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ABSTRACT

Plant defensins are the cysteine-rich peptides that are encoded by small multi-gene families in the plant kingdom. In this study, we designed primers based on conserved regions of defensin genes to clone and identify defensin genes in strawberry (Fragaria ×ananassa cv. Paros) by reverse transcription PCR technique. Sequence analysis showed that the deduced amino acid had significant similarity to other plant defensins from NCBI database and designated FaDef1. The predicted strawberry defensin protein encodes a 54 aa protein of 6.18 kDa, pI 9.22 and eight conserved cysteine residues with desired space conservation with other amino acids. Semi quantitative expressions of FaDef1 were analyzed in root, stem, leaf, flower, and fruit in three strawberry cultivars, namely, Queenelisa, Camarosa, and Paros. The results showed that the FaDef1 expression patterns were similar in different tissues of the three cultivars. The higher amount of relative expression of FaDef1 was in fruit and there was no observable expression in the root. The expression of FaDef1 increased after wounding and salicylic acid treatment. The expression level was higher in developed fruits compared to that of immature fruits. In fruits infected with the Gray mold agent (Botrytis cinerea), the expression of FaDef1 showed significant increase by development of disease symptom. Taken together, these results suggest that FaDef1 is both responsive to biotic stress signal compounds and strawberry B. cinerea and may be used as a candidate gene for engineering plants against gray mold.
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INTRODUCTION

Plant defensins are small (45-54 amino acids), highly basic and cysteine-rich peptides that are ubiquitous in the plant kingdom (Thomma et al., 2002). Plant defensins inhibit growth of a broad spectrum of fungi and bacteria (Park et al., 2002). All known plant defensins have eight cysteine residues, which form four structures—stabilizing disulfide bridges. Previous studies showed that three-dimensional structure of plant defensins consist of a triple stranded β-sheet with an alpha-helix in parallel. The conserved three-dimensional structure of defensins in different organisms suggests that defensins are ancient peptides of all eukaryotes and originated before divergence of plants and animals. Consistent with a role for defensins in plant disease resistance the expression of many defensin genes showed increase following pathogen attack. The defensins PDF1.2 and PDF2.3 from Arabidopsis were induced upon Alternaria brassicola infection (Thomma and Broekaert, 1998), and the radish defensins, AFP-1 and AFP-2, also showed induction in leaves following infection with A. brassicola (Terras et al., 1995). The pea defensins, DDR230-a and DDR230-b, were induced in immature pods following inoculation...
with *Fusarium solani* (Chiang and Hadwiger, 1991), and DDR230-a and DDR230-c were induced in pea leaves after inoculation with *Ascochyta piniodes* (Lai et al., 2002). The expression of eight defensin genes from *Nicotiana benthamiana* showed that members of a defensin gene family will respond to a pathogen differently (Bahramnejad et al., 2009). In addition to induction by pathogens, many plant defensins showed induction by abiotic stresses, such as wounding (Do et al., 2004; Lai et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2001; Meyer et al., 1996), drought (Maitra and Cushman, 1994), cold (Koike et al., 2002) as well as exposure to ZnCl$_2$ (Mirozze et al., 2006) and high NaCl levels (Do et al., 2004; Komori et al., 1997; Yamada et al., 1997). Expression of PDF1.2 in Arabidopsis was induced by ethylene, while expression of MsDef1 and MsDef2.1 in alfalfa was downregulated (Hanks et al., 2005). Salicylic Acid (SA) is a signaling molecule associated with resistance to biotrophic pathogens and the Hypersensitive Response (HR) (Thomma et al., 1999). It is also associated with Systemic Acquired Resistance (SAR), which is a form of induced resistance that spreads systemically in plants after localized pathogen attack and involves a systemic induction of a number of PR genes (Lawton et al., 1995). Induction of SAR by exogenous application of SA resulted in increased expression of CADEF1 in pepper (Do et al., 2004) but did not affect expression of PDF1.2 in Arabidopsis (Penninckx et al., 1998).

Plant defensin genes exhibit tissue-specific expression pattern (Lay and Anderson, 2005). The Arabidopsis defensins, PDF2.2 and PDF2.3, were expressed in most tissues, but PDF1.1 was only expressed in seeds and siliques and PDF1.2 was not detectable in any healthy tissue (Thomma and Broekaert, 1998). The *Brassica* Stamen-Specific Defensin 1 (BSD1) was expressed in stamens of *Brassica campestris* ssp. *pekinesis*, but not in roots, stems, and leaves (Park et al., 2002). Flower-specific expression was also observed for several defensins from the Solanaceous plants, *Nicotiana tabacum* (Gu et al., 1992), *N. alata* (Lay et al., 2003), and *N. paniculata* (Komori et al., 1997).

Strawberries are flavorful and nutritious fruit enjoyed by millions of people in all climates with an increasing demand. The strawberry fruit is valued for its low-calorie carbohydrate, high fiber contents, a source of natural antioxidants, including carotenoids, vitamins, phenols, flavonoids, dietary glutathionine, and endogenous metabolites (Debnath and Teixeira da Silva, 2007). The evidence concerning induced resistance to diseases and signaling molecules in strawberry is very limited and, to our knowledge, nothing has been published on defensin genes.

The aim of this research was to investigate the expression of defensin gene in three strawberry cultivars following infection by biotic and abiotic stresses. In addition, we aimed to study the gene expression in various tissues, including fruit, at different maturity stages.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials**

Strawberry (*Fragaria×ananassa*) cultivars of Queenelisa, Paros, and Camarosa were used in this experiment. Plants were grown under natural sunlight in the greenhouse with temperatures of 23–26°C (day), light intensity of 1300 micromoles per square meter per second, air humidity 70%, and 20–22°C (night). Fresh roots, fresh leaves, stems and full red fruits were collected with random sampling method from all cultivars. All of these samples were washed in freshwater for about 5 minutes to remove the soil particles adhering, then, frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately to keep them under -80°C low temperature, being ready for RNA isolation.

Fruit samples were collected from four individual Paros cultivar plants with random sampling method at different development stages. Between 10 and 18 fruits of the same development stage were harvested every five days and sample collection ended at the overripe stage (5th day after the red-ripening stage). These fruit samples coincided with the fruit ripening stages of small green fruit (stage 1), large green fruit (stage 2), green ripe fruit (stage 3), turning red fruit (stage 4), half red fruit (stage 5), red-ripening fruit (stage 6), and full red fruit (stage 7) as shown in (Figure 1). After harvest, all fruits from different ripening stages were washed in water, pooled, and
immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at −80°C until use for extraction.

**Fungal Inoculation**

The infection assay was carried out using mycelia (motherboard stock) from *B. cinerea* as described by González et al. (2013). The ripe fruits inoculation, taking care of choosing plant material without defects and infection strategy, were based on González et al. (2013).

**Wounding Treatment**

Youngest fully developed leaves of Paros cultivar were wounded mechanically by cutting the lamina with a razor blade and allowing the wounded leaf to remain on the...
plant for 0, 24, 48 or 72 hours after treatment. The two wounded leaves were harvested and immediately frozen at -80°C.

**Salicylic Acid Treatment**

The SAR-inducing chemical, salicylic acid (Merck, Germany), was applied to strawberry cultivar Paros leaves. Plants were sprayed with salicylic acid at final concentration 0.3 mM until run off and kept moist for 24 hours. Control plants were sprayed with distilled water and kept moist in the same condition. The two youngest fully developed leaves were harvested at 0, 24, 48, or 72 hours after treatment and immediately placed at -80°C.

**RNA Extraction**

All tissue samples were immediately stored at -80°C after harvesting. Total RNA from leaves, flowers, roots, and stems was extracted following the method of Mazzara and James (2000). The RNA was resuspended in 25 µL DEPC-treated with dH₂O and stored at -80°C.

**Defensin Sequences and Alignments**

A peach (*Prunus persica*) characterized defensin was used as a query for BLASTN against NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) strawberry EST database. An alignment was made of three putative defensin nucleotides sequences from *Fragaria* species (GenBank accession GT151247, GT151426 and EX683843). To amplify defensin genes from *F. annanassa* a forward primer FaDEFf, (5’GAGATGGTGATTCAGAGTGAAGCAA G3’) was designed based on conserved regions of the defensin nucleotides sequences alignment from *Fragaria* species. First strand cDNA for 3’ RACE was synthesised through reverse transcription of RNA from full red fruit of Paros with anchor primer (5’GACCATCGGATTCAGATGCGACTTT TTTTTTTTTTTTTV3’) using first strand VIVA 2-steps RT-PCR kit (Vivantis). The first round PCR reaction was carried out in a total volume of 20 µL including 7 µL H₂O, 10 µL Master Mix, 1 µL first strand cDNA template, 1 µL FaDEFf forward primer, 1 µL PCR anchor primer, GACCACCGGTATCGATGCGAC. PCR temperature program was 1 cycle of 3 minutes at 94°C, 35 cycles of 30 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 63°C, 30 seconds at 72°C, 1 cycle of 20 minutes at 72°C. The resulting PCR product was separated on 1% agarose gels. All PCRs were done using a BioRad iCycler model (Thermal cycler (BioRad; USA).

**Molecular Cloning and DNA Sequencing**

PCR products were separated on 1.0 % agarose gels, and the expected fragments were purified from the gels using Nucleic Acid Extraction kit (Vivantis). The concentration of purified DNA was determined by spectrophotometer, then, DNA fragments were ligated into the pTG19-T PCR cloning vector kit (Vivantis) and transformed into competent cells of *Escherichia coli* DH5α strain. Positive clones were identified by colony PCR, and independent sequences per clone were obtained from a commercial sequencing service (Bioneer Inc. Bioneer Corporation).

**Bioinformatics Analysis**

BLAST program in National Center for Biotechnology Information Server (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) was used to verify the defensin gene homology. Multiple protein sequence alignment was performed using Clustal W program of Jalview 2.3 version. For phylogenetic and sequence alignment analysis, other defensin gene sequences were obtained from the GenBank database (Table 1). The phylogenetic tree of Rosaceae defensin was constructed using MEGA4.0.2 software based on the Neighbor-Joining (NJ) method.

Availability of complete strawberry and wild *Fragaria* species genome sequences has made it possible to identify the putative defensin genes in those plant species. To obtain all the defensins from strawberry and five other wild *Fragaria* species, sequences available in the
Table 1. Defensin amino acid sequences of Rosaceae family used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleotide accession number</th>
<th>Amino acid accession number</th>
<th>Defensin class</th>
<th>Specis</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XM_004297739.1</td>
<td>XP_004297787.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Fragaria vesca subsp. vesca</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_004290354.1</td>
<td>XP_004290402.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F. vesca subsp. vesca</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_004297738.1</td>
<td>XP_004297786.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F. vesca subsp. vesca</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_004306514.1</td>
<td>XP_004306562.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F. vesca subsp. vesca</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_004306513.1</td>
<td>XP_004306561.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F. vesca subsp. vesca</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_004301415.1</td>
<td>XP_004301463.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F. vesca subsp. vesca</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XM_004291806.1</td>
<td>XP_004291854.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>F. vesca subsp. vesca</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JQ342966.1</td>
<td>AFH74425.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Malus domestica</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JQ342965.1</td>
<td>AFH74424.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Malus domestica</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AY078426.1</td>
<td>AAL85480.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Prunus persica</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HM044853.1</td>
<td>ADK87340.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Pyrus pyrifolia</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JX104829.1</td>
<td>AGC00408.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Pyrus pyrifolia</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB052688.1</td>
<td>BAB64930.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Pyrus pyrifolia</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB052689.1</td>
<td>BAB64931.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Pyrus pyrifolia</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB052687.1</td>
<td>BAB64929.1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Pyrus pyrifolia</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC66901</td>
<td>TC66901</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Fragaria x ananassa FaDef1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC71722</td>
<td>TC71722</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Malus domestica</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC75034</td>
<td>TC75034</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Malus domestica</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC75035</td>
<td>TC75035</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Malus domestica</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC75549</td>
<td>TC75549</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Malus domestica</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC76367</td>
<td>TC76367</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Malus domestica</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC77132</td>
<td>TC77132</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Malus domestica</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC76471</td>
<td>TC76471</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Malus domestica</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC13239</td>
<td>TC13239</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Prunus persica</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* A C-terminal prodomain is absent in Class I defensins but present in Class II defensins. *b* Tentative Consensus (TC) amino acids were obtained using frame finder at the DFCI plant Gene Index (http://compbiodfci.harvard.edu/cgi/).
gene. Gene amplification was done with 1 cycle at 94°C for 3 minutes followed by 28 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 56°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 30 seconds, and a final extension period of 5 minutes at 72°C.

The RT-PCR products were separated in 1.2% TAE agarose gels with ethidium bromide. Gel pictures were taken using UVdoc camera and saved as tiff electronic image files. Pictures were imported in GelQuantNET software for quantification. In each lane, the band intensities were determined for both genes of GAPDH2 and FaDef1 and relative expressions were calculated. Experiments were replicated with three independent infections or treatments. For each experiment, RNA was extracted and cDNA was made. We quantified three gels from different experiments for each treatment. To confirm the identity of the RT-PCR products, the bands of the defensin gene were directly sequenced. The purified products were sent for direct sequencing at the commercial sequencing service (Bioneer Inc. Bioneer Corporation).

RESULTS

Cloning and Sequence Analysis of FaDef1

A strawberry gene encoding a defensin, designated FaDef1, was isolated using a PCR-based procedure. FaDef1 was 260 bp in length, and it has an Open Reading Frame (ORF) of 168 nucleotides with a 3-nucleotide upstream sequence and a 91-nucleotide downstream sequence. The ORF of FaDef1 starts at nucleotide position 4 and ends at position 169. It encodes a preproprotein of 65 amino acid residues with a predicted signal peptide of 12 amino acid residues at the N-terminus. The calculated molecular mass of the mature protein is approximately 6.18 kDa with a predicted isoelectric point of 9.22. A GenBank Blastx search revealed that FaDef1 shares 98% identity with Fragaria vesca subsp. vesca (XP_004306561.1). It also shares a high degree of similarity with Cucumis sativus (XP_004151187.1) (54% identity), Vitis vinifera (XP_002272913.2) (50% identity) and Triticum urartu (EMS52277.1) (50% identity). FaDef1 shares lower degrees of similarity to known proteins of other rose family members. For example, the homology scores are 45% identity for a Pyrus pyrifolia (BAB64931.1), and 45% identity for a Malus domestica (AFH74425.1). The ORF of FaDef1 showed 100% identity with two genomic sequences of F. ananassa FAN_iscf00311607.1.g00002.1 and FAN_iscf00363510.1.g00001.1 which is available at Strawberry Genome and Resource Database ENtry (http://strawberry-garden.kazusa.or.jp/index.html). The genome of cultivated strawberry (F. ananassa) and its wild relatives was dissected using deep sequencing (Hirakawa et al., 2014). Searching strawberry genome database showed that F. ananassa has 29 annotated defensins and defensins like genes. In other Fragaria species, the number of annotated defensins was 12, 14, 14, 10 and 24 for F. iinumae, F. nipponica, F. nubicola, F. orientalis and F. vesca, respectively. The phylogenetic analysis of Fragaria species defensins showed that this gene family is classified into about 14 sub clusters, in which ortholog gene from different species clustered together (Supplemental Figure 1). In each cluster, usually more than one gene from F. ananassa exist, which is due to polploidization.

Multiple Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Analysis

A sequence alignment of FaDef1 and other Rosaceae defensins showed that the eight amino acid residues reported to be crucial to the antifungal activity of the latter three proteins are also conserved in FaDef1, as indicated in Figure 1. The positions of the eight cysteine residues are absolutely conserved in FaDef1 predicted peptide, suggesting FaDef1 share the same secondary structure with other plant defensins. Multiple amino acid sequence alignments showed that FaDef1 had high similarity with its counterparts from other plant species. FaDef1 conserved and semi-conserved regions are shown in black and gray, respectively, indicating that the protein structure and functional manner were strongly conserved.
Sequence alignment revealed that there was high similarity in the defensin domains, including a Cysteine-Stabilized $\alpha$-helix $\beta$-sheet (CSqB) motif common to plant and invertebrate defensins. Other conserved residues, such as an aromatic residue Tyr11 and Gly13, were also found in the sequence. The eight strictly conserved Cys residues located in defensin domain, the key amino acid residue responsible for the antimicrobial activity, was found in FaDef1. To understand the evolutionary relationships among FaDef1 and other plants, a phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the amino acid sequences of other plants (Figure 2). It was revealed that FaDef1 grouped into a cluster along with two Fragaria vesca defensin like genes XM_004306513.1, XM_004306514.1 and Malus domestica, TC66901 belonging to the Rosaceae and paralleling their evolutionary relationships.

**Differential Expressions of FaDef1 in Different Strawberry Organs**

The expression of FaDef1 in different organs of three cultivars Queenelisa, Paros, and Camarosa were examined using relative RT-PCR analysis. From the results shown in Figure 3, it is clear that FaDef1 was expressed in leaves, stems, flowers, and red fruits. Among these organs, significantly higher levels of FaDef1 mRNA were observed in red fruits. A moderate level of FaDef1 mRNA was observed in leaves, flowers, and shoots. In contrast, the expression of FaDef1 was barely detectable in the root. The expression patterns were similar in the three cultivars.

**Expression Patterns of FaDef1 in Different Developmental Stages of Fruit**

![Figure 2. Comparison of rosaceae defensin protein sequence inferred by UPGMA. All defensin proteins analyzed are listed in Table 1. Amino acid sequences were aligned with the program CLUSTALW, and dendrogram was created using distance based phylogeny procedure UPGMA with the program MEGA4.1. The scale bar estimates the genetic distance among defensins.](Downloaded from jast.modares.ac.ir at 4:24 IRDT on Saturday June 8th 2019)
Figure 3. Expression of FaDef1 in different tissues of three strawberry cultivars Queenelisa, Paros, and Camarosa by relative RT-PCR. The quantity of each defensin mRNA levels was determined relative to the amount (expression) of GAPDH2. Mean values are shown with standard error which is calculated based on three replications.

To further understand whether FaDef1 was indeed involved in fruit development, we preliminarily explored the expression patterns of FaDef1 in seven different stages throughout the development of fruit (Figure 4). The results showed that accumulation of FaDef1 mRNA increased during fruit development and maturation. At the early stages of fruit development (1 to 4), the expression of FaDef1 was relatively low, while the maximum expression was observed in the completely ripen fruit.

Effects of SA and Mechanical Wounding on Expression of FaDef1

To evaluate the different responses of FaDef1 to abiotic stresses, the mRNA transcript accumulation patterns of FaDef1 in Paros cultivar leaves treated by wounding and SA were determined. The expression patterns of FaDef1 at different times after treatments were analyzed (Figure 5-a). Exogenous application of SA appeared to cause a rapid induction of FaDef1 gene at 24 hours post-treatment and reached a peak at 48h. The expression level then remained unchanged up to 72 hours. The expression pattern of FaDef1 upon mechanical wounding is shown in Figure 5-b. It appeared that wounding triggered the response of FaDef1 at a very early stage. A significant induction of FaDef1 was observed at 24 hours post treatment. The expression level continued to increase until 72 hours time point. Overall, both of the tested abiotic stresses appeared to be able to trigger a significant accumulation of FaDef1 mRNA within 24 hours post-treatment. Moreover, FaDef1 was more prominently induced by mechanical wounding.

Expression of FaDef1 in Infected Cultivar Paros Fruits

Ripe fruits were inoculated with B. cinerea, and the accumulation of transcript FaDef1 was analyzed in different stage of disease development. The expression patterns of FaDef1 in response to B. cinerea are shown in Figure 6. For strawberry plants inoculated with B. cinerea, no increase in the expression level of FaDef1 was observed during the first 48 and 72 hours after infection. However, FaDef1 expression was significantly increased four and six days after infection compared to that of the control samples. The results showed that with increasing B. cinerea infection, FaDef1 expression level
Figure 4. The various stages of *F.×ananassa* cv. Paros fruit development. Relative RT-PCR of *FaDef1* in *F.×ananassa* cv. Paros fruit development stages. The quantity of each defensin mRNA levels was determined relative to the amount (expression) of GAPDH2. Mean values are shown with standard error which is calculated based on three replications.

Figure 5. Relative RT-PCR of *FaDef1* in *F.×ananassa* cv. Paros following treatment with 0.3 mM salicylic acid (A) and wounding (B). Control plants were sprayed with distilled water. The quantity of each defensin mRNA levels was determined relative to the amount (expression) of GAPDH2. Mean values are shown with standard error which is calculated based on three replications.

Figure 6. *Botrytis cinerea* infection stages in *F.×ananassa* cv. Paros fruits and relative expression of *FaDef1* in each stage. Ripe fruits were inoculated with *B. cinerea* mycelia, and left at 24°C during the post infection in a growth chamber with 80% humidity. The quantity of each defensin mRNA levels was determined relative to the amount (expression) of GAPDH2. Mean values are shown with standard error which is calculated based on three replications.
also increased and then remained constant at that level.

DISCUSSION

The deduced peptide encoded by the FaDef1 gene displays similarities with a wide range of plant defensins. Differences in the primary sequence of defensins may be responsible for their various biological activities. Study of the three-dimensional structure of a number of plant defensins showed that the structure contains a triple-stranded β-sheet with an α-helix in parallel (Henrik et al., 2009). Three-dimensional structure of FaDef1 showed the retention (similar) characteristics of a defensin protein. In plant defensins, the conserved sequences are relatively limited, but the eight amino acid cysteine residues, A glycine at position 34 (score by Rs-AFP2) are perfectly preserved. Furthermore, in most cases, the second glycine, serine, glutamic acid and an aromatic residue are conserved (Lay and Anderson, 2005). All of foregoing characteristics existed in FaDef1 amino acid sequence. FaDef1 protein had 54 amino acids, of which 13 amino acids are basic, four amino acids are acidic, and predicted isoelectric point of 9.22. Plant defensins are divided into two classes, which differ based on the presence (Class I) or absence (Class II) of a C-terminal prodomain. However, defensins with a C-terminal prodomain are limited to solanaceous plants (Lay and Anderson, 2005). As members of the Solanaceae, N. benthamiana and N. tabacum have both classes, while other plants only have Class I (Bahramnejad et al., 2009). FaDef1 also belonged to Class I.

Sequencing of complete strawberry and wild Fragaria species genome has made it possible to identify the putative defensin genes in those plant species (Hirakawa et al., 2014). Defensins are thought to be members of small gene families which contain 15 to 50 members (Silverstein et al., 2005). However, more than 300 defensin-like Cys Cluster Proteins (CCPs) in the legume Medicago truncatula (Fedorova et al., 2002) and more than 300 similar unannotated open reading frames of defensin-like sequences in the Arabidopsis have been reported (Silverstein et al., 2005). Numbers of annotated defensins in Fragaria species were similar to other plants and ranged from 10 to 29. But, searching genome in more detail and using different bioinformatics approaches may result in more defensin like genes.

The results of relative RT-PCR analysis showed that FaDef1 expression had similar pattern in three cultivars Paros, Camarosa, and Queenelisa, but was different in different plant organs. Defensin genes showed tissue specific expression pattern in plants. A defensin gene CADEF1 in Capsicum annuum was expressed in stems and roots, but not expressed in leaves or flowers (Do et al., 2004). Defensin gene PDF2.1 in A. thaliana was highly expressed in seeds and roots, but not in healthy leaves. In contrast, PDF2.2 was expressed in flowers, roots, and healthy leaves, but not in seeds (Thomma and Broekaert, 1998). Each plant tissue expresses at least one defensin gene and some tissues express two or more defensins in Arabidopsis (Henrik et al., 2009). In A. thaliana some of defensins show constitutive expression, while the others are up-regulated in leaves following pathogen infection or signaling compound treatment (Lay and Anderson, 2005). Recently, the microarray analysis in two model plants A. thaliana and Medicago truncatula showed that set of defensin-like genes specifically expressed in seeds or fruits (Tesfaye et al., 2013). Overall, most of plant tissues constitutively express two or more defensin genes, implying that each defensin is expressed under specific conditions or at specific tissues.

The application of salicylic acid increased FaDef1 expression. A considerable amount of evidence suggests that Salicylic Acid (SA) is involved in the induction of SAR. In both tobacco and Arabidopsis, exogenous SA induced the expression of PR (PR-1, PR-2, and PR-5) genes (Antoniw and White, 1980; Uknes et al., 1992; Ward et al., 1991) and increased plant resistance (Uknes et al., 1993; White, 1979). Plant defensins showed variable response to SA. CADEF1 in C. annuum and NbDef2.2 in Nicotiana benthamiana were induced by SA (Bahramnejad et al., 2009; Do et al., 2004). In contrast, other studies showed that MtDef1.1 and MtDef2.1 in M. truncatula and MsDef1 and MsDef2.1 in M. sativa (Hanks
FaDef1 expression in the wounded leaves of strawberry cultivar Paros showed increase at 24 hours after treatment. Wounding has been shown to induce expression of many plant genes (Reymond et al., 2000). The defensin genes, CADEF1 and J1 from C. annuum (Do et al., 2004; Meyer et al., 1996), PgD1 from Picea glauca (Pervieux et al., 2004), DRR230-c from P. sativum (Lai et al., 2002) and NbDef1.1, NbDef1.2, NbDef1.4 and particularly NbDef2.2 in N. benthamiana (Bahrannejad et al., 2009) were induced upon wounding. Wounding induces signals through an ethylene and/or Jasmonic Acid (JA) dependent pathway (Thaler et al., 2004). The expression of FaDef1 was significantly induced following both SA and wounding treatment. Induction of a gene by both SA and ethylene has been reported. In Arabidopsis 17 genes, such as 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) oxidase, chalcone synthase, lipoygenase and cellulase were induced by both SA and ethylene (Schenk et al., 2000). Therefore, it is concluded that FaDef1 may belong to the genes that its expression is induced in both salicylic acid ethylene and/or jasmonic signaling pathway.

Most of plant defensins are active against a wide range of fungi. In addition to antifungal activity against plant pathogenic fungi (e.g. Fusarium culmorum and Botrytis cinerea), they showed antifungal activity against the yeast and human pathogenic fungi such (Candida albicans) (Henrik et al., 2009). The mechanism by which plant defensins inhibit the growth of the fungus is not well understood (Henrik et al., 2009). Expression of Dahlia defensin, Dm-AMP1, in rice directly inhibits the pathogen, Magnaporthe oryzae and Rhizoctonia solani by 84% and 72%, respectively (Henrik et al., 2009). Overexpression of a radish defensin RsAFP2 significantly enhanced resistance of tobacco plants to the fungal leaf pathogen Alternaria longipes (Terras et al., 1995) and similarly in tomato to Alternaria solani (Parashina et al., 2000). In this study, FaDef1 gene expression was significantly different in infected strawberry fruits compared to the controls fruits. By increasing infection severity, FaDef1 gene expression was increased. There is not much information on PR proteins in strawberry. Recently, an update on a few recognized components of known families of PR proteins in strawberry cultivars challenged with Colletotrichum acutatum are published (Amil-Ruiz et al., 2011). Casado-Díaz et al. (2006) analyzed a moderately resistant cultivar (cv. Andana with a very susceptible one (cv. Camarosa) during the process of infection with Colletotrichum acutatum. They found that a gene (EST) described as thionin (Fagthio-1) was significantly upregulated after 3 days post infection, and this increase gradually diminished from 3 to 7 dpi, while showed a significant repression in Camarosa infected fruit tissue compared with infected crown tissue. The two strawberry pathogenesis related proteins i.e. FcPR5 and FcPR10 showed significant differences in the expression pattern of in F. x ananassa and F. chiloensis infected with Botrytis cinerea. (González et al., 2013). In F. chiloensis, FcPR5 showed high transcript level in infected leaves, while FcPR10 transcripts were high in infected fruits. Authors suggested that expression patterns of these genes in the pathogen response were in a tissue-specific manner. Phaseolus vulgaris seed defensin PvD1 caused membrane permeabilization in the filamentous fungi Fusarium oxysporum, Fusarium solani, and Fusarium lateritium and in yeast stains Candida parapsilosis, Pichia membranifaciens, Candida tropicalis, Candida albicans, Klyveromyces marxiannus, and Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Mello et al., 2011). PvD1 also inhibited glucose-stimulated acidification of the medium by yeast cells and filamentous fungi, as well as to induce the production of reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide in C. albicans and F. oxysporum cells. Therefore, FaDef1 high level of expression in the infected fruit may be related to reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide in strawberry fruit.

FaDef1 gene expression was significantly different in developing stages of turning red, half-red, red ripe, and full red. These results demonstrate defensin gene expression increased in advanced stages of development of fruit, which can be related to the interaction
between signal transduction pathways and multiple function of this gene. The process of development has been poorly understood so far.
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جداسازی و مطالعه یک ژن دفنسین در توت فرنگی (Fragaria × ananassa cv. Paros)

ب. ظهیرنژاد، ب. بهرامزاد، و ج. رستمیزاده

چکیده

دفنسین‌های گیاهی خانواده کرتک‌های توت‌فرنگی (Fragarias x ananassa) هستند. این ژن‌ها ممکن است به‌کارگیری در هماهنگی کارهای اکسیدز و اکسیدازان که در این نواحی می‌باشد که در این مطالعه بر اساس توالی نویسی توانایی حفاظت شده‌اند. از طرفی این توانایی بروز یک پلنگ خاص و با استفاده از تکنیک روند و یا برای برخی مکانیسم‌ها و سپس واکنش

زنجیره‌ای پلیمر، همان‌طور که کننده پروتئین‌های دفنسین در توت فرنگی (Fragaria x ananassa) هستند، ممکن است با تغییر توألی شرکت کنند. مقاومت توانایی به دست آمده با توانایی موجود در یک گروه داده‌ای نسایی و توألی اطلاعات ویژه نیاز به دست آمده با دست آمده را نشان داد که نیازی به دست آمده با توانایی موجود در یک گروه داده‌ای نسایی و توألی اطلاعات ویژه نیاز به دست آمده با دست آمده را نشان داد که

FaDef Insensitive 2 ژن برای عملکرد مقاوم‌سازی به بروکیس cinctua.
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یکسان و برای با ۵۴ اسید آمینه و ۸ اسید آمینه سیستمی حفاظت شده با حفظ فواصل مورد نظر با دیگر
اسیدهای آمینه بوده است و نقطه ایزولالکتریک آن ۴۴/۹ بود . بان تيما کمی زن دفسین در سطح
رونوشت در اندام های ریشه، ساقه، برگ و میوه در سه رقم مختلف بررسی شد و نتایج نشان داد که
در شرایط طبیعی بدون وجود عوامل محرک میزان بان در اندام های مختلف در هر سه رقم پرابر است به
این صورت که پوشش میزان بان نسبی زن دفسین در میوه بود و در ریشه هیچ گونه بانی مشاهده نشد,
همچنین بان زن دفسین در اندام برگ با تیمارهای مختلف زخم و اسید سالیسیلیک مطالعه شد و نتایج
به دست آمده نشان دهنده افزایش بان زن در زمان های متفاوت بوده است. بررسی بان زن دفسین در
مراحل مختلف رشدی میوه نشان داده که در مرحله پیشرفت رشدی با افزایش بان زن هر مراحل بوده است
و در آزمایش دیگری که میوه با فارگ عامل کیک خاکستری آلوده شده بودند بررسی بان زن
دفسین در شدت های مختلف آلودگی نشان داد که با افزایش شدت پیماری میزان بان زن نیز افزایش
یافته است.