Effect of Different Predator: Prey Release Ratios of Phytoseiulus persimilis and Typhlodromus bagdasarjani (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on Reduction of Tetranychus urticae (Acari: Tetranychidae) on Cucumber under Microcosm Conditions

M. Moghadasi¹ and H. Allahyari^{1*}

ABSTRACT

Prey suppression by predators depends on effective predator: prey release ratios. The effectiveness of Phytoseiulus persimilis Athias-Henriot and Typhlodromus bagdasarjani Wainstein and Arutunjan was evaluated at different predator: prey release ratios for suppression of Tetranychus urticae Koch populations on cucumber plants in the microcosm condition based on three experiments. In the first experiment, 7 days after T. urticae releases, the most reduction in the different stages (densities) of T. urticae and the most increase in both P. persimilis and T. bagdasarjani were observed in predator: prey release ratio of 1:4 followed by ratios of 1:10 and 1:20. In the second experiment, one day after T. urticae release at predator: prey release ratio of 1:4, there was no significant difference between predators effect on the decline of T. urticae stages (densities). In this case, more T. bagdasarjani at all stages was observed on the plants in comparison with P. persimilis. According to the third experiment, 7 days after T. urticae release, there was no significant difference between predator: prey ratio of 1:4 of P. persimilis and 1:2 of T. bagdasarjani on T. urticae suppression. In this case, more P. persimilis was observed on plants in comparison with T. bagdasarjani. Our study demonstrates that the most suppression of T. urticae populations occurred in predator: prey ratio of 1:4 for both predators. Based on our findings, we suggest that P. persimilis and T. bagdasarjani can be released at a ratio of 1:4 for successful control of T. urticae on cucumber.

Keywords: Biological control, Predatory mites, Spider mites.

INTRODUCTION

The two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch, is a serious pest of many commercial crops such as cucumber grown in greenhouses (Opit *et al.*, 2004; Greco *et al.*, 2005; Khalequzzaman *et al.*, 2007). The chemicals application for management of *T. urticae* in greenhouses led to development of chemical-resistance populations of this pest as well as environmental concerns. As a result, with the aim of obtaining chemical-free crops, adoption of appropriate alternative strategies,

such as biological control, to suppress pest populations is recommended (Park et al., 2000; Opit et al., 2004; Greco et al., 2005; Khalequzzaman et al., 2007). Biological control of spider mites on many crops has focused on the application of predaceous phytoseiid mites (Sabelis, 1985; Ganjisaffar et al., 2011a, b; McMurtry et al., 2013; Moghadasi et al., 2013, 2014; Farazmand et 2015; Khanamani *et al.*, Phytoseiulus persimilis Athias-Henriot is a commercialized and highly predator of T. urticae which is widely released greenhouses to control spider mite

¹ Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Karaj, Islamic Republic of Iran.

^{*}Corresponding author; e-mail: allahyar@ut.ac.ir



populations (McMurtry and Croft, 1997; Park et al., 2000; Opit et al., 2004; Mohamed and Omar, 2011; McMurtry et al., 2013). Typhlodromus bagdasarjani Wainstein & Arutunjan is a generalist indigenous phytoseiid mite with a wide distribution in Iran; on the different plants infested by tetranychid and eriophyid mites, as well as insect pests such as whiteflies (Daneshvar thrips and Denmark, 1982); Kamali et al., 2001; Faraji et al., 2007). This species is a useful biological control agent of T. urticae with well adaptation to warm weather such as summer months in the greenhouses (Ganjisaffar et al., 2011a, b; Moghadasi et al., 2013, 2014; Farazmand et al., 2015; Khanamani et al., 2015). This character could be the advantage of T. bagdasarjani in comparison with P. persimilis in controlling T. urticae in the warm conditions (Skirvin and Fenlon, 2003: Ganjisaffar et al., 2011a, b). Also, T. bagdasarjani is considered as a generalist predator (Type III) that can feed and reproduce on pollen, plant exudates, as well as honeydew, which is the advantage generalist predators in comparison with the specialists such as P. persimilis (McMurtry and Croft, 1997; McMurtry et al., 2013).

Augmentation and releases of natural enemies are successful implements to reduce pest populations in many greenhouse crops (Park et al., 2000; Colfer et al., 2003; Khalequzzaman et al., 2007). The efficacy of natural enemies is affected by many variables. One such variable is the ratio of prey to natural enemies, which is often used to determine the sufficient abundance of predators to control prey population (Hussey and Scopes, 1985; Park et al., 2000; Opit et al., 2004; Greco et al., 2005). Predators must be present in sufficient numbers to control the prey population (Opit et al., 2004; Greco et al., 2005). The levels of prey population suppression by predators depend on effective predator: prey release ratios and the knowledge about this ratio is very important to plan proper biological control program for pest management (Opit et al., 2004; Greco et al., 2005).

Miniaturized ecosystems i.e. microcosms, as called by Abbott (1966), despite not creating systems precisely-provide advantages for researchers to investigate organism interactions under controlled conditions. In fact, laboratory microcosms are ecosystem models in which a part of the natural environment is enclosed and studied. Small-scale researches at this ecosystem model can lead to the development of practical application and act as a sample of natural environment (Benton et al., 2007; Grenni et al., 2012).

This study was conducted to investigate the ability of *P. persimilis* and *T. bagdasarjani* at different predator: prey release ratios to decrease the *T. urticae* abundance on plants and preserve them from obvious pest injury as well as to determine the most effective release ratio of these predators to suppress *T. urticae* population in the microcosm conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Source

The cucumber plants (*Cucumis sativus* cv. Sultan) used in this study were planted in plastic pots (20 cm diameter and 40 cm depth) filled with the mixture of cocopeat: perlite (40: 60%) under greenhouse conditions (25±5°C, 50±20% RH and natural light duration).

Prey Source

Tetranychus urticae were originally collected from infested lima bean leaves in insect population ecology laboratory at the Department of Plant Protection, University of Tehran in Karaj, Iran, and reared on cucumber plants in a growth chamber at 25±2°C, 50±10% RH, and 16 L: 8 D hours photoperiod.

Predator Source

Typhlodromus bagdasarjani was collected from black mulberry trees at the campus of Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran. Phytoseiulus persimilis was initially obtained from laboratory stock culture reared in population ecology laboratory at Department of Plant Protection, University of Tehran in Karaj, Iran. Each phytoseiid rearing unit included a piece of green hard plastic sheet (20×10 cm) on a water-saturated sponge in a plastic container (26×16×7 cm). The borders of sheet were covered with the moistened tissue papers not only to provide the predators with water but also to prevent them from escaping (Overmeer, 1985). Every three days, T. urticae-infested cucumber leaves, as well as some maize pollen as supplementary food, were added to the rearing unit. Rearing unit was maintained at 25±1°C, 75±5% RH, and 16 L: 8 D hours photoperiod in a growth chamber.

Experiments

The microcosms consisted of a cucumber plant with completely expanded six leaves enclosed in a cube cage $(70\times70\times200 \text{ cm})$ covered with a fine mesh. These cages were placed in a room with controlled conditions at $25\pm2^{\circ}\text{C}$, $60\pm10\%$ RH, and 16 L: 8 D hours photoperiod.

One day old mated T. urticae females and newly mated T. bagdasarjani and P. persimilis females were used for experiments. Three types of experiments were designed. In the first experiment, based on preliminary findings, each cucumber plant was infested with 40 newly mated T. urticae females. After 7 days, newly mated T. bagdasarjani and P. persimilis females were released on plants separately in density of 10, 4, and 2 to achieve the 1:4, 1:10 and 1:20 predator: prey ratios, respectively. Plants without predators were considered as control. After 15 days, all leaves of the plants were collected and the number of eggs, immature stages including larva and nymphs, as well as adults of prey and predator were counted using binocular.

In the second experiment, one day after release of *T. urticae* females, predators were released separately in density of 10 females on plants to create the predator: prey ratio of 1:4 (based on the first experiment results, this ratio provided the highest reduction in the *T. urticae* population densities). After 15 days, all leaves of plants were collected and the number of all stages of prey and predator were recorded.

In the third experiment, 7 days after release of *T. urticae*, females of *T. bagdasarjani* and *P. persimilis* were released separately in density of 20 and 10 females to create the predator: prey ratios of 1:2 and 1:4, respectively. After 15 days, the number of all stages of prey and predator on all leaves of plants were recorded. Each release ratio as well as control had eight replicates.

Data Analysis

All data were tested for normality with MINITAB 17 using the Ryan-Joiner method. The effects of different predator: prey ratios on the density of different stages of *T. urticae*, *T. bagdasarjani* and *P. persimilis* were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. Also, differences between means were compared by Tukey's range test (HSD) in SPSS 16. The t-test was performed to compare the number of all stages of predators in different predator: prey ratios between *T. bagdasarjani* and *P. persimilis* treatments using SPSS 16.

RESULTS

In the first experiment, one-way ANOVA indicated that the densities of different stages of T. urticae were significantly affected by predator: prey ratios of P. persimilis ($F_{3,31}$ = 497.795, P< 0.0001; $F_{3,31}$ = 103.378, P< 0.0001; $F_{3,31}$ = 226.129, P< 0.0001; $F_{3,31}$ = 651.158, P< 0.0001, for egg,



immature stages (larva and nymph), adult and total stages, respectively; Table 1), and T. bagdasarjani ($F_{3,31}$ = 448.58, P< 0.0001; $F_{3,31}$ = 60.665, P< 0.0001; $F_{3,31}$ = 86.614, P< 0.0001; $F_{3,31}$ = 490.864, P< 0.0001, for egg, immature stages (larva and nymph), adult and total stages, respectively; Table 2). In addition, the results demonstrated the significant effect of predator: prey ratios on the different stages densities of P. persimilis $(F_{2,23}=684.804, P<0.0001; F_{2,23}=534.645,$ P < 0.0001; $F_{2,23} = 763.607$, P < 0.0001; $F_{2,23} =$ 2335, P< 0.0001, for egg, immature stages (larva and nymph), adult and total stages, respectively; Table 1) and T. bagdasarjani $(F_{2,23}=164.472, P<0.0001; F_{2,23}=179.633,$ P < 0.0001; $F_{2,23} = 318.5$, P < 0.0001; $F_{2,23} =$ 967.858, P< 0.0001, for egg, immature stages (larva and nymph), adult and total stages, respectively; Table 2).

Results showed that all predator: prey ratios had a significant effect on the pest density reduction (P< 0.0001, Tables 1 and 2). Only in the mean number of immature stages (larva and nymph) of *T. urticae* there was no significant difference between *T. bagdasarjani: T. urticae* ratio of 1:20 and the control (P> 0.05, Table 2).

The reduction percentage of different stages density of *T. urticae* at different predator: prey ratios after 15 days, was calculated by considering the difference between final number of prey stages in the control and predator: prey ratios after predators release

(Table 3). According to the results, the most reduction in *T. urticae* different stages densities was observed in predator: prey ratio of 1:4 followed by predator: prey ratios of 1:10 and 1:20, for both predators. Predator stages (density) was positively affected by increasing the predator initial density at predator: prey release ratios from 1:20 to 1:4 (P< 0.0001, Tables 1 and 2).

In the second experiment, the effect of P. persimilis and T. bagdasarjani predator: prey ratio of 1:4 -the best ratio of both predators provided the highest reduction in the T. urticae population densities based on the first experiment results— on the densities of T. urticae different stages was studied. According to the results of one-way ANOVA analysis, the densities of *T. urticae* different stages were significantly affected by predators release $(F_{2,23}=1952, P<0.0001; F_{2,23}=354.55, P<$ 0.0001; $F_{2,23}$ = 584.87, P< 0.0001; $F_{2,23}$ = 2184, P<0.0001, for egg, immature stages (larva and nymph), adult and total stages, respectively; Table 4). In other words, the release of predators at predator: prey ratio of 1:4, one day after release of T. urticae females, led to significant reduction in T. urticae population after 15 days. Also, the results showed that there was no significant difference between predators effect on the reduction of T. urticae different stages densities (Table 4).

The number of predator stages at predator: prey ratio of 1:4 is shown in Table 5. Fifteen days after predators release, more *T*.

Table 1. Number of different stages of *Tetranychus urticae* and *Phytoseiulus persimilis* (Mean±SE) at different predator: prey ratios recorded 15 days after predator release in the first experiment.^a

Dray stage	Predator: Prey ratios			
Prey stage	1:4	1:10	1:20	Control
Egg	114.25±9.22 d	2290.4±39.75 c	6548.5±212.93 b	10930±370.41 a
Larva+Nymph	108.5±3.81 d	387.75±28.77 c	1064.5±68.59 b	1301±81.15 a
Adult	87.25±7.94 d	446.5±25.83 c	624.25±26.07 b	894.38±24.81 a
Total stages	310±25.28 d	3141.6±64.87 c	8237.2±180.5 b	13125±399.62 a
Predator stage				
Egg	66.5±0.94 a	35.37±0.71 b	25.25±0.79 c	-
Larva+Nymph	66.5±1.61 a	33.75±0.25 b	22.12±0.55 c	=
Adult	60.87±1.08 a	30.62±0.59 b	20.62±0.46 c	-
Total stages	193.88±1.89 a	99.75±0.79 b	68±1.13 c	-

^a Means followed by the same letters within rows are not significantly different (P<0.05, Tukey's HSD).

bagdasarjani at all stages was observed on the plants in comparison with *P. persimilis*. This difference was more visible in the adult



Table 2. Number of different stages of *Tetranychus urticae* and *Typhlodromus bagdasarjani* (Mean±SE) at different predator: prey ratios recorded 15 days after predator release in the first experiment.^a

Dray stage	Predator: Prey ratios				
Prey stage	1:4	1:10	1:20	Control	
Egg	372.62±14.05 d	3827.8±212.38 c	6686±95.15 b	10290±322.48 a	
Larva+Nymph	146±4.27 c	708.12±37.09 b	1161.9±55.65 a	1404.2±125.42 a	
Adult	227.12±9.31 d	545.62±37.73 c	720.5±26.25 b	829.88±31.63 a	
Total stages	744.75±8.74 d	5081.5±178.34 c	8581.8±67.55 b	12524±410.77 a	
Predator stage					
Egg	22.62±0.88 a	13.25±0.45 b	7.12±0.35 c	=	
Larva+Nymph	22.12±0.51 a	12.62±0.56 b	8.87±0.44 c	-	
Adult	17.62±0.32 a	10.87±0.48 b	5.25±0.16 c	-	
Total stages	62.37±0.93 a	36.75±0.53 b	21.25±0.45 c	=	

^a Means followed by the same letters within rows are not significantly different (P< 0.05, Tukey`s HSD).

Table 3. The percentage of reduction in different stages density of *Tetranychus urticae* at different predator: prey ratios recorded 15 days after *Phytoseiulus persimilis* and *Typhlodromus bagdasarjani* release in the first experiment.

Duadatan smaaiss	T. urticae stage —	Predator: Prey ratios		
Predator species		1:4	1:10	1:20
	Egg	98.95%	79.04%	40.09%
Dhutagainhag manaimilia	Larva+Nymph	91.7%	70.25 %	18.21%
Phytoseiulus persimilis	Adult	90.27%	50.11%	30.2%
	Total stages	97.64%	70.07%	37.24%
	Egg	96.37%	62.8%	35%
Typhlodromus bagdasarjani	Larva+Nymph	89.6%	49.57%	17.25%
	Adult	72.63%	34.25%	13.18%
	Total stages	94.05%	59.42%	31.47%

Table 4. Number of different stages of *Tetranychus urticae* (Mean±SE) at predators release ratio of 1:4 and the control recorded 15 days after predators release in the second experiment.^a

T untique stage		Treatment	
T. urticae stage	P. persimilis	T. bagdasarjani	Control
Egg	4±1.65 b	69.87±2.06 b	6112.4±137.48 a
Larva+Nymph	2.12±0.81 b	68.75±2.66 b	775.88±39.34 a
Adult	1.75±0.67 b	33.50±2.73 b	531.12±21.16 a
Total stages	7.87±3.07 b	172.12±2.59 b	7419.4±156.83 a

^a Means followed by the same letters within rows are not significantly different (P< 0.05, Tukey's HSD).

Table 5. Number of different stages of *Phytoseiulus persimilis* and *Typhlodromus bagdasarjani* (Mean±SE) at predators release ratio of 1:4 recorded 15 days after predators release in the second experiment.

Dradator stage	Predator species		
Predator stage	P. persimilis	T. bagdasarjani	t
Egg	1±0.33	11.75±0.49	-18.22*
Larva+Nymph	0.62 ± 0.37	13.37±0.56	-18.80^*
Adult	0	15.12±1.46	-10.38*
Total stages	1.62 ± 0.53	40.75 ± 2.23	-17.09*

^{*} Significant difference at *P*< 0.001 (df= 14)

stages of predators (zero adult of *P. persimilis* versus 15 adults of *T. bagdasarjani*).

Since in the second experiment there was no significant difference between predator: prey ratio of 1:4 of the two predators, in the third experiment, we compared the effect of predator: prey ratio of 1:4 of P. persimilis and predator: prey ratio of 1:2 of T. bagdasarjani, 7 days after release of T. urticae on plants. According to the results of one-way ANOVA analysis, predator: prey ratios of both predators led to significant reduction in the different stages densities of T. urticae after 15 days ($F_{2,23}$ = 2027, P< 0.0001; $F_{2,23}$ = 488.09, P< 0.0001; $F_{2,23}$ = 580.3, P< 0.0001; $F_{2,23}$ = 2386, P< 0.0001, for egg, immature stages (larva and nymph), adult and total stages, respectively; Table 6). On the other hand, the results indicated no significant difference between predators presence effect on the reduction of *T. urticae* population (Table 6).

The number of predator stages at the above mentioned predator: prey ratios is

shown in Table 7. As shown in this table, 15 days after predator release, there were more *P. persimilis* at all stages in comparison with *T. bagdasarjani*.

DISCUSSION

Predator initial density in prey-predator interactions is an effective parameter on population dynamic (Greco et al., 2005). The successful control of pests by predators depends on maintaining the correct balance between densities of predator and pest. In this regard, investigation of appropriate predator: prey release ratio is necessary to improve the biological control in order to achieve the fastest pest suppression and the least plant damage without a need to release a large number of predators (Hussey and Scopes, 1985; Alatawi et al., 2011). The release of great number of predators cause the fast pest population extermination and, as a result, the fast fade out of predators (Hussey and Scopes, 1985).

Our results indicated that the most

Table 6. Number of different stages of *Tetranychus urticae* (Mean±SE) at *Phytoseiulus persimilis* release ratio of 1:4 and *Typhlodromus bagdasarjani* release ratio of 1:2 as well as the control recorded 15 days after predators release in the third experiment. ^a

T untigge stope		Treatment	
T. urticae stage	P. persimilis	T. bagdasarjani	Control
Egg	103.5±2.91 b	129.62±2.2 b	10674±234.46 a
Larva+Nymph	103±2.68 b	49.5±2.03 b	1183.8±50.06 a
Adult	85.75±4.54 b	65.5±1.8 b	954.5±36.16 a
Total stages	292.25±6.59 b	244.62±2.78 b	12813±256.72 a

^a Means followed by the same letters within rows are not significantly different (P< 0.05, Tukey`s HSD).

Table 7. Number of different stages of *Phytoseiulus persimilis* at release ratio of 1:4 and *Typhlodromus bagdasarjan* at release ratio of 1:2 (Mean±SE) recorded 15 days after predators release in the third experiment.

Predator stage	Predator species			
	P. persimilis	T. bagdasarjani	t	
Egg	58.62±2.1	16.87±0.48	19.13*	
Larva+Nymph	57.25±1.3	15 ± 0.46	30.49^{*}	
Adult	54.87 ± 2.08	17.12±0.55	17.53*	
Total stages	170.75±4.19	49±0.91	28.42^{*}	

^{*} Significant difference at P< 0.001 (df= 14).



reduction in T. urticae densities occurred in predator: prey ratio of 1:4, followed by predator: prey ratios of 1:10 and 1:20 for both predators. At all of these release ratios, a significantly decreased density of T. urticae was observed 15 days after predator Janssen and Sabelis (1992)release. explained that adequate predator: prey release ratios of phytoseiids –both specialists generalists- for the control of tetranychid mites are usually between 1:60 and 1:20, at which ratios the phytoseiids growth rates need not be as high as that of tetranychids. In order to compensate the lower growth rate of phytoseiids in comparison with their tetranychid prey, they referred to the release of the larger quantities of phytoseiids with small r (the intrinsic rate increase). Accordingly, in current research, the greatest reduction in T. urticae populations occurred at predator release ratios of 1:2 and 1:4 -greater quantities in comparison with the mentioned ratios in Janssen and Sabelis (1992) study – not only one day, but also 7 days after release of T. urticae females on plants. Moreover, our results support the findings of Opit et al. (2004) who showed the ability of P. persimilis in control of T. urticae at predator: prey ratios of 1:20 and 1:4 on ivy geranium. Also, Greco et al. (2005) referred to prey: predator ratio of 1:5 as the most effective ratio on the reduction density of T. californicus urticae using Neoseiulus (McGregor) on strawberry. Furthermore, Hamlen and Lindquist (1981) explained that the adequate predator: prey ratios for releasing phytoseiids to eliminate the tetranychid populations are between 1:20 and 1:60 for vegetables and between 1:4 and 1:20 for ornamentals in greenhouses.

Based on our results, the decrease in *T. urticae* densities and consequently the reduction in plant damage were obvious 15 days after predator releases. In this regard, Hussey and Scopes (1985) explained that infestation of every five-leaf cucumber plant with 20 *T. urticae* females and then release of one and two *P. persimilis* on every plant

could lead to the successful control of spider mites within 6 and 3 weeks, respectively.

According to our experiments, predator populations were influenced by the predator initial densities at different predator: prey release ratios. One day after release of T. urticae and subsequently 15 days after predator releases (at the predator: prey release ratio of 1:4), more T. bagdasarjani was observed on the plants in comparison with P. persimilis (Table 5). This difference is more visible in the adult stages of predators, as the reproductive population. In other words, when only one day is available for T. urticae to increase their population, T. bagdasarjani is able to establish more population than P. persimilis. This could be due to the higher ability of generalist predators to establish their population in the absence of prey by feeding on pollen and plant exudates as alternative food (McMurtry and Croft, 1997; McMurtry et al., 2013; Khanamani et al., 2017; Riahi et al., 2017). In this study, using the cucumber plants could provide these alternative foods for *T. bagdasarjani*. When 7 days was available for T. urticae for reproduction, more P. persimilis (at release ratio of 1:4) was observed in comparison with T. bagdasarjani (at release ratio of 1:2) 15 days after predators release (Table 7). Phyoseiulus persimilis -as a specialist predator of T. urticae - shows the strong tendency to remain and reproduce in T. urticae patches. Phytoseiulus species have the highest potential for population increase among Phytoseiidae (McMurtry and Croft, 1997; McMurtry et al., 2013). This could be due to the more increase in population of P. persimilis compared with T. bagdasarjani in the presence of higher T. urticae density after 7 days in comparison with lower density of T. urticae after one day.

Based on our findings, there was no significant difference between predators effect on reduction of *T. urticae* densities not only at predator: prey release ratio of 1:4 of two predators, but also at release ratios of 1:4 of *P. persimilis* and 1:2 of *T. bagdasarjani*. As a result, both predators were able to control *T. urticae* on cucumber under

microcosm conditions of this research. We found no significant difference in decline of T. urticae populations between T.urticae-P. persimilis and T. urticae-T. bagdasarjani interactions. This fact suggests that the effectiveness of these predators -one specialist and the other generalist- is similar in this research conditions. But, from another viewpoint, there are different features between these two predators. The strong dependence of P. persimilis on T. urticae for survival and reproduction has led to fade out this predator in the lack of its food and, consequently, the growers have to reintroduce this predator into the greenhouses (Overmeer, 1985; McMurtry and Croft, 1997; McMurtry et al., 2013). On the other hand, T. bagdasarjani -as a generalist predator- can survive and reproduce not only on tetranychid mites but also on some other prey species as well as plant exudates and pollen (Ganjisaffar et al., 2011a, b; Moghadasi et al., 2013, 2014; Farazmand et al., 2015; Khanamani et al., 2015). This trait can lead to the better establishment *T*. of bagdasarjani comparison with P. persimilis in reduction or elimination of *T. urticae* population.

Our study predicates on the assessment of different P. persimilis/T. bagdasarjani: T. urtice release ratios in decreasing T. urticae populations and, consequently, decreasing plant damage. The results demonstrated that suppression of T. urticae most populations occurred in predator: prey ratio of 1:4 in both predators. Based on our findings, we suggested that P. persimilis and T. bagdasarjani can be released at a ratio of 1:4 for successful control of T. urticae on cucumber. Since this study was done under microcosm conditions in a short period, further studies based long-term on experiments are required to satisfy control of T. urticae on cucumber.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The project was supported by the Iran National Science Foundation and the Center of Excellence of Biological Control of Pests, Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran under Grant by project number 92025486, which is greatly appreciated. We are grateful to Dr. Yaghoub Fathipour for his valuable advices.

REFERENCES

- 1. Abbott, W. 1966. Microcosm Studies on Estuarine Water I: The Replicability of Microcosm. *J. Water Pollut. Control Fed.*, **38**: 258–270.
- Alatawi, F., Nechols, J. R. and Margolies,
 D. C. 2011. Spatial Distribution of Predators and Prey Affect Biological Control of Twospotted Spider Mites by Phytoseiulus persimilis in Greenhouses. Biol. Control, 56: 36–42.
- 3. Benton, T. G., Solan, M., Travis, J. M. J. and Sait, S. M. 2007. Microcosm Experiments Can Inform Global Ecological Problems. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*, 22: 516–521.
- 4. Colfer, R. G., Rosenheim, J. A., Godfrey, L. D. and Hsu, C. L. 2003. Interactions between the Augmentatively Released Predaceous Mite *Galendromus occidentalis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) and Naturally Occurring Generalist Predators. *Environ. Entomol.*, **32(4)**: 840–852.
- 5. Daneshvar, H. and Denmark, H. A. 1982. Phytoseiids of Iran (Acarina: Phytoseiidae). *Int. J. Acarol.*, **8(1)**: 3–14.
- Faraji, F., Hajizadeh, J., Ueckermann, E. A., Kamali, K. and McMurtry, J. A. 2007. Two New Records for Iranian Phytoseiid Mites with Synonymy and Keys to the Species of *Typhloseiulus* Chant and McMurtry and Phytoseiidae in Iran (Acari: Mesostigmata). *Int. J. Acarol.*, 33(3): 231–239.
- Farazmand, A., Fathipour, Y. and Kamali, K. 2015. Control of the Spider Mite Tetranychus urticae Using Phytoseiid and Thrips Predators under Microcosm Conditions: Single-Predator versus Combined-Predators Release. Sys. Appl. Acarol., 20(2): 162–170.
- 8. Ganjisaffar, F., Fathipour, Y. and Kamali, K. 2011a. Effect of Temperature on Prey Consumption of *Typhlodromus bagdasarjani* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on



- *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Int. J. Acarol.*, **37(6)**: 556–560.
- Ganjisaffar, F., Fathipour, Y. and Kamali, K. 2011b. Temperature-Dependent Development and Life Table Parameters of *Typhlodromus bagdasarjani* (Phytoseiidae) Fed on Two-Spotted Spider Mite. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.*, 55(3): 259–272.
- Greco, N. M., Sanchez, N. E. and Liljesthrom, G. G. 2005. Neoseiulus californicus (Acari: Phytoseiidae) as a Potential Control Agent of Tetranychus urticae (Acari: Tetranychidae): Effect of Pest/Predator Ratio on Pest Abundance on Strawberry. Exp. Appl. Acarol., 37: 57–66.
- Grenni, P., Falconi, F. and Caracciolo, A.
 B. 2012. Microcosm Experiments for Evaluating Natural Bioremediation of Contaminated Ecosystems. *Chem. Eng. Trans.*, 28: 7–12.
- Hamlen, R. A. and Lindquist, R. K. 1981. Comparison of Two *Phytoseiulus* Species as Predators of Twospotted Spider Mites on Greenhouse Ornamentals. *Environ*. *Entomol.*, 10: 524–527.
- 13. Hussey, N. W. and Scopes, N. E. A. 1985. Greenhouse Vegetables In: "Spider Mites: Yheir Biology, Natural Enemies and Control", (Eds): Helle, W. and Sabelis, M. W. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1(B): 285–297.
- Janssen, A. and Sabelis, M. W. 1992. Phytoseiids Life-Histories, Local Predator-Prey Dynamics, and Strategies for Control of Tetranychid Mites. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.*, 14: 233–250.
- Kamali, K., Ostovan, H. and Atamehr, A. 2001. A Catalog of Mites and Yicks (Acari) of Iran. Islamic Azad University Scientific Publication Center, Tehran, Iran, 192 PP.
- 16. Khalequzzaman, M., Mondal, M., Fazlul Haque, M. and Sajedul Karim, M. 2007. Predatory Efficacy of *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on the Two Spotted Spider Mite *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae). *J. BioSci.*, 15: 127–132.
- 17. Khanamani, M., Fathipour, Y. and Hajiqanbar, H. 2015. Assessing Compatibility of the Predatory Mite Typhlodromus bagdasarjani (Acari:

- Phytoseiidae) and Resistant Eggplant Cultivar in a Tritrophic System. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.*, **108(4)**: 501–512.
- Khanamani, M., Fathipour, Y., Talebi, A.A. and Mehrabadi, M. 2017. How Pollen Supplementary Diet Affect Life Table and Predation Capacity of Neoseiulus californicus on Two-Spotted Spider Mite. Sys. Appl. Acarol., 22(1): 135–147.
- McMurtry, J. A., De Moraes, G. J. and Sourassou, N. F. 2013. Revision of the Lifestyles of Phytoseiid Mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) and Implications for Biological Control Strategies. Sys. Appl. Acarol., 18(4): 297–320.
- McMurtry, J. A. and Croft, B. A. 1997. Life-Styles of Phytoseiid Mites and Their Roles in Biological Control. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.*, 42: 291–321.
- Moghadasi, M., Saboori, A., Allahyari, H. and Zahedi Golpayegani, A. 2014. Life Table and Predation Capacity of Typhlodromus bagdasarjani (Acari: Phytoseiidae) Feeding on Tetranychus urticae (Acari: Tetranychidae) on Rose. Int. J. Acarol., 40(7): 501–508.
- Moghadasi, M., Saboori, A., Allahyari, H. and Zahedi Golpayegani, A. 2013. Prey Stages Preference of Different Stages of *Typhlodromus bagdasarjani* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) to *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae) on Rose. *Persian J. Acarol.*, 2(3): 531–538.
- 23. Mohamed, O. M. O. and Omar, N. A. A. 2011. Life Table Parameters of the Predatory Mite, *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot on Four Tetranychid Prey Species (Phytoseiidae Tetranychidae). *Acarines*, **5(1)**: 19–22.
- 24. Opit, G. P., Nechols, J. R. and Margolies, D. C. 2004. Biological Control of Twospotted Spider Mites, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae), Using *Phytoseiulus persimilis* Athias-Henriot (Acari: Phytoseidae) on Ivy Geranium: Assessment of Predator Release Ratios. *Biol. Control*, 29: 445–452.
- 25. Overmeer, W. P. J. 1985. Alternative Prey and Other Food Resources. In: "Spider Mites: Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control", (Eds.): Helle, W. and Sabelis, M. W. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1(B): 131–139.26.

- Park, J. J., Park, H., Kim, Y. H. and Cho, K. 2000. Application of Sequential Classification of Prey/Predator Ratio Test to *Tetranychus urticae* and *Phytoseiulus persimilis* System in Greenhouse Roses. *J. Asia-Pacific Entomol.*, 3(2): 121–126.
- 27. Riahi, E., Fathipour, Y., Talebi, A.A. and Mehrabadi, M. 2017. Linking Life Table and Consumption Rate of *Amblyseius swirskii* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) in Presence and Absence of Different Pollens. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.*, **110(2)**: 244–253.
- Sabelis, M. W. 1985. Predation on Spider Mites. In: "Spider mites: Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control", (Eds.): Helle, W. and Sabelis, M. W. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1(B): 103–129.
- 29. Skirvin, D. J. and Fenlon, J. S. 2003. The Effect of Temperature on the Functional Response of *Phytoseiulus persimilis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Exp. Appl. Acarol.*, **31**: 37–49.

تأثیر نسبتهای مختلف رهاسازی شکار: شکارگر در دو کنه شکارگر و المجتلف رهاسازی شکار: شکارگر در دو کنه شکارگر Typhlodromus bagdasarjani و persimilis روی خیار در شرایط میکروکازم Tetranychus urticae

م. مقدسي و ح. اللهياري

چكىدە

میزان کاهش جمعیت شکار توسط شکار گر به رهاسازی نسبتهای موثر شکار: شکار گر بستگی دارد. در این پژوهش، کارآیی نسبتهای مختلف رهاسازی (شکار:شکارگر) دو کنه شکارگر Tetranychus wrticae شکارگر persimilis Athias-Henriot در کاهش جمعیت مراحل نموی کنه persimilis Athias-Henriot در نسرایط میکروکازم و بر اساس سه آزمایش، بررسی شد. در آزمایش نخست، Koch روی خیار در شرایط میکروکازم و بر اساس سه آزمایش، بررسی شد. در آزمایش نخست، بیشترین کاهش جمعیت در P. persimils و بیشترین افزایش جمعیت در نسبتهای ۱:۱۰ و ۱:۲۰ مشاهده شد. در آزمایش دوم در نسبت رهاسازی ۱:۲۰ تفاوت معنی داری بین تاثیر دو کنه شکار گر بر کاهش جمعیت که bagdasarjani در این آزمایش تعداد بیشتری تفاوت معنی داری بین تاثیر در مقایسه با T. urticae در نسبت ۱:۲۰ و که میشترین میزان کاهش جمعیت P. persimilis تعداد بیشتری تعداد بیشترین میزان کاهش جمعیت T. urticae در هر دو کیاهان وجود داشت. در این آزمایش تعداد بیشترین میزان کاهش جمعیت T. در هر دو کیاهان دیده شد. نتایج این پژوهش نشان داد که بیشترین میزان کاهش جمعیت T. urticae شکارگر در نسبت رهاسازی ۱:۲۰ بوده است. بر این اساس، به منظور کنترل موفق T. urticae شکارگر در نسبت رهاسازی ۱:۲۰ بوده است. بر این اساس، به منظور کنترل موفق T. urticae شکارگر در نسبت رهاسازی ۱:۲۰ بوده است. بر این اساس، به منظور کنترل موفق T. urticae شکارگر به نسبت ۱:۲۰ قابل توصیه است.