Functional Response of *Hippodamia variegata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) to Different Densities of *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in an Open Patch Design
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**ABSTRACT**

Functional response of the female ladybeetle, *Hippodamia variegata* (Goeze) (Col., Coccinellidae) to varying densities (5, 10, 20, 40, 60 and 80) of third instar nymphs of *Aphis gossypii* Glover was assessed in a growth chamber (25°C, 65± 5% RH and a photoperiod of 16L: 8D h), on the black eyed bean, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.), in an open patch design. The logistic regression showed a type II functional response for female ladybeetles with the parameters (using Rogers' model) as follows: \( a = 0.083±0.011 \) h\(^{-1}\) and \( T_h =0.197±0.040\)h. The mean time for the predator to be settled in a patch was 36.1±9.25, 26.8±5.81, 17.18±4.71, 8.5±2.12, 3.3± 0.88 and 0.8±0.35 minutes at densities of 5, 10, 20, 40, 60 and 80 third instar nymphs of *A. gossypii*, respectively. The settlement time decreased as prey density increased. The maximum theoretical predation (T/Tₐ) for the females was 121.475. The proportion of female *H. variegata* that remained at the end of the experiment and their voracity were dependent on prey density. This may give an indication for the ability of the predator to persevere in the high pest infestations, and thus a high level of control could be expected.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The cosmopolitan polyphagous aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae) is widely distributed in tropical, subtropical and temperate regions. This aphid is a pest of cotton, cucurbits, melon and citrus in temperate zones and predominantly attacks vegetables in fields and greenhouses (Leclant and Deguine, 1994). *Hippodamia variegata* (Goeze) is an active aphid predator of plant lice in cereal and oil plants in various countries. This predator has been referred to as the most important predator of aphids on pepper in Bulgaria, on maize in Ukraine, on shrubs in Italy, on grain in India, and on cotton in Turkmenistan (Orr and Obryci, 1990; Shing and Shing, 1994; El-Hag and Zaitoon, 1996; Obryci, 1998; Kontodimas and Stathas, 2005; González, 2006). It has been recorded feeding on 19 different aphid species in Turkey (Aslan and Uygun, 2005) and on 12 different aphid species on a variety of crops, weeds, and ornamental plants in Australia (Franzmann, 2002). *H. variegata* is the most abundant coccinellid species in Iran (Radjabi, 1987), and understanding factors that contribute to its success as a predator may be important for enhancing its impact on aphid populations. Because of the increased awareness of the negative side effects of chemical insecticides, using the predators in insect pest management programs has been receiving increased attention for pest control (Atlihan and Bora Kaydan, 2010).
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Functional response is an important behavioral response to reveal different aspects of prey-predator interactions (Jafari and Goldasteh, 2009). The term “functional response” shows the response of individual natural enemy to varying prey density (Solomon, 1949). Statistical methods for estimating the functional response parameters from the experimental data are described in Livdahl and Stiven (1983), Houck and Straus (1985), Williams and Juliano (1985) and Juliano and Williams (1987). When the number of prey killed is plotted against the number of prey available, a continuum of patterns may emerge from which ecologists delimit three types of functional responses (Holling 1966; Trexler et al., 1988).

An investigation on the functional response of the ladybeetle, *H. variegata* at varying density of the mealy plum aphid, *Hyalopterus pruni* Geoffroy showed that the response of each larval stage and adults matched Holling’s type II functional response (Atlihan and Guldal, 2009). The same type of functional response has also been found for all the larval instars and adult males and females of *H. variegata* feeding on varying densities of *A. fabae* (Scolpoli) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) (Farhadi et al., 2010).

The functional responses of female and male, third and fourth instar of *Scymnus syriacus* Marseul to varying densities of *A. spiraecola* Patch were also found to be a type II functional response (Emami, 1996). The genus *Scymnus* exhibited different types of functional response from their prey. A type II functional response was determined for *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas) to its prey, *A. gossypii* at different time intervals (Lee and Kang, 2004).

Recently, Sakaki and Sahragard (2011) found that the kind of patch (open or closed) did not affect the type of functional response (i.e., type II) for female *S. syriacus* to varying densities of *A. gossypii*. The aim of this study was also to assess the functional response of female *H. variegata* to its prey, *A. gossypii* in an open patch design where the predator had the possibility to leave the experimental arena.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Predator and Prey Stocks**

Adults of *H. variegata* and nymphs of *A. gossypii* were collected from *Hibiscus syriacus* L. plants at the College of Agriculture in the University of Guilan (North of Iran). They were reared together on the black eyed bean, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) infested with *A. gossypii*. The predator was reared for one generation on different nymphs of the cotton aphid, *A. gossypii*, before starting the experiments. The aphid and predator stocks were kept in a growth chamber at 25±1°C, 65±5% RH and a photoperiod of 16L: 8Dh.

**Functional Response**

To study the effect of open patch design on the type of functional response of *H. variegata*, an individual female of the predator was separately released to varying densities (5, 10, 20, 40, 60 and 80) of third instar *A. gossypii* in a transparent plastic container (15×13×3 cm) on a leaf of black eyed bean. The petiole of the host plant leaf was wrapped with a wet cotton wool. This container was placed in a large plastic container (18×23×5 cm). The bottom of the large one was lined with wet cotton wool in order to prevent the aphids from escaping out, while the female ladybeetles were free and could pass the cotton wool. Individual female ladybeetles (ten-days-old) were starved for 24 hours prior to the experiment to standardize their hunger level. The tested densities of aphids were gently transferred by a fine brush from the host plant to the leaves in experimental arenas at (25°C,
65±5% RH and a photoperiod of 16L: 8D h). The mean time required for the female predator to settle in the prey patch was recorded for each treatment of prey density next to its release in the container. In order to allow the predator to leave each experimental arena (container), the cover of the container was removed after settlement of the predator in the patch. Each experiment was replicated ten times. After 24 hours, the number of aphids eaten by an individual female was recorded. The number of female predators that remained in the patch of each treatment at the end of the experiment was also recorded. In order to evaluate the ratio of natural mortality of prey, control treatments were performed in the absence of predators with the above-mentioned prey densities.

**Data Analysis**

The type of the functional response was determined by logistic regression analysis [SAS/STAT, CATMOD procedure, version 9.1] using the SAS software (SAS Institute, 2001) for the proportion of prey killed (Ne) in relation to initial prey density (N0) (Trexler and Travis, 1993). In the analysis, the regression model was reduced by omitting the cubic term until all remaining coefficients were significant:

\[ N_e = N_0\left\{1 - \exp\left[-a(T - T_h/N_a]\right]\right\} \]

Where, \(N_e\) is the number of prey eaten, \(N_0\) is the number of prey offered, \(T\) is the time of exposure, \(a\) is the attack rate and \(T_h\) is the handling time. A nonlinear regression was used (the least square technique with DUD initialization) to estimate predator handling time and attack rate.

Voracity (\(V_0\)) of *H. variegata* females in response to the varying densities of *A. gossypii* was determined using the following equation (Soares *et al*., 2003):

\[ V_0 = (A - a_{24})ra_{24} \]

Where, \(V_0\) is the quantification of voracity (the number of prey eaten), \(A\) is the number of prey available, \(a_{24}\) is the number of prey alive after 24 hours and \(ra_{24}\) is the ratio of prey alive after 24 hours exposure time from the initial number of aphids.

**RESULTS**

The number of prey eaten increased with increasing the prey density (Figure 1-a). Parameter estimates for logistic regressions of proportion of prey killed (\(N_e/N_0\)) against number of prey offered (\(N_0\)) for the female *H. variegata* for 24 hours are presented in Table 1. The logistic regression for female predator had a significant linear parameter (Table 1) and the proportion of prey consumed by female *H. variegata* declined with increasing prey density (Figure 1-b). This suggests that female predator showed a type II functional response. The coefficient
Figure 1. Functional responses (a) data fitted to “random predator” equation (Rogers, 1972) and percentage of predation (b) of Hippodamia variegate female to varying densities of Aphis gossypii in an open patch design.

Table 1. Maximum likelihood estimates from logistic regression of proportion of prey eaten as a function of initial prey densities by female of Hippodamia variegata.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>3.422</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>96.96</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>-0.075</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>31.28</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>18.69</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SE: standard error, X²: Chi squared, P: probability level

Table 2. Coefficient of attack rate (a) (0.083 h⁻¹) and handling time (T₁h) (0.197 h) (estimated by Rogers’ random attack equation) of female of Hippodamia variegata fed on Aphis gossypii.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>95% CI Lower</th>
<th>95% CI Upper</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁h</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: Confidence Interval, R²: R squared

The functional response of a natural enemy offers a good conceptual framework to understand the action of agents in inundative releases (Waage and Greathead, 1988). Many studies have been devoted to the foraging behavior of insect predators. For example, Dixon (1959) studied the searching behavior of Adalia decempunctata (L.), Nakamuta (1982) investigated the searching behavior of C. septempunctata...
obtained for the linear parameter ($P_1 < 0$) was a good indicator of a Type II response, as well as inverse density dependence relationship between the percentage of prey consumed and the initial prey density for *H. variegata*. This type of functional response has also been found for different life stages of *H. variegata* preying on cotton aphids examined either in two different spatial patterns of cucumber host plants or two leave cucumber seedlings, respectively (Madadi et al., 2011; Mohajeri Parizi et al., 2010). Type II functional response is the most common in insects, as reported for several ladybeetles preying on distinct aphid species, such as *Aphidecta obliterata* (L.) and *A. bipunctata* (L.) preying on *Elatobium abietinum* (Walker) (Timms et al., 2008), larvae and adults of *Propylea quatuordecimpunctata* (L.) preying on *Diuraphis noxia* (Mordvilko) (Messina and Hanks, 1998), *C. undecimpunctata* (L.) preying on *A. fabae* and *Alyrodes proletella* (Moura et al., 2006), male adults of *Cheilomenes sulfurea* preying on *A. fabae* (Hodek et al., 1984), adult females of *Cheilomenes sexmazulata*, *Propylea disseta*, and *C. transversalis* preying on *Aphis craccivora* (Koch) or *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Pervez and Omkar, 2005), and adults of *Curinus coerules* (Mulsant) preying on *Heteropsylla cubana* Crawford (Silva et al., 1992).

Mean time required for the female to settle in a patch was indirectly dependent on the density of *A. gossypii*, as it decreased with the increase of prey density. The result obtained here in an open patch design was in accordance with the studies on functional response of female *H. variegata* in a closed patch (Mohajeri Parizi et al., 2010; Davoodi Dehkordi et al., 2012). Therefore, the results showed that the type of experimental arena did not affect the behavior of the predator. This was also shown by Sakaki and Sahragard (2011) on *S. syriacus* preying on *A. gossypii* in an open patch design. This finding also lowers the concerns expressed by van Alphen and Jervis (1996) on closed patch functional response experiments.
This method proved that although the predator was allowed to leave the patch, the number of female ladybeetles remained in each patch was proportional to prey density.

Results showed that *H. variegata* was able to eat a high number of individuals of *A. gossypii* at the maximum voracity level (i.e., 80 aphids). The female *H. variegata* voracity was directly dependent on prey densities which was a good expression of the proportion of female predators remained in open patches. They may have left patches at lower prey densities because they were not satiated. Similar results have been found by Madadi et al. (2011) and much higher voracity levels have been reported for this predator despite exhibiting a type II functional response, since the voracity of all tested stages of *H. variegata* and especially of the fourth instars towards both cotton aphid and pea aphid species where the release of the late instar larvae or adults would be an obvious choice. The same voracity levels have also been reported for other coccinellids, such as *C. transversalis* (Omkar and James, 2004), *H. convergens*, *C. septempunctata* (Lucas et al., 1997; Omkar and Srivastava, 2003; Katsarou et al., 2005) and *C. undecimpunctata*, preying on *M. persicae* (Cabral et al., 2009).

It can be generally concluded that this behavior of *H. variegata* may present an indication for the ability of this predator to persevere in the high *A. gossypii* infestations, and thus a high level of control could be expected.
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چکیده

واکنش تابعی کتفشوزکه به تراکم‌های مختلف شه جالیز در شرایط آزمایشگاه به روش open patch

س. داوید دهکردی و 1. صحراگرد