhyzopertha dominica* exposed on concrete treated with 0.2 mg cm⁻² of different DE formulations.^{*a*}

^{*a*} (A-E and **a-c**) For each exposure time separately, means within each columns followed by the same lower case letter and upper case letter in each row are not significantly different using Tukey-Kramer (HSD) test at P> 0.05. Where no letters exist, no significant differences were noted.

Table 3. Mean mortality percentage (\pm SE) of *Rhyzopertha dominica* exposed on galvanized steel treated with 0.2 mg cm⁻² of different DE formulations.

Exposure time (Day)		Post-treatm	nent time (Day)			
Formulation	7	15	30	45	60	
1 Day of exposure						
SilicoSec [®]	83.3±1.6aA	74.4±1.7aB	65.5±1.7aC	54.4±1.7aD	45.5±1.7aE	
Protect-It [®]	75.5±1.7bA	67.7±2.2aA	55.5±1.7bB	46.6±2.3bC	38.8±2.0aC	
Mamaghan	64.4±1.7cA	55.5±1.7bB	44.4±1.7cC	37.7±1.4cCD	31.1±2.0bD	
3 Days of exposure						
SilicoSec®	100.0±0.0A	96.6±1.6AB	88.8±2.6aB	70.0±2.8aC	61.1±2.6aC	
Protect-It [®]	100.0±0.0A	92.2±2.7A	71.1±2.6bB	60.0±2.8bC	52.2±2.2bC	
Mamaghan	100.0±0.0A	87.7±4.0B	62.2±2.7bC	46.6±2.3cD	35.5±1.7cE	
5 Days of exposure						
SilicoSec®	100.0±0.0A	100.0±0.0A	100.0±0.0A	95.5±1.7aAB	91.1±2.6aB	
Protect-It [®]	100.0±0.0A	100.0±0.0A	97.7±1.4A	87.7±3.6abB	80.0±2.3bB	
Mamaghan	100.0±0.0A	100.0±0.0A	97.7±1.4A	78.8±2.6bB	66.6±3.3cC	
7 Days of exposure						
SilicoSec [®]	100.0±0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0 a	
Protect-It [®]	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0±0.0a	
Mamaghan	100.0±0.0A	100.0±0.0A	100.0±0.0A	98.8±1.1AB	94.4±2.4bB	

(A-E and a-c) For each exposure time separately, means within each columns followed by the same lower-case letter and upper-case letter in each row are not significantly different using Tukey-Kramer (HSD) test at P> 0.05.

Exposure time (Day)		Post-treatr	ment time (Day)		
Formulation	7	15	30	45	60
1 Day of exposure					
SilicoSec®	77.7±2.2aA	63.3±1.6aB	54.4±1.7aC	44.4±1.7aD	34.4±1.7aE
Protect-It [®]	70.0±2.3aA	62.2±2.2aA	50.0±3.3abB	36.6±1.6bC	25.5±1.7bD
Mamaghan	58.8±2.6bA	53.3±1.6bA	44.4±1.7 bB	35.5±1.7bC	24.4±1.7bD
3 Days of exposure					
SilicoSec®	100.0±0.0A	94.4±2.4AB	86.6±2.3aB	71.1±3.0aC	52.2±2.2aD
Protect-It [®]	100.0±0.0A	91.1±3.0B	76.6±1.6bC	52.2±2.2bD	43.3±1.6bE
Mamaghan	100.0±0.0A	87.7±2.7B	58.8±2.6cC	40.0±2.8cD	28.8±2.6cE
5 Days of exposure					
SilicoSec®	100.0±0.0A	100.0±0.0aA	100.0±0.0aA	97.7±1.4 aA	85.5±1.7aB
Protect-It [®]	100.0±0.0A	100.0±0.0aA	100.0±0.0aA	82.2±2.2bB	72.2±1.4bC
Mamaghan	100.0±0.0A	100.0±0.0aA	94.4±2.4bA	67.7±3.2cB	58.8±3.5cB
7 Days of exposure					
SilicoSec®	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0a	100.0±0.0a
Protect-It [®]	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0±0.0	100.0±0.0a	97.7±1.4a
Mamaghan	100.0±0.0A	100.0±0.0A	100.0±0.0A	94.4±2.4bA	85.5±2.9 bB
Mamaghan 5 Days of exposure SilicoSec [®] Protect-It [®] Mamaghan 7 Days of exposure SilicoSec [®] Protect-It [®] Mamaghan	100.0±0.0A 100.0±0.0A 100.0±0.0A 100.0±0.0A 100.0±0.0 100.0±0.0 100.0±0.0	87.7±2.7B 100.0±0.0aA 100.0±0.0aA 100.0±0.0aA 100.0±0.0 100.0±0.0 100.0±0.0 100.0±0.0A	58.8±2.6cC 100.0±0.0aA 100.0±0.0aA 94.4±2.4bA 100.0±0.0 100.0±0.0 100.0±0.0A	40.0±2.8cD 97.7±1.4 aA 82.2±2.2bB 67.7±3.2cB 100.0±0.0a 100.0±0.0a 94.4±2.4bA	28.8±2.6cE 85.5±1.7aB 72.2±1.4bC 58.8±3.5cB 100.0±0.0a 97.7±1.4a 85.5±2.9 bB

Table 4. Mean mortality percentage (\pm SE) of *Rhyzopertha dominica* exposed on mosaic treated with 0.2 mg cm⁻² of different DE formulations.

^{*a*}(A-E and a-c) For each exposure time separately, means within each columns followed by the same lower case letter and upper case letter in each row are not significantly different using Tukey-Kramer (HSD) test at P> 0.05.

mortality reached 100% of all three DE formulations after 7 days of exposure. However, the efficacy declined with post-treatment time, and at 60-day post-treatment, there were no *T. confusum* mortality after 1 day of exposure, and mortality did not

exceed 73.3% for SilicoSec[®], 62.2, and 58.9% for Protect-It[®], and Mamaghan, respectively (Table 5).

The lowest mortality was found 1 day after *T. confusum* exposure to galvanized steel treated with DE formulations, which did not

Table 5. Mean mortality percentage (\pm SE) of *Tribolium confusum* exposed on concrete treated with 0.2 mg cm⁻² of different DE formulations.^{*a*}

		Doct troots	cont time (Day)		
Exposure time (Day)		Post-treatin	hent time (Day)		
Formulation	7	15	30	45	60
1 Day of exposure					
SilicoSec [®]	3.3±1.7	2.2±1.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
Protect-It [®]	2.2 ± 1.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
Mamaghan	2.2 ± 1.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
3 Days of exposure					
SilicoSec®	53.3±2.4aA	26.7±2.4aB	18.9±2.6aCD	12.2±2.2D	11.1±2.6D
Protect-It [®]	22.2±2.2bA	14.4±1.7bAB	12.2±2.2abB	8.9±2.6B	6.7±2.4B
Mamaghan	20.0±2.3bA	14.4±1.7bAB	10.0±2.4bBC	7.8±2.2 BC	5.5±1.7C
5 Days of exposure					
SilicoSec®	95.5±1.7aA	73.3±2.4aB	65.6±2.9aB	45.5±1.7C	43.3±1.7aC
Protect-It [®]	84.4±2.9bA	62.2±1.5bB	58.9±2.6abB	40.0±2.9C	35.6±1.7bC
Mamaghan	81.1±2.6bA	58.9±2.6bB	53.3±1.7bB	37.8±2.2C	32.2±2.2bC
7 Days of exposure					
SilicoSec®	100.0±0.0A	100.0±0.0A	97.7±1.5A	74.4±1.7aB	73.3±1.7aB
Protect-It [®]	100.0±0.0A	97.7±1.5A	94.4±2.4 A	67.8±4.0abB	62.2±2.8bB
Mamaghan	100.0±0.0A	95.5±1.7A	93.3±2.4A	64.4±1.7bB	58.9±2.6bB

^{*a*} (A-D and a-b) For each exposure time separately, means within each columns followed by the same lower case letter and upper case letter in each row are not significantly different using Tukey-Kramer (HSD) test at P > 0.05.

exceed 6% in all three DE formulations. After 7 days of exposure, all three tested DEs caused significantly higher mortality of *T*. *confusum.* For galvanized steel treated with SilicoSec[®], complete mortality was recorded during the 45-d post-treatment period. However, the efficacy of all tested DE formulations declined slightly with posttreatment time, such that at 60-day posttreatment time 97.8, 72.2, and 68.9% mortality was observed after 7 days of exposure to SilicoSec[®], Protect-It[®], and Mamaghan DE, respectively (Table 6).

SilicoSec[®] applied on mosaic surfaces was more effective against *T. confusum* adults. Similar to that recorded for concrete and galvanized steel, the residual toxicity of all three tested DEs on mosaic surfaces declined during the post-treatment period. At 60-day post-treatment time, the insecticidal efficacy of SilicoSec[®], Protect-It[®], and Mamaghan DE was reduced by 83.3, 65.5, and 63.3% after 7 days of exposure, respectively (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

As in previous experiments using four

Iranian DE deposits to control three stored product insects, Mamaghan DE proved to be the most effective Iranian DE against insect species including Sitophilus oryzae (L.) Curculionidae), Tribolium (Coleoptera: castaneum (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae), and T. confusum (Ziaee et al., 2018). Also, in agreement with previous studies of commercial DE formulations, SilicoSec[®] (Collins and Cook, 2006; Delgarm et al., 2020; Mortazavi and Ferizil, 2018; Schöller and Reichmuth, 2010) and Protect-It (Kavallieratos et al., 2012; Perišić et al., 2018; Timlick and Fields, 2010) are recognized as being two of the most effective DE formulations. The results of this study confirm SilicoSec[®] as being the most efficacious DE for the control of insect species on all three treated test surfaces. According to Athanassiou et al. (2011), SilicoSec[®] applied as a surface treatment on glass Petri dishes at application rates of 5, 10 and 20 g m^{-2} was more effective than DEs originating from central and southeastern Europe against S. oryzae, R. dominica and T. confusum. Bohinc et al. (2018) reported that the highest mortality levels of Sitophilus Motschulsky (Coleoptera: zeamais

Table 6. Mean mortality percentage (\pm SE) of *Tribolium confusum* exposed on galvanized steel treated with 0.2 mg cm⁻² of different DE formulations.

Exposure time (Day)		Pos	t-treatment time (D	ay)	
Formulation	7	15	30	45	60
1 Day of exposure					
SilicoSec®	5.5±1.7A	3.3±1.7AB	2.2±1.5AB	$0.0\pm 0.0B$	$0.0\pm0.0B$
Protect-It [®]	4.4±1.5A	1.1±1.1AB	$0.0\pm 0.0B$	$0.0\pm 0.0B$	$0.0\pm0.0B$
Mamaghan	3.3±1.7A	$0.0\pm 0.0B$	$0.0\pm 0.0B$	$0.0\pm 0.0B$	$0.0\pm0.0B$
3 Days of exposure					
SilicoSec®	53.3±2.3aA	45.5±2.4aAB	40.0±2.3aBC	32.2±2.2aCD	27.7±2.2aD
Protect-It [®]	48.9±2.6aA	28.9±3.0bB	21.1±2.0bBC	17.8±2.2bC	13.3±2.3bC
Mamaghan	32.2±2.2bA	21.1±2.6bB	16.7±3.3bBC	11.1±2.6bBC	$8.9 \pm 2.6 bC$
5 Days of exposure					
SilicoSec®	100.0±0.0aA	93.3±2.9aAB	90.0±2.3aB	76.6±1.7aC	68.9±2.6aC
Protect-It [®]	97.7±1.5abA	77.7±3.2bB	65.5±3.4bC	47.8±2.2bD	42.2±2.2bD
Mamaghan	93.3±2.4bA	71.1±2.6bB	62.2±3.6bB	45.5±2.9bC	37.7±2.2bC
7 Days of exposure					
SilicoSec®	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0 ± 0.0	100.0±0.0a	100.0±0.0a	97.8±1.5a
Protect-It [®]	100.0±0.0A	100.0±0.0A	97.7±1.5A	76.6±2.3bB	72.2±2.2bB
Mamaghan	100.0±0.0A	97.7±1.5A	95.5±1.7A	75.5±2.9bB	68.9±2.6bB

^{*a*}(A-D and a-b) For each exposure time separately, means within each columns followed by the same lower case letter and upper case letter in each row are not significantly different using Tukey-Kramer (HSD) test at P> 0.05. Where no letters exist, no significant differences were noted.



Table 7. Mean mortality percentage (\pm SE) of *Tribolium confusum* exposed on mosaic treated with 0.2 mg cm⁻² of different DE formulations. ^{*a*}

^{*a*}(A-D and a-b) For each exposure time separately, means within each columns followed by the same lower case letter and upper case letter in each row are not significantly different using Tukey-Kramer (HSD) test at P> 0.05.

Curculionidae) were noted in plastic Petri dishes treated with SilicoSec[®] compared to those achieved with three different wood ashes, and this could be in accordance to SiO₂ content of SilicoSec[®]. Athanassiou *et al.* (2018) reported that adults and larvae of *T. confusum* showed favorable preference to Insecto and SilicoSec[®] DE-treated surfaces. Therefore, the insects' attracted to the SilicoSec[®] treated surface increases the insects' exposure time, resulting in more DE particles adhesion to their cuticle and higher mortality.

The susceptibility levels of the insect species varied depending upon the DE formulations to which they were exposed. This should be taken into account when selecting an appropriate DE formulation that is effective against the dominant insect species occurring in the grain storage facilities. This study demonstrated that *T. confusum* was less susceptible to DE- treated surfaces than *R. dominica*. Fields and Korunic (2000) stated that *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Stephens) (Coleoptera: Laemophloeidae) was the most susceptible

stored-product beetle various DE to including formulations Protect-It, Dryacide[®]. and Insecto[®]. Subsequently, Oryzaephilus surinamensis (L.) (Coleoptera: Silvanidae) and S. oryzae were more resistant to C. ferrugineus. Although, R. dominica and Tribolium spp. showed the highest tolerance to the DE formulations, the resistance of R. dominica to DEs could be due to the behavior of this insect that feeds and acts within the seed. In the case of T. castaneum, the tolerance of the species could be due to the cuticle properties of the insect, which has less DE particles adhesion to its cuticle. Our results were consistent with Toews et al. (2003), where the tolerance of T. castaneum to spinosad on concrete was greater among eight storedproduct beetles. Collins and Cook (2006) evaluated the insecticidal efficacy of DE SilicoSec® and DiasecticideTM on the reported that O. wooden surfaces and surinamensis was very susceptible, Sitophilus granarius (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) was moderately susceptible,

while *T. castaneum* was the least susceptible.

Apart from insect species, the type, characterization and composition of surface influence the effectiveness of the insecticides applied against stored product beetles (Arthur et al., 2018). In our study, the mortality of both tested species observed on galvanized steel and concrete was higher than the mosaic. It has been noted that residual toxicity of DE formulations and synthetic insecticides is affected by physical properties of the surfaces (Arthur et al., 2018; Gowers and le Patourel, 1984; Schöller and Reichmuth, 2010; Vassilakos et al., 2014). Gowers and le Patourel (1984) noted that the insects pick-up more dust particles in flat surfaces. However, insects' behavior can also be useful in preventing them from contacting DE particles on some surfaces. It appears that on wooden surfaces, insects are more easily able to stand as soon as they fall onto the surface, which reduces the number of adhering particles to the body (Collins and Cook, 2006). Arthur (2008) found that nonporous surfaces like metal, tile and glass petri dishes are more persistent to chlorfenapyr compared with porous surfaces such as concrete against adult T. castaneum and T. confusum. Vassilakos et (2014) reported that activity of al. spinetoram against T. confusum adults was higher on concrete and galvanized steel than the other tested surfaces, namely, ceramic tile and plywood; indicating that activity depends on the physical properties of the surfaces. The higher insect mortality on concrete can be due to the insect's inability to recover on this surface (Toews et al., 2003). In addition, most synthetic insecticides degrade over storage time and lose their insecticidal activity when applied to different surfaces such as concrete (Wijayaratne et al., 2012). However, DEs are physically stable with long-lasting effect for controlling stored products insects (Korunic, 2013). The high stability of DE formulations protects different surfaces for longer terms and reduces the need for retreatment, making them more cost-effective than the more susceptible chemical treatments (Collins and Cook, 2006).

It was apparent that the effectiveness of DE formulations declined at a 60-d posttreatment period, and it was more evident in the case of concrete surface. Similar results were observed when methoprene was applied on the concrete surface against larvae of T. castaneum. This may be a result of the high porosity of the concrete that adsorbs the insecticidal agent or, perhaps, a chemical reaction between the concrete and the chemical reagent that results in the decline of residual toxicity of the insecticide with time (Wijayaratne et al., 2012). The interactions of the insecticide particles with concrete surface lead to more absorbance of the residues form the surface (Arthur, 2008). Our findings are in accordance with their results and the decrease in DE effectiveness on concrete over time can be related to the characteristics and composition of the materials used in concrete surface. The results of this study show that Mamaghan DE formulation at 0.2 mg cm^{-2} gave satisfactory control on concrete and steel surfaces that are commonly used in grain storage silos and warehouses. Further studies under farm scale conditions is required to confirm the results.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors appreciate Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz for supporting this project with Gran No. 26247.

REFERENCES

- Akçali, S., Isikber, A.A., Saglam, Ö., Tunaz, H. and Kubilay Er, M. 2018. Laboratory Evaluation of Turkish Diatomaceous Earths as Potential Stored Grain Protectants. In: *Proceedings of the 12th International Working Conference on Stored-Product Protection*, Berlin, Germany, PP. 739-743.
- Abd El-Aziz, S. E. and Abd El-Ghany, N. M. 2018. Impact of Diatomaceous Earth Modifications for Controlling the Granary

Weevil, *Sitophilus granarius* (Linnaeus) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *J. Agr. Sci. Tech.*, **20(3):** 519-531.

- Andrić, G. G., Marković, M. M., Adamović, M., Daković, A., Golić, M. P. and Kljajić, P. J. 2012. Insecticidal Potential of Natural Zeolite and Diatomaceous Earth Formulations against Rice Weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and Red Flour Beetle (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). J. Econ. Entomol., 105(2): 670-678.
- Arthur, F. H. 2008. Efficacy of Chlorfenapyr against *Tribolium castaneum* and *Tribolium confusum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) Adults Exposed on Concrete, Vinyl Tile, and Plywood Surfaces. *J. Stored Prod. Res.*, 44(2): 145-151.
- Arthur, F. H., Ghimire, M. N., Myers, S. W. and Phillips, T. W. 2018. Evaluation of Pyrethroid Insecticides and Insect Growth Regulators Applied to Different Surfaces for Control of *Trogoderma granarium* (Coleoptera: Dermestidae) the Khapra Beetle. J. Econ. Entomol., 111(2): 612-619.
- Athanassiou, C. G., Kavallieratos, N. G., Chiriloaie, A., Vassilakos, T. N., Fatu, V., Drosu, S., Ciobanu, M. and Dudoiu, R. 2016. Insecticidal Efficacy of Natural Diatomaceous Earth Deposits from Greece and Romania against Four Stored Grain Beetles: the Effect of Temperature and Relative Humidity. *Bull. Insectol.*, 69(1): 25-34.
- Athanassiou, C. G., Kavallieratos, N. G., Rumbos, C. I., Stavropoulos, D. J., Boukouvala, M. C. and Nika, E. P. 2018. Laboratory Studies on the Behavioral Responses of *Tribolium confusum* and *Ephestia kuehniella* to Surfaces Treated with Diatomaceous Earth and Spinosad Formulations. J. Pest Sci., 91(1): 299-311.
- Athanassiou, C. G., Kavallieratos, N. G., Vayias, B. J., Tomanović, Ž., Petrović, A., Rozman, V., Adler, C., Korunic, Z. and Milovanović, D. 2011. Laboratory Evaluation of Diatomaceous Earth Deposits Mined from Several Locations in Central and Southeastern Europe as Potential Protectants against Coleopteran Grain Pests. *Crop Prot.*, **30**(3): 329-339.
- 9. Athanassiou, C. G. and Korunic, Z. 2007. Evaluation of Two New Diatomaceous Earth Formulations, Enhanced with Abamectin and Bitterbarkomycin, against Four Stored-

Grain Beetle Species. J. Stored Prod. Res., **43(4):** 468-473.

- Badii, B. K., Adarkwah, C., Obeng-Ofori, D. and Ulrichs, C. 2014. Efficacy of Diatomaceous Earth Formulations against *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) in Kersting's Groundnut (*Macrotyloma geocarpum* Harms): Influence of Dosage Rate and Relative Humidity. J. Pest Sci., 87(2): 285-294.
- Bohinc, T., Horvat, A., Andrić, G., Pražić Golić, M., Kljajić, P. and Trdan, S. 2018. Comparison of Three Dfferent Wood Ashes and Diatomaceous Earth in Controlling the Maize Weevil under Laboratory Conditions. *J. Stored Prod. Res.*, **79:** 1-8.
- Collins, D. A. and Cook, D. A. 2006. Laboratory Evaluation of Diatomaceous Earths, When Applied as Dry Dust and Slurries to Wooden Surfaces, against Stored-Product Insect and Mite Pests. J. Stored Prod. Res., 42(2): 197-206.
- Dal Bello, G. M. Fusé, C. B. Pedrini, N. and Padín, S. B. 2018. Insecticidal Efficacy of *Beauveria bassiana*, Diatomaceous Earth and Fenitrothion against *Rhyzopertha dominica* and *Tribolium castaneum* on Stored Wheat. *Int. J. Pest Manag.*, 64(3): 279-286.
- 14. Delgarm, N., Ziaee, M. and McLaughlin, A. 2020. Enhanced-Efficacy Iranian Diatomaceous Earth for Controlling Two Stored-Product Insect Pests. J. Econ. Entomol., **113**(1): 504–510.
- 15. Fields, P. and Korunic, Z. 2000. The Effect of Grain Moisture Content and Temperature on the Efficacy of Diatomaceous Earths from Different Geographical Locations against Stored-Product Beetles. *J. Stored Prod. Res.*, **36(1):** 1-13.
- Gowers, S. L. and le Patourel, G. N. J. 1984. Toxicity of Deposits of an Amorphous Silica Dust on Different Surfaces and Their Pickup by *Sitophilus granarius* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *J. Stored Prod. Res.*, 20(1): 25-29.
- 17. Gultekin, M. A. Saglam, O. and Isikber, A. 2018. Efficacy of Seven Turkish A. Diatomaceous Earths against Callosobruchus maculatus (F.) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae: Bruchninae) on Stored Chickpea. In: Proceedings of the 12th International Working Conference on Stored-Product Protection, Berlin, Germany, PP. 746-750.

- Hill, D. S. 2002. Types of Damage, Pests of Stored Foodstuffs and Their Control. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Malaysia, PP. 71-78.
- IBM Corp. 2007. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows Version 16.0. Spss Inc, IBM Corporation, Chicago.
- Jessica, J. J., Peng, T. L., Sajap, A. S., Lee S. H. and Syazwan, S. A. 2019. Evaluation of the Virulence of Entomopathogenic Fungus, *Isaria fumosorosea* Isolates against Subterranean Termites *Coptotermes* spp. (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). *J. For. Res.*, **30**(1): 213-218.
- Kavallieratos, N. G., Athanassiou, C. G., Mpassoukou, A. E., Mpakou, F. D., Tomanović, Ž., Manessioti, T. B. and Papadopoulou, S. C. 2012. Bioassays with Diatomaceous Earth Formulations: Effect of Species Co-Occurrence, Size of Vials and Application Technique. *Crop Prot.*, 42: 170-179.
- 22. Kljajić, P. Andrić, G. Adamović, M. Bodroža-Solarov, M. Marković, M. and Perić, I. 2010. Laboratory Assessment of Insecticidal Effectiveness of Natural Zeolite and Diatomaceous Earth Formulations against Three Stored-Product Beetle Pests. J. Stored Prod. Res., **46**(1): 1-6.
- 23. Korunic, Z. 2013. Diatomaceous Earths: Natural Insecticides. *Pesticidi i Fitomedicina.*, **28(2):** 77-95.
- 24. Korunic, Z. and Fields, P. 1998. Diatomaceous Earth Insecticidal Composition. US Patents 5773017 A. USA.
- Liška, A., Korunic, Z., Rozman, V., Lucic, P., Balicevic, R., Halamic, J. and Galovic, I. 2018. Evaluation of the Potential Value of the F1H and F2H Diatomaceous Earth Formulations as Grain Protectants against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae). In: *Proceedings* of the 12th International Working Conference on Stored-Product Protection, Berlin, Germany, PP. 540-546.
- Lord, J. C. 2004. Stored Grain and Flour Insects and Their Management. In: "Encyclopedia of Entomology". Dordrecht, Springer Netherlands, PP. 2133-2138.
- Machekano, H., Mvumi, B. M., Chinwada, P., Richardson-Kageler, S. J. and Rwafa, R. 2017. Efficacy of Diatomaceous Earths and Their Low-Dose Combinations with Spinosad or Deltamethrin against Three

Beetle Pests of Stored-Maize. J. Stored Prod. Res., 72: 128-137.

- Mahroof, R. M. and Hagstrum, D. W. 2012a. Biology, Behavior, and Ecology of Insects in Processed Commodities, In: *"Stored Product Protection"*, (Eds.): Hagstrum, D. W., Phillips, T. W. and Cuperus, G. W. Kansas State University, United State, PP. 33-44.
- Mahroof, R. M. and Hagstrum, D. W. 2012b. Ecology of Storage Systems. In: "Stored Product Protection", (Eds.): Hagstrum, D. W., Phillips, T. W. and Cuperus, G. W. Kansas State University.
- Mewis, I. and Ulrichs, C. 2001. Action of Amorphous Diatomaceous Earth against Different Stages of the Stored Product pests Tribolium confusum, Tenebrio molitor, Sitophilus granarius and Plodia interpunctella. J. Stored Prod. Res., 37(2): 153-164.
- 31. Mortazavi, H. and Ferizil, A. G. 2018. Investigations on the Efficacy of Turkish Diatomaceous Earth Comparing with SilicoSec? against the Stored Grain Pests. In: Proceedings of the 12th International Working Conference on Stored-Product Protection, Berlin, Germany, PP. 532-533.
- 32. Mvumi, B. M. Stathers, T. E. Kaparadza, V. Mukoyi, F. Masiiwa, P. Jowah, P. and Riwa, W. 2006. Comparative Insecticidal Efficacy of Five Raw African Diatomaceous Earths against Three Tropical Stored Grain Coleopteran Pests: Sitophilus zeamais, Tribolium castaneum and Rhyzopertha dominica. In: Proceedings of the 9th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection Campinas, Sau Paulo, Brazil, PP. 15-18.
- Opit, G., Collins, P. J. and Daglish, G. J., 2012. Resistance Management. In: "Stored Product Protection", (Eds.): Hagstrum, D. W., Phillips, T. W. and Cuperus, G. W. Kansas State University, United State, PP. 143-156.
- Perišić, V., Vuković, S., Perišić, V., Pešić, S., Vukajlović, F., Andrić, G. and Kljajić, P. 2018. Insecticidal Activity of Three Diatomaceous Earths on Lesser Grain Borer, *Rhyzopertha dominica* F., and Their Effects on Wheat, Barley, Rye, Oats and Triticale Grain Properties. J. Stored Prod. Res., 75: 38-46.
- 35. Schöller, M. and Reichmuth, C. 2010. Field Trials with the Diatomaceous Earth

SilicoSec[®] for Treatment of Empty Rooms and Bulk Grain. In: *Proceedings of the 10th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection*, Estoril, Portugal, PP. 899-905.

- Shah, M. A. and Khan, A. A. 2014. Use of Diatomaceous Earth for the Management of Stored-Product Pests. *Int. J. Pest Manag.*, 60(2): 100-112.
- 37. Subramanyam, B. h., Swanson, C. L., Madamanchi, N. and Norwood, S. 1994. Insecto[®], Effectiveness of а New Formulation, Diatomaceous Earth in Suppressing Several Stored-Grain Insect Species. Paper Presented at the Proceedings of the 6th International Working Conference on Stored-Product Protection, Canberra, Australia, PP. 650-659.
- 38. Timlick, B. and Fields, P. G. 2010. A Comparison of the Effect of Two Diatomaceous earth Formulations on *Plodia interpunctella* (Hübner) and the Effect of Different Commodities on Diatomaceous Earth Efficacy. In: *Proceedings of the 10th International Working Conference on Stored-product Protection*, Estoril, Portugal, PP. 840-844.
- 39. Toews, M. D., Subramanyam, B. and Rowan, J. M. 2003. Knockdown and Mortality of Adults of Eight Species of Stored-Product Beetles Exposed to Four Surfaces Treated with Spinosad. J. Econ. Entomol., 96(6): 1967-1973.
- Vassilakos, T. N., Athanassiou, C. G., Chloridis, A. S. and Dripps, J. E. 2014. Efficacy of Spinetoram as a Contact Insecticide on Different Surfaces against Stored-Product Beetle Species. *J. Pest Sci.*, 87(3): 485-494.
- 41. Vayias, B. J. Athanassiou, C. G. Korunic, Z. and Rozman, V. 2009. Evaluation of Natural

Diatomaceous Earth Deposits from South-Eastern Europe for Stored-Grain Protection: The Effect of Particle Size. *Pest Manag. Sci.*, **65(10):** 1118-1123.

- Wijayaratne, L. K., Fields, P. G. and Arthur, F. H. 2012. Residual Efficacy of Methoprene for Control of *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) Larvae at Different Temperatures on Varnished Wood, Concrete, and Wheat. J. *Econ. Entomol.*, **105(2)**: 718-725.
- Ziaee, M. Atapour, M. and Marouf, A. 2016. Insecticide Effectiveness of Iranian Diatomaceous Earths on Adults of Oryzaephilus surinamensis. J. Agr. Sci. Tech., 18(2): 361-370.
- 44. Ziaee, M., Atapour, M. and Marouf, A. 2018. Persistence and Efficacy of Four Iranian Diatomaceous Earths against Three Stored Grains Beetles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. B: Biol. Sci.*, **88(1):** 411-419.
- 45. Ziaee, M. and Khashaveh, A. 2007. Effect of Five Diatomaceous Earth Formulations against *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae), *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (Coleoptera: Silvanidae) and *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae). *Insect Sci.*, **14(5)**: 359-365.
- Ziaee, M. and Moharramipour, S. 2012. Efficacy of Iranian Diatomaceous Earth Deposits against *Tribolium confusum* Jacquelin du Val (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). J. Asia-Pac. Entomol., 15(4): 547-553.
- Ziaee, M. Moharramipour, S. and Dadkhahipour, K. 2013. Effect of Particle Size of Two Iranian Diatomaceous Earth Deposits and a Commercial Product on *Sitophilus granarius* (Col.: Dryophthoridae). *J. Entomol. Soci. Iran*, 33(2): 9-17.

Rhyzopertha domica سمیت باقیمانده خاک دیاتومه ایرانی علیه سوسک کشیش، Rhyzopertha domica و شپشه آرد، Tribolium confusum روی سطحهای بتن، استیل گالوانیزه و موزائیک

ن. دلگرم، و م. ضيايي

چکیدہ

استفاده از خاکدیاتومه برای بهداشت انبار های داخل مزرعه و انبارهای تجاری دانه غلات از قدیم رایج بوده است. چنین نشان داده شده که برای از بین بردن آلودگی حشرات در انبارها، تیمار سازههای انبارها توسط خاکدیاتومه مفید است. در این مطالعه، سمیت باقیمانده فرمولاسیون مختلف خاک-دياتومه عليه حشرات بالغ سوسك كشيش (Coleoptera: دياتومه عليه حشرات بالغ سوسك Tribolium confusum Jacquelin du Val. (Coleoptera: و شيشه آرد Bostrychidae) (Tenebrionidae روی سطحهای مختلف شامل بتن، استیل گالوانیزه و موزائیک مورد بررسی قرار گرفت. سطحها با غلظت ۲/۲ میلی گرم بر سانتیمترمربع از فرمولاسیونهای خاکدیاتومه شامل "Protect-It ،SilicoSec و فرمولاسيون ايراني خاک دياتومه از معدن ممقان، ايران، که با سيليکاژل بی شکل برای افزایش اثر بخشی ترکیب شده بود، تیمار شدند. سمیت باقیمانده خاک دیاتومه در ۱۷، ۱۵، ۳۰، ۴۵ و ۶۰ روز پس از تیمار بررسی شد. تلفات ۱ ، ۳ ، ۵ و ۷ روز پس از رهاسازی حشرات در هر سطح شمارش شد. درصد تلفات با افزایش غلظت خاکدیاتومه و زمان قرار گیری حشرات در معرض آن افزایش یافت. با توجه به نتایج، [®] SilicoSec در مقایسه با خاکدیاتومه Protect-It و خاک دیاتومه ایرانی ممقان موثرترین ترکیب بود. سمیت باقیمانده و دوام فرمولاسیونهای خاکدیاتومه در استیل گالوانیزه از بیشتر بتن و موزائیک بود. اگرچه، نتایج نشان داد که خاک دیاتومه ایرانی مورد استفاده حاوی ۱۰ درصد سیلیکای بی شکل قادر به کنترل R. dominica و T. confusum در انبارها و سایر امکانات ذخیرهسازی است. هر چند مطالعات بیشتری برای تأیید این یافته ها نیاز است.