ABSTRACT

We evaluated the effect of different concentrations of Phosphite (Phi) (0, 0.25, and 0.50 mM) in nutrient solution on lettuce and chard. The fresh and dry biomass of lettuce shoots and heads, root volume, and P accumulation in roots showed no significant differences compared to the controls for different Phi concentrations in nutrient solution. In chard, no statistical differences were found among Phi concentrations for P concentrations in roots and shoots, total free amino-acids in leaves, chlorophyll-b, and soluble sugars. The phosphorus concentration in lettuce shoots was 15.6 and 50.6% higher in plants treated with 0.25 and 0.50 mM of Phi, respectively, compared with the controls. In lettuce, phosphorus levels in roots, total free amino-acids and soluble sugars in leaves were statistically greater for 0.25 mM of Phi in nutrient solution. The concentration of chlorophyll-a, b and total chlorophyll in lettuce leaves increased positively with Phi concentration in nutrient solution. The addition of more than 0.25 mM of Phi to the nutrient solution for chard negatively affected the fresh and dry biomass weight of shoots and roots, and P accumulation in roots and shoots. The concentration of chlorophyll-a, b and total chlorophyll in chard leaves was statistically higher with 0.25 mM of Phi in nutrient solution. We conclude that Phi has differential effects on lettuce and chard physiology, and positive plant responses may be observed when Phi is used up to 0.25 mM in sufficient P conditions.

Keywords: Biomass weight, Chlorophyll, Phosphorus, Total free amino-acids, Total soluble proteins.

INTRODUCTION

After nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) is frequently the second most limiting macronutrient for plant growth. Phosphorus is an important plant macronutrient, representing up to 0.2% of plant dry biomass weight. It is a key component in molecules such as nucleic acids, phospholipids and ATP (Schachtman et al., 1998). Phosphorus is an essential element for plant growth, development and reproduction and is required in large quantities. Its functions cannot be performed by any other element, and without sufficient quantities the plant will not express its fullest potential yield because P plays an important role in energy storage and transfer in plant cells (Fageria, 2008), forms important parts of ribonucleic acids (RNA) and DeoxyriboNucleic Acid (DNA), is involved in protein synthesis and is a constituent of many essential compounds in plant metabolism (Alcántar et
Phosphorus is also involved in the control of enzymatic reactions and in the regulation of metabolic pathways (Theodorou and Plaxton, 1993). Phosphorus is absorbed and assimilated by the plant in the form of phosphate (H$_2$PO$_4^-$, Pi), a structural component of many organic compounds such as DNA, RNA, phospholipids and phosphorylated sugars (Berkowitz et al., 2013).

Phosphite (H$_2$PO$_3^-$, Phi) is a phosphate analog wherein a hydroxyl group is replaced by a hydrogen atom. Phosphite enters the cell via Pi transporters, so its absorption competes with Pi for mobility within the plant (Ouimette and Coffey, 1989; Danova-Alt et al., 2008). Phosphite has direct and indirect effects on plant growth and is considered a very valuable product in agricultural applications. Phosphite inhibits cell-wall synthesis and formation of mycelia and cytoskeletal functions in the fungus Phytophthora cinnamomi (King et al., 2010). In some species such as Brassica napus, it has been shown to have negative effects on growth (Carswell et al., 1997). Yet, the application of Phi to strawberry plants has different responses depending on phenological stage. For example, in fruit production stage, adding 30% of total P as Phi stimulated plant metabolism increased the concentrations of chlorophyll-a, b, total chlorophyll and amino-acids and proteins, while during blooming, positive effects were observed with the addition of 20% of P as Phi on total sugar concentration in leaves (Estrada-Ortiz et al., 2011). Also, the supplying of Phi at 30% or less in the nutrient solution does not significantly affect strawberry yield but does affect fruit quality and activates plant defense mechanisms by producing a higher concentration of anthocyanins (Estrada-Ortiz et al., 2013). Just recently, Constán-Aguilar et al. (2014) found that the application of Phi as a P fertilizer at a rate of ≥ 0.50 mM would be an appropriate and effective strategy under suboptimal conditions of Pi in the growth medium, as it improves growth parameters, number of flowers, leaf area, nutritional state of P, incorporation of P in structural organs, and P-use efficiency in cucumber plants.

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the effect of different concentrations of phosphite in nutrient solution using growth and physiological indicators for lettuce (Lactuca sativa L. cv. Climax) and chard (Beta vulgaris L. var. cicla cv. Fordhook Giant).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Conditions**

The research was conducted during the summer of 2011, in an overhead-lighted greenhouse, located at 19° 29’ N, 98° 53’ W at an altitude of 2,250 m asl. The plant species used were lettuce (Lactuca sativa L. cv. Climax) and chard (Beta vulgaris L. var. cicla cv. Fordhook Giant) grown in a floating root hydroponic system with oxygenation. The maximum, minimum, and average temperatures during the experiment were 35.8, 5.2, and 18°C, respectively. Light intensity averaged 280 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

**Treatments and Experimental Design**

We evaluated three different nutrient solutions differing only in the concentrations of Phi. The solutions were made with reference to Steiner’s nutrient solution (Steiner, 1984) with 100% analytic reagents using the following mM concentrations: 4.49 Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ 4H$_2$O, 2.97 KNO$_3$, 1.03 KH$_2$PO$_4$, 1.99 MgSO$_4$ 7H$_2$O and 1.49 K$_2$SO$_4$. The nutrient solution was supplemented with micronutrients in the following µM concentrations: 29.12 Mn, 1.73 Cu, 79.56 B, 0.35 Zn and 0.50 Mo. Iron was supplied as Fe-EDTA at a concentration of 89.53 µM from a stock solution prepared following Steiner and van Winden (1970). The concentration of Phi in the solution was assessed at 0, 0.25 and 0.50 mM. Phosphite was obtained from analytical grade.
phosphorous acid (Sigma-Aldrich). The pH of the nutrient solution was maintained between 5.5 and 5.8 because it is considered optimal for Phi availability (Hanrahan et al., 2005) and was adjusted by adding 97% H$_2$SO$_4$ and 1N NaOH.

The experimental unit was represented by 6 plants in a floating root hydroponic system, placed in containers of 80×40×20 cm (length, width, height) and supported by a Styrofoam® plate, and with oxygenation. Each treatment had four replicates and a completely randomized design was used.

Variables Evaluated

Growth Parameters

To obtain fresh biomass weight of heads and shoots, plants were harvested early to avoid errors from dehydration and were immediately weighed on a balance (Adam Model CQT1501). Root volume was obtained by volumetric displacement of water in a 250 mL beaker.

Harvested plant material was dried in a forced air oven (Riossa Model HCF-125D) for 72 hours at 70°C, and then the dry weight of roots, shoots, and heads was determined using an analytical balance (Ohaus Model Adventurer Pro AV213C).

Phosphorus Concentration and Accumulation

The concentration of total phosphorus in roots and shoots was determined using wet digestion of dry plant material with a mixture of perchloric and nitric acids (Alcántar and Sandoval, 1999). The extracts were read using an Inductively Coupled Plasma - Atomic Emission Spectrometer (ICP-AES) (Varian model 725-S).

The accumulations of P in roots and shoots were estimated from the corresponding dry biomass weights and the concentrations of this element in each part of the plant were analyzed. The difference between accumulation and concentration is that the former takes into consideration the dry biomass weight of the corresponding plant tissue and correlates it with the concentration of a determined element in such a tissue, whereas the latter does not.

Metabolites Concentration

The concentration of total soluble protein in leaves was determined using extractions performed according to Höfner et al. (1989). Quantification was performed using amido-black staining and bovine serum albumin as a standard protein. The extracts were read in a spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific model Genesys 10 UV) at a wavelength of 640 nm.

In leaves, amino acids were extracted according to Geiger et al. (1998). Subsequently, amino acid concentration was determined using the ninhydrin method described by Moore and Stein (1954). Leucine was used to prepare the standard curve and concentrations were read by spectrophotometry at a wavelength of 570 nm.

Chlorophyll concentrations in leaves were determined following Harborne (1973) and samples were read by spectrophotometry at wavelengths of 663 and 645 nm. Chlorophyll-a, b and total chlorophyll were expressed as mg g$^{-1}$ fresh biomass weight.

The soluble sugar concentration in leaves was determined by spectrophotometry according to the method described by Southgate (1976), at a wavelength of 620 nm. Sucrose was used to prepare the standard curve.

Statistical Analysis

The Shapiro-Wilk and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were used to verify that the data followed a normal distribution, and the Levene, O'Brien and Bartlet tests were used to verify variance homogeneity. An Analysis Of Variance (PROC ANOVA) was then
applied and means were compared using the Tukey test ($\alpha= 0.05$) provided in the software Statistical Analytic System, version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., 2011).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Growth Parameters**

Root volume and the fresh biomass weight of lettuce and shoots were not significantly affected by the Phi treatments evaluated (Tukey: $P= 0.58$, $P= 0.20$ and $P= 0.91$, respectively). In chard, Phi concentrations above 0.25 mM reduced root volume and the administration of Phi decreased fresh shoot biomass as shown in Table 1. Studies assessing the phosphate-phosphate relationships in spinach, celery, Japanese spinach (Komatsuna) and lettuce have shown that as the concentration of Phi increases, plant growth decreases (Thao and Yamakawa, 2008; Thao et al., 2008a, b), which coincides with the results obtained in our experiment on chard. According to Constan-Aguilar et al. (2014), the validity of the foliar use of Phi as a P fertilizer in cucumber plants significantly depends on the Pi availability in the culture medium. Therefore, beneficial effects of Phi are evident especially when applied in the presence of sufficient Pi.

In lettuce, dry weight of roots and heads were not significantly affected by the addition of Phi to the nutrient solution (Table 2). (Tukey: $P= 0.61$ and $P= 0.30$, respectively). In contrast, adding more than 0.25 mM of Phi reduced dry biomass weight accumulation in chard roots and shoots (Tukey: $P= 0.01$ and $P= 0.0009$, respectively).

In the presence of sufficient Pi in the nutrient solution, the application of Phi to healthy lettuce plants has no positive effects on plant growth (Thao et al., 2009). Given a sufficient level of P in the cultivation of strawberries, there was no effect on the dry biomass weight of shoots by adding Phi (Estrada-Ortiz et al., 2012). Bertsch et al. (2009) found a synergistic effect of Phi on dry biomass weight when it was added in combination with Pi at 30 mg kg$^{-1}$ of each P source in lettuce, bananas, and tomatoes. In contrast, a significant decrease in the growth and dry biomass weight of pumpkins has been reported when Phi was applied to the soil as a source of P (Ratjen and Gerendás, 2009).

**Phosphorus Concentration and Accumulation**

The concentrations of P in lettuce and chard roots were higher than those in shoots, regardless of treatment (Figure 1). In lettuce, Phi positively influenced the concentration of P in shoots; the addition of Phi to the nutrient solution at 0.25 and 0.50 mM resulted in increases in the concentration of P in shoots (15.6 and 50.6%, respectively) compared with the control. Moreover, the highest concentration of P in roots was

| Table 1. Accumulation of fresh biomass and root volume for chard and lettuce in response to treatments with phosphate in nutrient solution.$^a$ |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
|                | Chard         |                | Lettuce       |                |                |
| **Phosp**      | Root (cm$^3$) | Shoot (g plant$^{-1}$ FW) | Root (cm$^3$) | Shoot (g plant$^{-1}$ FW) | Head (g plant$^{-1}$ FW) | Shoot (g plant$^{-1}$ FW) |
| (mM)           | plant$^{-1}$  |                 | plant$^{-1}$  |                 |                 |                 |
| 0.00           | 53.67 a       | 426.97 a        | 37.75 a       | 335.75 a        | 686.58 a        |                 |
| 0.25           | 51.00 a       | 347.99 b        | 34.50 a       | 366.44 a        | 695.97 a        |                 |
| 0.50           | 39.33 b       | 330.47 b        | 33.50 a       | 384.34 a        | 665.54 a        |                 |
| **HSD**        | 9.11          | 57.34           | 10.59         | 38.36           | 57.93           |                 |
| $P > F$        | 0.0064        | 0.0004          | 0.5800        | 0.1991          | 0.9082          |                 |

$^a$ Values with different letters between columns are statistically different (Tukey, $\alpha = 0.05$). HSD: Honestly
Table 2. Accumulation of dry biomass for chard and lettuce in response to treatments with phosphite in nutrient solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phosphite (mM)</th>
<th>Chard</th>
<th>Lettuce</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Root (g plant(^{-1}) DW)</td>
<td>Root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.38 a</td>
<td>42.58 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5.15 a</td>
<td>44.83 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>4.35 b</td>
<td>31.15 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(HSD\) 0.80 7.00 0.87 11.27
\(P_{F}\) 0.0140 0.0009 0.6072 0.3027

\(^a\) Values with different letters between columns are statistically different (Tukey, \(\alpha = 0.05\)). \(HSD\): Honestly Significant Difference, FW: Fresh Weight.

Figure 1. Phosphorus concentrations and phosphorus accumulation in chard and lettuce roots and shoots in response to different concentrations of phosphite in nutrient solution. Bars with different letters in each variable are significantly different (Tukey, \(\alpha = 0.05\)). Phosphorus concentration: Chard roots \(P=0.37\), chard shoots \(P=0.660\), lettuce roots \(P=0.02\) and lettuce shoots \(P=0.02\); Phosphorus accumulation: Chard roots \(P=0.001\), chard shoots \(P=0.0001\), lettuce roots \(P=0.08\) and lettuce shoots \(P=0.056\). DW = Dry Weight.
observed in the treatment with 0.25 mM Phi and this was statistically higher than the other treatments (Figure 1). Reduction in the concentration of P in roots with 0.50 mM of Phi may be due to greater mobility of Phi within the plant which changed the distribution of P in the plant, since the highest concentration of P in shoots was observed in this treatment. In chard, no statistical differences were observed for P concentrations in roots and shoots with Phi added to the nutrient solution. Bertsch et al. (2009) found that the combination of Pi and Phi had a synergistic effect which led to a higher total absorption of P by the plants, especially in tomatoes, which agrees with the observations made on lettuce shoots in the present study.

The accumulation of this macronutrient was greater in shoots than in roots of lettuce and chard (Figure 1). In lettuce roots, no statistically significant effects from the different Phi concentrations in nutrient solution were observed on the accumulation of P, although in shoots there was a reduction of 17.7 and 47.2% with 0.25 and 0.50 mM of Phi, respectively. In chard, Phi applications exceeding 0.25 mM in nutrient solution significantly reduced P accumulation in shoots and roots (Figure 1, Tukey: P= 0.0001 and P= 0.001, respectively).

Ávila et al. (2013) found no statistically significant differences in P accumulation in beans by adding Phi to the nutrient solution for Pi-sufficient plants (800 µmol). As well, plants receiving higher concentrations of Phi (64, 128, 256 and 512 µmol) in nutrient solution in combination with a low concentration of Pi (80 µmol) did not produce seeds in their pods, and there was an increase in the total accumulation of P in shoots and roots as the concentration of Phi increased in nutrient solution.

**Metabolites Concentration**

The protein concentration in lettuce leaves was higher with 0.25 mM of Phi (Figure 2), but it was not statistically different from the control (Tukey, P= 0.01). On the other hand, a decrease in leaf protein concentration was observed in chard by adding 0.25 and 0.50 mM of Phi to the nutrient solution, resulting in reductions of 65.9 and 76.8%, respectively (Figure 2, Tukey, P= 0.0001).

Estrada-Ortiz et al. (2011) found that applying up to 30% of total P in the form of Phi in nutrient solution increased protein concentrations in strawberry leaves, while higher concentrations diminished such protein contents. These results are very

**Figure 2.** Total soluble protein concentrations in chard and lettuce leaves in response to different concentrations of phosphite in nutrient solution. Bars with different letters in each plant species are statistically different (Tukey, lettuce P= 0.01 and chard P= 0.0001). FW= Fresh Weight.
similar to those in the present study, where the addition of 0.25 mM of Phi to the nutrient solution promoted a higher concentration of protein in lettuce, while the highest concentration of Phi evaluated (0.50 mM) resulted in a reduction in protein concentration.

Phosphite inhibits the phosphorylation of proteins when there is stress for P, a condition in which Phi suppresses nucleolytic enzyme activity and the expression of acid phosphatase and P transporter genes in A. thaliana (Ticconi et al., 2001), which may explain what happened in chard in our experiment, where the addition of Phi promoted competition in plant uptake between Pi and Phi, resulting in stress.

Amino-acid concentration in lettuce leaves followed a trend similar to that for the foliar concentration of total proteins in response to the administration of Phi. Adding 0.25 mM of Phi significantly increased the concentration of amino-acids (Figure 3) relative to the other treatments (Tukey, P= 0.0001), while no significant differences among treatments were observed for chard regarding the concentration of total free amino-acids (Figure 3, Tukey: P= 0.66).

Berkowitz et al. (2013) found that Phi reduced amino-acids such as asparagine, aspartate, glutamate and serine. A decrease of amino-acids under strong P limitation in Arabidopsis has also been reported by Morcuende et al. (2007). These results agree with those obtained in the present study when the concentration of Phi in nutrient solution was increased to 0.50 mM for lettuce. These results are important since recent studies have identified a primary function of metabolites such as amino-acids in the establishment of resistance against plant pathogens (Chanda et al., 2011; Hwang et al., 2011; Stuttmann et al., 2011; Voll et al., 2012). Hence, by altering the levels of specific metabolites in plant metabolic pathways, it is possible to induce resistance to pathogens. For example, variation in the concentration of amino-acids derived from the aspartate path promotes resistance to the oomycete Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis and the bacterium Pseudomonas syringae (van Damme et al., 2009; Stuttmann et al., 2011; Navarova et al., 2012).

In lettuce and chard, different concentrations of Phi in the nutrient solution increased the concentrations of chlorophyll-a, b and total chlorophyll in leaves (Figure 4). By adding 0.25 mM of Phi to the nutrient solution for lettuce, there was an increase of 26.3, 60 and 33.3% in chlorophyll-a, b and

Figure 3. Total free amino-acid concentrations in chard and lettuce leaves in response to different concentrations of phosphite in nutrient solution. Bars with different letters in each plant species are statistically different (Tukey, lettuce P= 0.0001 and chard P= 0.0001). FW= Fresh Weight.
total chlorophyll, respectively. On the other hand, by applying 0.50 mM of Phi to the nutrient solution for lettuce, chlorophyll-a, b and total chlorophyll increased by 71.1, 100 and 77.1%, respectively, relative to the control. In chard, the largest increases in chlorophyll-a, b and total chlorophyll (13.9, 2.8, and 9.9%, respectively) occurred when 0.25 mM of Phi was added to the nutrient solution, in comparison to the control (Figure 4).

Phosphorus is a nutrient that influences the stability of the chlorophyll molecule (Bojović and Stojanović, 2006). Phosphite signaling suppresses the need for P, which leads to changes in chloroplast photosynthetic membrane composition (Kobayashi et al., 2006). Accordingly, Estrada-Ortiz et al. (2011) observed an increase in the concentration of chlorophyll-a, b and total chlorophyll in strawberry leaves during the fruiting stage by adding 30% of P in the form of a Phi nutrient solution.

The soluble sugar concentrations were not affected by the addition of Phi in the nutrient solution for chard. Conversely, in lettuce plants, this variable was 72.5% higher when adding 0.25 mM de Phi, in comparison to the control plants. Interestingly, higher concentrations of Phi applied to lettuce had negative effects on soluble sugar concentrations (Table 3).

Total soluble sugars are positively

![Figure 4. Concentration of chlorophyll-a, b and total chlorophyll in chard and lettuce leaves in response to different concentrations of phosphite in nutrient solution. Bars with different letters in each variable are statistically different (Tukey, chard chlorophyll-a P= 0.0137, chard chlorophyll-b P= 0.0457, chard total chlorophyll P= 0.0380, lettuce chlorophyll-a P= 0.0095, lettuce chlorophyll-b P=0.0095 and lettuce total chlorophyll P= 0.0082). FW= Fresh Weight.](image)
Table 3. Soluble sugars concentration in chard and lettuce leaves in response to treatments with phosphite in nutrient solution.\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phosphite (mM)</th>
<th>Chard (mg g(^{-1}) FW)</th>
<th>Lettuce (mg g(^{-1}) FW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.51 a</td>
<td>3.02 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.36 a</td>
<td>5.21 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.93 a</td>
<td>1.74 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HSD 1.24 1.79
Pr>F 0.2173 0.0013

\textsuperscript{a} Values with different letters between columns are statistically different (Tukey, $\alpha = 0.05$). HSD: Honestly Significant Difference, FW: Fresh Weight.

correlated with plant P status and their biosynthesis depends on P availability in the cytosol (Ruiz et al., 1996). In our study, P was always available in sufficient amounts for chard plants, and we were unable to observe any Phi effect on total soluble sugars in leaves. In lettuce, our results are comparable to those reported by Estrada-Ortiz (2010) in strawberry, as total sugars concentrations were the highest when applying 20% of P as Phi, while higher Phi concentrations had negative impacts on this variable. Sugars function not only as substrates to sustain plant growth, but are also important signaling molecules that regulate sink and source metabolism (Roitsch, 1999) and they have a pivotal role in crop yield.

CONCLUSIONS

Our results demonstrated that Phi may trigger different responses in plants depending on the genotypes and Phi concentrations used. In lettuce, Phi did not have significant effects neither on growth parameters nor on accumulation of P in roots compared to the control. Similarly, in chard, Phi did not affect concentrations of P, total free amino-acids, soluble sugars, and the concentration of chlorophylls in chard leaves.

Interestingly, in lettuce plants, the application of 0.25 mM of Phi increased total P concentrations in roots, total free amino-acids, soluble sugars, and the concentration of chlorophylls in chard leaves.

The addition of more than 0.25 mM of Phi to the nutrient solution for chard negatively affected growth parameters and P accumulation in both roots and shoots. Conversely, additions of 0.50 mM of Phi increased the total concentration of P in lettuce shoots and the concentration of chlorophylls in lettuce leaves.

Our results suggest that the addition of 0.25 mM of Phi in the nutrient solution stimulates plant metabolism without detrimental effects on growth and yield. Therefore, to trigger positive effects on plants, Phi applications must be tightly regulated and used at low levels in the presence of sufficient Pi. The responses will finally depend on plant genotypes, Pi status and Phi concentrations applied.
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چکیده

در این پژوهش، اثر فسفیت (Φ) با غلظت های مختلف (۰، ۲۵، و ۵۰٪ مولی مول) در محلول غذايي روی کاهو و چندن برگ (Chard) ارزیابی شد. در غلظت های مختلف فسفیت در محلول غذایی، زیست توده تر و حخشک شاخاسره و کله کاهو، حجم ریشه، و انبات فسفر در ریشه هیچگونه اختلاف معنی‌داری در مقایسه با تیمار شاهد نداشتند. در چندن برگ، بین غلظت های فسفیت تفاوت معنی‌داری در غلظت فسفر در ریشه و شاخاسره، در کل آمیزو اسم های آزاد برگ، و در کلورفیل ب و فنده‌ای محلول وجود نداشت. در کاهوی ثابت شده با ۲۵٪ و ۵۰٪ مول فسفیت، غلظت فسفر در شاخاسره به ترتیب ۱۵٪ و ۵۰/۴٪ بیشتر از شاهد بود. در بوته های کاهو، غلظت فسفر در ریشه، کل آمیتو اسم های آزاد و فنده‌ای محلول در برگ به طور معنی‌داری در تیمار ۲۵٪ مول فسفیت در محلول غذایی بیشتر بود. غلظت کلورفیل آ و ب و کلورفیل کل در برگ کاهو به طور مثبت با زیاد شدن غلظت فسفیت در محلول غذایی افزایش یافت. در مورد چندن برگی، کار بر فسفیت بیشتر از ۲۵٪ مول در محلول غذایی اثر منفی روز و زیست توده تر و حخشک شاخاسره و ریشه و انبات فسفر در ریشه و شاخاسره داشت. غلظت کلورفیل آ و ب و کلورفیل کل در برگ چندن برگ در تیمار ۲۵٪ مول فسفیت به طور معنی‌داری بیشتر بود. جنین نوبه گرفته شد که فسفیت اثرات متفاوتی روی فیزیولوژی کاهو و چندن برگی دارد و واکنش های مثبت گیاه زمانی مشاهده می‌شود که در شرایط وجود فسفر کافی، غلظت فسفیت از ۲۵٪ مول نیازی نکنند.