Interaction of Water Salinity and Different Irrigation Levels on Physiological Growth of Olive (*Olea europaea* L.)

A. Dindarlou¹, A. A. Ghaemi¹, A. Shekafandeh Nobandegani², M. Bahrami³, and M. Dastourani⁴

**ABSTRACT**

During the last few years, due to inadequate rainfall, Iran has faced water scarcity. This made the fertile zones including the Fars Province and especially the Marvdasht District to face many problems. Salt concentrations increase and the groundwater resources reduction in the central part of this district are currently occurring. Recently, the farmers have attempted to change the cultivation pattern by cultivation of salt-resistant plants including olive and pistachio. Therefore, in this study, interaction between salinity of the irrigation water and the water deficit on physiological growth of the olive plant (*Olea europaea* L.) was investigated. The experiment was conducted as a completely randomized block design for three years (2013-2015) in a 7-years-old olive grove (Roghani-Fishomi cultivar) grown in a sandy soil with planting density of 5.5×5.5 meters. Treatments included five Irrigation levels (I₁ = 25%, I₂ = 50%, I₃ = 75%, I₄ = 100%, and I₅ = 125 percent of olive water requirements) and three Salinity levels of 2.2 to 7.7 dS m⁻¹ (S₁), incorporation of 50% well water with 50% drinking water from the local region (S₂), and pure drinking water from the region (S₃ = Salinity of 0.4 to 0.85 dS m⁻¹) of irrigation water. Treatments were applied in a factorial arrangement, with three replications. Daily irrigation of trees was performed by drip irrigation. The results showed that in all of the three consecutive years, the highest Intrinsic Water Use Efficiency (IWUE) values were obtained in I₃S₁ (131.94, 114.14 and 96.95 by years, respectively). Also, the highest transpiration efficiency was achieved in I₃S₃ (1.24, 1.06 and 0.88 respectively). In high salinity, due to the stress applied to the olive trees, leaf water potential decreased and, consequently, the water in the leaves could not meet the existing VPDₗₐ (saturation Vapor Pressure Deficit near leaf area), thus causing stomatal closure and reduction in stomatal conductance (gs). Generally, for I₁ to I₄ irrigation levels, the highest salinity effect on Transpiration Efficiency (TE) happened in S₃ salinity level.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Olive tree has moderate resistance to salinity (Connor and Fereres 2005). Hence, it can be irrigated using saline water (Simkeshzadeh et al., 2015). Resistance of olive trees to salinity is not the same in different varieties. Depending on the salinity level of the irrigation water, the yield and the plant growth are affected differently and, in some cases, due to the high salinity level, the plant confronts the risk of dying. Olive plant’s resistance to salinity depends highly on the salt excretion mechanism around the roots (Melgar et al., 2012). High salinity reduces pollination, fruit size (Ben-Gal, 2011) and thus reduces fruit crop yields of the olive
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Asik et al. (2014) showed that irrigation scheduling using deficit irrigation allowed considerable water savings in Memecik olive trees (*Olea europaea* L.), with minimal effects on yield. Different irrigation levels had no significant effect on the yield, while significant differences between treatments were detected in some morphological features such as shoot length, shoot diameter, canopy volume, and fruit set ratio. Results indicated that irrigation of Memecik olive trees should be scheduled based on the amount of water equivalent to 25% ($S_{0.25}$) of the five-day cumulative evaporation from a Class A pan. Kaya et al. (2017) demonstrated that an increase in the amount of irrigation water applied to an olive orchard was accompanied by a fall in ripeness index values, a rise in moisture content, and a reduction in the oil content of the fruit.

The most efficient olive fruit production has been reported at the irrigation level of 100% (Talozi and Al Waked 2016). Salt resistance in plants depends on the interaction between salinity and other environmental parameters including dryness (Jouyban, 2012). Not many cases of researches exist on the interaction between different levels of irrigation and salinity in garden plants so far. Lots studies have generally referred to crop yield. (Melgar et al., 2012). For instance, in the interaction between three salinity levels (0.5, 5, and 10 dS m$^{-1}$) and three irrigation levels, it was demonstrated that the water salinity had no substantial effects on the fruit size, fruit mantle, and olive fruit core; but the amount of oil available in the fruit was increased linearly by an increase in the salinity (Serrano Castillo et al., 2008).

The aim of this study was to investigate the interaction between the salinity of the irrigation water and the water deficit on physiological growth (by examining the relationship between photosynthesis and transpiration) of the olive plant in the Marvdasht District, Fars Province, Iran.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study area is located in the central part of the Marvdasht District with longitude of longitude of 52° 59' 43" E, latitude of 29° 50' 22" N, and altitude of 1,620 m (Figure 1).

The experiment was conducted for three years (2013 to 2015) in a 7-years-old olive garden planted (Roghani-Fishomi variety) at a cultivation density of 5.5x5.5 meters. Treatments included different irrigation levels ($I_1$, $I_2$, $I_3$, $I_4$ and $I_5$ for 25, 50, 75, 100, and 125% of water requirements). Three
replications were used in the form of a factorial layout within a completely randomized blocks design. Plant water requirement was calculated by FAO Penman-Monteith method modified for the Kooshkak Area of the Marvdasht County (Razzaghi and Sepaskhah, 2012) and meteorological data of the Persepolis synoptic station. The irrigation water salinity levels were salinity of 2.2 to 7.7 dS m\(^{-1}\) (S\(_1\)), incorporation of 50\% well water (S\(_1\)) with 50\% drinking water from the local region (S\(_2\)), and finally pure drinking water from the region (S\(_3\)= salinity of 0.4 to 0.85 dS m\(^{-1}\)). Different levels of applied irrigation were maintained from the beginning of the treatments until the end of the experiment. The method of irrigation was loop drip irrigation and eight emitters with discharge of 4 liters per hour for each tree. Irrigation was done on daily basis and by three electronic pumps.

To assess the interaction of water salinity and different irrigation levels on physiological growth of olive, the relationship between stomatal conductance (gs, mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), saturation Capor Pressure Deficit near leaf area (V\(_{PD, l.a}\) kPa), stem water potential (\(\psi_{stem}\)), and photosynthesis rate (\(A, \mu mol m^{2} s^{-1}\)), was investigated. Parameters of gs, V\(_{PD, l.a}\) A, and Transpiration (Tr, mmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) were extracted through the data recorded by the photosynthesis meter device (ADC BioScientific LCI Analyser Serial No. 30784). The measurement was conducted on sunny days and between 11 to 14 o’clock. In addition, \(\psi_{stem}\) was measured using pressure bomb (model 5100A, Santa Barbara, CA, USA).

In this study, using the relevant information, the Intrinsic Water Use Efficiency (IWUE) (Equation 1) (Farquhar et al., 2001; Jones, 2004) and Transpiration Efficiency (TE) (Equation 2) (Jones, 2004) were calculated:
In this study, the effect of different salinity and irrigation levels on the intrinsic water use efficiency (IWUE) was investigated. The results showed that the IWUE increased with increasing salinity and irrigation levels. The linear regression analysis was performed for all treatments.

\[
IWUE = \frac{A}{gs}
\]  
\[
TE = \frac{A}{Tr}
\]

In addition, the linear regression analysis was performed for all treatments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Intrinsic Water Use Efficiency (IWUE)

To study the effect of different salinity and irrigation levels on the intrinsic water use...
efficiency in olive, the changes of photosynthesis (A) versus the stomatal conductance (gs) were drawn (Figure 2) separately for the study years (2013, 2014 and 2015). The two parameters of photosynthesis (A) and stomatal conductance (gs) are under the direct influence of sunlight, air temperature, and relative humidity and these changes were more tangible in the October; therefore, A and gs data were used in drawing the diagram from June to November in 2013 and from April to October for the years of 2014 and 2015. For the purpose of statistical analysis of the salinity and irrigation levels effect, linear regression (P≤ 0.05) with five points (n= 5) were fitted and the slope of the fitted lines were used in this analysis. The charts on the left side of Figure 2 show the effect of different salinity levels on IWUE (excluding irrigation levels). In 2013, the greatest IWUE was observed in S1 (80.34) and the least in S2 (64.40). In 2014, S2 had the greatest IWUE (75.61) and the least was in S1 (65.53), and in 2015, the greatest effect was for S1 (62.74) and the least effect for S3 (56.03). Slope difference in the salinity level of S1 (69.9) was almost identical with S1 and S2 in 2014, and salinity levels of S1 and S2 (61.81) in 2015.

With respect to the effect of different irrigation levels on IWUE (regardless of salinity levels) (charts on the right side of Figure 2), the greatest and the least IWUE in 2013 were in I3 (101.5) and I1 (57.85), respectively. In this year, the highest IWUE was obtained at low salinity levels and average levels of irrigation. In 2014, the highest and the least IWUE were those of I1 (90.97) and I3 (53.14), respectively. The slope of the fitted lines was almost equal in irrigation levels of I1 and I3 (90.10), which were almost identical in terms of the effect on the IWUE. The slope difference of the fitted lines in irrigation levels of I4 (58.36) and I3 was not high. However, the slope difference of the fitted lines in irrigation level of I2 (76.05) was high compared with other irrigation levels and acted independently in terms of the effect on IWUE. In 2014, the highest IWUE was obtained in S2 salinity level and low to moderate irrigation levels. In 2015, the greatest and the least effect was that of irrigation levels of I1 (80.60) and I3 (46.38), respectively. The slope difference of the fitted lines in irrigation levels of I4 (49.53) and I3 was insignificant. The slope difference of the fitted lines in irrigation levels of I1 and I3 was high in comparison with other irrigation levels, which acted independently in terms of effect on IWUE. Generally, in 2015, the highest IWUE value was obtained in irrigation levels of S1 and the low to moderate irrigation levels (like the year 2014).

Analyzing the effects of the different levels of salinity on IWUE (Figure 3) in 2013, and at irrigation level of I1, the least IWUE was that of the S1 (the slope of the regression line of 56.7) and the greatest IWUE was that of the S3 (slope of 59.3). But, the slope difference of the fitted lines was significant (P< 0.05) between salinity levels of S1 (56.7) and S3 (59.3). In other words, the effect of different salinity levels on the IWUE was not equal in S1 and S3. However, there was no significant difference between salinity level of S1 with S2 (58) and S2 with S3. In the I3 irrigation level, the most IWUE was observed in salinity level of S1 (85.92) and the least in S1 (68.02). The differences between the slopes of the fitted lines were significant in all three levels of salinity. In I3, the highest IWUE was observed in salinity level of S1 (131.94) and the least in S3 (86.64). The difference between the slope of the fitted lines in salinity levels of S2 (89.9) with S3 (85.6) was not high. In other words, the effect of these salinity levels on IWUE was equal in this irrigation level. In I4, the highest IWUE was observed in salinity level of S1 (63.13) and the least one in S3 (51). The differences between the slopes of the fitted lines in all salinity levels in this irrigation level were similar. In other words, the difference of levels’ effect on IWUE was not significant in this irrigation treatment. In the irrigation level of I4, the maximum IWUE was observed in the salinity
Figure 3. Relationship between photosynthesis (A, µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) and stomatal conductance (gs, mol m⁻² s⁻¹) (Slope of each line= Intrinsic Water Use Efficiency, IWUE) in various Salinity and Irrigation levels (S₁, S₂, S₃ and I₁, I₂, I₃, I₄, I₅), based on measurements in five different times in: a: 2013, b: 2014, and c: 2015 (n= 5, P≤ 0.05)
level of $S_1$ (67.2) and the least at the salinity level of $S_1$ (56.42). The difference between the slope of the fitted lines was almost equal in salinity level of $S_2$ (56.14) and $S_3$. In other words, the effect of $S_2$ and $S_3$ levels on IWUE was not significant in this irrigation level. Generally, in 2013, the highest and the least IWUE were obtained in $I_1S_1$ (131.94) and $I_1S_2$ (46.66), respectively.

In 2014, in irrigation level of $I_1$, the greatest IWUE was that of $S_1$ (the slope of the regression line being 99.15) and the least was that of $S_1$ (with a slope of 85.63). But due to the difference of the fitted lines slope, the difference at 5% level was different between the $S_2$ salinity level (85.6) and other levels. In other words, the difference between $S_1$ and $S_3$ salinity levels with $S_2$ salinity level in this irrigation level was significant on IWUE. In the $I_2$ irrigation level, the most IWUE was observed in $S_1$ (79.97) and the least IWUE in $S_3$ (70.87). The difference between the slope of the fitted lines in $S_1$ (78.14) and $S_1$ (79.97) was not significant and were almost equal in terms of the effect on the IWUE. In the $I_3$ irrigation level, the most IWUE was observed in the salinity level of $S_2$ (114.14) and the least at the salinity level of $S_1$ (80.57). The difference between the slope of the fitted lines was not high at $S_1$ (83.31) compared with $S_3$. In other words, the effect of these salinity levels on IWUE was equal in this irrigation level. In the $I_4$, the most IWUE was observed in $S_2$ (62.35) and the least in the $S_1$ (54.97). The difference between the slopes of the fitted lines was not significant in $S_1$ (56.4) and $S_3$ in comparison with $S_2$. In the $I_4$ irrigation level, the most IWUE was observed in $S_2$ (58.41) and the least IWUE in $S_1$ (38.3). The difference between the slopes of the fitted lines in the $S_1$ (48.56) was almost equal with $S_3$ and $S_1$. In other words, the effect of salinity levels in this irrigation level was significant on IWUE. In general, the most and the least IWUE in 2014, were obtained in $I_1S_1$ (114.14) and $I_3S_1$ (38.29) treatments, respectively.

In 2015, Figure 3 (right), in $I_1$ the most effect was that of $S_1$ (the slope of the regression line being 92.44) and the least was that of $S_2$ (slope of 75.24). The difference in the slope of the fitted lines in $S_2$ and $S_3$ (77.59) was low and not significant in terms of the effect of the different salinity levels on IWUE. In the $I_2$, the most and the least IWUE were observed in salinity levels of $S_1$ (83.16) and $S_3$ (63.17), respectively. The difference between the slopes of the fitted lines was significant in different levels of salinity and in terms of the impact on IWUE. In $I_3$, the highest IWUE was obtained in $S_2$ salinity level (96.95) and the least IWUE in $S_3$ salinity level (65.83). Like the $I_1$ irrigation level, the difference between the slopes of the fitted lines at different salinity levels was also significant in this irrigation level. In $I_4$, the highest and the least IWUE were observed in $S_2$ (52.88) and $S_1$ (46.14). The difference between the slopes of the fitted lines in different levels of salinity was not significant. In $I_5$, the most and the least IWUE were observed in $S_2$ (46.97) and $S_1$ (33.10), respectively. The difference between the slope of the fitted lines was low in salinity levels of $S_3$ (35.05) and $S_1$. In other words, the effect of the $S_3$ (35.05) and $S_1$ salinity levels on IWUE was not significant. In general, the highest and the least IWUE in 2015 were obtained in $I_1S_1$ (96.95) and $I_1S_3$ (33.10) treatments, respectively. The effect of different levels of salinity in different irrigation levels in two consecutive years of 2014 and 2015 were similar. Note that in each of the three study years, the $I_1S_1$ treatment had the highest effect on IWUE.

Relationship between Water Potential of Olive Stem or Leaf with Stomatal Conductance

As the soil around the plants roots dries out, the plant restricts water transfer from inside the stomata to outside i.e. plant reduces the stomatal conductance (Kang and Zhang, 2004; Liu et al., 2006). Therefore, in this research, the relationship between $\psi_{stem}$ and $g_s$ ($R^2 = 0.57, P \leq 0.05$) (Figure 4) showed that the plant reaction to salinity tension and irrigation (reducing water potential of leaves on branches) was to close stomata and thus reduce stomatal...
conductance \((gs)\). This conclusion is consistent with the results of Sperlinga \textit{et al.} (2014).

**Relationship between Saturation Vapor Pressure Deficit Near Leaf Surface \((\text{VPD}_{l.a})\) with Stomatal Conductance \((gs)\)**

Climatic conditions including relative humidity surrounding the olive trees can cause signals from plant to the leaves, which result in stomata closure in leaf surface. Therefore, in areas with dry climate (study area) Vapor Pressure Deficit (VPD) can affect stomatal conductance. Thus, in this study, we tried to examine the relationship between stomatal conductance and VPD (Figure 5). Thus, to establish a relationship between vapor pressure deficit near leaf surface \((\text{VPD}_{l.a}, \text{kPa})\) and stomatal conductance \((gs, \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})\), the three-year measurements data were used. This means that, in 2013, the measurements of late September, December, and March were used. In 2014, the data of June, August, and early October, and in 2015, the measurements of the early October, November, and December were used. The results of this research showed that there was a relationship between \(\text{VPD}_{l.a}\) and \(gs\). In Figure 5, by increasing the \(\text{VPD}_{l.a}\), the amount of \(gs\) is reduced at first with a negative and steep slope, then, in the range of \(\text{VPD}_{l.a}=2.7\) to 2.8 \text{kPa}, the slope is moderated and reaches zero. Finally, slope increases from \(\text{VPD}_{l.a}=2.8\ \text{kPa}\) \((R^2=0.39, P<0.05)\). It should be noted that this finding was consistent with the results of Olyaei \textit{et al.} (2015) and Moriana \textit{et al.} (2002).

In Figures 6 (a-b), the relationship between stomatal conductance \((gs)\) and saturation vapor pressure deficit near leaf surface is shown for different irrigation levels (regardless of salinity levels) and at different salinity levels (regardless of irrigation levels), respectively. As shown, \(gs\) varied between 0.01 to 0.045 \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} and \(\text{VPD}_{l.a}\) varied between 1.2 to 3.6 \text{kPa}. For the statistical analysis of the process of the changes and impact of the different irrigation levels on \(gs\), their linear regression was drawn. Despite low \(R^2\), the impact of different irrigation levels on \(gs\) and its relationship with \(\text{VPD}_{l.a}\) can be seen clearly. According to Figure 6, in all irrigation levels, \(gs\) decreases by an increase in the \(\text{VPD}_{l.a}\). By increasing irrigation levels from \(I_1\) to \(I_4\), the slope of curves decreased. This process continued to the \(\text{VPD}_{l.a}=2.5-2.7\ \text{kPa}\) range and then increased. Increasing or decreasing of slope in the irrigation level was different such that the maximum slope was related to \(I_1\) and the minimum slope was related to \(I_3\) and \(I_4\) (this result was consistent...
with the results of Moriana et al., 2002).

In Figure 6-b, the effect of salinity level on gs and VPD_{l,a} is shown. In all S_1 and S_2 salinity levels, by increasing VPD_{l,a}, the gs decreased at first such that, in both levels, the lowest gs was observed in VPD_{l,a}= 2.1 kPas. Therefore, by increasing VPD_{l,a} the gs increased almost with an equal slope in both of the salinity levels. In S_3 by increasing VPD_{l,a} the gs decreased. In high salinity, due to the stress applied to the olive tree, leaf water potential decreased and, consequently, the water in the leaves could not meet the existing VPD_{l,a}, thus causing stomatal closure and reduction in gs.

**Transpiration Efficiency**

Transpiration Efficiency (TE) is an index that shows the photosynthesis rate per unit of transpiration. This index is positively correlated with photosynthesis (Shadan et al., 2013). The two parameters of photosynthesis (A) and Transpiration (Tr) are under direct influence of sunlight, air temperature, relative humidity, and carbon dioxide levels. In the study area, the changes were more tangible until October. Therefore, the data pertaining to the mentioned month was used for statistical analysis of the TE. In this study, effect of the salinity and irrigation levels were linearly fitted (P≤ 0.05) with five points and the statistical analysis was performed by the slope of the fitted lines. According to Figure 7 (a), in 2013, the most effect was related to S_3 salinity level (1.08) and the least was that of S_2 (0.56). Due to the slope of the linear regression, the difference between S_1 (0.59) and S_2 was not significant. In other words, the effect of S_1 and S_2 levels on TE was similar. However, the differences between S_1 and S_3 levels were significant in comparison to S_1 salinity level. In 2014, concerning the effect of different salinity levels on TE (Figure 8 (b)), the most effect was that of S_3 (0.86) and the least belonged to S_1 (0.54). The slope of the linear regression in S_3 (0.82) and S_1 levels had little difference with that of S_1 salinity level. In other words, the effect of S_2 and S_1 levels on TE was almost identical. In 2015, (Figure 7 (c)), S_1 (0.68) and S_3 (0.42) salinity levels were observed to have the most and the least effect on TE, respectively. The difference between S_1, S_2 (0.62) and S_3 salinity levels was significant. In other words, each of the salinity levels independently affected TE. As from S_1 to S_3 the impact was greater. In the case of the effect of different irrigation levels (regardless of salinity) (Figure 7 (d)) on TE in 2013, the most and the least were for I_4 (1.04) and I_5 (0.54), respectively.
difference between the slope of the linear regression in I₁ (0.86), I₂ (0.63), I₃ (0.92), I₄ and I₅ showed that their effects was not equal on TE or in other words. The difference between the slope of the linear regression in I₁ (0.86), I₂ (0.63), I₃ (0.92), I₄ and I₅ showed that their effects were not equal on TE or in other words, the effect of different irrigation levels on TE is different but the effect of normal irrigation (I₄) was the highest (1.044), but among the different levels of salinity, the effect of S₃ salinity level on TE was high. In 2014, (Figure 7 (e)), the most and the least effect of the irrigation level on TE was related to I₁ (0.92) and I₃ (0.55), respectively. The difference of the slope of the linear regression showed that the effect of the I₂ and I₃ irrigation levels on TE was equal or in other words, their difference was not significant. However, in other levels of irrigation, the differences were significant. In 2015, Figure 8 (f), like the year 2014, the irrigation levels of I₁ (0.77) and I₃ (0.28) had the highest and lowest effect on TE, respectively. The difference between slopes of the linear regression was significant for all levels of irrigation. The effect of I₁ (0.61) and I₃ (0.63) irrigation levels on TE was
Figure 8. Relationship between photosynthesis (A) and Transpiration (Tr) (n=5, P≤ 0.05) in various salinity and irrigation levels (S₁, S₂, S₃ and I₁, I₂, I₃, I₄, I₅), based on measurements in five different times in: a: 2013, b: 2014 and c: 2015.
similar, but the effect of other irrigation levels was not similar. Analyzing the effect of different salinity levels on TE in the irrigation level of I1, in 2013 (Figure 8), the least effect was that of S2 salinity level (with the slope of the regression line equal to 0.68) and the most belonged to S3 (with a slope of 1.02). However, due to the difference in the fitted lines slopes, the difference in the effect on TE was significant (P< 0.05) between S1 (0.79), S2 and S3 salinity levels. In other words, the effect of different salinity levels on TE was not equal in these two salinity levels. In the I3 irrigation level, the most and the least TE were observed in S3 (1.04) and S2 (0.25) irrigation levels, respectively. The differences between the slopes of the fitted lines in all three salinity levels were significant and meaningful. In I3 irrigation level, the most and the least TE were observed in S1 (1.24) and S3 (0.37), respectively. The difference between the slope of the fitted lines in S3 (0.77), S1 and S3 salinity levels was high and the effect of different levels on TE in this irrigation level was not equal. The most and the least TE in I4 were observed in S3 (1.04) and S1 (0.84) salinity levels, respectively. The difference between the slope of the fitted lines in the salinity level of S2 (0.9) was almost equal with the S1 and the difference in the effect of the salinity levels on TE was not significant. In I4 irrigation level, the most and the least TE were observed in S2 (0.96) and S1 (0.05), respectively. The difference between the slopes of the fitted lines was almost high and significant in all salinity levels. As was observed, the most effect on TE happened in S3 salinity level at all irrigation levels. The most and the least TE in 2013 were obtained in I1S3 (1.24) and I3S1 (0.05) treatments, respectively.

According to Figure 8, the irrigation level of I1 had the least effect with S2 salinity level (with 0.65 slope of the regression line), but due to the slopes of the fitted regression lines in S1 and S3 levels of salinity (both having 0.72), they were the most effective on TE. Nonetheless, their differences with S1 were insignificant. In I2 irrigation level, the most TE was observed in S3 salinity level (0.73) and the least in S1 (0.58), and the difference between the slope of the fitted lines was significant and meaningful. In I3, the highest TE was observed in S1 salinity level (1.06) and the least in S1 (0.43). The difference between the slope of the fitted lines in S2 (0.79), S1 and S3 was high, and the effect of the different levels on TE was not equal in this irrigation level. In I4 irrigation level, the highest TE was observed in S1 salinity level (0.79) and the least in S1 (0.50). The difference between the slopes of the fitted lines in S2 salinity level (0.70) compared with the other two levels was high and the impact difference of the salinity level on TE was significant. In I5, the most TE was observed in S3 salinity level (0.58) and the least in S2 (0.44). The slopes of the fitted lines in S1 (0.57) and S2 salinity levels was almost equal, and the impact of these salinity levels on TE was not significant in I5. As was observed, from I1 to I4 irrigation levels, the highest effect on TE happened in S3 salinity level. In 2014, the most and the least TE were observed in I1S3 (1.06) and I3S1 (0.43) treatments, respectively.

In 2015, like the year 2014, in I1 irrigation level, the effect of S1 and S3 (0.62) on TE was equal, with insignificant difference. The most and the least TE were observed in S2 (0.67) and S1 (0.54) salinity levels, and the difference between the slope of the fitted lines was significant. Like the year 2014, in I3, the highest TE was observed in salinity level of S3 (0.88) and the least in S1 (0.35). The difference between the slope of the fitted lines in S2 (0.64), S1 and S3 salinity levels was high, and the impact of the different levels on TE was not equal in I5. In I4 and I5 irrigation levels, like the I3, the difference between the slope of the fitted lines in different salinity levels was high and their impact on TE was not equal.

As was observed, from I1 to I4 irrigation level, the most effect happened on TE in S3 salinity level. The highest and the least TE in 2015 were observed in I1S3 (0.88) and I3S2 (0.18) treatments, respectively.
CONCLUSIONS

Photosynthesis is one of the first plant processes affected by water stress and salinity. In this process, the sunlight energy is converted by plants and some of the bacteria into the chemical energy stored in nutrients. Under moderate to high salinity conditions, the accumulation of sodium in the olive leaf cause decrease photosynthesis. Low concentration of CO₂ in the chlorophyll of olive leaves in salinity condition is due to the low concentration of CO₂ in stomata and mesophyll and will be one of the factors limiting photosynthesis. In the present research, we investigated the interaction between salinity of the irrigation water and water deficit on physiological growth of the olive plant during three years (2013-2015) in a 7-years-old olive grove (Roghani-Fishomi cultivar). The relationship between water potential of olive stem ($\psi_{stem}$) and stomatal conductance ($gs$) showed that the plant reaction to salinity stress and deficit irrigation was due to stomatal closure and, thus, $gs$ reduction. Our findings showed that in all three years of study, $I_3S_1$ had the most effect of salinity and deficit irrigation interaction on Intrinsic Water Use Efficiency (IWUE), while the low water salinity level and the average irrigation level had the highest IWUE. Furthermore, in all three years of study, the strongest interaction of salinity and deficit irrigation on transpiration efficiency was observed in $I_3S_1$ treatment. The $I_1$ irrigation level had the best IWUE and TE performance. Generally, for $I_1$ to $I_4$ irrigation levels, the highest salinity effect on Transpiration Efficiency (TE) happened in $S_3$ salinity level.
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برهم کنش شوری آب و سطوح مختلف آبیاری بر رشد فیزیولوژیکی زیتون

غ. دیندارلو، ع.1. قائمی، ا. شکاپنج، م. هرمامی و م. دستورالی

چکیده

کشور ایران طی چند سال اخیر بدلیل کمبود بارندگی و با عدم پراکنندگی مناسب پرورش شده است. این امر منجر حاصلخیزی از جمله اتاق تاریک، بدلیل قطب بودن در توفلی گندم و عدم استفاده کافی و مناسب از روش های نوین آبیاری و الگوی مناسب با شرایط محیطی، بیماری ها و تغصینات مردانه را با مشکلات زیادی و روبرو نموده است که از آن جمله می توان به شور شدن و کم شدن مالیات آب در دوره آب زیرزمینی بخش مرکزی این شهرستان اشاره نمود. از طرفی، مالیات آب زیرزمینی در این شهرستان به شدت مورد...
هجره مصرع کندگان قرار گرفته و افتدشکی کشفت و کمبود این منابع را باعث شده است. کشاورزان در راستای مقابل‌بندی این امر اقدام به تغییر الگوی کشت نموده و با کشت گیاهان مقاوم به احتمال زیتون و یسته، سعی در مرزFORMATION نمودن وضعیت پیش آمدن نموده‌اند. نتایج این تحقیق، سعی شده است برهمکنش شوری آب آبیاری و کم آبیاری بر روی رشد فیزیولوژیکی گیاه زیتون مورد بررسی قرار گیرد. این مسئله، آزمایش به مدت سال (2013-2015)، در باعی زیتون 7 ساله (روش روش) کشت شده در خاک سیاه، با تراکم کشت 5/5/5/5، در 5 سطح آبیاری (50، 75، 100 و 125 درصد نار آبی زیتون) و 3 سطح شوری (S1، S2 و S3) آب آبیاری و با 3 تکرار در قالب آزمایش فاکتوریلی به صورت بلک‌های کاملاً تصادفی انجام شد. آبیاری درختان با روش قطره‌ای و به صورت روزانه اجرای گردید. نتایج نشان داد که بیشترین و کمترین IWUE در سال 2013، به ترتیب در تیمارهای I1S1 (94/131) و I2S2 (66/46) در سال 2014 به ترتیب در تیمارهای I3S1 (95/95) و I5S1 (14/114) و در سال 2015 به ترتیب در تیمارهای I3S1 (14/114) و I5S1 (29/38) حاصل شد. لذا در هر سال متوالی، تیمار I1S1 بیشترین تأثیر را بر روی IWUE داشته است. بعضاً سطح پایین شوری و سطح متوسط آبیاری بهترین را به خود اختصاص داد. یافته‌های این تحقیق در مورد TE نشان داد که در هر سال متوالی، بهترین نتایج برهمکنش شوری و کم آبیاری در تیمار آب و هویه است. در شوری بالا بدلیل اسرس وارد شده به گیاه، پتاسیم آب گیاه کاهش یافته و در نتیجه فشار آب موجود در گونه کمتر از سطح گیاه (VPD) شده و باعث کاهش هدایت ورودی (gs) و بسته شدن روزنگی می‌شود. به‌طور کلی از تیمار I1 آبیاری، بیشترین اثر بر راندمان تعرق در سطح شوری S3 اتفاق افتاد.